

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
1	2-Mar-15	Page 16, Paragraph (2)	The solicitation states that the JV prime has one relevant project or each member of the JV has relevant experience. Do you mean either party not both? A small disabled vet business has established the JV to provide expertise where they lack. Please clarify if it can be either or, but not both.	As stated on page 15 and 16 of the RFP, "If each member of the JV does not have at least one relevant seed construction experience project then the Offeror will be considered unacceptable."	N	
2	2-Mar-15	Page 16, Paragraph (2)	This is a unique project with all of its elements. Will other window replacement with past experience in multiple and complex projects suffice?	Window replacement projects that are \$250K or higher will be considered relevant. Please refer to Amendment 0003.	Y	0003
3	2-Mar-15	Page 16, Paragraph (2)	The proposed bidder has projects of similarity from \$47,000 to \$400,000. Will this suffice due to none of the building as standalone will be \$500,000?	Refer to PPI#2.	N	
4	5-Mar-15	Pg 12 of 85 (a) Price (ii) Executed DD1155	The solicitation documents refers to providing an executed DD1155 however I do not see this form provided in the solicitation documents. Can you please provide?	The DD1155 is provided at the same location as the solicitation. A link to the DD 1155 is provided as follows: https://www.neco.navy.mil/upload/N69450/N6945015R1090DD_1155.pdf Any changes to the DD1155 will be issued via an amendment and will be posted to the same location as the amendment.	N	
5	6-Mar-15	SDVOSB Certification	If I Self Certify in SAM as a SDVOSB does that qualify my company for a SDVOSB Set Aside or must I be certified by another agency???? If so which agency...?	For DOD contracts, SDVOSB certification is a self-certification in SAM.	N	
6	6-Mar-15		Bid bond is solely for the "seed" project - 20% or \$3m whichever > is less. Is there a minimum bonding capacity required for the MACC contract?	Yes. Please refer to Amendment 0003.	Y	0003

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
7	10-Mar-15		During the site visit it was notes some of the buildings were not occupied. Please confirm if any or all buildings will be fully or partially occupied during construction and or will phasing be required for each building?	See Specification Section 01 11 00.00 25, section 1.6 and specification section 01 14 00.00 25, sections 1.2 and 1.3.4. See attached amendment for a modification to paragraph 1.3.4. Specification Section 01 14 00.0025, section 1.3.4, paragraph 4: Delete: "Relocate movable furniture approximately 6 feet away from the Contractor's working area, protect the furniture, and replace the furniture in its original location upon completion of the work." Replace With: "Furniture shall be relocated by the user approximately 6 feet away from the Contractor's work area. Contractor shall coordinate the scheduling of the removal and protect the furniture during construction."	Y	0003
8	10-Mar-15		Buildings 606 and 627 – Is it the intention to remove all widows per the notes on the demo drawings? If so, the architectural drawings do not mention replacing removed windows. There is a general specification in the specs but each building (in the entire project – all 6) is different and there is only one set of specs. Are all windows to be removed from these buildings and if so what are we to replace them with.	All windows to be removed are identified in the architectural drawings with accompanying "Key Notes - Demolition." The "Window Schedule" identifies the number, size and material type of the windows to be replaced or repaired. Specification Division 08 provides additional information for the replacement windows.	N	
9	10-Mar-15		Each Building has a window schedule. It is very unclear which windows are to remain, which windows are to be repaired and which windows are to be removed and replaced. If we assume the windows in the Schedule are to be removed and replaced – would that be a correct assumption?	All windows to be removed are identified in the architectural drawings with accompanying "Key Notes - Demolition." The "Window Schedule" identifies the number, size and material type of the windows to be replaced or repaired. Specification Division 08 provides additional information for the replacement windows.	N	
10	10-Mar-15		Building 627 – On the south side of the building, the windows appear to be aluminum, do any of these windows or caulking contain asbestos or lead?	Specifications Division 02 includes the asbestos and lead survey. See amendment for additional information.	Y	

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
11	10-Mar-15		Can we get a better scope of work for each building? The scopes in the RFP are very generic. The specifications are general and supposedly apply to all the buildings on the project (but each building has different windows). Can we get something that states how many windows need to be removed and replaced and how many need repaired?	The construction drawings identify each window to be removed or repaired. Details of new windows are provided for each building.	N	
12	10-Mar-15		The only scope of work we have found is from the "Key Notes" and "General Notes", is there a more detailed scope of work? This is a very complicated project to leave so much of the scope ambiguous	The construction drawings identify the scope for each window. See key notes.	N	
13	10-Mar-15		The window specification only mentions Aluminum windows. Are all windows being replaced to be aluminum? I see Wood Clad Aluminum and Storm Windows – Spec?	See Spec Section 08 52 00, Part 2.2 Wood Windows, paragraph 2.4.2 Aluminum Cladding. See Specification 08 51 69.10 Aluminum Storm Windows.	N	
14	10-Mar-15		Bldg 40 – Do the metal bars inside the building contain lead? Can they be removed and returned to the Government without doing lead remediation?	See Lead and Asbestos Survey Summary Table pages 3 and 7 in Specification Section 02 82 16.00 20.	N	
15	10-Mar-15		Bldg 40 – The Window Schedule states that the replacement windows are to be Aluminum Clad. Is there a specific manufacturer you would like us to use to get an Aluminum Clad Window that meets anti-terrorism specs? Does one exist?			
16	10-Mar-15		Bldg 40 – Do all windows contain lead? Note 40-D26 makes it seem as if there is only one window requiring abatement. Environmental survey shows multiple windows with lead. Are all windows to be remediated or removed by environmental contractor? Or can they be removed by the GC as C&D – except for the window mentioned in Note 40 D26?	See Amendment 0003.	Y	0003
17	10-Mar-15		Bldg 38 - The environmental survey indicates all windows contain lead paint while 38-AD-101A makes it seem as if there are only a few "casings" containing lead – Key Note 38-D-35. Can the GC remove all windows as C&D except for the windows mentioned in Key Note 38-D-35?	See response to PPI#16	N	

