

1.0 General Information

1.1 Agency Name

Commander
Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division
Code 210000D (Attn: T. Vasquez)
429 E. Bowen Road Stop 4105
China Lake, CA 93555-6106

1.2 Response Date

White papers are due no later than 2:00 p.m. (Pacific Time) on July 6, 2009.

Full proposals are due no later than 2:00 p.m. (Pacific Time) on September 9, 2009.

1.3 Research Opportunity

The Naval Air Warfare Center in conjunction with the Office of Naval Research (ONR) is soliciting proposals for the development of high technology components and applied research for solid rocket motor components and systems of components. The program seeks to develop and demonstrate new and innovative technology for solid rocket motors in order to improve the kinematic performance of current Counter Air Defense (CAD) platforms. It is the intent of this program to expand the current state-of-the-art of solid rocket motor technology using the AGM-88 High-speed Anti radiation Missile (HARM) System as a baseline.

It is anticipated that a total of \$7.5M will be available for this program over a five year period, subject to appropriation and approval by ONR. Initially multiple contracts are to be awarded to development teams in FY09 or FY10 based on the evaluated potential to achieve stated program goals. Funding for the initial phase of the program will be \$3.2M. The second phase of the program will be funded for up to \$4.3M. Development teams selected to continue past the initial phase will receive increased funding levels and will be expected to demonstrate an increased level of technical progress in developing the proposed technology.

There will be a Pre-Proposal Industry Day on June 23, 2009 to further disseminate the Navy's interests, to foster communication among potential offerors, and to provide a forum for developing partnering among offerors. See section 9.0, Industry Day, for additional information.

1.4 Program Details

This program seeks to develop innovative, efficient, and cutting-edge technology for use in solid rocket motors used in the baseline system, to improve the current system. The full-scale demonstrations for this effort will include heavyweight static tests, flightweight static tests and Insensitive Munitions (IM) tests, and flightweight ground-launched flight tests. The technology developed should be well characterized and suitable for a Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of 6 by the completion of this effort. TRL of 6 for this program is defined as a flight demonstration of a prototype rocket motor with the developed technologies integrated into the prototype motor. The flight demonstration will be ground launched, but the design shall be suitable for launch from aircraft. It is the intent of the government to provide the mechanical integration requirements prior to the invitation of development teams to submit full proposals.

The rocket motor components shall be defined as all the components and sub-systems necessary to operate the motor, except for electrical power used to initiate the igniter. Individual technologies should address such areas as nozzles and nozzle materials, insulation materials, increased energy propellants, high volumetrically loaded grain designs, hazard classification mitigation, ground-launched flight test (hardware and software), and composite cases including attachment points suitable

for air-launched configuration. Materials characterization, manufacturing techniques, and production tooling may be included in the program to the extent that they apply to the platform intended.

Efforts for this program should focus on the development of component hardware to expand the current state-of-the-art of rocket motor technology, however, the government is also interested in analytical techniques and modeling methods that expand the current envelope of existing analytical methods to predict rocket motor performance.

The anticipated total funding for award is \$7.5M. The projected funding profile to be issued on contract is shown in the table below, with estimated timelines for funds being available. The details and definitions for each of the phases are discussed in the Program Plan section, Section 1.5.

	FY10				FY11				FY12				FY13				FY14							
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4																				
BAA Phase	1								2															
Funding (\$M)	0.9				1.6				0.7				0.7				2.5				1.1			

NOTE: This funding profile is an estimate only and not a promise for funding as all funding is subject to change due to Government discretion and availability. The length of Phase 1 will be between 24 and 33 months, with the remainder of the effort dedicated to Phase 2 efforts. There is no guarantee that Options will be exercised.

1.4.1 Program Aim, Objectives and Requirements

The aim of the program is to develop and demonstrate component level technology that results in improved kinematic performance of rocket motors used in CAD missile systems, specifically for the baseline system.

Specific components and technologies within existing systems have been identified for improvement by the government. The improvement of these components shall be referred to as the program objectives.

1.4.1.1 Program Aim

It is the intent of the government to develop technologies that go beyond the state of the art. The proposed technologies should address system level performance, plus reliability, storage, ease of integration, and sustainment in a shipboard environment.

1.4.1.2 Program Requirements

The following must be met in order to sustain overall system requirements.

