

(Revised June 16, 2016)

SOLICITATION NO. N62478-16-R-5027

Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity Architect-Engineer Design Contract
for Projects at Various Locations, NAVFAC HAWAII Areas

ALL INFORMATION NEEDED FOR INTERESTED PARTIES TO SUBMIT A STANDARD FORM (SF) 330, ARCHITECT-ENGINEER QUALIFICATIONS, IS CONTAINED HEREIN. THERE IS NO RFP PACKAGE TO DOWNLOAD.

DESCRIPTION: Architect-Engineer (A-E) services are required for an Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Contract to include design and engineering services for multi-discipline projects at various locations under the cognizance of NAVFAC Hawaii. NAVFAC Hawaii AOR includes the state of Hawaii, however, on special occasions, work may also be required in other areas outside of Hawaii. Required A-E services are primarily civil in nature, but a strong multi-discipline architect-engineering team is required. Services include, but not limited to, design and engineering services for new construction/repair/alteration of facilities, site development/waterfront/airfield facilities, infrastructure systems (including water, sewer, drainage, electrical, and communication), and energy conservation, at various locations under the cognizance of NAVFAC Hawaii. Specified design and engineering services include, but are not limited to, the execution and delivery of Military Construction (MILCON) project documentation (DD Form 1391); Functional Analysis and Concept Development (FACD)/Design Charrettes; Design-Build (DB) Request for Proposal (RFP) solicitation documents; Design-Bid-Build (DBB)/Final plans and specifications contract documents; technical surveys and reports including concept studies, site engineering investigation, topographical survey, geotechnical investigation, hazardous material survey, munitions of explosive concern (MEC) survey, hydrographic survey, anti-terrorism/force protection, landscape design and others; construction cost estimates (including Bill of Material Quantity estimates); cost certification; operation and maintenance manuals; Collateral Equipment (CEQ) Buy Packages; Comprehensive Interior Design (CID) including Structural Interior Design (SID) and Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment (FF&E); as-built drawings; and Post Construction Award Services (PCAS). PCAS consist of technical consultation during construction, including, but not limited to, review of construction submittals, site visits, operations and maintenance support information, record drawings, and other miscellaneous services.

All work shall be performed in accordance with applicable Federal, State, and local policy, guidance, regulations, and laws including, but not limited to, the Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) requirements.

Evaluation and selection of the most highly qualified firm will be based on the selection criteria stated herein. The A-E must demonstrate its qualifications with respect to the published selection criteria.

SELECTION CRITERIA AND SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS: The following selection criteria, in descending order of importance, will be used to evaluate the qualifications of the A-E firms:

Criterion (1). Professional Qualifications and Specialized Experience and Technical Competence of Key Personnel.

(a) Professional Qualifications: Professional qualifications of key personnel proposed for the design team. Key personnel are identified in the following disciplines: Civil, Structural, Architectural, Geotechnical, Fire Protection, Mechanical, and Electrical.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part I, Section E. Identify key personnel for the design team proposed for the design of base development facility projects. Submit professional qualifications for key personnel identified, including role in this contract, number of years of relevant experience, firm name and location, education for highest relevant academic degree received, and active U.S. professional registration (include State and discipline). For Cost Estimator, U.S. professional registration is not required.

(b) Specialized Experience and Technical Competence: Key personnel specialized experience and technical competence in the design of base development facility projects, approximately \$20 million or more in construction value per project/contract with Professional Services completed within the past ten years.

Relevant base development facility projects shall include new construction/repair/ alteration of facilities, site development/waterfront/airfield facilities, infrastructure systems (including water, sewer, drainage, electrical, and communication), and energy conservation type projects in Hawaii and similar tropical environments in the Pacific Ocean area.

