



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

Notice No. 2  
23 June 2016

PRE-PROPOSAL QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

SOLICITATION N62742-16-R-1309  
FY16 MCAF PROJECT P-3028/AJY133028 LOW OBSERVABLE/CORROSION  
CONTROL/COMPOSITEREPAIR SHOP, JOINT REGION MARIANAS-ANDERSEN  
AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM

NOTE: The following questions and answers are provided for INFORMATION ONLY. The solicitation remains unchanged unless it is amended in writing on a Standard Form 30.

2. We respectfully request a 4-week time extension to the current submission date of June 30, 2016 due to the extensive compilation of specifications and drawings. The current submission date of June 30, 2016 is insufficient time to for us or our subcontractors/ vendors to review the RFP requirements and put together a comprehensive and best value proposal to the Government.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002

3. Section 01 45 00.00 20, page 6, Item 1.5.4.1 states that the Government will provide a Commissioning Authority (CxA) who, while subordinate to the general contractor's QC Manager, will direct & coordinate the actions of the General contractor's QC specialists, Testing Lab personnel, OMSI preparer and other inspection & testing personnel required for building commissioning.

While Section 01 91 00.00 20, page 2, item 1.4.1 states that the General Contractor's commissioning QCR shall be responsible for the overall coordination of the contractor & sub-contractors in the execution of the commissioning activities required by this specification.

QUESTION:

Will the Government please clarify the apparent leadership conflict in the executive oversight of the building commissioning?

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

4. Document 00100, Instructions to Proposers, 1.1 Bid Submission Date

Due to the complexity of this project then to do takeoff and request for prices from vendors and sub-contractors we feel that the time allotted for bid submission is not enough. One example is the Paint Booth due to its complexity the engineering team has to come up with a design from the ground up.

We respectfully request 30 days extension for bid submission. The current submission date is June 30, 2016 which is only 8 days from pre-proposal site visit of June 22, 2016.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002

5. SECTION 08 71 00 – DOOR HARDWARE, Paragraph 3.6 Hardware Sets, SET #7 – Exterior, Page 10:

Please advise on HW# 7 for the Exit Device by Kawneer. Does this have trim on the exterior side of the door and if so what function?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

6. SF 1442 page 3 of 3 contains the price proposal schedule however Division 00, Section 00 00 10 contains the Contract Line Item Schedule.

QUESTION:

Please confirm whether or not Division 00, Section 00 00 10 is required to be submitted with the price proposal.

ANSWER: Submit price for CLIN 0001 on the Price Proposal Schedule on Page 3 of 3 of the Standard Form 1442. Section 00 00 10 Contract Line Item Schedule has been removed from the solicitation. See Amendment 0002.

7. Per Document 00700, paragraph 1.24, NTP will be given to the Contractor 30 Calendar days after Contract Award Date. Per G-100, Phasing Notes, Phase 1 will commence after the relocation of 734 AMS to New FY12 AMC Complex or Temporary Facilities. Please confirm the relocation will occur prior to NTP.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

8. Drawing G-100: Per G-100, Temporary Location for CDF/CRF indicates Temporary Trailers are required. Please confirm this is Not In Contract.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

9. Please advise if Buildings 18018 & 18075 be occupied after NTP. If not occupied, demo of Buildings 18018 & 18075 should be able to continue after demo of Phase 1. If they are occupied, is there a place they can be relocated to allow for demolition to commence. The schedule will need this time to maintain flow.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

10. Drawing C-100: C-100 has only numbers and arrows, but does not show the objects being demolished. Please provide complete drawing.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

11. Section 01 57 19.03 Supplemental Temporary Environmental Controls: Section 01 57 19.03, part 3.7.1.e, specifies the procedure if a MEC/MPPEH greater than 60mm is discovered. Please include language for added cost should an item greater than 60 mm be found requiring an additional 18" MEC Clearance on site.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

12. Standard Form 1442, Item 13, states that sealed offers are due by 2:00PM local time 30 June 2016. Please confirm it is 2:00PM Hawaii Standard Time as described in the Instruction to Proposers – Document 00100, page 1.

ANSWER: The due dates and times for proposal submission are based on Hawaii Standard Time (HST).

13. Document 00700, page 15, states the Government will furnish Electricity and Water at the prevailing rates at time of use. Please provide the current electrical and water usage rates.

ANSWER: Current rates are as follows:

- Electricity - \$406.35 MWH
- Water - \$8.64 KGAL

*All rates are subject to change.*



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

14. SF 1442, page 3, lists only CLIN 0001. Specification 00 00 10, page 1, lists CLINs 0001 through 0005. Please advise as to the correct CLIN schedule.