1. Limit overall rocket motor weight to current baseline system.
2. Limit overall rocket motor envelope to current baseline system.
3. Meet missile and platform integration requirements.

1.4.1.3 Program Objectives

The objectives of this effort are to increase the kinematic performance capability of the current baseline design rocket motor, while staying under the weight and within the volumetric envelope of the current system. The components listed below are envisioned to have an impact on improving the delivered total impulse of the baseline system. The individual rocket motor components listed below have natural groupings, and combining two or more components into one proposal will be accepted and evaluated. For example, composite cases and insulation lay-ups could be combined and submitted as one proposal, as well as propellants with properties that work well with specific grain designs. The components listed below are not in order of technical importance to this effort.

1. Composite or Hybrid Case (Moisture barriers, material properties, lug attachment points)
2. Grain Design (End burners, center perforated/fin designs)
3. Nozzles
4. Insulation
5. Propellants (high performance, sensitivity)
6. Ignition system
7. Ground launched flight test (hardware and software)

The timeframe of demonstrating these components (or combinations of components) will need to fit the overall program schedule as shown in the Significant Dates and Times section (Section 5.1.2). The timeframes listed in Section 5.1.2 for each of the components are estimated completion dates, and the component demonstrations must fit into the system PDR and CDR schedules as shown.

1.5 Program Plan

1.5.1 Program Phase Descriptions

1.5.1.1 Phase 1 – Defined as the time from program start through completion of system completion of case testing, scheduled for February 2012

1.5.1.2 Phase 2 – Defined as the time from completion of case testing through program completion

2.0 Points of Contact

2.1 Questions of a business nature shall be directed to the Contracts Point of Contact, as specified below:

Business Point of Contact:
Ms. Tara Vasquez, Contract Specialist
429 E. Bowen Rd. Mail Stop 4015
China Lake, CA 93555-6106
Telephone Number: (760) 939-4665
Email Address: tara.vasquez@navy.mil

2.2 Questions of a technical nature shall be directed to the Technical Point of Contact, as specified below:

Science and Technology Point of Contact:
Mr. Terry J. Roberts
Naval Air Warfare Center, 477300D
Bldg 11031
China Lake, CA 93555
Telephone Number: (760) 939-2418
Email Address: terry.j.roberts1@navy.mil

2.3 Instrument Type

Anticipated type of contract to be awarded is cost-plus-fixed-fee. Anticipated award dates range from November/December 2009 through February 2010. Prior to full proposal submittal, white papers must be submitted by the proper deadline for review. Once the white papers have been reviewed, selected submitters will be contacted and full proposals will then be requested. Offerors should state that their proposals will be valid for 180 days from submission.

3.0 Award Information

Note: Offerors are advised that only Contracting Officers are legally authorized to commit the Government. All responsible sources may submit a white paper that shall be reviewed.

Small Businesses (SB), Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU's) and Minority Institutions (MI) are encouraged to submit white papers if invited; however; no portion of this BAA will be set-aside for SB, HBCU or MI participation.

4.0 Application and Submission Information

Any changes that are made prior to contract award will be announced through this BAA as modifications. It is the responsibility of the offeror teams to ensure that they are aware of any modifications and additional information that may be released.

A Pre-Proposal Industry Day will occur on June 23, 2009. The location will be at NAWCWD in China Lake, CA. The Industry Day will start with a government team presentation to all parties followed by a question and answer session. In addition, the government team will continue to be available throughout the rest of the day to answer further questions. Any additional information about the Industry Day will be included as a modification of this synopsis as needed.

Every attempt will also be made to post any questions that are answered by the government team during the public sessions of the Industry Day meeting as addendums to this BAA synopsis. Please notify the Science & Technology POC listed in Section 2.2 of intent to attend so that proper security arrangements may be arranged.

4.1 White Papers

The due date for receipt of White Papers is on July 8, 2009 no later than 2:00 p.m. Pacific Time. It is anticipated that the evaluation of the white papers will be complete, and invitations to submit full proposals will be made by August 6, 2009. Notifications for selection or non-selection to submit a full proposal will be made by e-mail or letter.

NAWCWD evaluators will perform an assessment of each white paper for technical merit and relevance to the Navy's mission. Each paper will be evaluated based on the evaluation criteria indicated in section 7.0. White Papers lacking technical merit or that are irrelevant to the Navy's mission may be declined without further review. In addition, white papers exceeding the page limit described in section 4.3.1.5 may also be declined.

