

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS #2
N62473-15-R-0813

1. QUESTION: L4 Proposal Submission Requirements (Administrative), Volume I – Price Proposal Milestone Billing. Is a Milestone Billing Schedule required with the submission of the proposal?

RESPONSE: There is not “Price Proposal Milestone Billing” referenced in the solicitation. The question is unfounded.

2. QUESTION: L4 Proposal Submission Requirements (Factors) Factor 5 – Past Performance, Tab A: If the offeror does not have a CPARS for a project, however, the client previously completed a PPQ for it, would NAVFAC consider allowing the offerors to provide this existing PPQ in lieu of having to ask the client to fill out a new one?

RESPONSE: Section L.4, Factor 5- Past Performance allows PPQ’s to be submitted that were previously submitted for other RFPs. The PPQ must be included in full and not incorporated by reference.

3. QUESTION: L4 Proposal Submission Requirements (Factors). Factor 5 – Past Performance, Tab B, (a). If NAVFAC will allow recognition documents/ project awards within the past five (5) years, will NAVFAC also consider either no page limit for “Tab (B) Project Awards” or raise the page limit to 15 single-sided pages to accommodate the additional two (2) years?

RESPONSE: The page limit remains unchanged.

4. QUESTION: Attachment J2: Can the Navy provide current GIS data for the subject ranges and other facilities and roads on the installation?

RESPONSE: GIS data will not be provided.

5. QUESTION: Section J, 4.2 Work Element 2. Does the installation have specific guidance that can be provided on how to place targets?

RESPONSE: See PTO 0001, PWS, Attachment C.

6. QUESTION: Section J, 4.2 Work Element 2. Is there a specific maximum clearance depth for the removal action?

RESPONSE: This is an operational range clearance project, not a “removal action”. There is no maximum depth for clearance.

7. QUESTION: Section J, 4.3 Work Element 3 states ...“It should be noted that the only day the ranges will not be available during this closure time will be on the 20th of Nov. where the ranges will not be available for a continuous six (6) hour period.” What are the hours/ days that field operation can be conducted on the range during the closure?

RESPONSE: For the six hour period the range is not available work can be conducted at the processing and laydown area location at Camp David.

8. QUESTION: Section J, 4.3 Work Element 3 states ...“It should be noted that the only day the ranges will not be available during this closure time will be on the 20th of Nov. where the ranges will not be available for a continuous six (6) hour period.” Will the entire range complex be shut down or is it possible that activities on other ranges could impact access to ranges S-4-1 and S-4-3 during the specialized clearance dates?

RESPONSE: See answer to #7 above.

9. **QUESTION:** Section J, 4.1 Work Element 1 and Work Element 3, : 4.1.1 (pg 72) and 8 (pg 75). Reference is made to a specific “lay-down/ processing area” in para. 8 in WE 1 and in 4.3 it references “processing of all debris will be accomplished in the Camp David area...” Is explosive work for venting/ demil of MPPEH permitted within the Camp David Area?

RESPONSE: All demolition work shall be conducted on the range. Demolition of items shall not be performed within the Camp David area.

10. **QUESTION:** Section J, 4.1 Work Element 1 and Work Element 3, : 4.1.1 (pg 72) and 8 (pg 75). Reference is made to a specific “lay-down/ processing area” in para. 8 in WE 1 and in 4.3 it references “processing of all debris will be accomplished in the Camp David area...” Will a specific area be provided in Camp David for processing material?

RESPONSE: A specific laydown and processing area will be provided at Camp David.

11. **QUESTION:** Section J, 4.1 Work Element 1 and Work Element 3, : 4.1.1 (pg 72) and 8 (pg 75). Reference is made to a specific “lay-down/ processing area” in para. 8 in WE 1 and in 4.3 it references “processing of all debris will be accomplished in the Camp David area...” Is the area for processing secure and does it require any preparation by the contractor, such as the installation of fencing?

RESPONSE: The area is secure, no fencing is required.

12. **QUESTION:** Section J, 4.1 Work Element 1 and Work Element 3, : 4.1.1 (pg 72) and 8 (pg 75). Reference is made to a specific “lay-down/ processing area” in para. 8 in WE 1 and in 4.3 it references “processing of all debris will be accomplished in the Camp David area...” Does the area have access to power, water and other utilities from the installation?

RESPONSE: No utilities are available.

13. **QUESTION:** Section J, 4.3 Work Element 3, states “STANDARD: Clearance of all UXO and munitions debris. Clearance of all range residue larger than four (4) inches in any direction...” For Clearance of all UXO and munitions debris, what is the failure criteria?

