

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
1	20-May-15		Can a list of the prime bidders selected to proceed to phase 2 be provided to all prime bidders?	A list of the selected offerors will not be provided, however, the Plan Holder List on NECO may have a list. Additionally, the Pre-Proposal Conference Attendance Roster will be posted with Amendment 0002.	N	NA
2	20-May-15		This project is a little different than the majority of the federal design build projects in that typically a 35% drawing is provided w/ certain distinct solutions for design requirements. This project (as it was stated explicitly at the prebid) will require extensive design and modeling work on the front end of the project. Would the Gov consider providing a stipend to all unsuccessful offerors that submit on Phase 2 to compensate for design work? We have seen this process used on various other federal 2 phase rfp design build projects with similar requirements.	Unsuccessful offerors will not be compensated for design work.	N	NA
3	20-May-15		Can the bid submission time be extended by a minimum of 30 days? The extensive modeling required will be difficult to complete in the current window let alone design and pricing.	The Government granted a 30 day time extension. New submittal date is August 11, 2015.	Y	#3
4	20-May-15		Would the Government consider amending the past performance criteria of the \$10M single project potable water projects?	At this time, the past performance criteria is not changing. If it is changed, it will be posted in an amendment and uploaded to NECO.	N	NA
5	1-Jun-15	SF 1442 Page 1 of 75 (section 13 line a)	It was discussed at the walk thru meeting that the government would consider granting additional time to complete the proposal if the contractors felt this was necessary. Please consider granting a 45 day extension in order to give all respondents adequate time to complete all required modeling, initial design, pricing, site investigation, and all other task related to presenting government with the best possible proposal.	See response to Question #3.	Y	#3
6	1-Jun-15	General	Please consider a request for a bid extension of one month.	See response to Question #3.	Y	#3
7	1-Jun-15	Part 6	The model files provided in Part 6 of the RFP were created in KYPipe software. This software is not widely used. Please provide files for use with Innovyze or WaterGEMS by Bentley.	Confirmed. Model input/output files are not included in the page count.	N	NA
8	1-Jun-15		We would like to request a two-week extension, with a proposed new due date of July 23, 2015.	See response to Question #3.	Y	#3
9	1-Jun-15	Pages 18 and 19 of 75 of RFP N69450-15-R-1605; (B) Phase Two Non-Cost/Price Evaluation Factors (1) Factor 5, Technical Solution -	Please confirm that the Model Input / Output files are not included in the page count. Hydraulic models typically run multiple pages. May the model input/output files be appended to the proposal?	Confirmed. Model input/output files are not included in the page count.	N	NA
10	1-Jun-15	Pages 18 and 19 of 75 of RFP N69450-15-R-1605; (B) Phase Two Non-Cost/Price Evaluation Factors (1) Factor 5, Technical Solution -	Please confirm that the Schedule is not included in the 20-page limitation for the two subsections. To adequately show the durations and sequencing, we believe the schedule will be at least 2 pages for Domestic Potable Water and 2 pages for Flightline Non-Potable.	Confirmed. Schedule is not included in page limitation.	N	NA
11	1-Jun-15	Pages 18 and 19 of 75 of RFP N69450-15-R-1605; (B) Phase Two Non-Cost/Price Evaluation Factors (1) Factor 5, Technical Solution -	May we include one schedule for the full 572 days rather than breaking the schedule out by Domestic Potable Water and Flightline Non-Potable Water? There will be overlap in the schedule, and we believe it will be easier for the reviewers.	One consolidated schedule for all work is acceptable.	N	NA

