



C – INDEFINITE DELIVERY INDEFINITE QUANTITY CONTRACT FOR ARCHITECT-ENGINEER DESIGN AND RELATED SERVICES AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS UNDER THE COGNIZANCE OF NAVFAC SOUTHEAST

Solicitation Number: N69450-15-R-0100
Agency: Department of Navy
Office: Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Location: NAVFAC Southeast

General Information

Document Type: Pre-solicitation – Amendment 0002
Solicitation Number: N69450-15-R-0100
SF 330 Due Date: 12 December 2014 at 2:00PM (EDT)
Set Aside: Unrestricted, Full and Open
Classification Code: C -- Architect and Engineering Services
NAICS Code: 541330 – Engineering Services
Type of contract: Firm Fixed-Price, Indefinite-Delivery Indefinite-Quantity Contract.
Estimated start date: July 2015

SYNOPSIS

ALL INFORMATION NEEDED FOR INTERESTED PARTIES TO SUBMIT A STANDARD FORM (SF) 330, ARCHITECT-ENGINEER QUALIFICATIONS, IS CONTAINED HEREIN. THERE IS NO SEPARATE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP) PACKAGE TO DOWNLOAD.

ALL RFIs MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING IN ORDER TO BE ANSWERED. RFI'S WILL BE ANSWERED VIA NECO AND FEDBIZOPPS. NO PHONE CALLS WILL BE RESPONDED TO DURING OPEN PROCUREMENTS. RFIs MUST BE SUBMITTED BY **1 DECEMBER 2014.**

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southeast (NAVFAC SE), Naval Air Station (NAS) Jacksonville, Florida intends to award up to three (3) Indefinite-Delivery Indefinite-Quantity (IDIQ) contracts for Professional Architectural and Engineering (A/E) design services. The contract will primarily provide design services for Department of Defense (DoD) and Non-DoD facilities located in South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, Tennessee, Guantanamo Bay Cuba, and Andros Island Bahamas. Although less frequently, this contract could be used for design services at activities located within the entire NAVFAC AOR (worldwide).

Design services will primarily be for preparation of Design Bid Build documents (100% plans and specifications) and Design Build Requests for Proposal (RFPs). Projects will include new facilities and renovation/modernization/repair of existing facilities. Project types will include general building construction (administrative and training facilities, dormitories, hangars, child development centers, fitness centers, etc.), airfield projects and waterfront projects. Other ancillary activities associated with providing the above services will include, but not be limited to, topographic surveys, geotechnical investigations, lead and asbestos surveys, Building Information Management and Modeling (BIM) deliverables, hurricane damage facility condition assessments, cost estimates, and DD 1391 Military Construction Data documentation.

The duration of the contract will be for one (1) year from the date of initial contract award, with up to four (4) additional one-year option periods. The total amount that may be paid under each contract, including option years, will not exceed \$30,000,000. The Government guarantees a minimum of \$10,000 for the contract term of each contract awarded from this synopsis. The minimum guarantee is typically met by the issuance of the first task order. The Government makes no representation as to the number of task orders or the actual amount of work to be ordered. Contractors are not guaranteed work in excess of the minimum guarantee. In the event the estimated annual fee is not met, the Government reserves the right to carry-over any unused capacity to the following option year(s). The options may be exercised at the discretion of the Government subject to workload and/or satisfaction of the A&E performance under the subject contract.

The proposed contracts are being solicited as UNRESTRICTED, FULL AND OPEN to all large and small business concerns. The North American Industry Classification system (NAICS) for this contract is 541330. The prime firm and consultants for each contract will be required to perform throughout the contract term (including option years, if implemented). The anticipated value of construction projects for this procurement will typically be less than \$30,000,000 although larger projects may be included.

EVALUATION CRITERIA:

The following eight (8) Evaluation Criteria will be used to evaluate and award this contract. Criteria 1, 2 and 3 will all be considered of equal and highest importance while Criteria 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are listed in descending order of importance for the evaluation process.

