

**NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, MID - ATLANTIC  
9234 VIRGINIA AVENUE NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23511-3095  
POC: Ronald Smiley, [ronald.smiley2@navy.mil](mailto:ronald.smiley2@navy.mil) (757) 341-0836**

**TOTAL SMALL BUSINESS DESIGN-BUILD, DESIGN-BID-BUILD MULTIPLE AWARD CONTRACT FOR NEW  
CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, ALTERATION, AND REPAIRS FOR PROJECTS IN THE HAMPTON ROADS  
AREA, VIRGINIA.**

**PROPOSED TASK ORDER:**

**DESIGN-BID-BUILD, RM13-0896 NGIS Bldg. 225,  
NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, DAM NECK ANNEX, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA  
Project Work Order No. 1362164**

**FOR CONTRACTS:**

**N40085-15-D-0092**

Doyon Project Services, LLC  
33810 Weyerhaeuser Way S., Suite 100  
Federal Way, Washington 98001  
POC: Tony Wood  
Phone: (571) 306-7312  
Email: [Anthony.wood@doयोगovgrp.com](mailto:Anthony.wood@doयोगovgrp.com)

**N40085-15-D-0093**

EMR, Inc.  
2110 Delaware Street, Suite B  
Lawrence, Kansas 66046  
POC: C. Michael Gross  
Phone: 785-842-9013  
Email: [mgross@emr-inc.com](mailto:mgross@emr-inc.com)

**N40085-15-D-0094**

GP Absher One, LLC  
2700 Holloway Road, Suite 107  
Louisville, Kentucky 40299  
POC: Gerald Palmer  
Phone: 502-500-5342  
Email: [gpalmer@gpne.biz](mailto:gpalmer@gpne.biz)

**N40085-15-D-0095**

Ocean Construction Services  
104 Aragona Blvd  
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462  
POC: Thornton Elmore III  
Phone: 757-200-9590  
Email: [thor.elmore@ocsinc.us](mailto:thor.elmore@ocsinc.us)

**N40085-15-D-0096**

Southeast Cherokee Construction, Inc.  
1491 Furnace Street  
Montgomery, AL 36104  
POC: Don Skipper  
Phone: 334-264-1770  
Email: [dskipper@secherokeeconstruction.com](mailto:dskipper@secherokeeconstruction.com)

**N40085-15-D-0097**

SYNCON, LLC.  
201 Research Dr., Suite 101  
Chesapeake, VA 23320  
POC: Mark Lilly  
Phone: 757-351-0770  
Email: [mark.lilly@synconllc.com](mailto:mark.lilly@synconllc.com)

## GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

**NAVFAC Solicitation Number:** N40085-16-R-6120 (Clauses incorporated in Base Contract apply to this solicitation)

**Title:** RM13-0896 NGIS Bldg. 225

**Location of the work:** NAVAL AIR STATION, OCEANA, DAM NECK ANNEX, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA

**Description of the work:**

This is a Design-Bid-Build (DBB) project that will renovate the interior of the Navy Gateway Inns & Suites (NGIS) Building #225 at NAS Oceana/Dam Neck Annex. Project includes renovations of suites, passageways, stairwells, laundry rooms, HVAC, plumbing, electrical and fire protection systems and the exterior of the NGIS portion of the Building 225. Building 225, constructed in 1954, is a permanent concrete facility located within 300 feet of the Atlantic Ocean. Building 225 is a 77,299 SF facility consisting of 61,704 SF for Transient & Visitor lodging and 15,595 SF for All Hands (Open Mess). With the exception of resizing an existing boiler that serves the Sea breeze Officer's Club (Open Mess) all renovations in this project will be confined to the Transient and Visitors Suites, staff offices, passageways, and the exterior of the building that houses the Suites. All work shall be in compliance with applicable sections of UFC 4-&20-01 LODGING FACILITIES and in accordance with latest NAVFAC Energy, LEED, and Sustainability guidelines.

