

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 21
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 05-Oct-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. ACQR3908227		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC EUROPE AFRICA SOUTHWEST ASIA PSC 817 BOX 51 FPO AE 09622-0051	CODE N33191	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N33191-15-R-0843	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 16-Sep-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) See Continuation Page				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		08-Oct-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0003

Amendment 0003 is hereby incorporated to include:

1. Revised proposal due date
2. Revised Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Information.
3. Revised Evaluation Factors
4. Responses to PPIs 2, 3, 4, 5.
5. All other Items
6. Revised Price Schedule (Attachment E)

I. PROPOSAL DUE DATE

The proposal due date has been extended from 16 October 2015 to **26 October 2015** at 11:00A.M. Central European Time (CET).

Each offeror must electronically submit a separate price proposal and technical proposal to the Contract Specialists Stephen Astwood, stephen.astwood@eu.navy.mil and Joseph Martinez, joseph.a.martinez@eu.navy.mil. All proposals must be received by the time and date stated above. The time for receipt of proposals shall be based on the time on the receiving Contract Specialist's computer. Timeliness of proposals will be in strict accordance with FAR 52.215-1. Only those proposal received by the specified time and date will be considered and reviewed. Incomplete proposals may result in the rejection of the proposal.

II. PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRY INFORMATION

Pre-Proposal Inquiries (PPI): Offerors who determine that the technical and or contractual requirements of this RFP require clarification(s) in order to permit submittal of a responsive proposal shall submit all questions in writing, in the English Language. Additionally, all inquiries shall be submitted using the Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) form attached to this solicitation as Attachment C. All Inquiries shall be submitted via e-mail to stephen.astwood@eu.navy.mil and joseph.a.martinez@eu.navy.mil. Pre-proposal inquiries will be accepted up to **15 October 2015**.

A response will be published as an amendment to the solicitation.

III. PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRY RESPONSES

Question #2:

With reference to the subject contract, in Factor 2 - Experience Paragraph of the solicitation (pag 13 of 52) it is required to submit Experiences dealing with Construction value equal to or greater than \$10,000,000.00. Please clarify what's the exchange rate that USG applies in the evaluation of Contract value in euro, which is the minimum contract value allowed in euro.

Response: See revised Factor 2- Experience, Amendment 0003

Question #3:

Could you please specify what administrative documentation (excluding certifications, i.e. SOA or JVs) should be attached to our proposal and where exactly (Price)?

In same instances the wording seems, in fact, to indicate that the documents will be requested only if needed by the KO and not attached.

In particular we would like to ask if the following documents are needed in the submission phase (and if there are other needed):

- Self Declaration as per art. 75 DPR 554 and DPR 412/2000 (page 8)
- Criminal Records (page 8)
- Certificato di Iscrizione alla Camera di Commercio (page 9)
- Anti Mafia Declaration ("Nulla Osta" wording does not exist any more) (Page 9)
- Camera di Commercio (Page 9)

Response: See revised Anti-Mafia Law Language, Amendment 0003

Question #4:

With reference to page 7 of 52 of the Instructions to Offerors (Paragraph B-a.Proposal Submission Requirements - Società Organismi di Attestazione (SOA) Certification), could you please confirm that the alternative classifications to OG-11 are:

- OS-3 Class IV, OS-28 Class IV, OS-30 Class IV bis (pursuant to article 79, paragraph 16 of DPR 207/2010); and not OG-3, Class IV, OG-28 Class IV, OG-30, Class IV bis, as formally stated.

Response: See revised Società Organismi di Attestazione (SOA) Certification Information, Amendment 0003.

Question #5:

The requirements to this solicitation are complex. The project deserves more attention in order for offerors to be able to submit competitive pricing that is also fair and reasonable. We respectfully request the Government to extend the proposal due date by 2 more weeks (through October 30th 2015).