Professional Services for design/engineering shall include execution and delivery of Military Construction (MILCON) project documentation (DD Form 1391); Functional Analysis and Concept Development (FACD)/Design Charrettes; Design-Build (DB) Request for Proposal (RFP) solicitation documents; and Design-Bid-Build (DBB)/Final plans and specifications contract documents. Professional Services for base development facility projects do not have to be within a single project scope; however, base development facility projects that contain several or all of these services will be given more favorable consideration than projects that contain only individual services.

NOTE: Submission of more variety in the types of base development facility projects will be more favorably considered. Base development facility projects designed and constructed in tropical environments similar to Hawaii and similar tropical environments in the Pacific Ocean area with demonstrated understanding of facility sustainment (i.e. energy savings) will be considered more favorably. Base development facility projects designed and constructed on a military base will be considered more favorably. Specialized experience of the key personnel of the prime will be given more consideration than sub-contractors.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part 1, Section E. Each Section E shall include a maximum of five completed relevant projects in which the person had a significant role that demonstrates the person's capability relevant to his/her proposed role in this contract. These projects do not necessarily have to be any of the projects presented in Section F. Provide title, location, years design and engineering services and construction were completed, description of relevant A-E services rendered, size, cost (A-E services and construction value), the persons Specific Role in the project, the relevant base facility type (i.e. lift station, waterfront, etc.), and execution type (i.e. Design-Build, Design-Bid-Build). For Design-Build projects indicate whether services performed were pre-award (preparation of RFP) or post-award (Designer of Record). If a project description does not clearly define the work performed (including the specialized design and engineering service) or if a project does not provide the requested data, accessible points of contact, or valid phone numbers, that project may be evaluated less favorably. If more than five projects are submitted for evaluation, the Government will evaluate the first five projects and disregard any other project information after the first five projects. Projects demonstrating specialized design and engineering services must be approximately \$20 million or more in construction value, with Professional Services completed within the past ten (10) years per project/contract. A project is defined as either a stand-alone contract or a single task order in an Indefinite Delivery Indefinite

Quantity (IDIQ) contract having the features described above. For IDIQs, the Government will not evaluate information provided for an IDIQ contract and will only evaluate individual task order information.

Criterion (2). Firm's Specialized Recent Experience.

Firm's specialized recent experience in the design of relevant base development facility projects, approximately \$20 million or more in construction value, with Professional Services completed within the past ten (10) years per project/contract. See Criterion (1) above for description of relevant base development facility projects and Professional Services.

NOTE: Submission of more variety in the types of base development facility projects will be more favorably considered. Base development facility projects designed and constructed in tropical environments similar to Hawaii and similar tropical environments in the Pacific Ocean area with demonstrated understanding of facility sustainment specifically regarding energy savings will be considered more favorably. A subcontractors or sub-consultants specialized experience will not be given the same level of consideration as the prime contractor or the JV partner(s). The DOR on a Design-Build construction project will not be given the same level of consideration as the prime contractor. Prime contractor-sub-contractor/JVs/LLCs/LPs with a demonstrated history of working successfully together on prior projects may be considered more favorably than those without such history.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part 1, Section F. Submit a maximum of ten (10) completed relevant projects, approximately \$20 million or more in construction value, which best demonstrate the firm's recent specialized experience in the design of base development facility projects AND Professional Services, described above in Criterion (1) above. Professional Services must have been completed within the past ten years. A project is defined as either a stand-alone contract or a single task order in an Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract having the features described above. For IDIQs, the Government will not evaluate information provided for an IDIQ contract and will only evaluate individual task order information. If more than ten projects are submitted for evaluation, the Government will evaluate the first ten projects and disregard any other project information after the first ten projects. Each project will include: (1) project title; (2) location; (3) years design and engineering services and construction were completed; (4) project owner; (5) point-of-contact name, e-mail address, and phone number; (6) contract number &/or project identification number; (7) contract period of performance; (8) A-E contract award amount and construction value; (9) general description of project; and (10) detailed description of specialized design and engineering which addresses the relevance of the project to this proposed contract. Identify the relevant base facility type (i.e. lift station, waterfront, etc.) and execution type (i.e. Design-Build, Design-Bid-Build). For Design-Build projects, indicate whether services performed were pre-award (preparation of RFP) or post-award (Designer of Record). If a project description does not clearly define the work performed (including the specialized design and engineering service) or if a project does not provide the requested data, accessible points of contact, or valid phone numbers, that project may be evaluated less favorably. If the project description does not clearly delineate the work performed, the project will be evaluated less favorably. If a project does not provide requested data, accessible point-of-contact, or valid phone number, that project may be evaluated less favorably. Section F, Block 24 shall include a discussion of which firm executed the project as pertinent to demonstrating the offeror's specialized experience. Section F, Block 25(3), ROLE, shall clearly identify firm's roles as the prime contractor and sub-contractors are (e.g., Civil Prime Contractor or Civil sub-contractor).