ANSWER: Submit price for CLIN 0001 on the Price Proposal Schedule on Page 3 of 3 of the Standard Form 1442. Section 00 00 10 Contract Line Item Schedule has been removed from the solicitation. See Amendment 0002.

15. Document 00100, section 1.1.c, states the Subcontracting Plan shall be submitted on 30 June 2016, 2:00PM HST. Please confirm it is acceptable to submit the Subcontracting Plan within 24-hours after the submission time of the Technical and Price Proposals.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002.

16. Document 00100, section 1.7.b., references the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets. Please provide the NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets.

ANSWER: The NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets are included within Document 00100 Instructions to Proposers, Attachment (A) Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

17. Specification 01 30 00, section 1.4.2, states the Commissioning Authority shall be a first tier subcontractor. Specification 01 45 00.00 20, section 1.5.4, states the Government will provide a Commissioning Authority. Please clarify.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

18. As-Builts: Provide as-built details of the existing buildings 18018, 18075, 18019 and 18013 and their infrastructure, utilities and local pertinent features.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

19. Drawing C-100: Drawing C-100 has keynotes referring to locations on the drawing; however it appears that the existing features at the locations are omitted. We request the reissue of the drawing with the referenced existing features shown.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

20. Hazardous Materials – Building 18103: Building 18103 is required to be modified. Are all the hazardous materials associated with this building (lead containing paint, asbestos containing materials, etc.) required to be removed or just those associated with the modification work?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

21. Hazardous Material Survey Report: Some of the text in drawings contained in the Hazardous Material Survey Reports appears corrupted, example highlighted below. Please reissue the reports in legible format.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

22. Removal of Asbestos Containing Materials: Please provide the basis of bid for removal of asbestos containing materials.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

23. Specification Section 02 61 23, Removal & Disposal of PCB Contaminated Soils:

Specification section 02 61 23 “REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL OF PCB CONTAMINATED SOILS” page 2 item 1.4 mentions removal of PCB containing contaminated soil, if present, will be paid for by the ton. If this is correct, please provide a quantity and a line item in the pricing schedule for the inclusion of this element of work.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

24. Sheet A-132, Review of CDF/CRF roof condition during construction:

General Note C

During construction, review roof condition and patch any discovered roof damage with liquid applied silicone elastomeric coating.

QUESTION:

The above description, without the benefit of an as-built drawings depicting existing roof condition of the CDF/CRF building would require an extensive site investigation and documentation. In order to secure the associated cost during the tender process, will the Government issue roof as-built drawings showing the extent of damage and location or consider providing an allowance to capture this requirement.

ANSWER: This will be treated as a change condition during construction.

25. Reference to RFP Document 00100, page 1 of 14, 1.1(a) & (b) Technical & Price Proposals, respectively, "... submitted on 30 June 2016, 2:00 PM, HST ..."

We respectfully request for an additional two-week extension on the proposal submittal.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002.

26. Small Business Subcontracting Plan:

Reference to RFP Document 00100, page 1 of 14, 1.1(c) Subcontracting Plan, "... subcontracting plan shall be submitted on 30 June 2016, 2:00 PM, HST ..."

The typical bid day experience for prime contractors is for sub-contractors to submit quotes just prior to the Government's bid closing time. Previous NAVFAC PACIFIC solicitations have directed subcontracting plans to be submitted is a day after receipt of proposals. To facilitate submitted the most detailed Small Business Subcontracting Plan, we respectfully request the Government accept Attachment (A) Small Business Subcontracting Plan no later than two (2) business days after receipt of proposals.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

27. Elastomeric Silicone Coating Clarification. Spec. Section 07 57 12 Par. 3.5.5 Page 12:

Please clarify and confirm if Granule Surface is needed for the Elastomeric Silicone Coating as it is included in the Specification Section 07 57 12 Par. 3.5.5 but there are no indicated areas in the RFP Drawings.

ANSWER: Granule surface should be applied on the sloped and curved surfaces of the roof.

28. Electrical Clarification, Drawing E-605:

1. Please advise what symbols for the type of main device of the following panelboards in Drawing No. E-605 stand for;

- “D” for panel MDP-2
- “CP” for panels D & C

ANSWER: The indicator D for MDP-2 and CP for panel CD are typos. The panels shall be Main Lug Only (MLO).

