

**Responses to Industry Day Bidders Questions for
N00024-13-R-4304 (Planning Yard Contract) and N00024-13-R-4305 (Sustainment Execution Contract)**

Question #	Solicitation	Question	Response
1	PY	The Draft SOW Item 0006 refers to the "Product Model."	
1	PY	a. Are there product models for each variant? Will the product model(s) be provided as GFI to the contractor?	Yes, Product Models to some degree were developed but will not necessarily be provided as GFI. This will be determined prior to release of the RFP.
1	PY	b. What program/applications are used?	ShipConstructor 2010.
1	PY	c. Are there any software licensing requirements? If so, will licenses be provided by the Government?	A ShipConstructor 2010 software license is required. The Government will not provide licensing.
1	PY	d. Are there any product model direct access requirements to the Government that must be provided?	No.
2	PY	Will the shipbuilders' design and logistics data be provided as GFI in an editable (vice .pdf) format?	Design and logistics data associated with the specific contract requirements will be provided. The degree to which each piece of information is or is not editable is unknown at this time. However, all data for LCS 5 and follow is contracted to be supplied in editable format.
3	PY	Will the awardee be directed to participate in a Navy-owned/managed Integrated Data Environment (IDE) or be required to establish one? What are the required access control and storage volume requirements?	The Contractor(s) will be required to participate in established Navy IDEs to the maximum extent possible. This will improve data visibility and reduce overhead costs. Specific IDE requirements will be published with the RFP.
4	PY	Is there a Navy-directed place of performance?	No, for the Planning Yard solicitation. However, an on-site representative (OSR) or team must be established (or bolstered) in the ships' initial homeport, San Diego, CA.
5	PY	SOW Section C item 0001: Is it the Government's intent to have the Planning Yard plan and schedule Planned Maintenance (PM), Corrective Maintenance (CM) and Modernization during P/CMAVs and CNO Availabilities?	Yes, it is the Government's intent to have the Planning Yard plan and schedule PM, CM, and Modernization during P/CMAV's and CNO Availabilities.
6	PY	SOW Section C Item 0001: Is it the Government's intent for the Planning Yard to monitor maintenance and modernization work execution and coordinate Contractor access during Availabilities?	Yes, it is the Government's intent for the Planning Yard to monitor maintenance and modernization work execution and to coordinate access and ensure adequate time is scheduled for accomplishment of SEC efforts during Availabilities.
7	PY	SOW Section C Item 0001: Is it the Government's intent to have the Planning Yard as its Material Management Agent for both Maintenance and Modernization?	Not exclusively; both the winning SEC and PY contractors will be required to procure some level of material in the performance of the contract.
8	PY	SOW Section C, Item 0006, Page 3: Is it the Government's intent to have the Planning Yard maintain, update and develop Reliability Cost Models, Planned Maintenance Optimization Models and other Reliability Centric Modeling?	Yes, it is the Government's intent to have the Planning Yard develop, maintain, and update Reliability Cost Models, Planned Maintenance Optimization Models and other Reliability Centric Modeling.
9	PY	SOW Section C, Item 0002, Page 2: Is it the Government's intent to have the Planning Yard utilize a Condition Based Maintenance approach to collect equipment condition data, analyze data and submit change documents to Maintenance Requirement Cards (MRC) and IMCP tasks in the form of Technical Feed Back Reports (TFBR) to reduce maintenance wherever beneficial?	The LCS Program is employing a CBM approach. The PY will be required to submit relevant TFBRs, as deemed appropriate by the terms and conditions of the contract.
10	SEC	SOW Section C, Page 3, Paragraph 6.a.(12): Is it the Government's intent to have the Planning Yard or Execution Activity perform Work Order Form (WAF) and Tag-Out Full-Services?	The SEC Contractor will coordinate efforts with the Government to perform WAF and Tag-Out services.
11	SEC	SOW Section C, Page 3, Paragraph 6.b.: Is the function of Maintenance Planning a requirement of the Planning Yard or Execution Activity?	Due to the intricate nature of the work, both activities will be required to work together to plan and then execute maintenance effectively and efficiently.
12	SEC	SOW Section C, Page 24, Paragraph 1.f.: ISEA Support would appear to be more of a Planning Yard function than an Execution Activity responsibility. Is it the Government's intent to task ISEA support under the Planning Yard or Execution Activity SOW?	It is the Government's intent that the Planning Yard will manage overall system configuration and ship change planning, and support Government ISEA functions. Primary ISEA functions will be performed by the Government or assigned to the Sustainment Execution Contract team, based upon the specific system.