Response: The proposal due date has been extended to 26 October 2015. Amendment 0003

IV. EVALUATION FACTORS

The Price Evaluation Factor is hereby removed:

Price:

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The price proposal shall be separate from the technical proposal. Complete and submit the following:

- ... Cover letter
- ... SF 1442, Solicitation, Offer, and Award – Blocks 14 through 20c.
 - o Acknowledge all solicitation amendments in Block 19 the SF1442.
- ... CLIN 0001 - Construction of the Firm Fixed Price (Lump Sum) including all labor, management, supervision, tools, materials, and equipment necessary to construct a multi-story Unaccompanied Enlisted Personnel Housing (UEPH) facility at Camp Ederle located in Vicenza, Italy, in accordance with the RFP. CLIN 0002- Bid Option #1- Photovoltaic Energy Equipment Price for providing additional roof-mounted photovoltaic energy equipment, including related roof-mounted framing system and related ancillary items; complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications. Submit price proposals in US Currency (\$) on the PRICE SCHEDULE (Attachment E). All proposals must be submitted no later than the time and date specified in block 13 of the SF1442.

Additional Proposal Information:

- (a) Ensure the offeror's Representations and Certifications, including the supplemental certifications included in Section 00600, are current and posted in the System for Acquisition Management (SAM) SAM database. Offerors proposing as a J/V require registry as an entity separate from the respective J/V partners.
- (b) Offerors are strongly recommended to participate in the site visit, examine the scope of work, drawings, specifications, schedule, and all instructions. Failure to do so will be at the offeror's risk.
- (c) Each offeror shall furnish the information required by the RFP. The offeror shall sign the offer and print or type its name on the Schedule and each continuation sheet on which it makes an entry. Erasures or other changes must be initialed by the person signing the offer. Offers signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.
- (d) Offers for supplies or services other than those specified will not be considered unless authorized by the solicitation.
- (e) Offerors must state a definite time for delivery of supplies or for performance of services, unless otherwise specified in the solicitation.
- (f) Time, if stated as a number of days, will include Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.

(2) Price Basis of Evaluation.

The Government will evaluate price based on the total price, CLIN 0001 and CLIN 0002.

The price proposal shall be evaluated to determine the reasonableness of the offered price. A price which is found to be either unreasonably high or unreasonably low may negatively impact the price evaluation.

(a) Price evaluation shall consist of price analysis using one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (1) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (2) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE.
- (3) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.

(4) Comparison of market survey results.

(b) Congruence between the technical and price proposals shall also be utilized to determine the reasonableness of the proposed price as well as potential performance risk.

(c) Evaluation of the price proposal will determine the reasonableness, realism, and completeness of the offeror's proposal.

(d) Evaluation will determine the offeror's comprehension of the requirements of the RFP as well as to assess the degree to which the proposed price accurately reflects proposed performance.

(e) A price found to be either unreasonably high or unrealistically low in relation to the proposed work may negatively impact the offeror's ranking. The Government reserves the right to conduct a price realism analysis of proposed prices the Government considers to be unrealistically low. The Government may consider the offeror(s) understanding of the work or ability to perform the work in this risk assessment.

(f) Representations and Certifications will be reviewed in the SAM database to ensure they are complete.

The Price Evaluation Factor is hereby replaced with:

Price:

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The price proposal shall be separate from the technical proposal. Complete and submit the following:

- ... **Cover letter**
- ... **SF 1442, Solicitation, Offer, and Award – Blocks 14 through 20c.**
 - **Acknowledge all solicitation amendments in Block 19 the SF1442.**
- ... **CLIN 0001 - Construction of the Firm Fixed Price (Lump Sum) including all labor, management, supervision, tools, materials, and equipment necessary to construct a multi-story Unaccompanied Enlisted Personnel Housing (UEPH) facility at Camp Ederle located in Vicenza, Italy, in accordance with the RFP. Submit price proposals in EURO (€) on the PRICE SCHEDULE (Attachment E). All proposals must be submitted no later than the time and date specified in block 13 of the SF1442.**
- ... **CLIN 0002. Option Item No. 1 - Price includes the following: Price for providing roof-mounted photovoltaic energy equipment, including related roof-mounted framing system and related ancillary items; complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications.**

Additional Proposal Information:

(a) **Ensure the offeror's Representations and Certifications, including the supplemental certifications included in Section 00600, are current and posted in the System for Acquisition Management (SAM) SAM database. Offerors proposing as a J/V require registry as an entity separate from the respective J/V partners.**

(b) **Offerors are strongly recommended to participate in the site visit, examine the scope of work, drawings, specifications, schedule, and all instructions. Failure to do so will be at the offeror's risk.**

(c) **Each offeror shall furnish the information required by the RFP. The offeror shall sign the offer and print or type its name on the Schedule and each**

continuation sheet on which it makes an entry. Erasures or other changes must be initialed by the person signing the offer. Offers signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.