The purpose of soliciting white papers are to determine the technical concept proposed and to determine the technical approach the offeror will pursue to develop the proposed technology. The white paper also identifies offeror teams that will be requested to submit full proposals.

White papers should describe the concept(s) being pursued, the approach for development, and any risks associated with the technology, particularly in the areas of development, integration, and compatibility with any components. Offerors should also include a description of the team's management, cost, schedule, and technology development strategies envisioned for this program. Also include the offeror team's background and experience and how that would relate to the successful completion of this program. This is also an opportunity to share any thoughts and concerns about the program in general.

4.2 Full Proposals

The due date for receipt of Proposals is September 8, 2009 no later than 2:00 p.m. Pacific Time. It is anticipated that any final selections will be made approximately 30 days later. Proposals received after the published due date may be considered for funding at a later time if funding is available. As soon as the final proposal evaluation process is completed, the offeror will be notified via email or letter of

selection or non-selection for a contract award. Proposals exceeding the page limit may not be evaluated.

NOTE: This Broad Agency Announcement does not constitute all the information to be provided regarding this solicitation. A Pre-Proposal Industry Day is anticipated prior to the white paper and proposal submission dates and the details are listed in Section 4.0.

4.3 Content and Format of White Papers and Proposals

This segment provides the information needed to prepare white papers or proposals. The White Papers and Proposals submitted under this BAA are expected to be unclassified. The Proposal submissions will be protected from unauthorized disclosure in accordance with FAR 15.207, applicable law, and DoD/DoN regulations. Offerors are expected to appropriately mark each page of their submission that contains proprietary information.

4.3.1 White Paper Format Shall Include

1. Paper Size: 8.5 x 11 inch paper, also will allow up to four pages of 11X17 inch paper for schedule and/or design concept foldouts
2. Margins: 1 inch
3. Spacing: single or double-spaced
4. Font: Arial, no less than 10 point
5. Number of Pages: The white paper is limited to no more than 10 sheets of paper, with double sided printing allowed if needed. The Cover Page, Table of Contents, and Resumes are excluded from the page limitations. White papers exceeding the page limit may not be evaluated.
6. Copies: one (1) original, one (1) copy, and two (2) electronic copies on a CD-ROM, (in Microsoft Office or PDF format).

4.3.2 White Paper Content Shall Include

1. Cover Page: The words “White Paper” should appear on the cover page in addition to the following information: (not included in page count)
 - i. BAA Number N68936-09-R-0073
 - ii. Counter Air Propulsion Technology
 - iii. Identity of Prime Offeror and complete list of partners, if applicable
 - iv. Technical contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
 - v. Administrative/business contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
 - vi. Duration of proposed effort
2. Table of Contents: (not included in page count)
3. The white paper body

4.3.3 Full Proposal Format Shall Include

1. Paper Size: 8.5 x 11 inch paper, also will allow up to four pages of 11X17 inch paper for schedule and/or design concept foldouts
2. Margins: 1 inch
3. Spacing: single or double-spaced
4. Font: Arial, no less than 10 point
5. Number of Pages: Volume 1 is limited to no more than 30 sheets of paper, with double sided printing allowed if needed. Volume 2 does not have a page limitation. The Cover Page, Table of Contents, Statement of Work and Resumes are excluded from the page limitations. Full Proposals exceeding the page limit may not be evaluated.
6. Copies: one (1) original, one (1) copy, and two (2) electronic copies on a CD-ROM, (in Microsoft Office or PDF format).