If a project was performed by a joint-venture (JV), and all JV partners are not on the proposed team, specifically address the work performed on the project submitted by the proposed JV partner(s). If the project description does not clearly delineate the work performed by the proposed JV partner(s), the project will be evaluated less favorably. Similarly, if the proposed JV partner(s) worked as a subcontractor on a project, specifically address the work performed by the proposed JV partner(s) on the project submitted. If a project does not provide requested data, accessible point-of-contact, or valid phone number, that project may be evaluated less favorably. If the A-E firm is a JV, information should be submitted for projects performed by the JV. If the JV does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for each JV partner. Failure to submit experience for all JV partners will be evaluated less favorably.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part 1, Section G. Provide depiction which key personnel identified in Section E worked on the example projects listed in Section F.

Criterion (3). Past performance on contracts with Government agencies and private industry in terms of quality of work, cost control, compliance with performance schedules, and cooperation and responsiveness.

Firms will be evaluated on past performance on projects for base development facility projects relevant to Criterion (2) above with respect to work quality, compliance with schedules, cost control, and cooperation and responsiveness.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part 1, Section F & H. For each relevant project submitted pertaining to Criterion (2), Firm's Specialized Recent Experience, submit a [Contractor Performance Assessment Report \(CPAR\) or DD2631, Performance Evaluation \(Architect Engineer\)](#). In Section F, include the Performance Evaluation immediately after each project that it is associated to. If a [CPAR or DD2631](#) is not available, provide a Performance Survey (download from NECO website <https://www.neco.navy.mil>) and submit the Performance Survey with the SF330. NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES previously completed for other announcements/RFPs may also be submitted. The [CPAR, DD2631](#) or Performance Survey provided in Section F will not be counted towards the SF330 page limitation. The Performance Survey shall be from the contracting agent and/or their representative responsible for the construction contract administration, or from the facility owner/user. It shall not be from the prime contractor on a design-build project nor shall it be from a prime contractor to a subcontractor. Past performance information not related to the projects described to meet Criterion (2) will not be considered. Past performance information provided in Section F for subcontractor's or sub-consultant's past performance will not be given the same level of consideration as the prime contractor or the JV partner(s). Past performance information pertaining to a non-relevant base development facility project may be given less consideration. Letters of commendation or awards are not required, and if submitted, will not be considered in the evaluation. The information provided in the SF330 may provide the major portion of the information used in the Government's evaluation for past performance. The Government however, is not restricted to the information provided by the SF330 and may use other sources to assess past performance information such as the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS), Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) and inquiries with previous customers/owners. PPIRS/CPARS will be evaluated for the prime A-E firm, JV firm, or JV partner(s) that will perform the work and search will be based upon the DUNS number provided for the prime A-E firm, JV firm or JV partner(s). PPIRS/CPARS of sub-contractors will not be evaluated. If the A-E received any less than satisfactory past performance evaluations from customers/owners, it is incumbent upon the A-E to provide an explanation of the rating and what the A-E has done or will do to preclude less than satisfactory ratings on future contracts.

