

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
				J	1	12
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 21-Jan-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 1300449397		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVSUP FLC SAN DIEGO REGIONAL CONTRACTS (CODE 200) 3985 CUMMINGS ROAD SAN DIEGO CA 92136-4200		CODE N00244	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N00244-15-R-0012	
				X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 07-Jan-2015	
					10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT ORDER NO.	
					10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE		11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.						
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)						
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.						
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.						
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).						
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:						
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.						
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to answer questions and revise specifications/instructions.						
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.						
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 21-Jan-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION B - SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES

CLIN 0001

The CLIN description has changed from CTC MOLLE FORTRESS VEST to CTC MOLLE VEST W/ LEVEL IIIA BODY ARMOR.

The CLIN extended description has changed from Concealable Tactical Carrier (CTC) Modular Lightweight Load Equipment (MOLLE) Fortress Vest. In accordance with specifications incorporated in section C. to Concealable Tactical Carrier (CTC) Modular Lightweight Load Equipment (MOLLE) Vest. In accordance with specifications incorporated in section C..

CLIN 0002

The CLIN description has changed from LEVEL III FLOATABLE BODY ARMOR to LEVEL IIIA FLOATABLE BODY ARMOR.

CLIN 0003

The CLIN description has changed from ZETA PLATES to LEVEL IV STANDALONE ZETA PLATES.

The manufacturer organization below has been added:

UNITED SHIELD INTERNATIONAL, LLC
1606 BARLOW ST UNIT 1
TRAVERSE CITY MI 49686-5174

CLIN 0007

The CLIN description has changed from THERMOBAK 2L 70 OZ/2.OL BLACK to CAMELBAK THERMOBAK 2L 70 OZ/2.OL BLACK.

The manufacturer organization below has been added:

CAMELBAK PRODUCTS, LLC
2000 S MCDOWELL STE 200
PETALUMA CA 94954-6514

CLIN 0009

The manufacturer organization below has been added:

EYE SAFETY SYSTEMS INC
DBA E S S
160 W 7TH ST W 3RD FL
KETCHUM ID 83340-0000

SECTION C - DESCRIPTIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS

The following have been modified:

SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION C – Descriptions and Specifications

CLIN 0001 - CTC MOLLE VEST WITH LEVEL IIIA BODY ARMOR – QUANTITY 2,000

- Integrated front and rear plate pockets for small to extra large sizes
- Constructed of cordura
- Adjustable at both shoulders, across the lower chest and stomach
- Color black
- SIZES: 800 SM, 840 MED, 240 LRG, 120 X-LRG

CLIN 0002 - LEVEL IIIA FLOATABLE BODY ARMOR - QUANTITY 100

- Level IIIA ballistic buoyant vest to float a person in an upright or swimming position
- Water repellent, wear resistant polyamide/polyester with anti-flammable finish
- Integrated front and rear plate packets from small to extra larges sizes.
- LED light that activates when vest becomes submerge
- Color black
- Sizes: 40 SM, 42 Med, 12 LRG, 6 X-LRG

CLIN 0003 – LEVEL IV STANDALONE “ZETA” PLATES – QUANTITY 4,000

- Hard armor insert plate for protection against high velocity threats including 30-06 APM2 (NIJ Level IV) and 7.62 NATO AP ammunition
- Sizes to match the 2,000 different sizes CTC MOLLE LEVEL IIIA Body Armor
- Color black
- Manufacturer: United Shield International

CLIN 0004 – DOUBLE M4 MAGAZINE POUCH – QUANTITY 400

- MOLLE Compatible pouch
- Holds 2 standard 30 round 5.56mm magazines
- Water repellent MIL-C-43734 nylon
- Hook and loop flap closure
- Color black

CLIN 0005 - ADJUSTABLE RADIO POUCH – QUANTITY 2,000

- MOLLE Compatible pouch
- For Motorola or other digital radios
- Water repellent MIL-C-43734 nylon
- Top flap closure
- Color black

CLIN 0006 – SINGLE 9MM MAGAZINE POUCH – QUANTITY 2,000

- MOLLE Compatible Pouch
- Can Carry double stack 9mm magazine
- Hook and loop flap closure
- Water Repellent MIL-C-43734 nylon