(d) Offers for supplies or services other than those specified will not be considered unless authorized by the solicitation.

(e) Offerors must state a definite time for delivery of supplies or for performance of services, unless otherwise specified in the solicitation.

(f) Time, if stated as a number of days, will include Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.

(2) Price Basis of Evaluation.

The Government will evaluate price based on the total price, CLIN 0001 and CLIN 0002.

The price proposal shall be evaluated to determine the reasonableness of the offered price. A price which is found to be either unreasonably high or unreasonably low may negatively impact the price evaluation.

The offers submitted will be used for the Price Evaluation. The price for all Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs) will be added together for a sum total and one or more of the following techniques will be used to evaluate the sum total to ensure that it is fair and reasonable:

- a) Price evaluation shall consist of price analysis using one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:
 - (1) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP;
 - (2) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE;
 - (3) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information; or,
 - (4) Comparison of market survey results.
- b) A price found to be either unreasonably high or unrealistically low in relation to the proposed work may negatively impact the offeror's ranking. The Government reserves the right to conduct a price realism analysis of proposed prices the Government considers to be unrealistically low. The Government may consider the offeror(s) understanding of the work or ability to perform the work in this risk assessment.
- c) Representations and Certifications will be reviewed in the SAM database to ensure they are complete.
- d) The price proposals will be ranked from lowest to highest based on the evaluation criteria stated above.

Factor 1 – Technical Approach is hereby removed:

Factor 1 – Technical Approach:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Government will allow the Offeror to propose use of primary subcontractors to perform various aspects of work as part of the team effort. The composition and management of the primary subcontractors will be evaluated in this factor. Primary subcontractors is defined as those subcontractors performing more than 10% of the work as it relates to the Offeror's price proposal.

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

- (1) Provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firms and primary subcontractors for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). The narrative shall also include an organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. Additionally, the narrative shall include a description of the primary subcontractors' qualifications for this work and why they have been selected as it relates to the requirements of this solicitation.
- (2) The technical approach narrative shall be limited to five (5) double-sided page (or ten (10) single-sided pages) including the organizational chart.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The assessment of the Offeror's technical approach will be conducted by evaluating the effectiveness of the organizational structure, teaming, and subcontractor relationships proposed by the Offeror in meeting the Government's staffing and execution requirements.

Factor 1 – Technical Approach is replaced with:

Factor 1 – Technical Approach:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

It shall be limited to five (5) double-sided page (or ten (10) single-sided pages) excluding the organizational chart. The organizational chart indicated in item (2)(a) shall be submitted on a separate page. The information requested in item (3) below is not included in this page limitation.

(1) The technical approach narrative shall include the Offeror's ability and approach to complete all requirements as indicated in the solicitation documents. The technical approach narrative shall discuss the Offeror's ability and approach from contract award to contract completion.

(2) Provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firms and primary subcontractors for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). Also, the Offeror's narrative shall:

- a. **Include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. If the experience of an entity is being claimed in Factor 2, that entity must be named in the above narrative and organizational chart.**

- b. Provide a proposed schedule that includes all aspects of the solicitation requirements. The schedule shall demonstrate an understanding of the requirements, a logical and effective execution approach, and adherence to the contract duration. Include a written narrative explaining the ability and approach to executing the proposed schedule.
- c. Indicate the Offeror’s approach to completing the structural concrete piles (shafts) requirement.