29. Electrical Clarification, Drawing E-003: Please provide location of existing Pad Mounted Switch which is not shown on Electrical Site Plan-LO/CC/CRS, E-003.

ANSWER: The switch is provided in FY14 MCAF Project AJJY123010 APR Fuel Systems Maintenance Hangar mechanical yard (currently under construction) indicated by keynote 3. A capped two-way (6”) concrete encased duct from the switch to 5 feet outside the fuel system hangar footprint is provided that has pull wire in the ducts.

30. Electrical Clarification, Drawing E-605: Reference to Drawing No. E-601, please provide panel schedule of the Spray Booth which was not shown in the panel schedule from Drawing Nos. E-603 ~ E-605.

ANSWER: As indicated on Division 11 specification section and drawings A-431, A-432, and A-443 the paint and blast booths are performance designs and final panel schedules/power requirements are to be coordinated with booth manufacturer.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

31. Door Type, Nos., & identification, Drawings A-101, A-102, A-103 & A-611

Reference to attached Drawings A-101, A-102 & A-103, please clarify that some of the doors are not defined by numbers (shown with clouded mark), on which the door type and specification cannot be specified, and not included on Drawing A-611 Door & Frame Schedule. Can you please provide us a revised drawing and door & frame schedule conforming the door type and identification not specified in the drawings?

ANSWER: The doors indicated are doors provided by the paint/blast booth manufacturer, air shower, and toilet partitions. They are not required to be included in the door/frame schedule as they are part of equipment.

32. Ramp Extension Clarification, Drawings C-111 & C-132:

Reference to attached Drawings C-111 & C-132, please clarify and confirm what type of construction we are going to follow for the Ramp Extension on Site Plan – CDF/CRF, is it concrete pavement or asphalt pavement?

ANSWER: Provide heavy duty asphalt per C-132 in accordance with Detail 7/C-503. Detail 2/C-111 will be revised in forthcoming amendment.

33. Dumpster Enclosure Location, Drawing S-150, Detail C2:

Reference to Drawing C2/S-150, Dumpster Plan, Notes No. 1 - See Civil for Location, there is only one dumpster identified in C-110 but this dumpster enclosure is existing dumpster by P-3010.

Please clarify and confirm if this dumpster shown on C2/S-150 is part of this project. If included, please provide us the drawing showing the location of the new dumpster enclosure.

ANSWER: Dumpster yard and enclosure is provided by FY14 MCAF Project AJJY123010 APR Fuel Systems Maintenance Hangar. Detail C2/S-150 will be removed in forthcoming amendment.

34. Due to the complexity of the project we formally request a bid extension of 4-weeks.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

35. Drawing P-101, P-102 & P-103; Section 31 21 13, Radon Mitigation:

Provide radon mitigation piping plan/lay-out for LO/CC/CRS & CDF/CRF.

ANSWER: Per Keynote 8 on P-101 and P-102 radon mitigation system is performance design (extension of design by contractor) to meet the requirements of specification section 31 21 13 and applicable codes.

36. Drawing C-101, Managing Pesticide-Impacted Soil:

- a. Please advise how much of the existing soil are impacted and that can be reused on site.
- b. Please advise how much of the existing soils exceeds the hazardous waste criteria that must be shipped off-island

ANSWER: Refer to section 02 41 00, HAZMAT and Soil Screening Report Attachments for requirements. No soil samples were collected under the slabs of the existing buildings to be demolished (B18018, B18019, and B18075). The Contractor shall assume the soils under the existing slabs are pesticide impacted. The Contractor shall collect soil samples under the slab and analyze them for pesticides by the EPA method 8081 and identify the level of pesticide concentration. If the results exceed the soil criteria, the impacted soil shall be relocated under an impervious surface or under a minimum of 2 feet of clean soil within the project boundary. The intent of project is to re-use impacted soil. If off-site disposal of impacted soil is required, it will be treated as a change condition.

37. Drawing A-650, Keynotes – 609 & 610; Flammable Storage Cabinet Size & Specification:

Reference to Drawing No. A-650 and Keynotes 609 & 610, Can you please provide the dimension and specification for the Flammable Storage Cabinets shown on 1/A-650 Equipment Plan and Keynotes 609 and 610.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

38. Drawings E-111, E-112, E-115 & E-611; Lighting Fixture Schedules Clarification:

Reference to Drawing E-111, E-112 & E-115 Lighting Plan, the quantities shown on the plans per type of lighting fixtures does not match to the quantities of Light Fixture Schedule on E-115 (CDF-Light Fixture Schedule) & E-611 (Light Fixture Schedule). Please give us direction on which quantities will be followed.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

