RESPONSE TO INQUIRES #4
N62473-15-R-0809 A-E SERVICES FOR CERCLA/RCRA/UST
13 APRIL 2015

1. Criterion 8 Submission Requirement states that "Provide a list of DOD contracts/Task Orders awarded in the last 12 months to the Firm identified in the SF330 as the Prime. Do not include work performed as a Subcontractor." If the DOD award is made to an unpopulated JV, then the award will be shared by the JV members and each will be working as a subcontractor to the JV. In this case, will the awards need to be listed?

Answer: Each joint venture (JV) member is considered as a member of the prime. JV members (those listed in the agreement) are not usually referred to as "subcontractors" in a joint venture relationship. Firms shall include projects where they are a member of a joint venture per JV agreement.

2. "Firms with multiple offices shall indicate which branch office completed each project. Include agency phone numbers and point of contact." This is a bit confusing because the list of awarded contracts/task orders are for verification of volume of work awarded in the past 12 months. It should have nothing to do with "agency". Please clarify the submittal requirement in the quoted sentences. We believe the list should include just the award information (i.e., dollar amount, contract, and office performing the work).

Answer: The first sentence refers to the Firm. In the second sentence "agency" refers to the <u>Government</u> agency, and respective points of contact.

3. Additional Information states that "Firms must submit proof with the SF330 that they have filed the Organizational Record Form for business whose principal office is in California." Please provide instruction as to where (i.e., which section) in the SF330 submittal that the above information shall be provided.

Answer: The Organizational Record Form is to be provided with the SF-330 and will not be counted towards the SF-330 page limitation.

4. Additional Information also requests that similar proof of engineering practice allowed in other states within the AOR also be submitted. Because the current AOR coverage is very large (i.e., SW, plus potentially Pacific, and Atlantic), a SB prime (not a 8A JV with a large firm) meeting the NAICS 541330 size standard of $15M is not possible to have licensing coverage in such a large area. Will this cause a unfavorable rating during the evaluation? If so, we believe this criterion should be revised and/or deleted to meet the objective of SB set-aside procurement. 8a JV with a large firm as a JV partner will have an unfair advantage over a true SB prime.

Answer: The information is necessary to determine the licensing coverage of the Offeror. The synopsis remains unchanged.