**Estimated Budget Amount:** Between \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000.

**NAICS Code:** 236220

**Time for completion:** All work shall be completed within 380 calendar days.

**Wage Determination:** General Decision Number: VA150120 09/04/2015 VA120

**Liquidated damages:** \$3,950.00 Per Calendar Day

**Record Shop Drawings:** Record drawings are required, with reproducible copies

**Government Furnished Property:** No Government Furnished Property.

**Basis for Award:** Best Value Source Selection (BVSS), Lowest Price Technically Acceptable.

**Bid Guarantee:** Shall be in a penal sum equal to at least 20 percent of the largest amount for which award can be made under the bid submitted, but in no case to exceed \$3,000,000.

**Proposal Acceptance Period:** 120 days from receipt of offers.

**Site Visit:**

**Friday, January 29, 2016**

**9:00 PM EST**

**NAS Oceana, Dam Neck Annex, Virginia Beach, Virginia**

**This will be the ONLY Government scheduled site visit.**

**ALL** contractors must submit the attached One Day Pass Site Visit (Attachment E) request spreadsheet for personnel attending the site visit regardless if they have a Rapid Gate pass, in order to obtain access to the DEVGRU Naval Special Warfare Development Group (NSWDG) compound. Pass requests are due no later than COB January 26, 2016 to [Ronald.smiley2@navy.mil](mailto:Ronald.smiley2@navy.mil).

All participating contractors shall meet at the DEVGRU NSWDC Pass and I.D. Office at 8:00 AM. Security is tight and all visitors will be required to stay together as we caravan through the DEVGRU NSWDC compound.

Offerors who do not currently have a valid Contractor's ID and Vehicle Decal issued by the Department of Defense (DoD) must submit the One Day Pass Request spreadsheet (Attachment E) to Ronald Smiley at [Ronald.smiley2@navy.mil](mailto:Ronald.smiley2@navy.mil) no later than January 26, 2016. Contractors who submit timely requests for access to NAS Oceana for the site visit may pick up their one day passes at the NAS Oceana Pass & ID Office located at (1450 Tomcat Blvd, Bldg 252) prior to proceeding to Dam Neck Annex (Dam Neck Road). The following items are required to obtain a pass ((Government/ Military ID (CAC Card), or Oceana Contractor ID or Contractors Visit Request on file), Driver's License, Vehicle Registration or Rental Car Agreement, proof of Car insurance, and proof of State Safety Inspection). Note, Rapid Gate badge holders with access to NAS Oceana do not need to request one day passes for NSA Oceana.

Electronics and cameras of any kind are not allowed. Contractors will be instructed to leave them in their POVs. A command camera will be available and pictures will be taken as requested. Once the pictures have been cleared by security they will be issued by the Contract Specialist.

Directions to Oceana Pass Office:

1. From I-264, Exit onto 1st Colonial Rd toward NAS Oceana
2. Take Oceana Blvd. to Tomcat Blvd
3. Once on Tomcat Blvd, it is the first brick building on the right side directly across from the Navy Exchange
4. The Oceana Pass Office is Building No. 252 and has an address of 1450 Tomcat Blvd

Directions to Dam Neck Annex:

1. Continue onto Oceana Blvd
2. Turn right onto General Booth Blvd
3. Turn left onto Dam Neck Rd
4. Proceed straight until you reach the front gate of NAS Oceana Dam Neck Annex
5. Continue straight on Dam Neck Rd. Turn Left on Regulus Ave. Proceed to DEVGRU NSWDC Pass and I.D. Office.

**Proposal Acceptance Period:** 120 Days from receipt of offers.

**Proposal Due Date/Time:** Tuesday, February 23, 2016 / 2:00 PM (EST)

**Attachment D Price Proposal Form replaces Specification section 00 22 13.00 20 Supplementary Instructions to Offerors**

**QUESTIONS AND PPI'S:** The Pre Proposal Inquiries (PPI) cut-off date is COB **Tuesday, February 05, 2016**. All Questions/PPI's are to be directed to Ronald Smiley via email [Ronald.smiley2@navy.mil](mailto:Ronald.smiley2@navy.mil) in the excel spreadsheet provided in the solicitation.