39. Drawings A131 & 2/A202, Keynotes – 166

Reference to Drawing No. A-131 and Keynotes 166, 12 ea. Downspout are shown on detail 2 & 3/A131 per each thru wall scupper opening while on drawing 2/A-202, there are only 7 ea. of downspouts provided which excludes downspouts running over the louvers and glass blocks areas. Please clarify & confirm that the downspouts shown on 2/A202 “Exterior Elevation – West” drawing will be followed.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

40. Drawing P-104, Keynote – 32; Plumbing Clarification:

Reference to Drawing No. P-104 “Plumbing First Floor Plan Area A”, please clarify what is the keynote 32 shown on Mechanical Room.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

41. Specification Section 11 50 10 Par. 1.4, SD-05 Design Data (pg 5); Engineering Stamp:

Will a WI engineering stamp (or other US) be acceptable?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

42. Specification Section 11 50 10 Par. 2.2.1, Insulated Booth Panel (pg 10):

Will a standard 2 1/2" insulated booth panel, the same as specified for the Component and Blast booths be acceptable for the F22 booth as well? The same panels were reviewed and approved for use at Langley and Tyndall AFB.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

43. Specification Section 11 50 10 Par. 2.2.6, Electrical Classification (pg 10):

Section 11 50 10-2.2.6 specifies the electrical classification within 3' of any door to be Class 1 Div 1. NFPA 33 dictates any area outside of the booth but within 3' of an opening to be Class 1 Div 2. Would Class 1 Div 2 be acceptable for this location?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

44. Specification Section 11 50 10 Par. 2.2.6, Ladders (pg 12):

Section 11 50 10-2.2.6 indicates that all ladders to be hot dipped galvanized. Would painted A706 carbon steel (safety yellow) be acceptable? Similar amendments were made for the Langley and Tyndall AFB equipment as well.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

45. Specification Section 11 50 10 Par. 2.3.3, Duct Mounted Smoke Detectors (pg 13):

Please confirm the requirement for duct mounted smoke detectors with this equipment with no gas fired heating source present. To our knowledge there are no smoke detectors available for Class 1 Div 1/Div 2 locations such as the recirculation ductwork.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

46. Specification Section 11 50 10 Par. 2.3.5g, VOC Detection (pg 14):

Please confirm the requirement for VOC detection. There are currently no provisions required for removal/management of VOCs. This requirement was also removed from the Langley and Tyndall AFB specifications. LEL will be included to satisfy the safety requirements for the recirculation system.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

47. Specification Section 11 50 10 Par. 2.3.14, Fans (pg 19):

The heat gain generated from the recirculation fans and amu supply fan is such that the required air supply temperature (from the amu) to the booth will be 60-65F to maintain the desired 75F within the booth. This leaves very little energy recovery reheat capacity to justify the heat pipe system for the recirculating booth. Can this be removed from the aircraft booth only?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

48. Specification Section 11 50 13 Par. 2.1.2, Filters (pg 4): Would 24" x 24" filters to match the aircraft booth be preferred to reduce the number of stocked parts?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

49. Specification Section 11 50 13 Par. 2.3.1 & 2.3.2, T5 Lights (pg 5): Would T5 lights to match the aircraft booth be preferred to reduce the number of stocked parts?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

50. Specification Section 11 50 19 Par. 2.2.2, Air Velocity Requirement for Dust Booth (pg 4): Please confirm the air velocity requirement for the dust booth. The statement in 2.2.2 (140 fpm) conflicts with 1.4.1.1 (100 fpm)

ANSWER: Response deferred.

51. Specification Section 11 50 19 Par. 2.3.4, Filtration System (pg 4): Please confirm the filtration system required. 2.3.4 (Cartridge Filters) conflicts with 1.4.2 (3 Stage).

ANSWER: Response deferred.

52. We request a four (4) week time extension of the proposal submission. The extension will allow our capacity to offer the best value to the government.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002

53. Amendment 0001 reduced the page limit for Factor 3 – Safety from two (2) single-sided pages to one page. Please allow two pages for Safety or allow two pages for companies submitting as JV or LLC.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002.



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

54. Section 1.1.b.5 requests "current company balance sheets" and Section 1.5.a requests balance sheets "for past three years". Are offerors required to provide balance sheets for past three years (2013, 2014, 2015) and/or more "current" information which would seem to mean for 2016?

**ANSWER:** Provide with your Price Proposal company financial statements (balance sheets and income statements) for the past three years.