1. **Professional Qualifications of Key Personnel:** The proposed team's Key Personnel will be required to demonstrate substantial knowledge, acquired through recent design experience, of technical criteria for programming, designing, constructing, sustaining, restoring, and modernizing facilities similar in scope, size and cost to those typically found on DoD installations. A proposed team's Key Personnel shall include:
 - a. **Management:** Licensed Professionals who are principal points of contact to the government and Project Managers who are responsible for managing the deliverables provided to the government.
 - b. **Primary Disciplines:** Professional licensure and a minimum of five (5) years continuous experience in the specific role of Project Architect of Record or Project Engineer of Record for each of the following disciplines:
 1. Architecture *
 2. Civil Engineering
 3. Structural Engineering

4. Mechanical Engineering *
5. Electrical Engineering *

* Primary disciplines marked with an asterisk should have at least one person on the proposed team who was Project Architect or Engineer on a project that received LEED Silver Certification per USGBC.

c. **Specialists** (resume' required):

1. Fire Protection Engineering: Licensed professional Fire Protection Engineer demonstrating a minimum of 5 years continuous experience in Fire Protection design.
2. LEED AP-Project Administrator: A licensed professional Architect or Engineer who is a LEED Accredited Professional, with experience as a LEED Project Administrator in the administration, implementation, and integration of the LEED design process for a minimum of one (1) completed project that has received a LEED Silver Certification (or better).
3. Roofing Specialist: Licensed professional Architect, Engineer, or a Registered Roof Consultant (RRC) by the Roof Consultants Institute (RCI), demonstrating a minimum of 10 years continuous specialized experience in design, investigation, testing, and consulting services related to roofing, waterproofing, and building envelope systems for new and existing structures.
4. Waterfront Engineer: Licensed Civil or Structural Engineer, with a minimum of 10 years of experience in the design of waterfront structures as are typically required at Navy bases where ships are berthed.
5. Seismic Specialist: Licensed Civil or Structural Engineer who can demonstrate the ability to provide seismic evaluations of existing facilities per ASCE/SEI 31-03 and to provide Seismic Rehabilitation per ASCE/SEI 41-06.
6. Airfield Pavement Specialist: Licensed Civil or Structural Engineer, with a minimum of 10 years of experience in the design of airfield pavements for DoD installations and/or major commercial airports.
7. Energy Specialist: Licensed Mechanical Engineer who is a LEED Accredited Professional with experience providing facility energy assessments and Whole Building Energy Simulations as part of the integrated design process beginning with the project's initial conceptual design.

d. **Specialists** (resume' not required)

1. Registered Communications Distribution Designer (RCDD): Designers certified by and registered with BICSI as a RCDD® .
2. Interior Designer: Interior Designer licensed in the practice of Interior Design, qualified by the National Council of Interior Design Qualifications (NCIDQ) and demonstrating a minimum of 5 years continuous experience in the interior design of facilities.

The “Professional Qualifications of Key Personnel” Evaluation Criterion shall be demonstrated by submitting the following information:

- **Organizational Chart**: In Section D of the SF 330, submit an organizational chart of the proposed team's Key Personnel (as defined above). The organizational chart shall include (as a minimum) the following personnel: Principal Point of Contact (for the government), at least two (2) project managers, at least three (3) licensed professionals in each Primary Discipline (indicated in 1b. above), and at least one (1) person for each of the Specialists (indicated in 1c. and 1d. above). A single person may fill the role of a Specialist and a licensed professional in a

Primary Discipline if they have the necessary qualifications. Indicate the professional registration held (PE, RA, etc.) for each person on the organizational chart and the name of the company that they work for.

- **Resumes:** In Section E of the SF330, include resumes of the following selected Key Personnel proposed for this contract: Principal Point of Contact (1), Project Managers(2), one (1) person for each of the Specialist (7 total) listed in 1c. above). Resumes' for other Key Personnel indicated on the Organizational Chart in Section D, are not required to be provided at this time. A total of 10 resumes' should be included in Section E of the SF330 as delineated above.
 - **Experience Summary Form for other Key Personnel:** In Section H, Block 30, submit attached Table H-1 with requested qualifications and experience data provided for Key Personnel designated by Paragraph 1b. (Primary Disciplines) and by Paragraph 1d. (Specialists – No resume' required).
- 2. Specialized Project Experience:** The proposed design team shall demonstrate project experience in the following design deliverables through the submission of a maximum of ten (10) example projects in Sections F and G of the SF 330:
- a. Multi-Discipline Design Bid Build (100% Plans and Specifications) documents for vertical construction projects that obtained a USGBC Silver (or higher) Certification level with an emphasis on energy efficiency (1, minimum);
 - b. Multi-Discipline Design Bid Build (100% Plans and Specifications) documents for vertical construction projects requiring use of Unified Facilities Criteria and Unified Facilities Guide Specifications (2, minimum);
 - c. Multi-Discipline Design Bid Build (100% Plans and Specifications) documents for vertical construction projects where Building Information Management and Modeling (BIM) design services (including 3 D parametric models) were provided (2, minimum);
 - d. Multi-Discipline Design Build Request for Proposal (RFP) documents for vertical construction projects requiring use of Unified Facilities Criteria, Unified Facilities Guide Specifications, and Design Build RFP format from the Whole Building Design Guide (1, minimum; 2, maximum);
 - e. Airfield Pavement Design Bid Build documents (1, minimum; 2, maximum);
 - f. Waterfront Design Bid Build documents (1, minimum; 2, maximum).