#### **Proposal Delivery Information**

Proposals may be sent via Federal Express (FedEx), United Postal Service (UPS) or hand-carried to the following address:

ATTN: Ronald Smiley, Building Z140, Room 225  
NAVFAC MIDLANT  
9324 Virginia Ave.  
Norfolk, VA 23511

### **Security Information**

Offerors who would like to hand deliver their proposal and who do not currently have a valid badge issued by the Department of Defense (DoD) to enter Naval Station Norfolk must do the following:

1. Submit the RM13-0896 NGIS Bldg. 225 Proposal Drop Off, use One Day Pass Request NSN (Attachment E) **NO LESS THAN 5 BUSINESS DAYS** prior to the proposal due date. The spreadsheet is uploaded under Additional Documents in NECO. The request shall be sent to Ronald Smiley via email [Ronald.smiley2@navy.mil](mailto:Ronald.smiley2@navy.mil).
2. Present the following information at the pass office:  
Two forms of ID (i.e. Valid Driver's License and (one of the following) US Social Security Card or Birth Certificate), Current Vehicle Registration and/or Rental Car Contract, Current State Safety Inspection, Current Proof of Insurance.

To verify identity, visitors **MUST** present a second form of identification at the pass office from the list of A or B Documents found at <http://www.uscis.gov/i-9-central/acceptable-documents>.

Naval Station Norfolk Pass & ID Office is located on Hampton Boulevard across from Gate 5. All potential offerors must adhere to this notice in order to avoid delay in submitting your proposal. The level of security at the Naval Station Norfolk may change at any time. As the level of security heightens, the amount of time required to gain access to the Naval Station also increases.

### **EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**

1. The Government shall evaluate each offeror on price and the following non-price factor:

Factor 1 – Experience  
Factor 2 – Past Performance

Since the LPTA methodology prohibits trade-offs, all evaluation factors and sub-factors are equally important. Proposals are evaluated for acceptability but not ranked using the non-cost and/or price factors.

2. The proposed Task Order will be awarded to the technically acceptable offer with the lowest evaluated price.

### **PRICE**

#### **A. Price Submission:**

1. **PRICE BINDER:** Offeror shall submit one (1) original, marked “Original”, and one (1) copy, each in a separate three ring binder (no larger than ½ inch minimum ring size), with the following:
  - Price Proposal Form
  - Copy of Representation and Certifications from ORCA
  - Bid Bond
  - 8 ½ X 11 format, Times New Roman, 12 point font
  - Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, phone number, and email address. Cover Page will not be included in the page count.

## **B. Basis of Evaluation:**

1. The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirements and all option items. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:
  - a. Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
  - b. Comparison of proposed prices with the Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE).

## **NON-PRICE FACTORS**

### **A. Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

1. **TECHNICAL BINDER:** Offeror shall submit one (1), marked "Original", a CD and four (4) copies, each in a separate three-ring binder (no larger than ½ inch minimum ring size) with the following characteristics:
  - Text 8 ½ x 11 format, Times New Roman, 12 point font
  - Page count excludes cover page and tabs. **PAGES THAT EXCEED THE PAGE LIMITATION SHALL NOT BE EVALUATED.**
  - Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, Title, phone number, and email address. Cover Page will not be included in the page count.

### **FACTOR 1 – Experience:**

#### (a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

##### Construction Experience:

Submit a minimum (1) and a maximum of five (5) construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as:

Size: Construction value of \$4.0 million or more

Scope/Complexity: New construction or full renovation (all HVAC, interiors, plumbing, electrical and architectural renovation work) of a building/facility primarily for human occupancy such as a school, lodge, hotel, correctional facility, child development center, or office building.

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole should not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors should submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A - DBB) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods).

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity or by members of the Joint Venture. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The requirement for acceptability will be based upon the projects submitted by the Offeror in its proposal. Each submitted project must meet the above requirements for size and scope/complexity.

**FACTOR 2 – PAST PERFORMANCE**

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment B) for each project included in Factor 1 for Construction Experience. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. (Note: Clients are highly encouraged to submit questionnaires directly to the Offeror. However, if the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government’s point of contact, Mr. Ron Smiley, (757) 341-0836, ronald.smiley2@navy.mil

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1 and on other task orders under this Multiple Award Contract. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues on the projects for Factor 1 – Experience or under this Multiple Award Contract. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government’s inability to contact any of the Offeror’s references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government’s evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on projects under this Multiple Award Contract and Factor 1 projects. More emphasis will be placed on task orders that are more similar to the RFP. The Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS), inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor’s performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer’s responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror’s past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror’s ability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

In the case of an Offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the Offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance. Therefore, the Offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, “unknown” shall be considered “acceptable.”