(3) In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement (MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror’s team identified in the provided narrative (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The assessment of the Offeror’s technical approach will be conducted by evaluating the Offeror’s ability and approach to complete contract requirements from contract award to contract completion and the effectiveness of the organizational structure, teaming, and subcontractor relationships proposed by the Offeror in meeting the Government’s staffing and execution requirements to include the structural concrete piles (shafts) requirement.

The Government’s evaluation of the offeror’s proposed schedule is a means of determining whether the offeror’s technical approach demonstrates an offeror understands the scope of work and approaches the tasks in a logical and effective manner.

Factor 2 – Experience is hereby removed:

Factor 2 – Experience:

- (i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Submit a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) construction contracts for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. The contracts may be contracts completed for the U.S. Government or other clients. Relevant projects will:

- (1) Be similar in scope by providing for the construction of a barracks, dormitory, hotel, or similar type berthing facility that is three stories or greater in height;
- (2) Have a construction contract value equal to or greater than \$10,000,000.00;
- (3) Have a facility square footage equal to or greater than 5,000 square meters; and

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a single task order as a project.

The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e., unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, a strength may be given should the description also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process. These documents do not count against the page limitations of Factor two (2). The Offeror shall indicate for all submitted contracts the percentage of work that was self-performed and the percentage of the work that was executed via subcontractors. Unlike Factor one (1), in which sub-contractors are to be identified for the purpose of informing the Government of the proposed team, factor two (2) shall not consider the use of sub-contractors to identify the experience that the prime contractor is proposing to have. The purpose of identifying the level of self-performance is to ensure that projects submitted by the prime contractor have a majority of work self-performed by the prime contractor to establish adequate experience.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects shall be submitted for each Joint Venture partner. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture partners may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a maximum total of five (5) projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will be whether the projects submitted by the Offeror demonstrate the experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements in paragraph (2)(i) above. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience is evaluated to determine the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five projects. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects that demonstrate construction experience LEED and sustainable features may receive a higher rating than those that do not demonstrate experience with LEED and sustainable design features;

Relevant projects that demonstrate construction experience in Italy and in construction compliance with Italian law may receive a higher rating than those that do not demonstrate construction experience in Italy and compliance with Italian law.

Factor 2 – Experience is hereby replaced with:

Factor 2 – Experience:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates the Offeror's experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. The contracts may be contracts completed for the U.S. Government or other clients. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project shall:

- (1) be similar in scope by providing for the construction of a barracks, dormitory, hotel, or similar type berthing facility that is three stories or greater in height;**
- (2) have a construction contract value equal to or greater than ₱,000,000;**

- (3) have a facility square footage equal to or greater than 5,000 square meters; and
- (4) at least one (1) of these projects submitted shall demonstrate the use of structural concrete piles (shafts) as a part of the facility's structure.

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be completed within the past ten (10) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any structural requirements for the project, including specific descriptions of those features.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review the first five (5) construction projects in the proposal. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience will not be considered.

Offerors relevant projects that demonstrate experience with concrete structural repair or retrofit work may receive a higher rating than those that do not demonstrate experience with concrete structural repair or retrofit work features.

Offerors who submit relevant projects that demonstrate experience self-performing relevant features of work may receive a higher rating than those who do not demonstrate self performance.

Relevant projects that demonstrate construction experience in Italy and in construction compliance with Italian law may receive a higher rating than those that do not demonstrate construction experience in Italy and compliance with Italian law.

Factor 3 – Safety is hereby removed:

Factor 3 – Safety

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following shall address each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; only one safety narrative is required.)

(1) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the offeror's technical approach to safety for its employees as well as the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate and measure safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record and the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, Government agencies, OSHA, Contractor Incident Reporting System (CIRS) databases, and other related resources. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety may be given a higher rating in the evaluation.

Factor 3 – Safety is hereby replaced with:

Factor 3 – Safety

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following shall address each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; only one safety narrative is required.)

(1) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the offeror's technical approach to safety for its employees as well as the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate and measure safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record and the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors. The Government's sources of information for evaluating

safety may include, but are not limited to, Government agencies, OSHA, Contractor Incident Reporting System (CIRS) databases, and other related resources. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety may be given a higher rating in the evaluation.