4.3.3.1 Volume 1 – Technical Proposal Content Shall Include

1. Cover Page: This page should include the words “Technical Proposal” and the following:
 - i. BAA Number N68936-09-R-0073
 - ii. Counter Air Propulsion Technology
 - iii. Identity of Prime Offeror and complete list of subcontractors, if applicable
 - iv. Technical contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
 - v. Administrative/business contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
 - vi. Duration of effort (differentiate basic effort and options)
 - vii. Table of Contents: (not included in page count)
2. Statement of Work: A Statement of Work (SOW) clearly detailing the scope and objectives of the program and the technical approach to be taken. If the work is phase dependant, designate work by phase. Include a detailed listing of the technical tasks organized by year and program phase. It is anticipated that the proposed SOW will be incorporated as an attachment to the resultant award instrument. To this end, such proposals must be detachable stand alone documents without proprietary restrictions, which can be attached to the contract. The Statement of Work shall be text only. No headers or footers, graphs or pictures may be included. Please include the SOW on works format with the technical proposal.
3. Prototype Concept Description: A description of the effort that articulates an understanding of the capabilities desired and how the offeror’s proposed technologies will be integrated into a single platform to achieve NAWCWD’s objectives. Include a description of risk reduction technology development or demonstrations, if any, required prior to preliminary or detail design.
4. Project Schedule and Milestones: The proposal should include a detailed listing of the technical tasks/subtasks in Work Breakdown Structure format and also organized by year. The proposal should also include a schedule of events and milestones for the proposed program keyed to the work breakdown structure and program phases. Deliverables and program review dates should be included.
5. Assertion of Data Rights: Include here a summary of any proprietary rights to pre-existing results, prototypes, or systems supporting and/or necessary for the use of the research, results, and/or prototype. Any data rights asserted in other parts of the proposal that would impact the rights in this section must be cross-referenced. If there are proprietary rights, the offeror must explain how these affect its ability to deliver research data, subsystems and toolkits for integration. Additionally, offerors must explain how the program goals are achievable in light of these proprietary limitations. If there are no claims of proprietary rights in pre-existing data, this section shall consist of a statement to that effect.
6. Deliverables: A detailed description of the results and products to be delivered for each phase of the program.
7. Management Approach: A discussion of the overall approach to the management of this effort, including brief discussions of the total organization, use of personnel; project/function/subcontractor relationships; government research interfaces; and planning, scheduling and control practice. Identify which personnel and subcontractors (if any) will be involved. Include a description of the facilities that are required for the proposed effort with a description of any Government Furnished Equipment/Hardware/Software/ Information required, by version and/or configuration.

8. Experience: A description of the experience and qualifications of the offeror, subcontractors, and key personnel relevant to the proposed effort. Specific examples of work accomplished similar in complexity, magnitude and technical content to that proposed should be provided. Brief resumes (not included in page limitations) of key prime and subcontractor personnel may be included.

4.3.3.2 Volume 2 – Cost Proposal Content Shall Include

The Cost Proposal shall consist of a cover page and two parts. Part 1 will provide a detailed cost breakdown of all costs, by cost category, by Government fiscal year. Part 2 will provide a cost breakdown by task/sub-task, corresponding to the task numbers in the proposed Statement of Work. Each Program Phase must be separately priced.

- a. Cover Page. The words “Cost Proposal” should appear on the cover page in addition to the following information:
 - i. BAA Number N68936-09-R-0073
 - ii. Counter Air Propulsion Technology
 - iii. Identity of Prime Offeror and complete list of subcontractors, if applicable
 - iv. Technical contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
 - v. Administrative/business contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address)
 - vi. DCAA contact (name address/ phone/fax, electronic address). Must be provided for all subcontractors.
 - vii. DCMA contact (name, address, phone/fax, electronic mail address). Must be provided for all subcontractors.
 - viii. Duration of effort (separately identify basic effort and any proposed phases/options)
- b. Part 1: Detailed breakdown of all costs, by cost category, by program phase, by offeror's fiscal year, and government's fiscal year. Note: When options are contemplated, options must be separately identified and priced by the appropriate year.
 - i. Direct Labor – Individual labor category or person, with associated labor hours and unburdened direct labor rates
 - ii. Indirect Costs – Fringe Benefits, Overhead, G&A, etc. (Must show base amount and rate)
 - iii. Travel – Number of trips, number of personnel traveling, destination, duration, etc.
 - iv. Subcontract – A cost proposal as detailed as the offeror's cost proposal will be required to be submitted by each subcontractor. The subcontractor's cost proposal can be provided in a sealed envelope with the offeror's cost proposal or will be requested from the subcontractor at a later date.
 - v. Consultant – Provide consultant agreement or other document which verifies the proposed loaded daily/hourly rate.
 - vi. Materials should be specifically itemized with costs or estimated costs. An explanation of any estimating factors, including their derivation and application, shall be provided. Include a brief description of the offeror's procurement method to be used (Competition, engineering estimate, market survey, etc.).
 - vii. Other Directs Costs, particularly any proposed items of equipment or facilities. Equipment and facilities generally must be furnished by the contractor/recipient. (Justifications must be provided when Government funding for such items is sought). Include a brief description of the offeror's procurement method to be used (Competition, engineering estimate, market survey, etc.
 - viii. Fee/Profit including fee percentage
 - ix. Details/explanation of all other cost items should be given in this section.

- c. Part 2:
 - i. Cost breakdown by task/sub-task and program phase using the same task numbers in the Statement of Work
 - ii. A Certificate of Current Cost and Pricing is required before an award can be made for proposals over \$650,000.
 - iii. State whether the proposal includes DCAA approved Forward Pricing Rate Agreement (FPRA) for direct and indirect rates. This also applies to any subcontractors that are proposed.
 - iv. Include a "Facilities Capital Cost of Money Form", DD 1861 if applicable.

5.0 Administrative (Contracts)

Successful offerors not already registered in the Central Contractor Registry (CCR) will be required to register in CCR prior to award of any contract. Information on CCR registration is available at <http://www.onr.navy.mil/02/ccr.htm>.

As prescribed in FAR 12.301(b)(2), all offerors shall complete the annual Representations and Certification electronically at <http://orca.bpn.gov> prior to submittal of proposals.

Subcontracting Plans - Successful contract proposals that exceed \$500,000, submitted by all but small business concerns, will be required to submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan in accordance with FAR 52.219-9, prior to award.

Export Control Technology. Potential offerors under this announcement are reminded of the Export Administration Regulations, the International Traffic in Arm’s Regulations, and DoD Regulations restricting the release of critical technologies, including technical data, to foreign nationals.

5.1 Significant Dates and Times

5.1.1 Contract Award

Anticipated Schedule of Events		
Event	Date	Time
Industry Day	June 23, 2009	8:00 AM (Pacific)
White Paper Due Date	July 8, 2009	NLT 2:00 PM (Pacific)
Full Proposal Due Date	September 8, 2009	NLT 2:00 PM (Pacific)
Notification of Selection of Award	September 24, 2009	NLT 5:00 PM (Pacific)
Contract Award	December 30, 2009	NLT 5:00 PM (Pacific)

5.1.2 Technical Schedule

Key Technical Events	
Event	Completion Date
System PDR	Jun 2010
Nozzle Material Tests	Aug 2011
Propellant Validation Tests	Oct 2011
Grain Design Tests	Dec 2011
Case Testing	Feb 2012
Heavyweight Static Firings	Nov 2012
System CDR	Dec 2012
Flightweight IM Tests	Sep 2013
Flightweight Static Tests	Dec 2013
Ground Launch Flight Tests	Feb 2014

5.1.3 Address for Submission of White Papers and Full Proposals

Commander
Code 210000D (Tara Vasquez)
429 E. Bowen Road Stop 4015
China Lake, Ca 93555-6106
Telephone Number: (760) 939-2719
E-Mail Address: tara.vasquez@navy.mil

6.0 Special Considerations

Initiation of a subsequent Phase of a multiple task Phase project will be contingent on satisfactory completion of the preceding task and availability of funding.

Data rights to the tasks accomplished with exception of data patented prior to initiation of the contracted project shall belong to the Government.

Total length of the anticipated period of performance is up to 48 months after contract award.

If the proposed work involves use of Government developed technologies, concepts, or facilities these must be described in the proposal as part of the overall plan. The proposal will clearly indicate which task(s) is/are to be performed by the offeror team and which task(s) is/are to be performed by the Government team.

Note that some interchange meetings will involve travel to China Lake or DC area and need to be considered in the budget.

Total length of the anticipated period of performance is up to 60 months. The number of contracts awarded depends upon total funding received by the NAWCWD and by the total number of proposals received. Awards will be based on the technical merit and relevance of the proposed technologies toward meeting the Navy's goals. Individual award amounts may differ for winning proposals based on the contribution toward expanding the state of the art of CAD solid rocket propulsion.

7.0 Proposal Evaluation

A scientific and engineering evaluation will be conducted on all proposals received. The purpose of this evaluation is to determine the relative merit of the technical approach proposed in response to this announcement. This evaluation shall consider technical aspects and cost as related to technical effort. The same criteria will be used for the abstracts and the full proposals. The technical evaluation area will be ranked as first priority in the evaluation. The cost area, while considered substantial, will be second in priority. No further evaluation criteria will be used in selecting the abstracts and proposals. The technical and cost information will be evaluated at the same time.