55. Section 1.5.c says that the DCMA Form 1620 is attached but we do not find it attached to the RFP. Can you please provide?

**ANSWER:** See Amendment 0002.

56. Bid Form Per the specification Section 00 00 10, Contract Line Item Schedule (Page 1) requires the base bid breakdown to five (5) Bid Item No's listed (0001-0005). However, the bid form per RFI documents, page 3 of the 1442 requires only one line item (001) Base Bid Item for CLIN 001. Please clarify which form should be used for the proposal.

**ANSWER:** Submit price for CLIN 0001 on the Price Proposal Schedule on Page 3 of 3 of the Standard Form 1442. Section 00 00 10 Contract Line Item Schedule has been removed from the solicitation. See Amendment 0002.

57. Amendment #1 calls the Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet Attachment A now. Have the forms changed? The Project Data Sheet in the Solicitation is titled Attachment B.

**ANSWER:** See Amendment 0002.

58. Drawing C-100, Site Demolition Plan – LO/CC/CRS: Drawing C-100 is not showing the items where the keynotes are pointing. Keynote 1 – Remove existing chain link fence, the callout is shown but the chain link fence is not shown. Same for all other keynotes. Please provide a complete C-100 drawing.

**ANSWER:** Response deferred.



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

59. Refer to DOCUMENT 00700, NEGOTIATED CONTRACT CLAUSES 1.24 page 2 of 16.  
(c) Complete the entire work ready for use not later than 540 calendar days after notice to proceed. After thorough and considering RFP requirements such as Phasing, MEC Clearing, Government Relocation, Government Reviews, etc. We feel the 540 calendar days contract duration is not enough. We respectfully request an additional 180 calendar days contract duration for a total of 720 calendar days.

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**

60. Exterior Concrete Wall Coating requires same material as Silicone Elastomeric Roof Coating under spec section 07 57 12. Does exterior concrete wall paint require 60mil overall thickness same as roofing system requires?

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**

61. Spec Section 07 57 12 Silicone Elastomeric roof coating requires solid contents over 90% under the paragraph 2.1.1 Type A Coating, and Drawing I-501 shows sample product as GAF brand, and either GAF or other brand products may not suitable for vertical wall application because it will dripping down.

We are not able to find any silicone product meets 90% solid contents and vertical application availability. Please clarify.

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**

62. Drawings A-301, Keynote 306, Silicone based roofing has high-gloss finish. If this paint applies to all exterior of structure, disturbing glare may be expected to the aircrafts. Please clarify if this issue was considered.

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**

63. Drawing A-421 Detail 4 calls out stainless steel stringer refer to structural. However, we are not able to find related information on structural drawing.  
Please clarify the size of the SSTL channel.

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

64. Drawing A-601 Detail 7 shows the corner guard, please clarify applicable area of the corner guard.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

65. There is a 'price proposal schedule' in SF1442, and there is also a 'contract line item schedule' in specification section 00.00.10. Both are different schedules. Please advise which one do we need to submit in the proposal.

ANSWER: Submit price for CLIN 0001 on the Price Proposal Schedule on Page 3 of 3 of the Standard Form 1442. Section 00 00 10 Contract Line Item Schedule has been removed from the solicitation. See Amendment 0002.

66. Reference Drawing Sheet C-0001 Hazardous Material Demolition Note C and Environmental Report 3\_Soil Screening Survey Report-Revision-19 May2013. After contract award, the contractor is required to collect samples under the slab of existing building to be demolished and analyze for pesticides by the EPA Method 8081 and identify the level of pesticide concentration and restriction of re-use on-site. Please confirm only the testing and sampling cost will be included in the bid offer and in the event the sampling and testing results yielded a concentration of pesticide that exceeded the regulatory criteria, managing pesticide-impacted soil to include shipping off-island for soils exceeding hazardous waste criteria will be handled as a changed condition and a contract modification will be issued by the Government to address this unforeseen condition.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

67. Reference Drawing Sheet C-100 Site Demolition Plan. Key notes identify the work to be demolished but the plan doesn't show the item to be demolished. Please provide site demolition plan that will assist the bidder to quantify the extent of site demolition work.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

68. Specification Section 07 25 00 Building Air Barrier System:

- 1) Does the paint booth require Pressure Testing?
- 2) Does the office area require Pressure Testing?
- 3) If the answer to #1 or #2 is affirmative, please provide the Pressure Testing Design and Pressure Testing Design Specifications

ANSWER: Response deferred.