**V. EVALUATION**

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the lowest price technically acceptable proposal.
2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.”
3. The LPTA process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition because the best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price.
4. An overall technical rating must be at least “ACCEPTABLE” in order to be eligible for award. An “UNACCEPTABLE” rating in any factor results in the overall technical proposal being rated “UNACCEPTABLE” unless corrected through discussions. An “UNACCEPTABLE” rating in any sub factor results in the factor being rated “UNACCEPTABLE” and the overall technical proposal being rated “UNACCEPTABLE” unless corrected through discussions.” An overall technical rating of “UNACCEPTABLE” makes a proposal ineligible for award.

---

ATTACHMENT A – CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE PROJECT DATA SHEET  
ATTACHMENT B – PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE  
ATTACHMENT C – WAGE DETERMINATION  
ATTACHMENT D – PRICE PROPOSAL FORM DATED 12 JANUARY 2016  
ATTACHMENT E – ONE DAY PASS REQUEST (uploaded to NECO)  
ATTACHMENT F – PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRY LOG (uploaded to NECO)

**ATTACHMENT A CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE PROJECT DATA SHEET:**

<b>CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE PROJECT DATA SHEET</b>	
Project No. (check one) : <input type="checkbox"/> CON #1 <input type="checkbox"/> CON #2 <input type="checkbox"/> CON #3 <input type="checkbox"/> CON #4 <input type="checkbox"/> CON #5	
1. Experience for: <input type="checkbox"/> Offeror <input type="checkbox"/> Joint-Venture <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain)	
Firm Name: Address: Phone Number: DUNS Number: Point of Contact: <span style="float: right;">Contact Phone Number:</span>	
2. Work Performed as: <input type="checkbox"/> Prime Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> Sub Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> Joint Venture <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain)	
Percent of project work performed: If subcontractor, who was prime (Name/Phone #):	
3. Contract Number:	Delivery/Task Order Number:
Title: Location:	
4. Award Date (mm/dd/yy):	Completion Date (mm/dd/yy):
5. Type of work: <input type="checkbox"/> New Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Renovation <input type="checkbox"/> Repair <input type="checkbox"/> Alteration <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain):	
6. Type of Contract/Task Order: (Check <b>ALL</b> that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Firm-Fixed Price <input type="checkbox"/> Cost/Time and Material <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain):	
<b>Complete Block 7 for Construction Projects. Complete Block 8 for Design Projects.</b>	
7. Construction Project:  Award Amount: <span style="float: right;">Final Price:</span>  Type of Contract/Task Order: (Check <b>ALL</b> that apply) <input type="checkbox"/> Design-Build <input type="checkbox"/> Design-Bid-Build <input type="checkbox"/> Delivery/Task Order (IDIQ) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain):  If Design-Build, identify the Lead Design Firm: _____	

8. Design Project: N/A

A/E Design Fee:

Total Construction Value:

Type of Contract/Task Order: (**Check ALL that apply**)

Design-Build    RFP Development    Designer of Record    Engineering Services    Full Plans  
& Specs

Other (explain):

9. Provide a detailed description of the project and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, square footage, construction methods), including any sustainable features or USGBC LEED certifications. If design-build, include a description of the design-effort.

10. Provide a detailed description of what work your firm self-performed on this project:

11. Other Information:

ATTACHMENT B PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

<b>NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0)</b>	
<b>CONTRACT INFORMATION (Contractor to complete Blocks 1-4)</b>	
<b>1. Contractor Information</b>	
Firm Name:	CAGE Code:
Address:	DUNs Number:
Phone Number:	
Email Address:	
Point of Contact:	Contact Phone Number:
<b>2. Work Performed as:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Prime Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> Sub Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> Joint Venture <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain) Percent of project work performed: If subcontractor, who was the prime (Name/Phone #):	
<b>3. Contract Information</b>	
Contract Number:	
Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable):	
Contract Type: <input type="checkbox"/> Firm Fixed Price <input type="checkbox"/> Cost Reimbursement <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please specify):	
Contract Title:	
Contract Location:	
Award Date (mm/dd/yy):	
Contract Completion Date (mm/dd/yy):	
Actual Completion Date (mm/dd/yy):	
Explain Differences:	
Original Contract Price (Award Amount):	
Final Contract Price ( <i>to include all modifications, if applicable</i> ):	
Explain Differences:	
<b>4. Project Description:</b>	
Complexity of Work <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Med <input type="checkbox"/> Routine	
How is this project relevant to project of submission? ( <i>Please provide details such as similar equipment, requirements, conditions, etc.</i> )	
<b>CLIENT INFORMATION (Client to complete Blocks 5-8)</b>	
<b>5. Client Information</b>	
Name:	
Title:	
Phone Number:	
Email Address:	
<b>6. Describe the client's role in the project:</b>	
<b>7. Date Questionnaire was completed (mm/dd/yy):</b>	
<b>8. Client's Signature:</b>	