CLIN 0007 - HYDRATION PACK "CAMELBAK THERMOBAK" 2L 70oz – QUANTITY 2,000

- Total Hydration capacity 70oz/2L
- Fabric: Cordura with Nylon shoulder harness webbing
- Color black
- Manufacturer: Camelbak

CLIN 0008 - BALLISTIC HELMET PASGT LEVEL IIIA - QUANTITY 2,500

- Ballistic performance to NIJ 0106.01 IIIA and HP White 0401.01B, Multi hit capability and fragmentation performance to MIL Std 662E V50 2150 FPS
- Fully padded adjustable harness system
- Shock absorption against low velocity impact
- Color black
- Sizes 400 SM, 2,000 Med, 100 LRG

CLIN 0009 - ESS STRIKER TACTICAL XT GOGGLES – QUANTITY 300

- U.S. MIL SPEC MIL-DTL-43511D, ANSI Z87.1-2010, US FEDEAL OSHA , CE EN 166 B compliant
- High 2.6mm high impact polycarbonate lens for ballistic protection
- Room frame to fit over prescription eyewear
- Distortion-free optics & 100% UVA/.UVB protection
- Black frame with clear lens with adjustable warp-around strap
- Manufacturer: Eye Safety Systems (ESS)

SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES

The following have been added by reference:

252.204-7012	Safeguarding of Unclassified Controlled Technical Information	NOV 2013
--------------	---	----------

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

INSTRUCTIONS

1. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

- 1.1. This solicitation is a total small business set aside. The NAICS is 315990 and the size standard is 500 employees.
- 1.2. In addition to instructions to offerors contained elsewhere in this solicitation, the following instructions are provided.
- 1.3. The Government intends to make award to the responsible Offeror whose offer is the most advantageous to the Government considering price and price related factors. The Government intends to award the contract to the eligible, low-priced, technically acceptable, responsible offeror. The Government intends to evaluate offers and award without discussions. Therefore, the offeror's initial offer should contain the offeror's best terms from a price and technical standpoint. However, the Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if later determined by the Contracting Officer to be necessary.
- 1.4. All offers shall be submitted to the contract specialist via email at jose.l.gomez1@navy.mil or through NECO. Email copies shall be submitted in Adobe PDF format and shall be under 5MB of data per a given email. Zip files are not allowed. The offer must include the completed SF 33 and acknowledgement of all amendments.

2. PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT

- 2.1. Offerors shall submit one copy of their proposals as follows:
 - 2.1.1. Volume I – Technical Proposal
 - 2.1.2. Volume II - Past Performance
 - 2.1.3. Volume III -Price Proposal AND the following items:
 - 2.1.3.1. **Solicitation cover sheet** with appropriate blocks completed by the offeror
 - 2.1.3.2. **Solicitation pricing pages** completed by the offeror
 - 2.1.3.3. **Representations and Certifications** completed by the offeror in accordance with Section K.
 - 2.1.3.4. **Pricing completed in SF33, Section B.**
- 2.2. Each of the parts shall be separate and complete in itself so that evaluation of one may be accomplished independently from evaluation of the other.
- 2.3. Proposals shall be submitted to the following address not later than the time and date set forth on page 1 of the solicitation document.
 - 2.3.1. **ELECTRONIC PROPOSAL SUBMISSION IS ACCEPTABLE:** jose.l.gomez1@navy.mil or via the NECO website.
 - 2.3.2. **Fax copies will not be accepted.**
- 2.4. Each Offeror must submit an offer (proposal) in accordance with the instructions herein. When evaluating an Offeror, the Government will consider how well the Offeror complied with both the letter and spirit of these instructions. The Government will consider any failure on the part of an Offeror to comply with the letter and spirit of these instructions to be an indication of the type of conduct it can expect during contract performance. Therefore, Offerors are encouraged to contact the Contract Specialist at jose.l.gomez1@navy.mil to request an explanation of any aspect of these instructions.

