

JUSTIFICATION FOR SOLE SOURCE (Simplified Acquisitions <\$150K)

The service or material listed on N5702513RCTBD is sole source and competition is precluded for reasons indicated below. There are no substitutes available for this material or service.

Restricted to the following source. CACI Technologies, Inc.

Contractor POC & phone nr. Laureen Harrington, (619) 881-5770

Contractor's address: CACI Technologies, Inc.;
14151 Park Meadow Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151

X Description of the item or service required, the estimated cost, and required delivery date.

This requirement is for enterprise-wide contractor support to COMNAVAIRPAC, COMNAVAIRLANT, COMMARFORPAC, and COMMARFORLANT for Management, Engineering, and Technical Support Services associated with the ACES Program to include ACES, Execution Manager (XMAN), Aviation Store Keeper Information Tracking (ASKIT) System and the Comptroller Summary (CSUM) web application/database/server support, and related subordinate program modules. Work is performed off-site at the contractor's facility. The estimated cost is \$125,000 and the period of performance is expected to be 08 April 2013 – 24 May 2013.

ACES is a cost data collection and management tool utilized by the Flying Hour Program Budget Officers at COMNAVAIRPAC, COMNAVAIRLANT, COMMARFORPAC, and COMMFORLANT. The ACES system is integral to our management of current year funding, as well as projecting funding requirements for future fiscal years.

X Specific characteristics of the material or service that limit the availability to a sole source (unique features, function of the item, etc.). Describe in detail why only this suggested source can furnish the requirements to the exclusion of other sources.

April is one of the busiest months for budget offices, as this is when mid-year review occurs to include assessment of current costs, projected costs for remainder of fiscal year as well as developing budget requirements for POM process. Contractor services are used to manage the ACES system, i.e., maintain and update the database and cost data collected from fleet units reporting to the aforementioned commands, generate various cost and budget reports.

The statutory authority which permits contracting without providing for full and open competition is 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(2); unusual and compelling urgency. The current contract (Seaport-E Task Order N00178-04-D-4026-NW03) with CACI expires 31 March 2013. Working within the constraints of the FY13 continuing resolution, CNAF was not able to release a funded RCP to compete the requirement for a follow-on contract with sufficient time for NAVSUP FLC to issue a contract by 1 April 2013. A competitive solicitation (N00024-13-R-3170) for the follow-on contract has been posted to Seaport-E, it will close 22 April 2013, and is expected to be awarded no later than 01 June 2013. A short-term contract (approximately 6 - 8 weeks) is needed to enable services to continue at least through the April Program Objective

Memorandum (POM) and mid-year review budget process, which delineates allocation of resources and programmed needs 6 years out. Due to the amount of time it would take a new company to fully familiarize themselves with the system, competing this short term requirement is neither efficient nor cost effective. A break in service would severely disrupt and inhibit the CNAF budgeting process. CNAF will not be able to produce the documents needed to complete end-of-quarter close out; we would lose vital data needed to complete budget mid-year review; OPNAV budgeting documents will not be available to perform POM-15 development which will negatively impact POM-15 FYDP; Navy leadership will be unable to calculate strategies for FY13 CR/Sequestration mitigation to which the \$4.7B Flying Hour Program is a significant contributor.

Further, the interest of economy and efficiency it is expected that the Government will pay the same labor rates as the current Seaport-E Task Order N00178-04-D-4026-NW03. Prior to award of Seaport-E Task Order N00178-04-D-4026-NW03 all Seaport-E contract holders were given a fair opportunity to be considered for the award. The current contract was issued on a competitive basis. Issuing an award to any other source other than the incumbent for this short period would not only result in substantial duplication of costs to the Government that is not expected to be recovered through competition.

___ The requested material or service represents the minimum requirements of the government.

___ The material/service must be compatible in all aspects (form, fit and function) with existing systems presently installed/performing. Describe the equipment/function you have now and how the new item/service must coordinate, connect, or interface with the existing system.

___ A patent, copyright or proprietary data limits competition. The proprietary data is _____

___ These are "direct replacements" parts/components for existing equipment.

CHECK & FILL IN ALL APPLICABLE BLANKS BELOW

___ Other information to support a sole source buy:


I CERTIFY THAT STATEMENTS CHECKED, AND INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE, ARE COMPLETE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. I UNDERSTAND THAT THE PROCESSING OF THIS SOLE SOURCE JUSTIFICATION PRECLUDES THE USE OF FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION.

Signature  Title CNAP DEPUTY COMPTROLLER

Activity COMNAVIAIRPAC, SAN DIEGO CA Date 2 APR 13

Contracting Officer Signature 

Date 2 APR 2013
SAP Sole Source

Competition Advocate Signature 

Date 4 Apr 2013
~~SAP Sole Source~~