7.1 Technical Evaluation

The technical evaluation will be based on the following criteria. Criteria 1, 2, and 3 are of equal importance to each other and most important in the technical areas. Criteria 4 and 5 are also of equal importance to each other, but are of less importance than Criteria 1, 2, and 3. The technical evaluation criteria are:

1. Soundness of offeror's technical approach with regards to feasibility of the project, probability of the technology being a viable candidate for selection/application into an operational system, and understanding the scope of the technical effort.
2. Relevance of the proposed effort to the achievement/demonstration of the goals of the program.
3. Capability of the offeror to successfully accomplish the proposed effort, which includes the availability of the necessary resources such as experienced and competent technical and

management personnel, and the availability, from any source, of required laboratory, shop, and test facilities.

4. Past performance as it relates to demonstrated past/present performance, delivery schedule performance, and cost/price performance.
5. Innovation and creativity of the proposed solution to meeting the technical objectives as stated.

7.2 Cost Evaluation

Cost, which includes consideration of proposed budgets and funding profiles, and cost elements as they relate to the technical effort are the second evaluation area. The cost evaluation criteria consist of:

1. Realism
2. Reasonableness

Organization and clarity of information are critical to all of the evaluation criteria for both the abstract and the full proposal. The cost of preparing abstracts and proposals in response to this announcement is not an allowable direct charge to any resulting contract or any other contract. The Navy reserves the right to amend this BAA to allow for subsequent submission dates for white papers and/or proposals.

8.0 Deliverables

Specific deliverables should be proposed by the offeror and will be finalized with the technical program officer and the contract specialist. All electronic deliverable items shall be in either MS Office, PDF, or IGES file formats as appropriate. Expected reports and hardware deliverables for the proposed program are as follows:

- a. Monthly technical and financial status reports (electronic) in offeror's format
- b. Detailed schedule for the total program
- c. Quarterly Progress Reviews (presentation materials, report, and record of meeting)
- d. End-of-Phase Reviews (presentation materials and record of meeting)
- e. Technical Interchange Meetings (as needed)
- f. Engineering information as required to support PDR and CDR
- g. Detailed Design Review (presentation materials, report, and drawings [electronic])
- h. Test Readiness Reviews (presentation materials, test plan [test matrices])
- i. Test Results Reviews (presentation materials, report, (goals, objectives, results, analysis))
- j. Prototype manufacturing plan
- k. Rocket motor component test hardware
- l. Final Report

9.0 Industry Day

Background: The Naval Air Warfare Center in conjunction with the Office of Naval Research (ONR) is soliciting proposals for the development of high technology components and applied research for solid rocket motor components and systems of components. The program seeks to develop and demonstrate new and innovative technology for solid rocket motors in order to improve the kinematic performance of current Counter Air Defense (CAD) platforms. It is the intent of this program to expand the current state-of-the-art of solid rocket motor technology using the AGM-88 High-speed Anti radiation Missile (HARM) System as a baseline.

Badge Requests: This meeting will be unclassified.

DRAFT

Participants who plan to attend CA FNC BAA Industry Day must provide the following information to Etta Hampton, via email address etta.hampton@navy.mil or phone number 760-939-7786, DSN 437-7786 no later than COB 11 June 2009.

Company Name; Visitor(s) First and Last Name; Social Security Number; and Citizenship

You will need to pick up your visitors pass at the visitor center. You can do this the morning of the meeting or, to possibly avoid the crowds, you can obtain it the afternoon before the meeting.

When: 23 June 2009
0900 - 1500

Where: Naval Air Warfare Center
Michelson Laboratory
Conference Room B/C
China Lake, CA 93555

Dress Code: Business Casual

Tentative Agenda:

9:00	9:15	Welcome/Admin
9:15	9:45	Project Overview
9:45	10:30	Technologies
10:30	11:30	IHPRPT Briefing
11:30	13:00	Lunch
13:00	13:30	Contractual Information
13:30	14:00	Baseline System Info
14:00	15:00	Discussions/WrapUp

Please submit any CA FNC BAA technical and contractual questions to Etta Hampton, via email address: Etta.Hampton@navy.mil. These questions will be answered on industry day.

For additional information call Etta Hampton at 760-939-7786 or email at etta.hampton@navy.mil