Criterion (4). Capacity to perform the work in the required time and ability to accomplish multiple projects concurrently.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part 1, Section H. Address staffing and capacity to accomplish the work under this IDIQ contract. Address how the firm organizational construct is most efficient and effective in the execution of work. Describe the proposed team's ability to complete several large and small task orders concurrently requiring quick turnaround. Indicate the firm's current workload and the availability of the prime and sub-consultants for the specified performance period.

Criterion (5). Knowledge and demonstrated experience in applying sustainability concepts and principles to facilities and infrastructure problems through an integrated design approach.

This includes knowledge of current third party certification (TPC) programs provided by U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) LEED, Green Building Initiative (GBI) Green Globes, or GBI Guiding Principles Compliance (GPC) as required by Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 1-200-02 High Performance and Sustainable Building Requirements and Low Impact Development (LID) requirements.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part I, Section H. Provide specific examples on a maximum of five completed projects that applied sustainability concepts and principles through an integrated design approach. Provide a maximum of five projects that have attained or been submitted for third party certification (TPC). For projects not yet certified, identify the TPC program and date the project was submitted. For all projects, identify the team's major contribution(s) (design elements, features, equipment, etc.) in attainment of the certification. If more than five projects are submitted for evaluation, the Government will evaluate the first five projects and disregard any other project information after the first five projects. Third party certified projects will be considered more favorably than projects not yet certified. Discuss key sustainable design personnel experience and qualifications.

Criterion (6). A-E's Design Quality Control Program (DQCP).

Firms will be evaluated on the acceptability of their internal design quality control program used to ensure technical accuracy of drawings, specifications, cost estimates and other required technical data.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part 1, Section H. Describe the design quality control organization structure; and list the responsible personnel. Discuss the methodology that will be used to eliminate errors, omissions, interferences, and inconsistencies between all design disciplines and consultants; inconsistencies between drawings, specifications, and cost estimates; and for the incorporation of the latest criteria, lessons learned and review comments. Briefly describe how the prime A-E will ensure quality consistently across the entire team and how quality of subcontractors work is assured.

Criterion (7). Small Business Utilization:

SUB-CRITERION (A): The extent to which the proposal demonstrates the Offeror's level of past performance in utilizing Small Business (SB) concerns, AbilityOne, Mentor-Protege Agreements, and other socio-economic programs, as defined in FAR Parts 26.1 and 26.3, in subcontracting, and in meeting established Small Business subcontracting goals.

SUB-CRITERION (B): The following will be evaluated for all submissions:

- 1) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates maximum practicable participation of SBs in terms of the total value of the acquisition, including options.
- 2) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates a commitment to use SB concerns that are specifically identified in the proposal, including but not limited to use of mentor-protege programs.
- 3) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates SB participation in a variety of industries expected during the performance of work.
- 4) The realism of the proposal to meet the proposed goals.

The following will be evaluated for Large Business firms:

- 1) The extent to which the proposal provides Small Business Subcontracting goals that meet or exceed the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, and utilization of AbilityOne Community Rehabilitation Program (CRP) organizations. Proposals that provide goals exceeding the NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets may be rated higher. The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets for FY2016 are as follows: SB 65.00%, SDB 15.00%, WOSB 15.00%, HUBZone 6.00%, SDVOSB 5.00%.
- 2) The extent to which the proposer's Small Business Subcontracting Plan establishes reasonable efforts demonstrating the subcontracting targets can be met during the performance of the contract.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS:
SF330, Part I, Section H.

SUB-CRITERION (A), PAST PERFORMANCE IN UTILIZATION OF SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS: Address ALL elements of the requirements stated below (1. through 4.) and include an explanation why that element is not addressed.