At least five (5) of the example projects must be construction complete, and the remaining projects must be at least design complete (or RFP complete for Item 2c). All of the example projects shall have met their design completion or construction completion milestone within the last 7 years. Example projects may meet single or multiple requirements identified above. Do not exceed one sheet per project. Example projects that are a task order from an IDIQ contract shall be considered one project for the purpose of demonstrating "Project Experience". Experience in providing 100% Plans and Specifications for a Design-Build contract will be considered equivalent to providing these documents for a Design Bid Build contract.

- 3. Past Performance:** In Section H of the SF 330, provide past performance information for each of the example projects submitted per Evaluation Criterion 2 (Specialized Project Experience). Past performance information shall include information on cost control, quality of work and compliance with performance schedules as follows:
- a. Cost control: In Section H, Block 30, submit attached Table H-2 indicating how the A-E's construction cost estimate (developed during the project's design phase) compares to the government's or private client's original project budget (estimated cost of construction) as well as how it compares to the construction contract award amount (if project has been

awarded). Where project was completed as part of a design-build contract, provide a separate narrative describing any relevant parameters that are available to demonstrate the firm's expertise in designing to the contractor/client's budget and other indications of estimating expertise related to the project.

- b. **Quality of Work:** Methods of demonstrating performance can include Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) ratings (if available), other relevant performance ratings or client letters, awards or special notice with respect to design excellence, innovation, quality of work, time savings, etc. Commendations/awards may be from both private and DOD clients.
- c. **Compliance with Design Schedules:** In Section H, Block 30, submit attached Table H-3 demonstrating compliance with this criterion for the example projects listed in Section F of the SF330.

Part of the evaluation of this criterion will also include a review of the past performance ratings of the proposed team for all of the projects that are currently loaded in ACASS (not just limited to the example projects) and other sources to which the government may obtain independently of this submission.

4. **Capacity:** In Section H of the SF 330, demonstrate the capacity of the proposed team in the following areas:
 - a. Capacity of the proposed team to perform multiple projects concurrently and to provide multiple, multi-discipline teams, for simultaneous execution of task orders of varying size and scope;
 - b. Ability of the proposed team to sustain the loss of Key Personnel while accomplishing the work within the required time limits;
 - c. Capacity of the proposed team to assist with performing hurricane damage assessments while concurrently providing design services on other assigned projects.
5. **Location:** In Section H of the SF 330, the selected firm will be required to demonstrate the capabilities of the proposed team in the following areas:
 - a. Knowledge and working experience of probable site conditions, design issues and regulatory requirements specific to the primary geographic area for this Contract;
 - b. Geographic location of the proposed team to ensure the ability to provide timely on-site response and support to the primary geographic areas for this Contract upon notification by the Government.
6. **Quality Control Program:** In Section H of the SF 330 the selected firm will be required to demonstrate that an internal Quality Control Program is consistently utilized to ensure the technical accuracy and the inter-disciplinary coordination of work products. The selected firm will also be required to demonstrate how "quality assurance" and "quality control" on work products prepared by multiple offices (branch and/or sub-consulting) is achieved. Demonstration of this Evaluation Criteria shall be accomplished by providing an Executive Summary only, not to exceed one page, of the Quality Control Program utilized by your firm and the proposed design team.
7. **Safety:** In Section H of the SF 330, submit your Experience Modification Rate (EMR) and OSHA Days Away From Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate. Also provide this same information for each major subcontractor providing Key Personnel to the proposed team for this contract (please note that an EMR greater than 1.10 and a DART Rate greater than 3.0 is considered to be a high risk Contractor by the Government and will be prohibited from participating on this contract). In addition to the referenced requirements, the selected Contractor will be required to submit an Accident Prevention Plan (APP) in accordance with EM 385-1-1, paragraph number 11. The APP is not required to be submitted as part of the qualification in response to this synopsis.