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
12	1-Jun-15	Pages 18 & 19 - Schematic Design	Please confirm that the schematic design / diagrams files are not included in the page count. How many pages are we limited to for the schematic design / diagrams? Typically, the number of diagrams/schematics are limited and are in addition to the page limited portion of the narrative.	Confirmed, schematic design/diagrams not included in page count. Page limit for schematic design/diagrams is 15 pages. (Amendment forthcoming.)	Y	#4
13	1-Jun-15	Price Proposal -- Volume 1 of 2, Page 7 of 21, item 14	Paragraph 14. Schedule of Prices indicates that forms will be furnished by the Government. The only price form we have seen is Section 00010 - Solicitation Contract Form, and the RFP for Phase 2 does not indicate where that should be included, and where the additional breakdown pricing requested in Paragraph 14 is to be included in the proposal. Please provide.	Schedule of Prices is a post award requirement. Contractor is to use form furnished in Section 00010- Solicitation Contract Form to submit their price proposal.	N	NA
14	1-Jun-15	Part 3, Section D4010, Page 14 of 31	Part 3, Section D4010, Page 14 of 31, states: "Provide a fire alarm system in the new fire protection pump house. The system shall include an addressable Monaco FACP/radio transmitter, notification devices, manual pull stations, smoke detectors, and supervision of the sprinkler system and fire pumps." It is our experience with Monaco FACP's do not meet the operational needs of the DoD requirements. UFC 3-600-01 now requires voice notification for all fire alarm systems. The Monaco panels are not designed to this standard and require a separate voice panel be added to the system. Is it acceptable to provide an alternate brand of fire alarm control panel that is capable of voice messaging and is equipped with a Monaco transmitter that will work with the <u>existing basewide fire alarm reporting system</u> ?	Follow RFP requirements. Mass notification system not required.	N	NA
15	1-Jun-15	Part 2, Section D4010, Page 14 of 31	UFC 3-600-01 does not require smoke detector, please confirm that smoke detection is not required throughout the pump house.	Provide smoke detector per RFP, Section 4010.	N	NA
16	1-Jun-15	Part 2, Section D4020, Page 15 of 31 and Part 3, Section G301002 Page 25 of 31	There appears to be a conflict between Section D4020 and Section G 301002. D4020 calls for 12", 14" and 20" CPVC fire mains. Section G 301002 calls for all pipe sections to be 12" ductile iron. Which section takes precedent?	ESR D4020 FIRE SUPPRESSION WATER SUPPLY AND EQUIPMENT and ESR G301002 WATER DISTRIBUTION PIPING: Water mains deeper than 10 feet or larger than 12 inches in diameter shall be C905 DR18 with a pressure rating of 235PSI with mechanically restrained joints. (Amendment forthcoming.)	Y	#4
17	1-Jun-15	Part 3, Section G4030, Page 31 or 31	How does the fiber optic cabling for fire protection control systems link to the system wide site communication and security? Is it intended to operate the fire pumps remotely?	There is no fiber optic cabling required. No remote operation of fire pumps required. (Amendment is forthcoming.)	Y	#4
18	1-Jun-15	N69450-15-R-1605 SF2_Water_Study_Nar rative	How is the ECMS intended to tie in to basewide remote control and monitoring capabilities?	No ECMS tie is required in RFP.	N	NA
19	1-Jun-15	Part 3, Section 1.3 MINIMUM PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS, Fourth Bullet (page 3 of 31)	In order to update the hydraulic model, please provide the terms of the Customer Agreements with the Belle Chasse Water District (BCWD) in terms of quantity (average day, maximum day, and peak hour), as well as the firm pressure commitments (minimum and maximum) of water flow provided by the BCWD.	BCWD shall have 700 gallons per minute of water available at any time at the point of delivery at a pressure of not less than 40 pounds per square inch gauge for not less than 90 continuous minutes.(added to part 6. Attachments - Water Service Specifications) Amendment forthcoming.	Y	#4

The PPI log is for informational purposes only. It does not amend the RFP. If a revision to the RFP is required, a formal amendment will be issued.

PPI #	Question Date	RFP Section/ Page/Paragraph	Question	Government Response	Change RFP (Y/N)	Amend #
20	1-Jun-15	Part 3, Section 1.3 MINIMUM PERFORMANCE	Will the current and proposed Belle Chasse Water District upgrades to the treatment plant affect the guaranteed minimum chlorine residual identified?	No.	N	NA
21	1-Jun-15	Volume 1 of 2, Small Project Part 2, Page 9 of 21, Item 18	Please confirm there are no specific Federal Permits required. We understand we are responsible for NPDES, local and state permits as required. The PROD referred to with a list was not found.	Confirmed. No specific Federal Permits required at this time.	N	NA
22	1-Jun-15	Volume 2 of 2, Small Project Part 3, Page 9 of 31, Item 3.7	We note that the sixty day residual chlorine testing for domestic potable water is shown to be June 1-Sept 30, with the system ready for testing by August 1, 2016. Given that the anticipated NTP must slip, as the proposal is not due until 9 July 2015, may the testing begin at times other than August 1, 2016?	No change to RFP.	N	NA
23	1-Jun-15	Prebid Meeting Comment, and Small Project Part 2, Attachment A, Page 2 of 6	During the PreProposal Meeting, it was noted that construction may not begin until plans are 100% approved. Page 2 of 6 in Attachment A indicates that the final design must be sealed by the DOR. Item 1a of Attachment A indicates that separate design packages will be considered for Government review and approval during the Post Award Kick-Off Meeting. To facilitate the schedule requirements, we would like to propose multiple Critical Design Packages as defined by NAVFAC Design-Build and the Design-Build Institute of America during our proposal rather than waiting until the Post Award Kick-off Meeting. Is this acceptable?	Yes, critical path submittals are acceptable; however, any additional review meetings/travel to support additional submittals will be at no additional cost to the Government.	N	NA
24	1-Jun-15	Part 4, Section 4020, page 11 of 22	The RFP requires the Fire Protection DOR to conduct a flow test to validate the available water supply when designing the sprinkler system for the fire pump house. Since we are replacing the pumps that will provide water to this sprinkler system under this project, please confirm that this flow test is not necessary. Using flow data from tests performed on the existing system would result in inaccurate design data.	Confirmed. Flow test not necessary.	N	NA
25	1-Jun-15	Fire Flow Demands Fire_Protection _ Calculations page 32	Are we to assume that the Fire Protection Calculations provided in the PDF are to be the basis for the demands?	Yes.	N	NA
26	1-Jun-15	Fire Flow Demands Fire_Protection _ Calculations page 50	Please provide the space allowances in the existing pump house footprint so can calculate the replacement strategy to be compliant with UFC 3-600-01	Follow NFPA guidelines for pump space allowances.	N	NA
27	1-Jun-15	Fire Flow Demands	It is typically considered advisable to conduct a Surge Analysis as part of the design. Is NAVFAC expecting that the Offeror conduct the Surge Analysis during preparation of the proposal?	Yes, provide surge analysis. (Amendment forthcoming.)	Y	#4
28						