

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1   3
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 02-Sep-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. N3319115R1229		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC EUROPE AFRICA SOUTHWEST ASIA PSC 817 BOX 51 FPO AE 09622-0051	CODE N33191	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N33191-15-R-1229	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 07-Aug-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  Amendment 0002 is issued for the following reasons:  1.To provide responses to Pre-Proposal Inquiries (PPIs).  See page 2, SUMMARY OF CHANGES 0001, for additional information.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  02-Sep-2015

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

## SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0002

PPI QUESTION 1: Will the above referenced Solicitation result in a Design-to-Build type contract or a "Build-to-Print" type contract?

PPI ANSWER 1: This is a design-build contract, with very limited design efforts. The level of design efforts included in our contract are described in several paragraphs of our Performance Technical Specifications, specifically in paragraph 2.8. The Municipality already has a technical project which will not be fully executed as part of our contract, and which may need to be modified as a result of the final scope of work included in our contract.

PPI QUESTION 2: Does NAVFAC concur with our interpretation of Bulgarian Construction law as above cited and agree that a legally distinct corporate entity (a design sub-contractor) will be required for the Offeror to perform required SOW / PWS tasks?

PPI ANSWER 2: As stated in the Performance Technical Specifications, compliance with Bulgarian legal and technical requirements is absolutely necessary for all aspects of our contract. No exceptions shall or can be made.

PPI QUESTION 3: Will the offeror be required to specifically identify the Design Sub-contractor in its proposal?

PPI ANSWER 3: See the description and requested information for Corporate Experience in the Contract Data Sheet (CDS) forms included in the RPF package.

PPI QUESTION 4: If the requirement is to identify the Design sub-contractor, will the Offeror be required to provide Experience and Past Performance Statements for the Design sub-contractor?

PPI ANSWER 4: Please see the information contained in the Contract Data Sheets (CDS) included in the RFP and the minimum acceptability requirements. Only requested information will be evaluated. Corporate Experience needs to be submitted for the offeror. For the Corporate Experience of a particular submitted contract to be considered relevant, it shall meet the minimum requirements listed and described in the Request for Proposal document.

PPI QUESTION 5: In connection with the preparation of Bid for project SOLICITATION NO. N33191-15-R-1229 BURGAS RENOVATION OF DAY CARE CENTER FOR CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS WITH DISABILITIES, BULGARIA we noticed that the project is send to Archive on your web site. Does that means that the tender is stopped again?

PPI ANSWER 5: This contract is still open for solicitation and will close on Thu, Sep 10, 2015.

PPI QUESTION 6: Question: Are all the offerors evaluated to be "technically acceptable" placed in a category in which these offerors are equally "acceptable" or are there adjectival or numerical ratings assigned to each "technically acceptable" offeror?

PPI ANSWER 6: All the offerors evaluated to be "technically acceptable" are placed in a category in which these offerors are equally acceptable.

(End of Summary of Changes)