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

69. Drawing G-100 – Phasing Notes:

Phasing Notes:

Phase 1: After relocation of 734 AMS to new FY12 AMC Complex.  
Is the government responsible for the relocation, if not please advise

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**

70. Temporary Facilities & Staging Area:

Phase 1: If the 734 AMS is not relocated at the time of NTP, temporary facilities and staging area will be located on the north side of taxiway B. Please advise who will provide/prepare the temporary facilities & staging area.

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**

71. Temporary location for CDF/CRF equipments:

- 1) Please provide the list of equipments from CDF/CRF to be relocated.
- 2) Please advise who will relocate the equipments and provide the temporary trailers.

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**

72. According to the specifications and drawings, this project is construction only. Factor 1 Experience, Attachment B, Section (8) and Section (9) requests details on design services provided for the projects. Must the offeror submit design information as well as construction experience on Attachment B Sections (8) and (9)? Does the govt, want us to include a description of the design-effort if the project is design-build?

**ANSWER: See Amendment 0002 for corrected Document 00202 Attachment (A).**

73. REF Sheet C-101, Note G – Note refers to specification section 01 35 40.00 20. This section was not included in the specifications. Please provide spec section for review.

**ANSWER: Response deferred.**



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

74. REF G-101 – Note A – Is there a specific method required for closing of the existing injection wells. Please provide detail on the Government’s expectation for “closing” the injection wells.

**ANSWER:** Response deferred.

75. REF Sheet C-100 – All line work is missing within the dotted line area. Please re-issue complete drawing.

**ANSWER:** Response deferred.

76. REF Spec Section 01 57 19.00 20 PARA 1.4 – Can the government, as the permit holder, provide more specific information on whether or not air permit modification application will need to be made? Will work be able to commence without the application in place?

**ANSWER:** Response deferred.

77. It is unclear if the Hazardous storage cabinets in Rooms 115 & 116 are included in the scope of work. If so, please provide a specification or preferred vendor with model number.

**ANSWER:** Response deferred.

78. Will a new construction of large, long span, heavy structural steel and concrete framed aircraft hangar be considered acceptable? Please advise.

**ANSWER:** Response deferred.

79. Document 00100 Instructions to Proposers 1.1. Number of Copies/Time of Receipt  
Proposal Submission Date: The submission date for the technical (Factors 1, 2 and 3), Price, Subcontracting Plan and electronic copy shall be submitted on June 30 2016, 2:00 p.m. Hawaii Standard Time.

We respectfully request a 4-weeks time extension to the current submission date of June 30, 2016. The current submission date of June 30, 2016 is insufficient time for us to come out with a competitive bid and best value proposal to the Government.

**ANSWER:** See Amendment 0002.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

80. Can the government send more information of the type (make, model, O&M manual) for the batch plant?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

81. Who owns the batch plant on base?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

82. Who is responsible for maintaining/repairing the batch plant in case of mechanical failure?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

83. Since the site visit was only one week prior to bid date, would the government entertain extending the bid due date?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

84. CLIN Schedule in the solicitation document is different the CLIN schedule in Volume 1 of the specifications, Section 00 00 10. Please clarify which CLIN schedule the contractor is supposed to use in submitting their bid.

ANSWER: Submit price for CLIN 0001 on the Price Proposal Schedule on Page 3 of 3 of the Standard Form 1442. Section 00 00 10 Contract Line Item Schedule has been removed from the solicitation. See Amendment 0002.

85. Drawing C-111, Site Plan – CDF/CRF: Dwg C-111 calls for new chainlink security fence that will be connected to the existing fence. During the site visit, there was no existing fence found. Please indicate on the plan the connection location of the old and new fence to determine the length of new chainlink fence required.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

86. Specification Section 07 57 12 Liquid Applied Elastomeric Silicone Roofing: Confirm if ceramic granules, stated on Section 07 57 12, page 9, Item 2.3, for Liquid Applied Elastomeric Silicone Roofing is only applicable to roof deck and will not be applicable on exterior walls, parapet, etc.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

87. Architectural Drawing Sheet A-412, Detail 1 and 2: Provide specification for waterproofing membrane reflected on Detail 1 & 2 on sheet A-412. Provide key plan indicating the extent/area where waterproofing is required.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

88. Specification 09 90 00, part 3.13.1, says to refer to Section 07 57 12 for exterior concrete paint. Spec Section 07 57 12 is for Liquid Applied Elastomeric Silicone Roofing with ceramic granules. Please clarify if exterior concrete walls are to receive ceramic granules as part of the elastomeric silicone coating.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