2.5. ALL QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION MUST BE RECEIVED NO LATER THAN THE 29TH OF JANUARY 2015, 4 PM PACIFIC. Questions received after this date may not be considered. All questions shall be submitted via e-mail to jose.l.gomez1@navy.mil

- 2.6. Data previously submitted, if any, will not be used in the evaluation of the Offeror's response to this Request for Proposal (RFP). Previously submitted data shall not therefore be included in your proposal "by reference."
- 2.7. Clarity and completeness of the proposal are of the utmost importance. The proposal must be written in a practical, clear, and concise manner. It must use quantitative terms whenever possible and must avoid qualitative adjectives to the maximum extent possible. Proposal volumes must be internally consistent or the proposal will be considered unrealistic and may be considered unacceptable.
- 2.8. The Government intends to award without discussions as authorized by FAR 52.215-1. Offerors that take exception to the Government's requirements in the technical volume will not be considered for award; therefore, any exception to the Government's technical requirements must be resolved prior to the solicitation closing date. Alternate proposals are not authorized and will be rejected.
- 2.9. Notwithstanding its plan to award without discussions, the Government reserves the right to conduct discussions with Offerors in the competitive range, if necessary, and to permit such Offerors to revise their proposals. The Government also reserves the right to change any of the terms and conditions of the RFP by Amendment at any time prior to award and to allow Offerors to revise their offers accordingly, as authorized by FAR 52.215-1.
- 2.10. An Offeror's proposal is presumed to represent the best efforts to respond to the solicitation. The proposal shall present evidence of the Offeror's understanding of the requirements and their interrelationships. The proposal shall demonstrate the Offeror's familiarity with the detailed aspects of the requirements and shall clearly show that the Offeror correctly interpreted all of the requirements. Responses must adequately address specific RFP requirements. Statements such as "the Offeror understands" and "the Offeror shall/can comply" along with responses that paraphrase the RFP are inadequate. The use of phrases such as "standard practices" (with a specific government reference or industry reference) is unacceptable. Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall contain the information specified within Section M. Offerors are cautioned to not simply restate the specifications in their technical proposal. Elaborate brochures or documentation, binding, detailed artwork, or other embellishments are unnecessary and are not desired. Failure of a proposal to comply with these instructions may be grounds for exclusion of the Proposal from further consideration.
- 2.11. At the Government's discretion, the Contractor's proposal may be incorporated into the final award document.

3. VOLUME I - TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

- 3.1. Technical proposals shall not be classified and any data deemed proprietary shall be so annotated.
- 3.2. Volume I of the proposal is the Technical Volume and is comprised of Factor I and its Sub-factors identified below. No "cost or pricing information" shall be included in any part of the Technical Volume.

3.2.1. Factor I - Technical Capability

Subfactor 1 Technical Specifications

Subfactor 2 Meeting the Delivery Date

Subfactor 3 Meeting the Shipping Specifications

3.3. Sub-factor 1 - Technical Specifications

3.3.1. The Technical Proposal shall be so specific and complete as to clearly demonstrate to the Government that the offeror has a thorough comprehension of and capabilities to perform all aspects of the solicitation requirements as well as all other provisions of this solicitation. Statements of compliance (i.e., “understood”: “will comply”) without the detailed description of how compliance will be met may not be considered sufficient evidence that the proposed requirements can be technically satisfied by the offeror.

3.3.2. The offeror shall submit technical specifications, pictures of each item proposed AND an independent laboratory’s test results (with dates) at the time of proposal submission including the name of the laboratory in which the testing took place.

3.3.3. The offeror shall describe the proposed warranty including warranty period, terms and conditions, parts covered, turn-around time, and warranty action processing.

3.3.4. CLINs 0003, 0007, and 0009 are brand name only items.

3.4. Sub-factor 2 - Meeting the Delivery Date

3.4.1. The offeror shall submit a timetable detailing the manufacturing start/completion date, packaging start/completion date and the delivery start/completion date. These dates shall be expressed in terms of ‘days after award’ (i.e. manufacturing complete 45 days ARO, packaging complete, 60 days ARO, etc).

3.5. Sub-factor 3 - Meeting the Shipping Specifications

3.5.1. The offeror shall submit a description of their capability to conform to the packaging and crating requirements for overseas shipment.