- 1) Provide performance evaluation ratings (i.e., CPARS, DD2626, or equivalent) obtained on the implementation of small business subcontracting plans for all of the projects referenced under Criterion (3), Past Performance. Recently completed project evaluations are desired, however, in the absence of recently completed project evaluations, interim ratings for projects that are 80% complete may be considered. If more than five evaluation ratings are provided, only the first five will be considered. In addition, the Government may consider past performance information on other projects as made available to the Government from other sources (such as the PPIRS, etc.) The CPARS, DD2626 or equivalent survey forms provided in Section H will not be counted towards the SF330 page limitation.

2) Provide small business subcontracting history. Large businesses with Federal prime contracting experience shall provide final or current Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts (SF294) or Individual Subcontracting Reports (ISRs) on prime (only) contracts submitted under Criterion (3), Past Performance. If submitted contracts under Criterion (3) are not prime contracts, submit SF294s or ISRs for contracts of similar scope performed as the prime contractor. If goals were not met on any submitted contracts, an explanation for each unmet goal is required. Large Businesses with no documented SF294/ISR history shall submit a subcontracting history, Small Business Past Performance, which can be downloaded at <https://www.neco.navy.mil>. The SF294, ISR or Small Business Past Performance forms provided in Section H will not be counted towards the SF330 page limitation.

3) Small Business proposers shall provide a subcontracting history, Small Business Past Performance, which can be downloaded at <https://www.neco.navy.mil>. The Small Business Past Performance forms provided in Section H will not be counted towards the SF330 page limitation.

4) If utilizing past performance information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LP member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the solicitation), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LP member companies will have meaningful participation of all members in the management of the subcontracting program/plan by identifying the personnel or resources from the member companies that will be dedicated to managing the plan, and an organization chart which demonstrates the reporting chain within the membership.

If the Prime is a Joint Venture, Partnership, LLC or other entity consisting of more than one entity, provide past performance information, elements 1 through 4 above, for each individual business entity(ies) that will be responsible for managing the subcontracting program/plan.

Responses including information on any of the following additional elements may be considered more favorably:

1) Provide information on national-level, and industry-issued awards that offerors received for outstanding support to SB concerns within the past five (5) years. Include purpose, issuer, and date of award(s). National and industry-issued awards received beyond five (5) years will not be considered.

2) Provide information on previous, existing, planned or pending mentor-protégé agreements (MPA) under any Federal Government, or other, program held within the last five years. Information should include, at a minimum, the members, objectives, period of performance, and major accomplishments during the MPA.

3) Provide information on past use of Community Rehabilitation Program (CRP) organizations certified under the AbilityOne Program by SourceAmerica, or the National Industry for the Blind (NIB). Information should include the contract type, type of work performed, period of performance, and number of employed severely handicapped persons.

SUB-CRITERION (B), PARTICIPATION OF SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS IN THIS PROJECT:

1) Identify, in terms of dollar value and percentage of total proposed price (NTE \$30,000,000), the extent of work you will perform as the prime contractor. If submitting as a Joint-Venture (JV) or LLC, please identify the percentage of work each member will be responsible for and indicate the size status of each member, e.g., LB, SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, etc.

2) Address planned usage of small business concerns, including SDB, HUBZone, WOSB, VOSB, SDVOSB and HBCU/MI. Small Business Subcontracting Targets that meet or exceed the NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, and a commitment to using SBs, including AbilityOne CRP organizations and mentor-protégé agreements for this project. Proposed goals exceeding the NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets may be rated higher. The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The FY2016 NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets for this project are as follows: SB 65.00%, SDB 15.00%, WOSB 15.00%, HUBZone 6.00%, SDVOSB 5.00%. Percentages are expressed in total subcontracted value.

NOTE:

Slated Large Business firms will be required to submit a subcontracting plan prior to the interview.

Definitions: “SB” as used herein, is intended to include Small Business concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business concerns (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business concerns (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business concerns (HUBZone), Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (VOSB), and Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (SDVOSB). All small business programs are self-certifying programs with the exception of HUBZone certifications, see HUBZone SB Certifications below.

Small Business Program requirements and definitions may be found in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 19.

