

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 10
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 26-Mar-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC EUROPE AFRICA SOUTHWEST ASIA PSC 817 BOX 51 FPO AE 09622-0051	CODE N33191	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N33191-15-R-0821	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 11-Mar-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Amendment 0003 is continued on Pg 2				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		26-Mar-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following items are applicable to this modification:

The purpose of this amendment 0003 is to:

1. Revise Section 00100, Evaluation Factors for Award. Attachments for Factor 2 & 3 have been revised. Changes are indicated in red.

PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRIES

The following Pre-Proposal Inquiries are answered:

6. Section 00100 – Instructions to Bidders; pg. 10; para. 1.5 SOCIETA' ORGANISMI D'ATTESTAZIONE (SOA) CERTIFICATION: The solicitation requires that offerors meet the SOA qualification standards OG1, OG3, OG6, OS28, OS30. This is just to bring to your attention that the specialized categories OS3, OS28, and OS30, are all included in the major SOA category OG11; therefore, per Italian Law DPR 207 dated 05 Oct. 2010 Art. 79, point 6, "A company qualified with category OG11 can perform works in each one of the OS categories OS3, OS28, OS30 for the class that corresponds to the one held in category OG11." Please confirm.

Answer: Offerors are to apply the rules provided for under Italian Law

7. On 19 March 2015, receiving the Amendment 0001, we noted that the required SOA categories are totally changed respect to the original request in the RFP, so to be competitive to participate to the bid we will need to be associated with other firm to form a JV, therefore we ask an extension time of the bid of 30 days for technical and administrative reasons.

Answer: Proposal due date shall remain unchanged

8. Section 00100 - Amendment 0001 & Amendment 0002 para 1.5 Società Organismi di Attestazione S.O.A.

As per article 79 comma 16 of the DPR 207/2010 (Italian Law), the offerors qualified in category OG 11 can perform works for each category OS3, OS28, and OS30.

Please confirm whether the offerors possessing Category OG11 Class III or greater, alternatively to OS3, OS28 and/or OS30 class III, can compete to this solicitation.

Answer: Offerors are to apply the rules provided for under Italian Law.

9. With reference to , par. 1.5 SOCIETA' ORGANISMO DI ATTESTAZIONE (SOA) CERTIFICATION: *An offeror shall submit the following as part of its proposal:*

(1) An unexpired SOA Certificate (including joint venture partner, consortium partners or subcontractors that will be utilized to meet the qualification standard), OMISSIS.

We would like to ask the following:

Is there a maximum number of subcontractors that the offeror can mention to meet the required qualification standards?

Is it a teaming agreement with the subcontractors considered the appropriate tool to guarantee the government regarding the commitment of subcontractors?

If not, what is the required form of agreement with "subcontractors that will be utilized to meet the qualification standard"

Answer: Offerors are to apply the rules provided for under Italian Law

10. For tendering, is it compulsory to own the SOA certificate for all categories listed in the pre-solicitation doc, or just those related to our core business?

- For tendering is the SOA certificate the only way to be accepted as offeror, or it is enough to give evidence of technical capability and same work amount execution?

- If the answer is it is not enough, are we allowed to resort to 'avvalimento' procedure?

Answer: Offerors are to apply the rules provided for under Italian Law and the Solicitation.

11. The solicitation does not make any reference to a Price Factor? Will this information be published now so that bidders have a general idea before Phase 2 of the solicitation?

Answer: Information for the Price Factor will be posted via an amendment in Phase 2 of the solicitation.

12. Paragraph 1.11 pre-award survey is in the solicitation, but it is not found in the instructions. Should we include in Phase 1 or will it be in Phase 2

Answer: Per 1.11, the information shall be submitted by the offerors included in Phase II of the solicitation

13. Tech Factor 1 narrative is limited to 2 pages. We kindly request that this page count be increased as so much info, as requested, cannot be presented in only 2 pages. At least, the organization chart should *not* be included in the page count.

Answer: Page limitation shall remain unchanged.

14. The solicitation makes reference to Attachment D; but in the attached form, it is indicated as Attachment 1. Likewise with Attachment E, as noted in the solicitation, but shown as Attachment 2 in the attached forms. Please clarify which attachment title we are to use for the appropriate Factors 2 and 3, as required.

Answer: Attachment D should be Attachment 1 and Attachment E should be Attachment 2. It will be revised in the next amendment

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. GENERAL

- 1.1 INTENT TO AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS
- 1.2 COMPETITIVE RANGE
- 1.3 ENFORCEABILITY OF PROPOSAL
- 1.4 PREFERENCE FOR MULTIPLE AWARDS

PART II. EVALUATION FACTORS / RATING SCHEME

- 2.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA AND BASIS OF AWARD
- 2.2 EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment 1 – Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet

Attachment 2 – Past Performance Questionnaire

Attachment 3 – Pre-Proposal Inquiries

PART II. EVALUATION FACTORS/RATING SCHEME

2.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA AND BASIS OF AWARD

The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contracts; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contracts to the offerors submitting the proposals determined to represent the best value—the proposals most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.

The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award contracts without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

The trade-off process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.