RESPONSE: All UXO and munitions debris and any range residue larger than four (4) inches.

14. **QUESTION:** Section J, 4.3 Work Element 3 states. “STANDARD: Recycling Centers must be evaluated by the Contractor and demonstrated to be properly certified and in compliance as Qualified Recyclers according to State/Federal regulations, DODs, policies and/or procedures.” Does the Contractor need to verify the smelting of the material?

RESPONSE: All material shall be rendered to the point of scrap metal and Certification of Destruction is required.

15. **QUESTION:** Section J, 4.3 Work Element 3, Deliverable for Range S-4-3: Is the Contractor responsible for removing the debris stockpiled in the Trench area?

RESPONSE: The Contractor is not responsible for the debris stockpiled in the trench area. The stockpiled debris in the trench, provided in the picture in “Attachment S-4-3 Details”, is no longer present.

16. **QUESTION:** Section L4 Proposal Submission Requirements (Administrative), General, Paragraph: 2nd para, Page number 113; “Offerors are advised that the proposal is “For Official Use Only” and that the proposals are considered Source Selection Sensitive Information; see FAR 2.101 and 3.104 for

further details". In accordance with DoD Manual 5200.01, Vol 4 Subject: DoD Information Security: Program Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), requires that: "Each document determined to contain FOUO information shall identify the originating agency or office. This information shall be clear and complete enough to allow someone receiving the document to contact the office if questions or problems about the designation or markings arise". Is NAVFAC Southwest Environmental Contracts Core (Code RAQE0) considered the originating agency for the purposes of meeting the DoDM 5200.01 requirement?

RESPONSE: NAVFAC SW Environmental Contracts Core (Code RAQE0) is the originating agency for this requirement.

17. **QUESTION:** Tab A, Project Data Sheets; Is it permissible to add headers/footers on the project data sheet forms provided (adding these might be helpful in case pages become separated).

RESPONSE: Headers and footers are allowable on the Project Data Sheets provided they only include administrative information such as the company name, page number, and tab number. Headers and footers may not include information required in the content of the proposal.

18. **QUESTION:** 'The following information shall be included in each Volume (Disk/ Binder) and tabbed/ labeled accordingly'. In reading over the content requirements/instructions in Section L, we are seeing a variety of alphanumeric outlining across the various factors. For example, p. 118 of the RFP indicates Factor 2, Tab A, Technical Approach Narrative, is to address elements 1) through 5); in contrast, Page 120 of the RFP indicates that Tab A, Contract Management, must detail "a. Organization, b. Quality Control System" etc, Must the proposal map exactly to this same numbering scheme in the RFP, or may we please generate our own outline numbering as long as our content is provided in the order given in Section L?

RESPONSE: The proposal shall map the exact numbering scheme as stated in the RFP.

19. **QUESTION:** Inclusion of 'Front Matter Pages', In the RFP, we are not finding a requirement to include front matter in our proposal (e.g. a Table of Contents, a List of Acronyms, and the like). May we provide this information and exclude it from the page count ?

RESPONSE: A table of contents and acronym list may be included the proposal and is excluded from the page count.

20. **QUESTION:** 1.7.2 Key Personnel Qualifications, UXO Program Director Minimal Qualifications, Page 8, Minimal qualifications include the following: An undergraduate degree in engineering, physical science or business, Ten (10) years of experience managing large single award and/or multiple award task orders involving multiple UXO teams working concurrent at multiple locations, Five (5) years of which as a senior manager working on large scale UXO clearance and processing projects similar in scope, size and complexity as those described in the PWS. If the selected Program Director is a retired Army Explosive Ordnance Disposal Colonel and has an undergraduate degree and 2 Master's degrees but not in engineering, physical science or business, does that meet the intent of the education requirement?

RESPONSE: The requirement remains unchanged.

21. Section J, 4.3.3 Residue Removal and Disposition pg. 76, a) **DELIVERABLE:** The Contractor shall process, demilitarize, certify and recycle all range residue (to include munitions debris and range related debris) generated as part of this project. Can the Government give an estimated quantity of materials to be, demilitarize, certified and recycle that was generated as part of this project. So that all contractors can have a baseline quantity for this task order.

RESPONSE: The quantity is unknown.

22. **QUESTION:** Section L4: For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are defined as the Offeror having specialized experience in the following components:

- Operational range clearance of UXO and Material Presenting a Potential Explosive Hazard (MPPEH) from active military ranges
- Inspection, certification, and recycling of munitions debris/target scrap that is considered MPPEH and has been certified as Material Documented as Safe (MDAS)
- Target removal and replacement

Will projects that required the preparation of targets for placement on live firing ranges be relevant to the above requirements?

