



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMAND, PACIFIC
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

24 October 2014

N62742-15-R-1301

**FY14 MCON P-907 MV-22 PARKING APRON AND INFRASTRUCTURE AND
FY14 MCON P-908, HANGAR, MARINE CORPS BASE HAWAII, KANEHOE, HAWAII**

NOTICE NO. 1

NOTE: The following questions and answers are provided for INFORMATION ONLY. The RFP remains unchanged unless it is amended in writing on a Standard Form 30. Please be advised that question asked 10 calendar days before the closing date may not be answered.

The Site Visit Sign-in Sheet is attached.

1. RFP page 4 of 14, Factor A, note 4 – If a Joint Venture (JV) entity has not previously completed a relevant project, must each member of the JV submit a relevant project? Can the relevant project(s) be attributed to only one member of the JV?

RESP: No, each Joint Venture partner must demonstrate relevant project experience. See Amendment 0001.

2. Reference Section 09 29 00, page 9, paragraphs 3.4 and 3.4.1. Please clarify where the level 4 and 5 finish is to be used. Both paragraphs address level 4 and 5 finishing of drywall but are in conflict with each other. Are we to use section 3.4 which indicates level 4 in areas of critical lighting or section 3.4.1 which indicates level 5 in areas of severe up or down lighting conditions occur?

RESP: Per Section 09 90 00, paint table for interior gypsum board is either semi-gloss or gloss finish. There are no walls to receive flat paint finish. As such, all walls to receive level 5 finish.

3. Note 6 on the P907 set of drawings indicate a schedule of completion or start for the aircraft apron area. What are the limits that the contractor must make clear for the planned operations? What constitutes the area is “finished”? Will the taxiway work in area 6 and 7 fall under this requirement also?

RESP: The entire existing parking apron, which includes Areas 6 and 7, must be available for flight operations during the period of July 01, 2016 to October 31, 2016. No work on the apron will be allowed during this time. If work on the apron is started before July 01, 2016, it must be completed, turned over and accepted by the Government before July 01, 2016. If the work cannot be completed and accepted prior to July 01, 2016, do not start work on the apron until after October 31, 2016. See Amendment 0001.

N62742-15-R-1301

Notice No. 1

4. RFI # 1 – Per section 1.3.2.1 of the UFGS 08 34 16.20 Vertical Lift Fabric Door Specification it states to reference drawing S-008 for “wind pressure for door design.” On S-008 the notes can be found pertaining to the wind load pressures for the VLF Doors. Based on the Master UFGS 08 34 16.20 Vertical Lift Fabric Door Specification located in the Whole Building Design Guide it states the following: “**Components and Cladding elements with Effective Areas greater than 65.032 square meters 700 square feet must be permitted to be designed using the provisions for MWFRSs**”. On this particular project the Effective Areas of the hangar doors are greater than 700 square feet. Can we get the Engineer of Record to provide wind load design pressures for the Vertical Lift Fabric Doors as calculated using the MWFRS design provisions for an Effective Area greater than 700 square feet?

RESP: Bid per contract documents. Use wind loading criteria in accordance with DWG S-008. MWFRS loads have already been considered in the development of the pressure criteria listed on DWG S-008.

5. On page 2 of 14 in section 00202 paragraph 2.2 indicates that the award will be evaluated on “CLIN 0004, FF&E and the HAR to arrive at the total evaluated price”. Is this correct?

RESP: That is incorrect. It should state, “CLIN 0005, FF&E and HAR.” See [Amendment 0001](#).

6. Document 00100, Instructions to Proposers, Section 1.1 Paragraph (3) states “Your subcontracting plans/breakdowns shall be submitted with your price proposal.” Understanding that final bid pricing of a project can be a challenge and is sometimes received up until the bid submittal time, we would greatly appreciate additional time (i.e. 24 hours after the proposal due date) to submit the required Small Business Subcontracting Plan. This will help ensure that the Small Business Subcontracting Plan is able to take into account all received proposals and reflect all those proposals identified to be qualified and competitive, particularly for each SB category, which would be identified by the stating of their name and inclusion in the stated percentage goals.

RESP: Yes. See [Amendment 0001](#).

7. Due to the complexity of the project, we would like to respectfully request for a two (2) week extension. This extension will provide Contractors, Subcontractors, and Suppliers the required time to properly take into account the entire RFP requirement and subsequently provide NAVFAC with a competitive and better quality proposal.

RESP: See [Amendment 0001](#).

End of Document