4. VOLUME II – PAST PERFORMANCE

4.1. Past Performance shall be evaluated based on the submission of past performance data supplied by the Offeror, the government’s verification of that data, including information supplied separately by previous customers, and review of any other pertinent information. The Government may use past performance information obtained from other than the sources identified by the offeror.

4.2. The government shall evaluate the offeror’s past performance on similar or directly-related work performed within the past three years which is similar in scope, magnitude, and complexity. Past Performance shall be evaluated based on relevance and recency (in terms of timeliness, quality, warranty issues, and customer satisfaction as indicated by the questionnaire). Past Performance references may include federal, state, or local government and private contracts performed by the offeror that were similar in nature for this effort being evaluated.

4.3. Offerors may submit past performance information regarding the following: predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience and subcontractors that will perform major aspects of the requirement.

4.4. Offerors may submit performance data regarding current contract performance as long as a minimum of one year of performance has been completed as of the closing date of this solicitation. Relevant past performance will be evaluated and receive scores in accordance with the evaluation scheme set forth in the RFP.

4.5. Completed Past Performance Sheets shall be submitted no later than the closing date of this solicitation with the other volumes of this proposal. If the offeror possesses no relevant past performance, it must affirmatively state this fact in the Volume II submittal. Failure to submit Past Performance Sheets shall be considered certification that the offeror has no past performance in relevant supplies for the government to evaluate.

5. VOLUME III - PRICE PROPOSAL

5.1. Insert proposed unit price and extended prices in the Schedule for the Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs). Each Offeror's price proposal shall be submitted in the SF 33 under schedule B.

5.2. Volume III shall include the completed solicitation document and a complete and detailed price breakdown with all supporting documentation. The price proposal shall support the technical proposal. If the price proposal does not support the technical proposal, the offeror's proposal rating may be downgraded.

5.3. Solicitation cover sheet with appropriate blocks completed by the offeror

5.4. Representations and Certifications completed by the offeror in accordance with Section K.

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The following have been modified:

EVALUATION

1. BASIS FOR AWARD

1.1. The award resulting from this solicitation will be a Firm Fixed Price (FFP) Contract. The Government plans to award the contract as a total small business set aside using Low Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) source selection process under FAR Part 15. The Government intends to award the contract to the eligible, low-priced technically acceptable, responsible offeror. Award will be made upon price reasonableness determination, contractor determination of responsibility within the meaning of FAR Part 9, and acceptance to the provisions set forth herein. A rating of "Unacceptable" in any factor will render the entire proposal ineligible for award.

1.2. To be eligible for award, the offeror must fully comply with the specifications, delivery time, packaging requirements and address all solicitation requirements. As such, offers that take exception to any term or condition of this solicitation, propose any additional term or condition, or omit any required information, may not be considered for award. Alternate proposals are NOT authorized and will be rejected. An offeror must propose in accordance with the directions set forth in Section L to be considered further for award.

1.3. The Government intends to award this contract without discussions. Notwithstanding this intent, the Contracting Officer reserves the right to conduct discussions, a matter within his/her discretion. If this occurs, the Contracting Officer shall establish, in accordance with FAR 15.306, a competitive range. The Government also reserves the right to limit the number of offerors in the competitive range for purposes of efficiency. In accordance with FAR 15.307, at the conclusion of discussions, the Contracting Officer shall give each offeror an opportunity to revise their proposal.

2. Evaluation Factors and Grading Criteria. The Government will evaluate proposals based on the following Factors and Sub-factors:

- 2.1. Factor I - Technical Capability

- 2.1.1. Subfactor 1 Technical Specifications

- 2.1.2. Subfactor 2 Meeting the Delivery Date

- 2.1.3. Subfactor 3 Meeting the Shipping Specifications

- 2.2. Factor II – Past Performance

- 2.3. Factor III – Price

3. FACTOR I - Technical Capability

3.1. Offerors' proposals will be evaluated first under Factor I - Technical Capability. Under this factor, the proposal will be determined to be either technically acceptable or unacceptable. The offeror will receive a technical rating of Unacceptable if the offeror fails to meet any one of the sub-factors listed. Failure to meet the minimum criteria for this factor will render the offer technically unacceptable and to not be considered for award. See below.

