

The following Vendor Questions/'Q' have been submitted in response to the Request for Information (RFI) / Sources Sought Notices # N00104-12-I-Q339, N00104-12-I-Q340, N00104-12-I-Q341, and N00104-12-I-Q342. The Government Response 'R' follows each question. These questions and responses are provided for informational purposes only.

Q1. Reference: RFI N00104-12-I-Q341, Page 1, Functional Area #C1 – METOC Project Planning Support, Paragraph 1.

Question: Paragraph 1 under Functional Area #C1 – METOC Project Planning Support is written; “Support the identification of functional requirements and system engineering to facilitate the design, implementation and management of a robust and reliable Information Technology (IT) infrastructure to support METOC projects, such as Optimal Path resource routing applications, satellite applications, etc.”.

Should this not read: “Support the identification of functional requirements and system engineering in support of METOC projects, such as Optimal Path resource routing applications, satellite applications, etc.”?

As written this seems somewhat duplicative of the Discipline A, Program Support PWS.

Response: Yes. The resulting PWS will be corrected.

Q2. Reference: N/A

Question: Is any of the industry day planning information from April 2010 still valid for these RFIs and any follow on opportunities?

Response: Industry Day is a mechanism in the "initial" acquisition stage where Government provides "draft" requirements to gather white paper responses from the vendor community to use as acquisition planning tools. White papers were received from the April 2010 industry day and were considered in the acquisition planning of these four Disciplines. The finalized requirement information and acquisition strategy will be found in the final Request for Proposal and the PWS for each Discipline.

Q3. Reference: N/A

Question: Will previous RFI submissions for the previous HOMER information be considered going forward?

Response: Capability packages are requested for each of the "current" Disciplines. If "sources sought" capability packages were submitted in the past, they will need to be updated with the current Discipline identified and resubmitted.

Q4. Reference: N/A

Question: Do you expect any restrictions on companies performing or priming multiple disciplines?

Response: Across Disciplines: as a Prime or a sub-contractor, no; as a sub-contractor for numerous primes under the same discipline, yes. Conflict of interest clauses will be included in the evaluation factors and resulting RFP.

The following acquisition planning/budgetary “estimates” for each Discipline are provided for purposes of offerors RFI response to past performance of the same or similar magnitude:

Discipline A - \$15M “estimated” Ceiling

Discipline B - \$32M “estimated” Ceiling

Discipline C - \$34M “estimated” Ceiling

Discipline D- estimate in process.