RESPONSE: Projects that only involve the preparation of targets for placement on live firing ranges will not be considered relevant.

23. **QUESTION:** Please confirm that it is acceptable to provide two task orders at one site under the same ID/IQ contract as two separate project data Sheet descriptions. Also confirm that it is acceptable to provide two task orders at one site under two separate ID/IQ contracts as two separate project descriptions.

RESPONSE: Please review the definition of a project, as found in Section L4, Proposal Submission Requirements (Factors), b. Non-Price Factors, Factor 1 – Experience of the Offeror. “A project is defined as a single task order or single contract.” Task orders may not be combined as a single project.

24. **QUESTION:** Can the proposal due date be extended to Friday May 19, 2015?

RESPONSE: The proposal due date remains unchanged; 16 June 2015.

25. **QUESTION:** In order to avoid placing undue additional tasks on our clients, please consider allowing a pre-existing PPQ to be submitted in lieu of the Exhibit E, new PPQ for a specific project.

RESPONSE: Please reference the requirements in Section L and see answer #3 above.

26. **QUESTION:** For Range S-4-1, the perimeter of the demo area contains a soil berm with concrete and metal debris mixed in. Will the contractor be responsible for removing all the metal and concrete within this berm and reshaping the berm once complete?

RESPONSE: The perimeter soil berm is not part of the project.

27. **QUESTION:** RFP page 75, paragraph 4.3.1.a Deliverable requires “Surface/subsurface clearance as required to remove all range related debris and munitions debris from the 10 acres of buffer area surrounding the S-4-1 range.” During the site visit the requirement was stated as a surface clearance only. Please specify

RESPONSE: Range S-4-1 is a surface clearance effort. That said, some subsurface work might be necessary to safely remove surface debris.

28. **QUESTION:** RFP page 75, paragraph 4.3.1.b Deliverable requires “Surface/subsurface clearance as required to remove all range related debris and munitions debris from the S-4-3 range.” We understand from the site walk that the subsurface clearance requirement is limited to crew safety during placement of the new targets and that there is no requirement to conduct a subsurface removal of the entire range. Please clarify.

RESPONSE: For S-4-3 surface clearance of the entire range is required. Subsurface clearance shall be conducted as required to safely place the new Government provided targets. There is not requirement to conduct a subsurface removal of the entire S-4-3 range.

29. QUESTION: RFP page 76, paragraph 4.3.2 “REQUIREMENTS: The Contractor shall provide fully trained and qualified UXO clearance teams and all equipment and materials necessary to safely surface/subsurface clear and place the targets.” We understand the requirement to conduct subsurface removal is limited to the safe placement of new targets and not subsurface removal for its own sake. Please clarify.

RESPONSE: Subsurface removal is limited to the safe placement of new targets.

30. QUESTION: NOSSA Training MPPEH Certification. During the site walk the government indicated the contractors would be required to have the NOSSA MPPEH Training to be able to certify the material as MDAS. Currently only holder of CAC cards have access to this training.

RESPONSE: NOSSA MPPEH is NOT a requirement for this work

31. QUESTION: Will the Government Issue CAC cards, at award, for contract personnel to attend the training, or will the government setup onsite training at Camp Billy Machen.

RESPONSE: See response to Question 32 above.

32. QUESTION: If Camp Billy Machen is awarded as an Option to the successful bidder for the seed project, has there been any previous certification process performed on the filler for the 20mm projects prior to them being stored at Camp David?

RESPONSE: Existing materials at Camp David will not be part of this PWS.

33. QUESTION: Health and Safety, Section 4.7 of the SOW shows that a CIH and/or a CSP can sign safety documents. Per EM 385-1-1 (Nov. 2014) Section 33 - Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER), 33.C - Responsibilities, 33.C.01 – The Safety and Health Manager (SHM) must meet the qualifications and fulfill the responsibilities for hazardous waste operations. The SHM, dependent upon the contaminant-related hazards on the project shall be a Certified Industrial Hygienist (CIH), Certified Safety Professional (CSP) or Certified Health Physicist (CHP). In addition, per Appendix Q – Definitions of EM 385-1-1, the Site Safety and Health Manager (SHM) is a CIH, CSP or CHP responsible for the development and enforcement of the Accident Prevention Plan (APP) and SSHP appendix for Hazardous, Toxic, Radioactive Waste (HTRW) activities. Please confirm a CSP meets the contract requirements?

RESPONSE: See Amendment 01, question #7.

34. QUESTION: Will the Navy consider reducing the number of years of experience for the UXO Program Director or consider experience of managing federal programs in addition to UXO as part of the 10 years?

RESPONSE: The requirement remains unchanged.

35. QUESTION: As discussed in the site visit, will the navy consider reducing/removing the college graduate degree requirement for the UXO program director?

RESPONSE: The requirement remains unchanged.

36. QUESTION: Will the Navy consider active duty experience by formerly commissioned EOD officers in lieu of the UXO Director College degree requirement?

RESPONSE: The requirement remains unchanged.

37. QUESTION: Will the Navy consider formerly commissioned EOD officer range management, clearance and managing of UXO teams for part of the required 10 years of experience for the UXO Program Director?

RESPONSE: The requirement remains unchanged.

38. QUESTION: Table A, Exhibit 1; During the industry day presentation the Navy showed project manager requirements as being 3 years of MMRP management experience. Is it possible to resort back to the industry day requirements or revise these to be 5 years of project management but only 3 years of MMRP project management, similar to the industry day requirements?

RESPONSE: The requirement remains unchanged.

39. QUESTION: Can the Navy define the lateral limits for buffer zone and overshoot area of S-4-1?

RESPONSE: See PTO 0001, PWS, Attachment D.

40. QUESTION: Can the Navy define the disposal of the large tire pile is to be included with the S-4-3 base cost or if it should be included in the option?

RESPONSE: See Amendment 01, Question #9.

41. QUESTION: Is the option items part of technical approach page count?

RESPONSE: There are not option items at this time.

42. QUESTION: Can the Navy clarify that everything in the Camp David RHA is part of the option?

RESPONSE: Camp David Range Holding Area (RHA) work is not included in the PWS.

43. QUESTION: Can the processing and shipping of all range debris from S-4-1 and S-4-3 be done within the RHA, not to be comingled with any existing materiel?

RESPONSE: The processing and shipping of all range debris from S-4-1 and S-4-3 can be done within the RHA. Existing material is not included in the PWS for this project.

44. QUESTION: For the Seed Project, will Government provide radios for range control?

RESPONSE: The Government will provide radios through MCAS Yuma.

45. QUESTION: Will the Government provide a place to store explosives?

RESPONSE: The Government will provide storage space in a Ready Storage Locker.

46. QUESTION: Should we require an office trailer located at Camp David will power be provided?

RESPONSE: Power will not be provided.

47. QUESTION: If live items are found at Camp David, how will that be handled?

RESPONSE: Not applicable. Camp David work is not included in the PWS for this project.

48. QUESTION: When the ranges are closed, will new munitions be fired impeding work we have conducted?

RESPONSE: No new munitions will be fired on S-4-1 or S-4-3 during the six hour closure.

49. QUESTION: What kind of targets will we be placing on S-4-3?

RESPONSE: 2D BMP silhouettes.

50. QUESTION: On the Navy's description of Target Replacement as a Specialized Experience in the Solicitation for Sections L and M Factor 1: Target Replacement can be and often is self-performed by Range Control/ Installations', outside of Relevant MPPEH/Range Clearance task order work. After Relevant Target Clearance and Removal, Conventional UXO Construction Support is used for Target Insertion and Replacement, same as a host of infrastructure work such as utility installation. Target Removal requires specific Range Sustainability and MPPEH protocols and procedures that are the intent of the RSREMAC II. Target Replacement is a non-MPPEH construction like operation that can be self-performed, often done by specialty and/or local subcontractors based on cost driven consideration. Request, while retaining the Target Replacement scope as appropriate throughout the Solicitation would the Government consider removing this as "Specialized Experience" in Sections L and M Factor 1? The result would better reflect RSREMAC II Specialized Experience Relevant scope from a construction/infrastructure scope item. The PTOs Target Replacement Technical Approach does allow firms to distinguish themselves to the Navy on this scope item.

RESPONSE: The requirement and RFP remains unchanged.

51. QUESTION: How are firms going to be evaluated by the Navy on the ability to perform task activities listed in Section C 1.6? Specifically, in what Factor should this experience be addressed?

RESPONSE: Firms shall be evaluated on the experience as specified in Sections L and M, Factor 1, Experience of the Offeror.

52. QUESTION: It is assumed that this experience (Section C 1.6) must be from the Prime, similarly to Operational Range Clearance experience, please confirm.

RESPONSE: As stated in Section L, Experience shall be for the Offeror as the Prime contractor self-performing the work or the Offeror as a Subcontractor self-performing the work.

53. QUESTION: Will you consider an additional 3 pages within the proposal be allowed to present relevant experience from the Prime as it pertains to performing task activities listed in Section C 1.6?

RESPONSE: No additional pages will be considered.