The contracts resulting from this solicitation will be awarded to those responsible offerors whose offers, conforming to the solicitation, are determined to be the most advantageous to the Government considering “Price” and “Non-Price” evaluation factors. Award may be made to other than the lowest priced offerors or other than the highest technically rated offerors. The Non-Price evaluation factors are:

- Factor 1 – Technical Approach
- Factor 2 – Experience
- Factor 3 – Past Performance
- Factor 4 – Safety
- Factor 5 – Technical Solution

Non-Price Factors 1 – 3 will be evaluated in Phase I. Factor 1 will be rated Acceptable or Unacceptable. If an offeror is rated Unacceptable in Factor 1, then they will not be considered for Phase II. Non-Price Factors 4 and 5 will be evaluated in Phase II. In making the best value award decision after Phase II, the Government will consider Non-Price Factors 2 – 5 and Price. The relative order of importance of the Non-Price evaluation factors is that Technical Factors 2, 4, and 5 are equal to each other and when combined are of equal importance to the performance confidence assessment (Factor 3 – Past Performance). The combined Non-Price evaluation factors are approximately equal to price. In determining the best value to the Government, the Government need not quantify the trade-offs that led to the best value decision.

Any proposal found to have a deficiency in meeting the stated solicitation requirements or performance objectives will be considered ineligible for award, unless the deficiency is corrected through discussions. Proposals may be found to have either a significant weakness or multiple weaknesses that impact either the individual factor rating or the overall rating for the proposal.

2.2 EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

This Two-Phase Design-Build (D-B) procurement will result in the award of approximately five (5) Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) type contracts under a Multiple Award Construction Contract (MACC) for a one-year base period with provisions for the exercise of four one-year option periods.

For this Two-Phase D-B procurement, Factors 1-3 will be evaluated in Phase I. Factor 1 will only be rated Acceptable or Unacceptable. If an Offeror is rated Unacceptable in Factor 1, then they will not be considered for Phase II. Factors 4 – 5 will be evaluated in Phase II.

Phase I of the solicitation is a narrowing process of offerors based on responsiveness and evaluation of non-price technical factor submittals. Based on the Phase I evaluation criteria, approximately ten (10) of the most highly rated offerors will proceed to Phase II. The Government however reserves the right to select less than or more than ten (10) offerors to proceed to Phase II, should it be deemed to be in the best interest of the Government to do so. Phase II offerors will be required to submit both a Price Proposal and Non-Price Proposal.

The relative order of importance of the Non-Price evaluation factors is the Technical Factors – Factor 2 – Experience, Factor 4 – Safety, and Factor 5 – Technical Solution – are of equal importance to each other and, when combined are equal in importance to the past performance evaluation/performance confidence assessment factor, Factor 3 – Past Performance. Factor 1 – Technical Approach will be rated Acceptable or Unacceptable. When the proposal is evaluated as a whole, the technical factors and past performance/performance confidence assessment factor combined (i.e., the Non-Price Evaluation Factors) are approximately equal to Price.

Phase I Non-Price Factors:

- Factor 1 – Technical Approach
- Factor 2 – Experience
- Factor 3 – Past Performance

All ratings from Phase I will be carried into Phase II unless new or revised information is provided.

Phase II Non-Price Factors:

- Factor 4 – Safety
- Factor 5 – Technical Solution

The distinction between experience and past performance is that experience pertains to the volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work described under the definition of recent, relevant projects, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

Factor 1 – Technical Approach

- (a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The composition and management of the firms proposed as the Design-Build (D-B) Team for this contract will be evaluated in this factor.

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firms and primary design firms for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). The narrative shall also include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. If the experience of an entity is being claimed in Factor 2, that entity must be named in the above narrative and organizational chart.

The technical approach narrative shall be limited to two (2) pages including the organizational chart. If more than two (2) pages are submitted, only the first two (2) pages will be evaluated. The information requested in item #2 below is not included in this page limitation.

(2) In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement (MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The assessment of the Offeror's technical approach will be used as a means to evaluate the organizational structure and teaming relationships proposed by the Offeror. This factor will be rated on an Acceptable or Unacceptable basis.

Factor 2 – Experience

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the solicitation. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience will not be considered. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as a vertical construction project with a construction value equal to or exceeding \$500,000 (or the equivalent foreign currency) with multiple construction trades to construct a complete and usable facility. Projects submitted for the Offeror must have been completed complete within five (5) years of the proposal due date of this solicitation.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

Experience in the geographic area of Italy may receive a higher rating.

The attached Construction and Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment 1) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed two (2) pages.

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this SOLICITATION (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process. This applicable documentation is not included in the project data sheet page limitation.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a subcontractor that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement that the subcontractor will have in performance of this contract.

This documentation is not included in the project data sheet page limitation. More weight may be given to the Offeror's projects than to those submitted for subcontractors.

(2) Design Experience

Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) relevant design projects for the design team that best demonstrates design experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the solicitation. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as a vertical design project with a construction value equal to or exceeding \$500,000 (or the equivalent foreign currency) with three or more different engineering disciplines required in the design phase.

Experience in the geographic area of Italy may be rated higher.

Projects submitted must have been completed within five (5) years of the date of issuance of this solicitation. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this solicitation.

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment 1) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed two (2) pages.

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this SOLICITATION (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process. This applicable documentation is not included in the project data sheet page limitation.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a supporting joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor. This documentation is not included in the project data sheet page limitation.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the SOLICITATION. The Government will only review five projects for construction and five for design. If more than 5 projects are submitted for Construction Experience or Design Experience, only the first 5 will be reviewed in the evaluation.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with sustainable features may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with sustainable features.

Offerors who submit relevant projects that demonstrate experience self-performing relevant features of work may receive a higher rating than those who do not demonstrate self-performance.

Relevant projects that demonstrate construction experience in Italy may be considered more favorably than those that do not have experience in these countries.

Factor 3 – Past Performance:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) or Contractors Performance Assessment Report System (CPARS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for construction experience. If a completed A-E Contractor Appraisal Support System (ACASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for design experience. If there is not a completed CCASS or ACASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment 2) for each project included in Factor 2 for both Construction Experience and Design Experience. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other SOLICITATIONS. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the SOLICITATION.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

(End of Summary of Changes)