3.2. Sub-factor 1 - Technical Specifications

- 3.2.1. CLIN 0001 and 0002: In order to be considered acceptable for the items proposed, the offeror shall meet or exceed the specifications listed in Section C AND be certified by NIJ Standard 0101.06 (July 2008) at the time of proposal submission.
- 3.2.2. CLIN 0003: In order to be considered acceptable for the items proposed, the offeror shall meet or exceed the specifications listed in Section C AND have an independent laboratory's test results by the time of proposal submission certifying that the items proposed meet the specifications. This is a brand name only item. If any "or equal" item is proposed the offeror will receive a rating of unacceptable.
- 3.2.3. CLIN 0007: This is a brand name only item. If any "or equal" item is proposed the offeror will receive a rating of unacceptable.
- 3.2.4. CLIN 0008: In order to be considered acceptable for the items proposed, the offeror shall meet the specifications listed in Section C and NIJ Standard 0106.01 IIIA and HP White 0401.01B, Multi hit capability and fragmentation performance to MIL Std 662E V50 2150 FPS at the time of proposal submission.
- 3.2.5. CLIN 0009: In order to be considered acceptable for the items proposed, the offeror shall meet the specifications listed in Section C AND be certified – U.S. MIL SPEC MIL-DTL-43511D, ANSI Z87.1-2010, US FEDERAL OSHA, CE EN 166 B compliant at the time of proposal submission. This is a brand name only item. If any "or equal" item is proposed the offeror will receive a rating of unacceptable.
- 3.2.6. CLINs 0004, 0005, and 0006: In order to be considered acceptable for the items proposed, the offeror shall meet the specifications listed in Section C.

3.3. Sub-factor 2 - Meeting the Delivery Date

- 3.3.1. The delivery time is clearly defined in Section F, FAR 52.211-8, Time of Delivery. In order to be considered acceptable, the offeror shall provide a schedule that is realistic to deliver the products by the delivery date or sooner. The offeror shall submit a timetable detailing the manufacturing start/completion date, packaging start/completion date and the delivery start/completion date. These dates shall be expressed in terms of 'days after award' (i.e. manufacturing complete 45 days ARO, packaging complete, 60 days ARO, etc).

3.4. Sub-factor 3 - Meeting the Shipping Specifications

- 3.4.1. The shipping specifications are clearly defined in section D, Packaging and Marking. In order to be considered acceptable, the offeror shall submit a description of their capability to conform to the packaging and crating requirements for overseas shipment.

3.5. The following table of Ratings/Definition/Description shall be used for the Technical Rating for each Sub-factor and Factor (I).

Table 1. Technical Acceptable/Unacceptable Ratings	
Rating	Description
Acceptable	Proposal clearly meets the minimum requirements of the solicitation.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not clearly meet the minimum requirements of the solicitation.

4. Factor II – Past Performance

4.1. Only those offers which meet the minimum technical requirements required by Factor I will be further evaluated under Factor II – Past Performance. Under this factor, the Government will rate past performance as acceptable or unacceptable.

4.2. In the case of an offeror without a record of recent and relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (FAR 15.305 (a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, “unknown” shall be considered “acceptable.”

4.3. The government intends to verify past performance information on contracts listed by the offerors. The government may contact some or all of the references. The government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government.

4.4. There are two aspects to establish the overall rating of past performance (Factor II), relevancy and recency.

4.4.1. Relevant is defined as recent contract(s) accomplished by the offeror that demonstrate the same or higher quantity and type (Level III or higher) of the supplies required. Delivery for the quantity of items must occur in a single shipment.

4.4.2. Recency is defined as within the previous thirty-six months as of Jan 2015.

Table 2. Past Performance Evaluation Ratings	
Rating	Description
Acceptable	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror's performance record is unknown. (See Paragraph 4.2)
Unacceptable	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has no reasonable expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.

5. Factor III – Price

5.1. For those proposals that are rated acceptable on Factor I and Factor II, the Government will then evaluate Factor III, Price. Award will be made on the lowest evaluated price.

Price analysis will be used to determine the total evaluated price. This includes all pricing for the supplies, packaging, delivery and any other costs the offeror includes (i.e. compliance fees, expediting fees, etc).

(End of Summary of Changes)