89. Specification 01 57 19.00 20, section 3.2.2.1, states the Contractor is to submit a NPDES Storm Water Program Notice of Intent (NOI) and site-specific SWPPP to the Contracting Officer for review and approval at least 30-days prior to start of construction activities and within 30-days after contract award. Furthermore, it states that the Contracting Officer will review the submittal and Contractor shall not be allowed to begin ground or soil disturbing activity without the Navy-approved SWPPP and general permit coverage. Please specify the time period in which the Contracting Officer will review and approve the NOI and site-specific SWPPP submittal.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

90. Please clarify as to the where the current CDF/CRF operations are that will move to renovated Bldg 18013 in Phase 2.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

91. The Hazardous Material Survey Report performed by Myounghee Noh & Associates, dated June 26, 2012, lists suspected ACM locations that were visually assessed, however, samples were not taken. Please confirm the Contractor is to assume the suspected items are confirmed to have ACM and is to include abatement of those items.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

92. Drawing I-501 shows Corner Guards are to be in the Admin area from top to bottom of the wall yet the Interior nor Architectural drawings show them in these areas. Please advise if we are to assume all corners are to have a corner guard in the Admin area.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

93. Fire Extinguishers and cabinets are not shown on the documents. Please advise.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

94. Reference Probe and Gout on Sheet S-100. Please provide the required diameter of the probe holes. Also, please clarify as to the volume of grout that is to be assumed – theoretical volume, or theoretical volume plus an additional percentage.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

95. For Munitions and Explosives of Concern (MEC) please clarify means and methods required. Specifically, is the Modification of Chief of Naval Operations Explosives Safety Exemption for Commander, Joint Region Marianas (dtd 09MAY16) the latest guidance for MEC removal?

This project site has limited laydown area available for MEC removal performance and active construction projects directly adjacent on both sides of the project site. Where will the material handling sites on AAFB be made available to the contractor?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

96. Is the hangar door system intended to be integral to the paint booth system in the large aircraft workshop/bay?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

97. Will swing space be required for military personnel displaced by the building that requires renovation/modification?

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

98. Sheet: C-100 contains a series of Keynotes pointing to various items, but the drawing is missing the items being pointed to. Please provide a new Sheet: C-100 reflecting all applicable information.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

99. During the Site Visit, access to Buildings: 18019, 18018, and 18075 was not allowed. Please provide as-built drawings of existing facilities as to properly understand the full extent of this work.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

100. For buildings to demolished, is there any specific equipment inside these buildings that will be salvaged by the government? If yes, please provide the list of equipment to be salvaged.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

101. Are there base exterior and appearance standards for Anderson AFB which apply to this scope of work? If so, please provide.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

102. Are Hazardous Material Surveys available for the existing facilities? If so, please provide.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

103. Will as-built drawings for B-18013 be provided?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

104. C-001 General Notes: "Archaeological Monitoring is required during all earthwork operations". Please clarify the extent of archaeological monitoring required for this project. Does the government require an archaeologist to be full-time at the job site during all earthwork operations?

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

105. A-451, Detail 2: “139 - High performance coating on the steel pipe column” refer to the spec section 09 96 00 HIGH PERFORMANCE COATING.

Is this item only the one requires high performance coating? Please clarify if there are any other items.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

106. Many key elements of our proposal are dependent upon information from the Government in an upcoming addenda. For this reason, we would like to request a 30 day extension from the time Government responses are provided.

ANSWER: See Amendment 0002.

107. There are several openings that are indicated to have blast ratings (per remark #9). Both the Blast Resistant Window/Panels 08 56 63 specs and 08 11 13 Steel doors and frames specs reference the blast doors and frames. The door schedule (A 6-11) indicates, per the material column, that they are aluminum. However, the head and jamb details (5/A-621 and 4/A621) indicate they are hollow metal. Please confirm the material of the blast doors and frames.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

108. 08 14 000 Wood Doors - The specs call for factory finish, the door schedule says paint, and the finish schedule on I -501 , under ST-1, lists a wood stain for wood doors. Please confirm the correct finish.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

109. CDF/CRF – Opening C102C is indicated on A-611, Remark 4 “existing door to remain.” The existing door is a double door. Please provide information for the new door, frame and hardware set that will be required.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

110. CDF/CRF – Openings C114B, and C114C are indicated on A-611, Remarks 4 “existing door to remain.” Per demo drawings A-010 and A-103 they appear to be new openings. Please provide information for the new doors, frames and hardware sets that will be required.