HUBZone SB Certifications: Offerors are reminded that HUBZone SB concerns must obtain formal certification from the Small Business Administration (SBA) if they expect to receive the evaluation benefits associated with the HUBZone SB programs either as a prime or subcontractor(s). For more information on the HUBZone SB certification requirements and available benefits, contact your local SBA representative. Certified HUBZone SB firms are listed on the U.S. Small Business Administration Dynamic Small Business Search (DSBS) website at http://web.sba.gov/pro-net/search/dsp_dsbs.cfm. It is the responsibility of the prime contractor to periodically check the DSBS as certifications are subject to change.

Criterion (8). Firm’s location in the general geographical area of the project and knowledge of the locality of the project; provided, that application of this criterion leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part 1, Section H. Indicate location of office that will be performing the work including sub-consultants offices. Describe and illustrate the team’s knowledge of the geographical area. Address ability of the firm to ensure timely response to requests for on-site support.

Criterion (9). Volume of work previously awarded to the firm in the last twelve months by the Department of Defense shall also be considered, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of Department of Defense A-E contracts among qualified A-E firms and firms that have not had Department of Defense contracts.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT:

SF330, Part 1, Section H. Provide a list of DoD contracts awarded in the last 12 months as a Prime A-E &/or JV Prime A-E. Include dollar amount for each contract/task order awarded. Firms with multiple offices shall indicate which office was awarded the contract/task order. (End of selection criteria)

Include the following items in Section H, Block 30 of the SF 330, unless otherwise indicated: (1) A summary of qualifications in narrative discussion addressing each of the above selection evaluation criteria. Additional information provided for each criterion shall be clearly identified with the corresponding criterion number and criterion description. (2) An organization chart of the proposed team showing the names and roles of all key personnel listed in Section E with the associated firm as listed in Section C. Provide organization chart in Section D. (3) Your DUNS, CAGE, and TIN numbers. (4) Evidence that your firm is permitted by law to practice the professions of architecture or engineering, i.e., State, registration number, and years valid. (5) A statement verifying ability to meet all security clearance(s) requirements for access to military property/sites/installations, including the Shipyard Controlled Industrial Area (CIA).

COMPLETE ALL PARTS OF SF330.

Firms that elect to propose as a formal Joint Ventures (JV), Limited Liability Companies (LLC), and Limited Partnerships (LP) shall submit the following additional documentation regarding their business entities:

- a. A copy of the JV, LLC or LP agreement.
- b. A detailed statement outlining the following in terms of percentages where appropriate:
 - (1) The relationship of the team/partners/parties in terms of business ownership, capital contribution, profit distribution or loss sharing.
 - (2) The management approach in terms of who will conduct, direct, supervise, and control.
 - (3) The structure and decision-making responsibilities of the partners/parties in terms of who will control the manner and method of performance of work.
 - (4) Identify (by name and title) the personnel having the authority to legally bind the partners/parties (including authority to execute the contract documents).
- c. A list of partners/parties, to include company name, DUNS and CAGE numbers, address, point of contact, Email address, phone number and facsimile number.

The JV/LLC/LP agreement will not be counted towards the SF330 page limitation.

This announcement is UNRESTRICTED and open to large and small business concerns. The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code is 541330. The small business size standard is \$15.0 million average annual receipts over the past three years. If a large business is selected for this contract, a subcontracting plan will be required (FAR 52.219-9).

The planned contract type is an Indefinite Delivery-Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) type contract where work will be ordered via task orders on an as-needed basis during the life of the contract provided the Government and the contractor agree on the amount. Each task order will be a firm fixed price A-E contract. The duration of the contract will be for one (1) base year from the date of initial contract award with four (4) additional one-year option periods (if exercised). The total amount that may be paid under this contract (including option years) will not exceed \$30,000,000 for the entire contract term. The options may be exercised within the time frame specified in the resultant contract at the sole discretion of the Government subject to workload and/or acceptable A-E performance under the subject contract. There will be no future synopsis in the event the options are exercised. The minimum guarantee for the entire contract term (including option years) is \$10,000 and will be satisfied by the award of the initial Task Order or with a seed project negotiated and awarded concurrently with the basic IDIQ contract. There will be no dollar limit per task order and no dollar limit per year. No other general notification to firms for other similar projects performed under this contract will be made.