**NOTE: NAVFAC REQUESTS THAT THE CLIENT COMPLETES THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND SUBMITS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE OFFEROR. THE OFFEROR WILL SUBMIT THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO NAVFAC WITH THEIR PROPOSAL AND MAY DUPLICATE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FUTURE SUBMISSION ON NAVFAC SOLICITATIONS. CLIENTS ARE HIGHLY ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT QUESTIONNAIRES DIRECTLY TO THE OFFEROR. HOWEVER, QUESTIONNAIRES MAY BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO NAVFAC. PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFEROR FOR NAVFAC POC INFORMATION. THE GOVERNMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VERIFY ANY AND ALL INFORMATION ON THIS FORM.**

*ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT  
 YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE*

<b>RATING</b>	<b>DEFINITION</b>	<b>NOTE</b>
<b>(E) Exceptional</b>	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was highly effective.	An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
<b>(VG) Very Good</b>	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.	A Very Good rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
<b>(S) Satisfactory</b>	Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	A Satisfactory rating is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract.
<b>(M) Marginal</b>	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	A Marginal is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner.

<b>(U) Unsatisfactory</b>	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	An Unsatisfactory rating is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating.
<b>(N) Not Applicable</b>	No information or did not apply to your contract	Rating will be neither positive nor negative.

Contractor Information (Firm Name): \_\_\_\_\_

Client Information (Name): \_\_\_\_\_

**TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT**

**PLEASE CIRCLE THE ADJECTIVE RATING WHICH BEST REFLECTS YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE.**

<b>1. QUALITY:</b>					
a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts	E N	VG	S	M	U
b) Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance	E N	VG	S	M	U
c) Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance	E N	VG	S	M	U
d) Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance)	E N	VG	S	M	U
<b>2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:</b>					
a) Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones. <i>(If liquidated damages were assessed or the schedule was not met, please address below)</i>	E N	VG	S	M	U
b) Rate the contractor's use of available resources to accomplish tasks identified in the contract	E N	VG	S	M	U
<b>3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:</b>					
a) To what extent were the end users satisfied with the project?	E N	VG	S	M	U
b) Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports, businesslike and communication)	E N	VG	S	M	U
c) To what extent was the contractor cooperative, businesslike, and concerned with the interests of the customer?	E N	VG	S	M	U
d) Overall customer satisfaction	E N	VG	S	M	U

4. MANAGEMENT/ PERSONNEL/LABOR						
a) Effectiveness of on-site management, including management of subcontractors, suppliers, materials, and/or labor force?	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Ability to hire, apply, and retain a qualified workforce to this effort	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) Government Property Control	E	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by contractor personnel	E	VG	S	M	U	N
e) Utilization of Small Business concerns	E	VG	S	M	U	N
f) Ability to simultaneously manage multiple projects with multiple disciplines	E	VG	S	M	U	N
g) Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in requirements and/or priority, including planning, execution and response to Government changes	E	VG	S	M	U	N
h) Effectiveness of overall management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT						
a) Ability to meet the terms and conditions within the contractually agreed price(s)?	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) If this is/was a Government cost type contract, please rate the Contractor's timeliness and accuracy in submitting monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation, monthly status reports/budget variance reports, compliance with established budgets and avoidance of significant and/or unexplained variances (under runs or overruns)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Is the Contractor's accounting system adequate for management and tracking of costs? If no, please explain in Remarks section.	Yes			No		
e) If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.	Yes			No		
f) Have there been any indications that the contractor has had any financial problems? If yes, please explain below.	Yes			No		
6. SAFETY/SECURITY						
a) To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan, and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.	E	VG	S	M	U	N
7. GENERAL						
a) Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations	E	VG	S	M	U	N