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

111. Coatings Restoration Bay Booth Section 11 50 10:

Section 11 50 10, page 10, Part 2.2.1 Construction which states “Insulation value of panels to be a min of R-13”. Our standard foam core panel utilizes dual 20 ga. skins, is 2” thick and has an insulation value of R-14. Would these be acceptable?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

112. Component Paint Spray Booth Section 11 50 13:

Section 11 50 13, page 4, Part 2.1.1 Spray Booth Construction states “Dual skin, galvanized sheet metal (painted white) with a 63mm (2 ½”) insulated core”. Also no R-value is specified. Are we to refer to Section 11 50 10, page 10, Part 2.2.1 Construction which states “Insulation value of panels to be a min of R-13”? Are the previously referenced 2” thick panels noted above acceptable?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

113. Component Plastic Media Blast Booth Section 11 50 16:

Section 11 50 16, page 4, Part 2.1 Acceptable Manufacturer states “Manufactured by Global Finishing Solutions or prior approved equal”. Please provide us with your listing of prior approved equals.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

114. Component Plastic Media Blast Booth Section 11 50 16:

Section 11 50 16, page 4, Part 2.2.1 PMB Booth Construction states “Booth chambers and plenums to be constructed of un-painted 0.9 mm (20 gauge) dual skin, galvanized sheet steel with a 63 mm (2-1/2 inches) insulated core.” No R-value is given. Are we to refer to Section 11 50 10, page 10, Part 2.2.1 Construction which states “Insulation value of panels to be a min of R-13”? Are the previously referenced 2” thick panels noted above acceptable?

ANSWER: Response deferred.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

115. Component Plastic Media Blast Booth Section 11 50 16:

Section 11 50 16, page 1, Part 1.1 Summary states “PMB storage hopper, PMB on-floor collection system”. We would like clarification of what size storage hopper, in cubic feet, is required and if more than one hopper is required. We also need clarification as to what type of “PMB on-floor collection system” is requested, for example mechanical or pneumatic? Single Screw Reclaim Floor, H-Shaped Partial Reclaim Floor, U-Shaped Partial Reclaim Floor, Full Floor Reclaim System? Are we to assume that we provide the same blast equipment that GFS would provide with their approved booth? We assume the blast equipment is not actually manufactured by GFS. Please provide us with a list of prior approved blast equipment manufacturers along with your component selections.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

116. Sanding and Dust Booth Section 11 50 19:

Section 11 50 19, page 4, Part 2.1 Acceptable Manufacturer states “Manufactured by Global Finishing Solutions or prior approved equal”. Please provide us with your listing of prior approved equals.

ANSWER: Response deferred.

117. Sanding and Dust Booth Section 11 50 19:

Section 11 50 19, page 1, Part 1.1 and page 2, Part 2.2.2. For clarity, please refer to these as Option 1 and Option 2. Please advise which one of these is appropriate?

Option 1: “Work Included: Furnish and install a parts cross draft paint booth that shall include a bridge style supply air plenum with intake air filters, industrial style exhaust plenum with three-stage particulate exhaust filtration, make-up air-handling unit and exhaust fan(s). Furnish and install with control panel and exhaust fan speed controller.” Airflow is 100 fpm with the only filtration to be normal paint booth supply filters and NESHAP exhaust filters. It is our opinion that this is not likely what you want.

Option 2: “Air shall be supplied to the dust booth from the surrounding shop space. No dedicated air replacement system is required.” This is a cross draft style booth however no AMU, supply filters or NESHAP exhaust filters are specified. The booth would pull air from the adjoining space to create a booth airflow velocity of 140 fpm. Based on drawing A-650 Symbol H, it would appear you are requiring 6 Torit cartridge style, self-cleaning, HEPA filter units. This type



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PACIFIC  
258 MAKALAPA DR., STE. 100  
PEARL HARBOR, HI 96860-3134

of equipment normally filters the air to a level and recirculates back into the adjoining space. Your drawing indicates Torit Dust Collectors, are other similar dust collectors acceptable?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

118. Drawing A-101: Is the booth located at marker 114 Pre-manufactured Parts Sanding Booth? We did not see a dedicated label "Sanding and Dust Booth" as we did with the other three booths. Is this the Sanding and Dusting Booth?

ANSWER: Response deferred.

119. You have referenced GFS as an acceptable manufacturer for the PMB Booth and the Sanding and Dust Booth but generally speaking, not enough performance criteria has been provided to allow us to make the proper selections of blast and sanding equipment to best suit your needs.

ANSWER: Response deferred.