Factor 4 – Past Performance is hereby removed:

Factor 4 – Past Performance:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Contractor Performance Appraisal Reporting System (CPARS) or Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2, Experience. If there is not a completed CPARS/CCASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment B) for each project included in Factor 2 - Experience. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed one (1) double-sided pages (or two (2) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government’s inability to contact any of the Offeror’s references or the reference’s unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government’s evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror’s proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal

Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

Factor 4 – Past Performance is hereby replaced with:

Factor 4 – Past Performance:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Contractor Performance Appraisal Reporting System (CPARS) or Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for Construction Experience. If there is not a completed CPARS/CCASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment B) for each project included in Factor 2 for Construction Experience. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed one (1) double-sided pages (or two (2) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the reference's unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and

distinct from the Contracting Officer’s responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror’s past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror’s probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

V. ALL OTHER ITEMS

Page 1 of 52

Block 10 “Award will be made in US Currency (\$) All proposed prices shall be submitted in US Currency (\$)” is hereby removed and **replaced with**

“Award will be made in EURO (€). All proposed prices shall be submitted in EURO (€)”.

Page 6 of 52

8. The contract will be awarded in US Currency, and all offers shall be submitted in US Currency (Dollars) **is hereby removed and replaced with:**

8. The contract will be awarded in EURO (€), and all offers shall be submitted in EURO (€).

Page 7 of 52

SOCIETÀ ORGANISMI DI ATTESTAZIONE (SOA) CERTIFICATION is hereby removed:

a. **SOCIETÀ ORGANISMI DI ATTESTAZIONE (SOA) CERTIFICATION.**

The following SOA Certifications are required by the Offeror:

The Prevailing Work Category:

Classification: **OG-1, Class VII**

Percentage of Work: **56%**

Related Classifications (sub categories) and percentages for this work category:

Classification: **OG-11, Class VI**

Percentage of Work: **44%**

or, as an alternative to OG-11:

Classification: **OG-3, Class IV**

Percentage of Work: **24%**

Classification: OG-28, Class IV

Percentage of Work: **41%**

Classification: OG-30, Class IV bis

Percentage of Work: **35%**

Companies that are QUALIFIED in the Prevailing Work Category and pertinent Classification, but NOT QUALIFIED also in the Minor Work Category and pertinent Classification, can still bid against the subject solicitation, by forming a Joint-Venture or subcontracting for the execution of the work pertaining to the OG1

Category for which they are not qualified. Offerors must declare their intention of forming the Joint-Venture or awarding a subcontract.

In the case of a JV, at least one member of the JV must have the required SOA Certifications. The JV member who has the SOA Certification must perform the majority of the work pertinent to the certification. In the case of a JV certification submitted by a subcontractor will not be accepted.

As required by DPR 554, art 75 and DPR 412 /2000 CO shall require the apparent successful bidder/offeror to submit additional documentation, upon CO demand, for evaluation of firm's technical and financial qualifications to execute the work.

Debarment from Award:

As required by article 75 of DPR 554 and DPR 412/2000, KTRs cannot bid if they have a history of, or there is an existing question relative to:

- Bankruptcy
- Pending Charges
- Final Penal Judgment for moral and/or professional misconduct
- Breach of use of unauthorized subcontractors, i.e. anti-trust laws
- Breach of safety / security or labor regulations
- Serious malpractice or negligence
- Tax payments violations
- False or fraudulent representations in prior solicitations

KTR must submit extract from criminal record or affidavits released by the local court of KTR's residence.

CO shall review KTRs' certifications for compliance. Firms who obtained SOA certification are listed in the SOA website. Not submitting the additional documentation is treated as a responsiveness issue. CO shall ensure that the contract provisions stipulate that the contract may be terminated for default if it is discovered after award that the KTR failed to meet the certification requirements of D.P.R. n. 34, or art 75 of DPR 554, and DPR 412/2000.

SOCIETÀ ORGANISMI DI ATTESTAZIONE (SOA) CERTIFICATION is hereby replaced with:

a. SOCIETÀ ORGANISMI DI ATTESTAZIONE (SOA) CERTIFICATION.

The following SOA Certifications are required by the Offeror:

The Prevailing Work Category:

Classification: OG-1, Class VII

Percentage of Work: 56%

Related Classifications (sub categories) and percentages for this work category:

Classification: OG-11, Class VI

Percentage of Work: 44%

or, as an alternative to OG-11:

Classification: OS-3, Class IV

Percentage of Work: 24%

Classification: OS-28, Class IV

Percentage of Work: 41%

Classification: OS-30, Class IV bis

Percentage of Work: 35%

Companies that are QUALIFIED in the Prevailing Work Category and pertinent Classification, but NOT QUALIFIED also in the Minor Work Category and pertinent Classification, can still bid against the subject solicitation, by forming a Joint-Venture or subcontracting for the execution of the work pertaining to the OG11 Category for which they are not qualified. Offerors must declare their intention of forming the Joint-Venture or awarding a subcontract.

In the case of a JV, at least one member of the JV must have the required SOA Certifications. The JV member who has the SOA Certification must perform the majority of the work pertinent to the certification. In the case of a JV certification submitted by a subcontractor will not be accepted.

As required by DPR 554, art 75 and DPR 412 /2000 Contracting Officer shall require the Offeror to submit additional documentation for evaluation of firm's technical and financial qualifications to execute the work.

Debarment from Award:

As required by article 75 of DPR 554 and DPR 412/2000, KTRs cannot bid if they have a history of, or there is an existing question relative to:

- **Bankruptcy**
- **Pending Charges**
- **Final Penal Judgment for moral and/or professional misconduct**
- **Breach of use of unauthorized subcontractors, i.e. anti-trust laws**
- **Breach of safety / security or labor regulations**
- **Serious malpractice or negligence**
- **Tax payments violations**
- **False or fraudulent representations in prior solicitations**

The Offeror must submit extract from criminal record or affidavits released by the local court of the Offeror's residence.

The Contracting Officer shall review the Offeror's certifications for compliance. Firms who obtained SOA certification are listed in the SOA website. Not submitting the additional documentation is treated as unresponsiveness. The Contracting Officer shall ensure that the contract provisions stipulate that the contract may be terminated for default if it is discovered after award that the Offeror failed to meet the certification requirements of D.P.R. n. 34, or art 75 of DPR 554, and DPR 412/2000.

Page 10 of 52

ANTI-MAFIA LAW is hereby removed:

ANTI-MAFIA LAW

The Offeror is responsible for complying with Italian Anti-Mafia laws with respect to its subcontractors, including, but not limited to, obtaining subcontractor C.C.I.A.A. certificates inclusive of the anti-mafia "nulla osta" statement in accordance with Art. 67 of Legislative Decree no. 159/2011; and, if necessary, requesting additional information regarding attempts of mafia infiltration from a competent Prefect (Prefetto). The Offeror agrees to provide the Government appropriate documentation showing results of any Prefect (Prefetto) investigations. If after award, a competent Prefect (Prefetto) determines that mafia infiltration attempts have occurred with a subcontractor, then the Offeror agrees to promptly terminate the subject subcontract and replace the mafia-colluded subcontractor at its own cost with a compliant company.

Pre-Award Effect of Anti-Mafia Procedures: Inasmuch as the work of this solicitation is to be performed on land owned by the Italian State, the prospective contractor will be subject to Italian legislation concerning anti-mafia documentation, including, without limitation: Legislative Decree no. 159 of September 6, 2011, Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252 of June 3, 1998, Legislative Decree no. 490 of August 8, 1994 and Law Decree No. 629 of September 6, 1982, and subsequent integrations and amendments. Only firms that submit the requested documentation will be considered for award. In the event that prior to award any mafia infiltration attempt is determined by the competent Prefect (Prefetto) against an offeror, pursuant to Art. 4 of Legislative Decree no. 490/1994 and Art. 10 of Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998, no award will be made to said offeror. Furthermore, in the event that prior to award any additional information against an offeror is provided by the competent Prefect (Prefetto) pursuant to Art. 1, Section 7 of Law decree 629/1982 and Art. 10, paragraph 9, of Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998, the Government may decide, at its sole discretion, that no award will be made to said offeror.