(including notifying COR, PM or Contracting Officer in a timely manner regarding urgent contractual issues).	
b) Compliance with contractual terms/provisions (explain if specific issues)	E VG S M U N
c) Would you hire or work with this firm again? (If no, please explain below)	Yes No
d) In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this contractor.	E VG S M U N

**Please provide responses to the questions above (*if applicable*) and/or additional remarks. Furthermore, please provide a brief narrative addressing specific strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, or other comments which may assist our office in evaluating performance risk (*please attach additional pages if necessary*):**

**ATTACHMENT C – WAGE DETERMINATION**

**General Decision Number: VA150108 12/04/2015 VA108**

Superseded General Decision Number: VA20140108

State: Virginia

Construction Type: Building

County: Norfolk\* County in Virginia.

\*INDEPENDENT CITY BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (does not include single family homes or apartments up to and including 4 stories).

Note: Executive Order (EO) 13658 establishes an hourly minimum wage of \$10.10 for 2015 that applies to all contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act for which the solicitation is issued on or after January 1, 2015. If this contract is covered by the EO, the contractor must pay all workers in any classification listed on this wage determination at least \$10.10 (or the applicable wage rate listed on this wage determination, if it is higher) for all hours spent performing on the contract. The EO minimum wage rate will be adjusted annually. Additional information on contractor requirements and worker protections under the EO is available at [www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts](http://www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts).

Modification Number	Publication Date
0	01/02/2015
1	04/03/2015
2	06/19/2015
3	06/26/2015
4	12/04/2015

ASBE0085-005 05/01/2014

Rates Fringes

ASBESTOS WORKER/HEAT & FROST INSULATOR  
 .....\$ 21.71 11.21

Includes the application of all insulating materials, protective coverings, coatings and finishes to all types of mechanical systems

\* BOIL0045-004 10/01/2014

Rates Fringes

BOILERMAKER.....\$ 31.12 25.26

BRVA0001-004 07/01/2013

Rates Fringes

BRICKLAYER.....\$ 19.00 8.13

ELEC0080-009 06/01/2015

Rates Fringes

ELECTRICIAN.... .....\$ 26.95 14.67%+5.05+a

a. Workmen shall take off 2 hours with pay, at the discretion of the employer, on State and National Election days; Tuesday following the first Monday in November, provided they are qualified and vote.

-----  
 ENGI0147-024 11/01/2013

Rates Fringes

Power equipment operators: Cranes 90 tons & over capacity; Tower & Climbing Cranes with Controls 100 ft. above ground

.....	\$ 28.30	8.69%+8.15
Cranes under 90 tons.....	\$ 27.38	8.69%+8.15
Forklift.....	\$ 23.58	8.69%+6.55

-----  
 IRON0079-009 06/01/2015

Rates Fringes

IRONWORKER, STRUCTURAL AND ORNAMENTAL

.....	\$ 24.90	13.78
-------	----------	-------

-----  
 LABO0351-003 06/01/2010

Rates Fringes

LABORER (Mason Tender Brick).....	\$ 13.85	5.55
-----------------------------------	----------	------

-----  
 PAIN0051-032 06/01/2014

Rates Fringes

PAINTER (Spray).....	\$ 18.00	9.05
----------------------	----------	------

-----  
 PLAS0891-009 05/01/2014

Rates Fringes

CEMENT MASON/CONCRETE FINISHER

.....	\$ 20.50	5.69
-------	----------	------

-----  
 PLUM0110-005 11/01/2014

Rates Fringes

PIPEFITTER (Including HVAC Pipe & System Installation)

.....	\$ 26.02	15.83
PLUMBER.....	\$ 26.02	15.83

-----  
 ROOF0185-008 06/01/2011

Rates Fringes

ROOFER.....	\$ 27.00	11.46
-------------	----------	-------

-----  
 SHEE0100-038 07/01/2014

Rates Fringes

SHEET METAL WORKER (Including HVAC Duct Installation)

.....	\$ 22.13	15.05
-------	----------	-------

-----  
 SUVA2010-113 09/20/2010

Rates Fringes

CARPENTER (Drywall Hanging Only)