**Responses to Industry Day Bidders Questions for
N00024-13-R-4304 (Planning Yard Contract) and N00024-13-R-4305 (Sustainment Execution Contract)**

13	SEC	SOW Section C, page 2, Paragraph 6a(9): Is it the Government's intent to indicate that ABS requirements are applicable to the program and all engineering drawings and other previous applicable ABS requirements are part of this contract?	No, it is not the Government's intent to indicate that ABS requirements are applicable to the program and all engineering drawings and other previous applicable ABS requirements are part of this contract.
14	PY/SEC	Are there any preclusions to awarding both the Planning Yard (N00024-13-R-4304) and Execution (N00024-13-R-4305) contracts to the same contractor due to OCI or other issues?	No, there is no per se preclusion to awarding both the Planning Yard (N00024-13-R-4304) and Execution (N00024-13-R-4305) contracts to the same contractor. However, the Government intends to include standard FAR and NAVSEA OCI clauses in the RFPs and the resulting contracts.
15	PY	What is the projected timeline for the Planning Yard (N00024-13-R-4304) RFP?	The PY RFP is planned to be released 26 Nov 2012.
16	SEC	What is the projected timeline for the Execution (N00024-13-R-4305) RFP?	The SEC RFP is planned to be released 26 Nov 2012.
17	PY	What type of contract is expected for the Planning Yard (N00024-13-R-4304) contract? CP AF or CPIF?	The PY solicitation is intended to be issued for a CPAF contract-type vehicle.
18	SEC	What type of contract is expected for the Execution (N00024-13-R-4305) contract? CPAF or CPIF?	The SEC solicitation is intended to be issued with a combination of FFP, CPAF, and CPIF contract-type CLINs.
19	PY	What is Planning Yard's involvement in mission module change outs? Will SIDs be required?	It is not the Government's intent to have the PY be involved with direct mission module change outs. However, since the PY will manage overall PMS scheduling, the PY will be involved from that aspect. No SIDs will be required for a MM change out.
20	PY/SEC	Will SIDs be developed in accordance with NSTS 9090-600?	Yes, SIDs will be developed in accordance with NSTS 9090-600.
21	PY	Will JFMM/FMP milestones be used for Planning Yard product deliveries?	Yes, JFMM/FMP milestones will be used for Planning Yard product deliveries as appropriate.
22	PY/SEC	Is this project considered a new requirement?	Yes, this project is considered a new requirement.
23	PY/SEC	Will lessons learned from planning yard and sustainment activities to date be available for bidders?	Historical lessons learned data of repair items captured during planning yard and sustainment activities will be provided in the form of work items previous performed along with Government provided man-hours and material cost estimates for notional work packages.
24	PY/SEC	Will the current full PMS Material list be provided for bidders? If not, will PMS material provisioning be a cost reimbursable item?	This decision will be finalized prior to the release of the RFP.
25	SEC	What is the expected frequency of the various cleaning levels?	This decision will be finalized prior to the release of the RFP.
26	SEC	What standard will the contractor's EV metrics be measured against?	Specific CDRLs stipulating the measuring processes and resulting metrics will be provided in the RFP.
27	SEC	Since the contracts cover both variants, will the awardee have access to all technical and design data for both variants? How will that be handled? Furthermore, if the awardee is a member of either of the LCS variant teams how will the Government ensure that the award does not create a competitive advantage for the awardee on future competitive LCS ship procurements?	Technical and design data will be provided to the Contractor and will be specific to the requirements associated with the contract. Because the SEC contract will cover both variants, design data will be provided for both, as required. The Government does not foresee any unfair competitive advantage as a result related to future ship procurements.
28	PY/SEC	As new ships are delivered to the Navy, will all design and technical data for that ship be updated and delivered to the company with the SEC and PY contract? How soon after each ship delivery will that be done? How soon after delivery will the SEC and PY responsibility be handed over from the builder to the SEC and PY contract awardee?	Yes, design data will be provided to the Contractor(s) and will be specific to the requirements associated with the contract(s). Delivery timelines will be determined with the award of the new contract(s).
29	PY/SEC	What is the expected impact of Title 10 on performing overseas repairs?	All planned maintenance and some corrective maintenance will be done by U.S.-based fly-away teams. In compliance with 10 USC 7310, this work will not be performed in any shipyard outside the U.S. or Guam. Voyage repairs may be done by foreign nationals and/or in a foreign shipyard, as appropriate.