Estimated construction cost of project is between \$25,000 and \$50,000,000. Estimated date of contract award is September 2016.

All contractors are advised that registration in the System for Award Management (SAM) database is required prior to award of a contract. Failure to register in the SAM database may render your firm ineligible for award. For more information, check the SAM Website at <http://sam.gov>. Completion of electronic annual representations and certifications are also mandatory prior to award of a contract. Refer to FAR 52.204-8 Annual Representations and Certifications.

The selected A-E firm may be required to participate in a pre-fee meeting within seven days of notification and provide a fee proposal within ten days of the meeting. The contract will require that the selected A-E firm have e-mail and Internet on-line access for routine exchange of correspondence. Fee proposals may be subject to an advisory audit performed by the Defense Contract Audit Agency.

The awarded contract will be subject to specific provisions addressing the avoidance of organizational conflicts of interest, including NFAS 5252.209-9300 Organizational Conflicts of Interest.

The selected firm, its subsidiaries or affiliates may be tasked to assist in the preparation of a statement of work, a plan, or a specification for a construction project. This includes concept design, which includes preparation of project programming documents (DD 1391), facility studies, environment assessments, or other activities that result in identification of project scope and cost. The selected firm, its subsidiaries or affiliates that design or prepare specifications for a construction contract cannot provide the construction services for the same contract. The prime firm and consultants for this contract will be required to perform throughout the contract term.

Interested firms must allow sufficient time for receipt of submission.

Late responses will be handled in accordance with FAR 52.215-1.

Electronic (e-mail, facsimile, etc.) submissions are not authorized.

All questions should be submitted in writing and forwarded via e-mail to Ms. Alice Mende, Alice.Mende@navy.mil. Questions submitted within 10 calendar days of the closing date may not be answered by the Government.

All potential offerors are advised to check daily the Navy Electronic Commerce Online (NECO) website, <https://www.neco.navy.mil> or Federal Business Opportunities website, <https://www.fbo.gov/>, for any additional modifications pertaining to this pre-solicitation notice.

A-E firms meeting the requirements described in this announcement are invited to submit a completed Standard Form 330, Architect-Engineer Qualifications (all sections of Parts I & II). SF 330, Part II, shall be submitted for each firm identified in Part I, Section C. The printed format shall be in an 8-1/2 x 11 paper format, bound in a 3-ring binder, typed with a minimum 10-point font size, and one-sided. The Cover Page or Transmittal Sheet is limited to one (1) page. Number each page. Except for the Organization Chart, sheets larger than 8-1/2" X 11" including fold-out sheets will not be accepted. Any other information submitted such as company brochures, leaflets, etc., will not be considered. Your SF 330 submission is limited to 100 pages. Two copies of your SF 330 are required: One paper copy and one electronic copy on a CD.

The submittal/delivery address is Commander, NAVFAC HAWAII (Code OPHA1), 400 Marshall Road, JBPHH, HI 96860-3139, (A-E Solicitation No. N62478-16-R-5027). Hand delivery will not be accepted. The delivery address is inside a military installation, therefore, strict security measures are in force and delays should be anticipated.

This solicitation requires all interested firms to have an email address. Notifications will be sent via e-mail; therefore, an e-mail address must be shown on the SF 330, Part 1, Section B.8.

Firms responding to this announcement by Thursday, July 7, 2016 will be considered. Firms must submit forms by 2:00 p.m. Hawaiian Standard Time (HST). This is not a request for a proposal. Point of Contact is Ms. Alice Mende, Contracting Officer, Phone (808) 474-2365, email alice.mende@navy.mil.