.....\$ 16.00	1.21
CARPENTER (Form Work Only)	
.....\$ 18.00	7.35
CARPENTER, Excludes Drywall Hanging, and Form Work	
.....\$ 15.94	2.87
FENCE ERECTOR.....\$ 13.72	4.16
LABORER: Common or General	
.....\$ 9.75	1.62
LABORER: Landscape.....\$ 10.64	0.00
LABORER: Mason Tender - Cement/Concrete	
.....\$ 12.96	3.12
LABORER: Pipe layer.....\$ 12.40	2.33
OPERATOR: Backhoe.....\$ 17.00	3.42
OPERATOR: Bobcat/Skid Steer/Skid Loader	
.....\$ 15.62	2.40
OPERATOR: Bulldozer.....\$ 21.50	4.80
OPERATOR: Excavator.....\$ 18.38	4.17
OPERATOR: Loader.....\$ 20.63	4.80
OPERATOR: Mechanic.....\$ 18.23	1.59
OPERATOR: Roller.....\$ 21.50	4.80
PAINTER (All Other Work).....\$ 13.40	0.00
PAINTER: Brush Only.....\$ 13.56	0.37
TILE FINISHER.....\$ 17.32	6.72
TILE SETTER.....\$ 21.12	7.68
TRUCK DRIVER: Dump Truck.\$ 13.00	2.35

-----  
WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.  
=====

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).  
-----

The body of each wage determination lists the classification and wage rates that have been found to be prevailing for the cited type(s) of construction in the area covered by the wage determination. The classifications are listed in alphabetical order of "identifiers" that indicate whether the particular rate is a union rate (current union negotiated rate for local), a survey rate (weighted average rate) or a union average rate (weighted union average rate).

### Union Rate Identifiers

A four letter classification abbreviation identifier enclosed in dotted lines beginning with characters other than "SU" or "UAVG" denotes that the union classification and rate were prevailing for that classification in the survey. Example: PLUM0198-005 07/01/2014. PLUM is an abbreviation identifier of the union which prevailed in the survey for this classification, which in this example would be Plumbers. 0198 indicates the local union number or district council number where applicable, i.e., Plumbers Local 0198. The next number, 005 in the example, is an internal number used in processing the wage determination. 07/01/2014 is the effective date of the most current negotiated rate, which in this example is July 1, 2014.

Union prevailing wage rates are updated to reflect all rate changes in the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) governing this classification and rate.

### Survey Rate Identifiers

Classifications listed under the "SU" identifier indicate that no one rate prevailed for this classification in the survey and the published rate is derived by computing a weighted average rate based on all the rates reported in the survey for that classification. As this weighted average rate includes all rates reported in the survey, it may include both union and non-union rates. Example: SULA2012-007 5/13/2014. SU indicates the rates are survey rates based on a weighted average calculation of rates and are not majority rates. LA indicates the State of Louisiana. 2012 is the year of survey on which these classifications and rates are based. The next number, 007 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 5/13/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

Survey wage rates are not updated and remain in effect until a new survey is conducted.

### Union Average Rate Identifiers

Classification(s) listed under the UAVG identifier indicate that no single majority rate prevailed for those classifications; however, 100% of the data reported for the classifications was union data. EXAMPLE: UAVG-OH-0010 08/29/2014. UAVG indicates that the rate is a weighted union average rate. OH indicates the state. The next number, 0010 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 08/29/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

A UAVG rate will be updated once a year, usually in January of each year, to reflect a weighted average of the current negotiated/CBA rate of the union locals from which the rate is based.

-----  
**WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS**

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- \* an existing published wage determination
- \* a survey underlying a wage determination
- \* a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- \* a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations  
Wage and Hour Division  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator

(See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====

END OF GENERAL DECISION

ATTACHMENT D – PRICE PROPOSAL FORM DATED 12 January 2016

The Price Proposal Form replaces Specification section 00 22 13.00 20 Supplementary Instructions to Offerors

**PRICE PROPOSAL FORM**

**Price includes the following:**

**ITEM 0001 BASE PRICE - RM13-0896 Repairs to NGIS Bldg 225. Price includes the following:**

**ITEM 0001(A)**

Price for the entire work for Building 225, complete to the 5 foot line outside of the building, in accordance with the drawings and specifications, but excluding work described in ITEM 0001B, 0001C, 0001D, 0002, 0003, 0004, and 0005.

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001(A)** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**ITEM 0001(B) – Officer’s Club Fire Protection/Sprinkler**

Price for providing all work in connection with new fire sprinkler and fire alarm systems for the Officer's club portion of Building 225 complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications. The existing ceilings will be removed and replaced in kind as necessary for the installation of the new fire sprinkler and fire alarm systems. All existing lighting and HVAC equipment shall be reused.

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001(B)** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**ITEM 0001(C) – Asbestos Removal and Disposal**

Price for removal and disposal of asbestos complete in accordance with drawings and specifications and in accordance with the following schedule:

Item	Unit	Unit Price	No. Units	Total Price for ITEM 0001(C)
Removal and disposal of asbestos containing pipe insulation, by pipe size. See Hazardous Materials Report dated October 1, 2014 for the location on asbestos containing pipe insulation. Assume 25 LF per	Linear Feet	\$ _____	25	\$ _____

pipe size listed in the HAZMAT report.				
--	--	--	--	--

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001(C)** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**ITEM 0001(D) – Lead Paint Removal and Disposal**

Price for lead paint removal and disposal, complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications and in accordance with the following schedule:

Item	Unit	Unit Price	No. Units	Total Price for ITEM 0001(D)
Removal and disposal of lead based paint. See Hazardous Materials Report, dated October 1, 2014 for the location of lead based paint. Assume 50 SF.	SF	\$ _____	50	\$ _____

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001(D)** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**ITEM 0002, Option 1 - Landscaping**

Price for providing all work in connection with the removal of existing plantings and installation of new landscaping and exterior signage complete in accordance with drawings and specifications.

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0002** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**ITEM 0003, Option 2 – ATFP Windows**

Price for providing all work in connection with the removal and installation of new exterior windows complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications. All new windows shall meet the requirements of AT/FP design criteria as indicated on the drawings and in the specifications. Note that not all windows are being replaced, only those as indicated on the drawings.

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0003** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**ITEM 0004, Option 3 – Laundry Chute**

Price for providing all work in connection with the installation of a new laundry chute in Storage Rooms 2128 and 2228 in complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications. Remove doors 2128 and 2228 and infill CMU wall per details on drawings.

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0004** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**ITEM 0005, Option 4 – Stair Renovations**

Price for providing all work in connection with painting and the installation of new flooring and coverings for risers and treads in the existing stairwells complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications.

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0005** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEMS 0001-0005** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**CONTRACT LINE ITEM NOTES**

**General**

a. Award will be made on the total sum of Contract Line Item 0001A and the sum of the extensions under Contract Line Items 0001B, 0001C and 0001D. For ITEMS 0001C and 0001D enter unit prices and extended totals in spaces provided. If there is a difference between a unit price and the extended total, the unit price will be held to be the intended bid and the total recomputed accordingly. If an Offeror provides a total but fails to enter a unit price, the total divided by the specified quantity will be held to be the intended unit price.

b. The Government reserves the unilateral right to award ITEM 0002, 0003, 0004, and 0005 to the Contractor at the proposed price within 120 calendar days after the contract award. A firm fixed proposed price is required for ITEM 0001, 0002, 0003, 0004, and 0005. No provision is made for economic price adjustment. If Options are exercised, the contract completion date remains unchanged.

c. Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990). Except when it is determined in accordance with FAR 17.206 (b) not to be in the Government's best interest, the Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the price for the Option(s) to the total price for ITEM 0001. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the Option(s).

d. The Government may reject an offer as nonresponsive if it is materially unbalanced as to prices for the basic requirement and the option quantities. An offer is unbalanced when it is based on prices significantly less than cost for some work and prices which are significantly overstated for other work.

e. If ITEM 0002, 0003, 0004, and 0005 are exercised, additional bonding and consent of surety is required. Consequently, the Performance Bond must reflect 100 percent of the aggregate amount of all Items.

**AMENDMENTS ACKNOWLEDGED**

Amendment No.	Date	Amendment No.	Date	Amendment No.	Date

**Offeror:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Signed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_