

**RESPONSE TO INQUIRES #2**  
**N62473-15-R-0809 A-E SERVICES FOR CERCLA/RCRA/UST**  
**07 APRIL 2015**

1. For Criterion 8, Volume of Work Awarded - The Synopsis states "Offeror's will be evaluated in terms of work previously awarded to the firm by the Department of Defense (DoD) within the past 12 months with the objective of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified A-E firms as appropriate. Submission Requirements: Provide a list of DOD contracts/task orders awarded in the last 12 months to the Firm identified in the SF330 as the Prime." If the "Firm", "Offeror", or "Prime" is a JV, should the two member firms each show their individual volume of work awarded? Or, should it be from the JV, even if the JV has no (or limited) awarded work?

Answer: If the Offeror/Firm is proposing as a Joint Venture (JV), the Offeror/Firm shall provide a list of DoD contracts/task orders that the Offeror/Firm has performed as a JV. Should the JV have limited or no shared contracts/task orders then contracts/task orders shall also be submitted for each JV member.

2. The Synopsis states "Firms must submit proof with the SF-330 that they have filed the Organizational Record Form for businesses whose principal office is in California or for principal offices in other states." For unpopulated JVs, can each member separately submit applicable "organizational record" documentation, or must the JV entity (although unpopulated) be on file in California? (This would be similar to the direction in Criterion 4 (Safety) which states "If the Offeror is a joint venture, information should be submitted as a joint venture. If there is no information for the joint venture, information should be submitted for each joint venture partner.")

Answer: For "unpopulated" JV's, where the JV has not filed an Organizational Record Form, each member shall submit their applicable Organization Record documentation.