Termination Under Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998: If during the life of this contract, any mafia infiltration attempt is determined by the Prefect (Prefetto) against any component of the Contractor or any Subcontractor, pursuant to Art 4 of Legislative Decree no. 490/1994 and Art. 10 of Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998, the Government will consider this a failure to execute the work and terminate the contractor's right to proceed with the work under the "Default" clause of this contract. If during the life of this contract, any additional information is provided by the Prefect (Prefetto) pursuant to Art. 1, Section 7 of Law Decree 629/1982 and Art. 10, paragraph 9, of Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998, against any component of the Contractor or any subcontractor, the Government at its sole discretion may consider this a failure to execute the work and terminate the contractor's right to proceed with the work under the "Default" clause of this contract.

Documentation Requirements, Contractor shall submit the following:

If available, include the Certificato di Iscrizione alla Camera di Commercio - Original, unexpired and not older than SIX (6) months old from the date of proposal submission from the Chamber of Commerce Certificate of Membership (Certificato di Iscrizione alla Camera di Commercio) inclusive of the Anti-Mafia Nulla Osta statement reading: "Nulla osta ai fini dell'articolo 67 del Decreto legislativo n. 159 del 6 settembre 2011 (gia Art. 10 della legge 31 maggio 1965, n 575) e successive modificazioni. La presente certificazione è emessa dalla C.C.I.A.A. utilizzando il collegamento telematico con il sistema informativo utilizzato dalla prefettura di Roma.

If the offeror has attempted and is unable to obtain the certificate from the Chamber of Commerce, please include an explanation why not, along with official correspondence from the Chamber of Commerce, if available. This documentation is to be included in your Price Proposal.

ANTI-MAFIA LAW is hereby replaced with:

ANTI-MAFIA LAW

The Offeror is responsible for complying with Italian Anti-Mafia laws with respect to its subcontractors, including, but not limited to, obtaining subcontractor C.C.I.A.A. certificates inclusive of the anti-mafia "nulla osta" statement in accordance with Art. 67 of Legislative Decree no. 159/2011; and, if necessary, requesting additional information regarding attempts of mafia infiltration from a competent Prefect (Prefetto). The Offeror agrees to provide the Government appropriate documentation showing results of any Prefect (Prefetto) investigations. If after award, a competent Prefect (Prefetto) determines that mafia infiltration attempts have occurred with a subcontractor, then the Offeror agrees to promptly terminate the subject subcontract and replace the mafia-colluded subcontractor at its own cost with a compliant company.

Pre-Award Effect of Anti-Mafia Procedures: Inasmuch as the work of this solicitation is to be performed on land owned by the Italian State, the prospective contractor will be subject to Italian legislation concerning anti-mafia documentation, including, without limitation: Legislative Decree no. 159 of September 6, 2011, Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252 of June 3, 1998, Legislative Decree no. 490 of August 8, 1994 and Law Decree No. 629 of September 6, 1982, and subsequent integrations and amendments. Only firms that submit the requested documentation will be considered for award. In the event that prior to award any mafia infiltration attempt is determined by the competent Prefect (Prefetto) against an offeror, pursuant to Art. 4 of Legislative Decree no. 490/1994 and Art. 10 of Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998, no award will be made to said offeror. Furthermore, in the event that prior to award any additional information against an offeror is provided by the competent Prefect (Prefetto) pursuant to Art. 1, Section 7 of Law Decree 629/1982 and Art. 10, paragraph 9, of Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998, the Government may decide, at its sole discretion, that no award will be made to said offeror.

Termination Under Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998: If during the life of this contract, any mafia infiltration attempt is determined by the Prefect (Prefetto) against any component of the Contractor or any Subcontractor, pursuant to Art 4 of Legislative Decree no. 490/1994 and Art. 10 of Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998, the Government will consider this a failure to execute the work and terminate the contractor's right to proceed with the work under the "Default" clause of this contract. If during the life of this contract, any additional information is provided by the Prefect (Prefetto) pursuant to Art. 1, Section 7 of Law Decree 629/1982 and Art. 10, paragraph 9, of Decree of the President of the Republic no. 252/1998, against any component of the Contractor or any subcontractor, the Government at its sole discretion may consider this a failure to execute the work and terminate the contractor's right to proceed with the work under the "Default" clause of this contract.

Documentation Requirements, Contractor shall submit the following:

If available, include the Certificato di Iscrizione alla Camera di Commercio - Original, unexpired and not older than SIX (6) months old from the date of proposal submission from the Chamber of Commerce Certificate of Membership (Certificato di Iscrizione alla Camera di Commercio) inclusive of the Anti-Mafia Nulla Osta statement reading: "Nulla osta ai fini dell'articolo 67 del Decreto legislativo n. 159 del 6 settembre 2011 (gia Art. 10 della legge 31 maggio 1965, n 575) e successive modificazioni. La presente certificazione è emessa dalla C.C.I.A.A. utilizzando il collegamento telematico con il sistema informativo utilizzato dalla prefettura di Roma.

If the offeror has attempted and is unable to obtain the certificate from the Chamber of Commerce, please include an explanation why not, along with official correspondence from the Chamber of Commerce, if available. In addition, the offeror SHALL also include a “self-declaration” stating that “pursuant to D.P.R. 4455/2000, declaring that for all the persons involved none of the causes of forfeiture, suspension or prohibition set for by Art. 67 of the Code exist.”

This documentation is to be included in your Price Proposal.

Page 19 of 52

52.214-35 SUBMISSION OF OFFERS IN U.S. CURRENCY (APR 1991) is hereby removed.

Page 51 of 52

CONTRACTOR’S FINAL RELEASE is hereby removed:

CONTRACTOR'S FINAL RELEASE

Before the final payment under this contract is made, the Contractor shall complete and submit, to the Contracting Officer, a correct "Contractor's release."

“For Accounting purposes and the administrative convenience of the Government, the accounting and appropriations date shall be expressed in Dollars.”

CONTRACTOR’S FINAL RELEASE is hereby replaced with:

CONTRACTOR'S FINAL RELEASE

Before the final payment under this contract is made, the Contractor shall complete and submit, to the Contracting Officer, a correct "Contractor's release."

“For Accounting purposes and the administrative convenience of the Government, the accounting and appropriations date shall be expressed in EURO (€).”

Page 50 of 52 Attachment E is hereby removed and replaced with:

ATTACHMENT E

PRICE SCHEDULE

N33191-15-R-0843

**Unaccompanied Enlisted Personnel Housing (UEPH) Facility
Camp Ederle
Vicenza, Italy**

ITEM NO	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
0001			Project	€	€

Construct UEPH Facility

FFP

The work includes the furnishing of all labor, management, supervision, tools, materials, and equipment necessary to construct a multi-story Unaccompanied Enlisted Personnel Housing (UEPH) facility at Camp Ederle located in Vicenza, Italy. The Unaccompanied Enlisted Personnel Housing (UEPH) will be a four story building of approximately 8,517 sm (91,682 SF).

FOB: Destination

PURCHASE REQUEST NUMBER: ACQR3908227

€ _____

NET AMT

ITEM NO	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
0002			Project	€	€

OPTION Option #1- Photovoltaic Energy Equipment

FFP

Provide additional roof-mounted photovoltaic energy equipment, including related roof-mounted framing system and related ancillary items; complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications.

FOB: Destination

€ _____

NET AMT

TOTAL PRICE FOR CLIN 0001 and CLIN 0002 € _____

Notes:

- 1) Offerors shall provide a price for all items on the Price Schedule.
- 2) A firm fixed price is required for each item and no provision will be made for economic adjustments.
- 3) Award will be made in EURO (€). All proposed prices shall be submitted in EURO (€).
- 4) The Offeror's price is valid for at least **120 days** from the receipt of proposals for all items.

(End of Summary of Changes)