**Responses to Industry Day Bidders Questions for
N00024-13-R-4304 (Planning Yard Contract) and N00024-13-R-4305 (Sustainment Execution Contract)**

30	PY/SEC	Both of the vessels are Munition's List items and therefore are subject to the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (IT AR). Will foreign nationals have to be covered by export licenses to perform repairs, cleaning, and preventive maintenance on LCS ships in the US and when overseas?	The subject requirements will require adherence to DFARS 252.204-7008.
31	PY/SEC	Will we have access to the preventive maintenance schedules for both variants of ships, including a history of how often R-checks have been performed - and the expected frequency of R-checks in the future?	Yes, the awardee will have access to the preventive maintenance schedules for both variants of ships, including a history of how often R-checks have been performed and the expected frequency of R-checks in the future.
32	SEC	Will we have access to complete repair histories of LCS-1 and LCS-2- including costs to repair- so that we can have a better idea of the level of work that will be required in the future?	Repair history consisting of standard work items is currently available for offeror evaluation/assessments. However, cost data will not be provided other than Government provided estimates for notional work items.
33	PY/SEC	Which ships does the Navy plan to base overseas and when and where? What is the expected underway schedule for those ships based overseas?	All ships can be deployed overseas. It is not expected we will "base" ships overseas during the period of performance.
35	PY/SEC	The solicitations require maintaining ABS class. Since the government is in the process of deleting ABS class from the current contracts, is this still applicable?	No, ABS class will not be applicable to this contract.
36	PY/SEC	Since LCS will be operating out of Singapore and possibly other ports in the future, does the Navy intend on having a detachment of personnel on-site in these operating ports? Assume this would include personnel from the planning yards?	The Navy intends to establish a detachment; whether or not contractor personnel (for the SEC or the PY) will be included as an augmentation to this detachment will be determined prior to the release of the RFP.
37	PY/SEC	What are the anticipated payment terms?	This decision will be finalized prior to the release of the RFP.
38	PY/SEC	Will there be warranty provisions?	The Warranty of Data clause, 252.246-7001, and Warranty of Supplies of a Complex Nature clause, 52.246-18, will be invoked on contracts, as appropriate.
39	PY/SEC	Are unusual insurance requirements anticipated?	No, unusual insurance requirements are not anticipated.
40	PY/SEC	Is it planned that there would be a stock of parts that will be maintained by the awardee and if so, will the Government fully fund this inventory?	This decision will be finalized prior to the release of the RFP.
41	PY/SEC	Will the Service Workers Act be invoked?	The intended SEC and PY procurements will be in accordance with the provisions of the Walsh-Healy Public Contracts Act. The Service Contract Act will not be invoked.
42	SEC	Will the level of Small Business participation be a goal or will a required level be specified?	Small business participation goal of 40% will be required for the SEC solicitation only.
43	PY/SEC	If the contracts are TI driven or if the contractor is responding to changing events, is EVMS appropriate, considering the scope of supply will be variable and budgets subject to constant revision?	Data sets/metrics will be required to measure performance, schedule, and cost, similar to aspects of classic EVMS models. However, due to the nature of the work and tasks, specific data requirements, per task, will be a requirement with the intent to measure and improve processes and outputs within a scope appropriate to the work. The implementation of full scale EVM is not anticipated.