DART Rate:

Although the Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) may not require a published DART rate for certain companies/industries, NAVFAC SE does require the calculation of this rate to assess an overall history of safety performance. Generating the DART rate is calculated by the OSHA formula provided below:

$$\text{DART rate} = N/EH \times 200,000^*$$

N = Total DART cases.

EH = Total number of hours worked by all employees, including management, temporary, and leased workers. Typical employee works 2000 hours per year (40 hour week, with two weeks of vacation)

* Base for 100 full-time equivalent workers

For example, if you have 50 employees who work a total of 100,000 hours during the year and have experienced 10 DART cases, the DART rate would be $(10 \div 100,000) \times 200,000 = 20$ (Obviously very high). The total number of DART cases and the total number of hours the employees work during the year are the two important figures entered into the formula.

EMR:

Self-insured employers based in Florida, can call the Florida Division of Workers Compensation (850) 413-1784 and will be provided with their EMR. Companies not based in Florida should contact that particular state division of workers compensation and request a verbal confirmation of their EMR. If verbal confirmation cannot be obtained, a formal request should be initiated.

Exception:

A company that is unable to obtain or meet the above specified DART rate or EMR, is required to submit a detailed narrative as to why these particular safety indicators could not be obtained or achieved.

8. **Volume of Work:** Firms do not submit data for this factor. However, firms will be evaluated in accordance with Department of Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Procedures, Guidance and Information (PGI) 236.602-1, from data extracted from the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS). Firms will be evaluated in terms of work previously awarded to the firm by DOD within the past twelve months with the objective of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified A-E firms including small, disadvantaged business firms, and firms that have not had prior DOD A/E contracts. Note; in addition to the prime contractor, all major subcontractors and joint venture partners included in the proposed team will be considered in the evaluation of this criterion.

CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS:

JOINT VENTURE, TEAMING OR SUBCONTRACTOR UTILIZATION: Firms are required to demonstrate how their Joint Venture or Teaming Arrangement is structured, in order to be evaluated, by providing all the necessary documents to support any arrangements in their offer. This can be accomplished by a one-page or short letter of commitment or MOU outlining unique arrangements or for clarification purposes, and can be included as an appendix outside of the page count requirements. However, firms should be prepared to provide any and all the necessary documents to support any arrangements in their offer if requested.

Large business firms slated for interviews will be required to submit a subcontracting plan before price negotiations begin for contract award. The Subcontracting plan shall indicate the extent to which they identify and commit to small businesses, veteran-owned small business, HUBZone small business, small disadvantaged businesses, and woman-owned small business concerns as consultants in performance of

these contracts. The current subcontracting goals established for this contract for subcontracted work is 66.80% for small businesses, 17.27% for small disadvantaged businesses, 15.30% for woman-owned businesses, 8.94% HUBZone businesses, and 3.03% service-disabled veteran-owned business.

Firms are required to be registered in the DoD System for Award Management (SAM) Database prior to award of a contract. Failure to register in the SAM Database may render a firm ineligible for award. For more information, check the SAM website: <http://www.sam.gov> or refer to DoD FAR Supplement, Part 204 Subpart 204.72.

Firms are required to invoice and be paid via Wide Area Workflow (WAWF). Failure to register or agree to these terms may render a firm ineligible for award. For more information, check the WAWF website: <https://wawf.eb.mil/>.

The Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) requires the use of the On-Line Representations and Certifications Application (ORCA) in Federal Solicitations as part of the proposal submission process. Firms are required to complete the ORCA self certification process on-line. Failure to self certify annually may render a firm ineligible for award. For more information, check the ORCA website: <http://orca.bpn.gov/> or refer to FAR 52.204-8 Annual Representations and Certifications (FEB 2009).