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
18	10-Mar-15		Bldg 38 – The Window Schedule calls for Storm Windows, what spec should we use for this	Please see Specifications Section 08 51 69.10 Aluminum Storm Windows.	N	
19	10-Mar-15		Bldg 38 – The window specs call for Anti-Terrorism rating for the windows. Do you want this on the Storm Windows as well? Is there a manufacturer of such a window?	See Sheet 38-S-100, Note G3.2.C	N	
20	10-Mar-15		Bldg 38 – Since the windows do not appear to have to be removed, must all the lead paint be removed or can we just clean and prep them for the installation of the Storm Windows?			
21	10-Mar-15		The term Clean and Prep is used throughout the Plans. Can you define what is meant by this? Does it mean that ALL lead paint must be removed or can it just be cleaned per the Cleaning Procedure in the Specifications?			
22	10-Mar-15		Clean and Prep is used on every building in the Demolition drawings. There is never any mention of Painting what we have cleaned and prepared. Is there a scope for the painting?			
23	10-Mar-15		Not really and RFI but an email from a sub – If you expect us to complete the painting on this job, we will need something letting us know what they want painted and with what? There is a paint spec but no paint schedule. We will not be bidding this if we have to guess at what we are doing.			

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
24	10-Mar-15		Where it states Prepare for Finish, does that mean all lead paint must be removed			
25	10-Mar-15		Bldg 73 – The scope of work on this Bldg is tremendous. It would take MUCH more time than an extra 60 days. There is some major fabrication work on this project. The design of that and working with the fabricators would take 60 days. This would need at least 120 days if not 180.	Per the RFP, buildings can be worked concurrently. Option buildings will be awarded with 90 days of contract award.	N	
26	16-Mar-15		I request an extension of time to submit bid.			
27	16-Mar-15		Please provide me the governments estimates for the SEED projects. Buildings #38, 40, 73, 223, 606, and 627.	The project magnitude for each project is provided under Section 0150 Part 1.4.1.	N	
28	16-Mar-15		Can additional site visits be made with or without escort.	An additional organized site visit will not be held.	N	
29	16-Mar-15		Are you requiring full lead abatement or will RRP certification for safe work practices be allowed for removal of components and re-installation.			
30	16-Mar-15	SF1442, # 13A - Page 12 3.(a) (1)	The SF1442 states to submit offers in original and 4 copies, while Page 12 says to provide one original, one copy and one electronic copy. Which is correct?	The SF 1442 is referring to the Non-Cost/Price Factors which require one (1) original, three (3) copies, and one (1) electronic copy (CD). Page 12 refers to the Price Proposal.	N	
31	16-Mar-15	Para 1.6	Is it acceptable for an offeror to use predecessor experience of key personnel (a principal) to fulfill the relevant experience requirement described under Factor 1?			

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
32	16-Mar-15	Para 1.6	Is it acceptable for a JV offeror to utilize the experience of a key subcontractor (with a teaming agreement in place including letter of commitment and explanation of involvement) to fulfill the Seed Construction Project Experience requirement? Will the use of a subcontractor supercede the requirement for both members of the JV being required to demonstrate the experience?			
33	16-Mar-15	Para 1.6	Does each member of a JV Offeror need to submit a project that exceeds \$1.5m to be deemed acceptable under General Construction Experience, or can one member provide both of the \$1.5m + projects, while the other submits experience that is over \$500k?	One member can provide both of the \$1.5m + projects while the other submits experience that is over \$500k.	N	
34	17-Mar-15	Para 1.6 - 3(a)(v)	In the Solicitation, Page 13 of 85, The Basis of Evaluation for the Price Proposal part (v) requires a FAPIIS Certification. We are unaware of how to get certified through FAPIIS. We were informed that FAPIIS is populated from CPARS (PPIRS) and SAM and is for Government use, not for contractor use. What type of FAPIIS Certification are you looking for under this evaluation requirement? This requirement references FAR 52.209-7 which we have completed certifications for in SAM, and have also completed the certification within the 00600 section of the solicitation documents. Is this certification within the representations and certifications section 00600 or is there an actual certification process through FAPIIS? Please clarify as to what documentation we are to submit for this evaluation requirement.	Per FAR 52.209-7, if the offeror has current active Federal contracts and grants with total value greater than \$10,000,000, then they shall ensure FAPIIS Certification. Paragraph (d) of the clause states the offeror shall post the information in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) of this provision in FAPIIS as required through maintaining an active registration in the System for Award Management database via https://www.acquisition.gov (see 52.204-7).	N	
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						
58						

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
59						
60						
61						
62						
63						
64						
65						
66						
67						
68						
69						