FAR Subpart 9.405(d)(1) require contracting officers to review the EPLS after the receipt of all offers. FAR Subpart 9.405(d)(4) require contracting officers to review the EPLS again, immediately prior to award, to ensure that no award is made to a listed contractor. FAR 9.405-1(b) also requires contracting officers to check the EPLS prior to awarding "new work" as defined in this provision. Failure to verify the firm/s or its principals' status may render a firm ineligible for award. For more information, check the ESPL website: <https://www.epls.gov/>.

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS: Architect-Engineer firms which meet the requirements described in this announcement are invited to submit a completed SF 330 (1 original plus 3 copies, plus 1 electronic copy on CD) to the office shown below. Part I shall not exceed 45 single sided sheets (8½x11 sheets; 10 point font or larger). Included in the 45 pages, provide an organization chart of the proposed team showing the names and roles of all Key Personnel listed in Section E with the associated firm as listed in Section C. The organization chart may be the only 11"x17" sheet of paper and shall be counted as one sheet of the 45 pages.

Firms are required to submit their DUNS, CAGE, and TIN numbers in Section H, Block 30 of the SF 330, and provide evidence that the firm/s are permitted by law to practice the professions of architecture or engineering (e.g. State registration number/s). Firms shall submit the DUNS # for all work they have completed either individually or as part of a Joint Venture, Limited Liability Corporation or other partnership within the last 5 years. A summary of experience as specifically defined in the evaluation criteria herein is requested as part of the SF 330 submittal. SF 330s will be evaluated to determine the most highly qualified firm/s based on the criteria responses. Evaluating past performance and experience may include information provided by the firm, customer inquiries, Government databases, and publicly available sources. Failure to provide requested data, accessible points of contact, or valid phone numbers may result in a firm not being evaluated.

THIS IS NOT A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL. Responses are due no later than **2:00 P.M. 12 December 2014.** Responses should be sent to the address provided below, ATTN: AEPPV/Jovan J. Bone. Late responses will be handled in accordance with FAR 52.215-1. Hand carried responses will not be accepted. Electronic (E-mail, facsimile, etc.) submissions are not authorized. All inquiries concerning this synopsis notice should include the solicitation number, and title.

Website for Additional Information:

<https://www.neco.navy.mil/>

Contracting Office Address

Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, NAVFAC Southeast
Building 903 Yorktown Avenue
Jacksonville, Florida 32212-0030

Point of Contact

Miss Jovan J. Bone, Contract Specialist
Email: Jovan.Bone@navy.mil

Place of Performance:

The contract will primarily provide design services for Department of Defense (DoD) and Non-DoD facilities located in South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, Guantanamo Bay Cuba, and Andros Island Bahamas. Although less frequently, this contract could be used for design services at activities located within the entire NAVFAC AOR (worldwide).

TABLE H-1 EXPERIENCE SUMMARY - SELECTED KEY TEAM MEMBERS							
Key Personnel Team Member Name	Registered Architect or Professional Engineer (Yes or No)	Cert. LEED AP (Yes or No)	Design Experience ¹	# DoD Projects ²	# NAVFAC Projects ³	# LEED Silver Projects ⁴	# Example Projects ⁵
Architects							
Civil Engineers							
Structural Engineers							
Mechanical Engineers							
Electrical Engineers							
RCDD	BICSI REGISTERED						
Interior Designer	NCIDQ Licensed						

Table Notes:

1. Number of years of design experience **after** becoming a Professional Engineer, Architect, RCDD, or NCIDQ Int. Designer.
2. Number of DoD projects on which the team member was designated as the Architect or Engineer of Record (AOR/EOR).
3. Number of NAVFAC projects on which the team member was designated as the AOR/EOR for the applicable discipline.
4. Number of LEED Silver Certified Projects on which the team member was designated as the AOR/EOR.
5. Number of projects where the team member was AOR/EOR of an Example Project included in Section F of SF 330.

TABLE H-2 : PAST PERFORMANCE DEMONSTRATION OF COST CONTROL

SECTION F EXAMPLE PROJECT		Government or Client Project Budget	A-E Construction Cost Estimate	Construction Contract Award Amount
Key Number	Name			

Notes:

TABLE H-3: PAST PERFORMANCE DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN SCHEDULE

SECTION F EXAMPLE PROJECT		Scheduled Design Delivery Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Actual Design Delivery Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	RESULT ("Ahead of Schedule", "Met Schedule", or "Behind Schedule")
Key Number	Name			
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				

Notes:

TABLE H-1 EXPERIENCE SUMMARY - SELECTED KEY TEAM MEMBERS							
Key Personnel Team Member Name	Registered Architect or Professional Engineer (Yes or No)	Cert. LEED AP (Yes or No)	Design Experience ¹	# DoD Projects ²	# NAVFAC Projects ³	# LEED Silver Projects ⁴	# Example Projects ⁵
Architects							
Janet Smith	Yes	Yes	10	12	3	2	2
Joan Smith	Yes	Yes	23	4	1	1	3
Jane Smith	Yes	No	6	2	0	3	2
Civil Engineers							
Bill Jones	Yes	Yes	10	12	3	3	2
Brad Jones	Yes	Yes	23	4	1	1	6
Belle Jones	Yes	No	6	2	0	0	1
Structural Engineers							
John Smith	Yes	No	10	3	3	1	2
Dave Smith	Yes	No	23	1	1	1	3
Bill Smith	Yes	Yes	6	0	0	2	4
Mechanical Engineers							
Dave Smith	Yes	No	10	3	3	1	2
Don Smith	Yes	No	23	1	1	1	1
Drew Smith	Yes	Yes	6	0	0	2	0
Electrical Engineers							
Steve Jones	Yes	No	10	3	3	1	2
Scott Jones	Yes	No	23	1	1	1	1
Stuart Jones	Yes	Yes	6	0	0	2	0
RCDD	BICSI REGISTERED						
John Jones	Yes	No	20	10	6	2	1
Interior Designer	NCIDQ Licensed						
Sally Smith	Yes	Yes	10	4	3	4	2

Table Notes:

1. Number of years of design experience after becoming a Professional Engineer, Architect, RCDD, or NCIDQ Int. Designer.
2. Number of DoD projects on which the team member was designated as the Architect or Engineer of Record (AOR/EOR).
3. Number of NAVFAC projects on which the team member was designated as the AOR/EOR for the applicable discipline.
4. Number of LEED Silver Certified Projects on which the team member was designated as the AOR/EOR.
5. Number of projects where team member was AOR/EOR of an Example Project included in Section F of SF 330.

TABLE H-2 : PAST PERFORMANCE DEMONSTRATION OF COST CONTROL

SECTION F EXAMPLE PROJECT		Government or Client Project Budget	A-E Construction Cost Estimate	Construction Contract Award Amount
Key Number	Name			
1	Joint Air Ground Center, Fort Hood	\$140,000,000	\$138,000,000	\$139,000,000
2	SouthCom Services Bldg	\$8,000,500	\$7,980,000	\$8,200,000
4	Beaufort Runway Extension	\$21,000,000	\$19,900,000	\$19,600,000
7	GTMO Fitness Center Addition	\$12,300,000	\$11,900,000	\$12,000,000
9	Kingsville BEQ	\$24,000,000	\$24,200,000	\$18,800,000

Notes:

TABLE H-3: PAST PERFORMANCE DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN SCHEDULE

SECTION F EXAMPLE PROJECT		Scheduled Design Delivery Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Actual Design Delivery Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	RESULT ("Ahead of Schedule", "Met Schedule", or "Behind Schedule")
Key Number	Name			
1	Joint Air Ground Center, Fort Hood	2/13/2012	1/30/2012	Ahead of Schedule
2	SouthCom Services Bldg	3/14/2011	3/14/2011	Met Schedule
3	Control Tower, NAS Jacksonville	5/10/2010	5/25/2010	Behind Schedule
4	Beaufort Runway Extension	1/1/2013	12/25/2012	Ahead of Schedule
5	EHW1 Repair RFP, Kings Bay	8/8/2012	8/8/2012	Met Schedule
6	Fire Station, Meridian MS	3/14/2009	3/14/2009	Met Schedule
7	GTMO Fitness Center Addition	11/11/2011	10/18/2011	Ahead of Schedule
8	Wharf Bravo Repairs, GTMO	3/3/2009	3/3/2009	Met Schedule
9	Kingsville BEQ	12/10/2012	12/10/2012	Met Schedule
10	Marine Command Center, Beaufort MCAS	8/10/2010	7/15/2010	Ahead of Schedule

Notes: