



Question No.	Date Received	REFERENCE			QUESTION	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE	Posted on Amend No.
		Page	Section	Para.			
1	6/14/2016	100 and 112	Section I	<p>52.228-1 BID GUARANTEE</p> <p>5254.201-9300 BID GUARANTEE (Correct Clause = 5252.228-9302)</p>	<p>Could you clarify this sentence or inform us directly the value of the bid guarantee? The phrase is: (c) The amount of the bid guarantee shall be 20% percent of the bid price or \$2,000,000, whichever is less.-</p> <p>1) 20% of the seed project or 20% of the value of the entire project?</p> <p>2) In case it is 20% of the value of the entire contract, I understand that we have to deliver a provisional guarantee of two million. Is this correct ?</p> <p>3) I understand that we have to introduce our provisional guarantee. can you tell me in which volume of the three we must to introduce this document? price - technically or responsibility determination.</p>	<p>1.) The bid guarantee is required for the seed project.</p> <p>2.) N/A</p> <p>3.) The bid guarantee shall be provided with the price proposal</p>	1

No.	Date received	Page	Section	Para.	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE	Amend No.
2	6/15/2016	100 and 112	Section I	<p>52.228-1 BID GUARANTEE</p> <p>5254.201-9300 BID GUARANTEE (Correct Clause = 5252.228-9302)</p>	<p>52.228-1 'BID GUARANTEE' (SEP 1996) asks for the furnishing of a bid guarantee to either 20% of the bid price or \$2,000,000.00, whichever is less. Given the maximum dollar value of the contract, requirement is for \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>In page 112 of 132 of the Solicitation, Clause 5254.201-9300 'BID GUARANTEE' (OCT 2004) asks for the furnishing of guarantee bond (Standard Form 24) to at least 20% of the largest amount which award can be made under the bid submitted, but in no case to exceed \$3,000,000.00. Given the maximum dollar value of the contract, requirement is for \$3,000,000.00. Please clarify the following:</p> <p>1.) Which clause is applicable? Clause 52.228-1 or Clause 5254.201-9300?</p> <p>2.) If Clause 5254.201-9300 is the applicable one, it asks to use Standard Form 24. This form though is not used by our surety company. Rather, our surety company can only provide the guarantee bond in their own format. Please advise if this is acceptable.</p> <p>3.) Bidder understands that this bid guarantee or guarantee bond is to be returned by the Government to the successful bidder upon signing of the contract and furnishing of required Performance Bond and Payment Bond. Please advise if our understanding is correct.</p>	<p>1.) In accordance with NFAS 28.101-2-100 NAVFAC provisions or contract clauses. (a)(1) Insert the provision at 5252.228-9302, Bid Guarantee, in solicitations requiring bid guarantees. Use in addition to FAR clause 52.228-1. Therefore, both clauses apply. When the clause was added in our contract system it generated clause number 5254.201-9300 which is incorrect. The NFAS Bid Guarantee clause is 5252.228-9302. I am not able to physically make the correction therefore the correction is made here.</p> <p>2.) The clause states, "each bidder/offoror <u>shall</u> submit with its bid/offer a guarantee bond (Standard Form 24)." SF 24 has been provided as an attachment to this amendment.</p> <p>3.) Correct.</p>	1

No.	Date received	Page	Section	Para.	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE	Amend No.
3	6/15/2016	112	Section I	5252.228-9305 NOTICE OF BONDING REQUIREMENTS	<p>In page 112 of 132 of the Solicitation, Clause 5252.228-9305 'NOTICE OF BONDING REQUIREMENTS' (DEC 2000) asks for a Performance Bond in a penal sum equal to 100% of the contract price and a Payment Bond in a penal sum equal to 100% of the contract price. These percentages appear excessive given that it is not expected that there will be \$20,000,000.00 worth of projects all being executed at the same time. If one assumes an even dispersion of contract value across the maximum five years of duration, this gives \$4,000,000.00 per year.</p> <p>Please clarify if the required percentages for Payment Bond and Performance Bond are accurate.</p>	<p>The clause should have been used with its Alternate I which substitutes "contract price" with "the task order price for each subsequent task order award." This correction has been made in the RFP.</p>	1
4	6/17/2016	128	Section M	M(B)(Price)(Seed Project)(1)(iii)	<p>In page 128 of 132 of the Solicitation, Under Price Factor, Price (Seed Project) (1)(iii) bidder is to submit Letter from the Offeror's surety. The letter is to verify the offeror has at least a \$6M aggregate bonding capacity. Please clarify if this means that the surety must verify that they will provide with aggregate bonding for projects worth at least \$6M at any given time.</p>	<p>Correct.</p>	1

No.	Date received	Page	Section	Para.	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE	Amend No.
5	6/20/2016	100 and 112	Section I	52.228-1 BID GUARANTEE 5254.201-9300 BID GUARANTEE (Correct Clause = 5252.228-9302)	<p>A. STANDARD FORM 1442 Page 1/132. 13C An offer guarantee <u>is</u> required.</p> <p>B. FAR 52.228-1 BID GUARANTEE Page 100/132 (c) The amount of the bid guarantee shall be 20% percent of the bid price or \$2,000,000, whichever is less.</p> <p>1. the amount of "bid price" asked under REF B: Is it the bid price of the seed project ?</p> <p>C. FAR 5254.201-9300 Page 112/132 "Security shall be in a penal sum equal to at least 20 percent of the largest amount for which award can be made under the bid submitted, but in no case to exceed \$3,000,000</p> <p>2. if the "largest amount for which award can be made under the bid submitted" as asked under REF C refers to: base year period only, the entire 5 year Contract, or the maximum anticipated T.O. amount (\$2,000,000.00)</p> <p>3. Please quantify offer guarantee amount based on clarifications of RFP referenced paragraphs B,C and questions 1,2 above</p>	<p>1.) See response to RFI Question #1</p> <p>2.) The amount refers to the seed project proposed price</p> <p>3.) The amount refers to the seed project proposed price</p>	

No.	Date received	Page	Section	Para.	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE	Amend No.
6	6/20/2016	Page 124 Page 9	Section M Section C	M(B)(3)(a)(ii)	<p>A. Technical Proposal Factor 1 Experience – Basis of evaluation Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.</p> <p>B. C.2.. Description of Work page 9/132 C.2.2 It is anticipated that the majority of work will be NAVFAC construction category III and IV. Thus, not Category II in which " Design is obtained through the Designer of Record, which include routine designs, plans, and limited specifications (supplemented by contractor furnished shop drawings and submittals), and site investigation or studies.</p> <p>1. If Submission of Design Build Projects under Factor 1 of Technical proposal will be considered more favorably since it looks like there will be not much of Design effort requirement under Categories of Construction Works to be awarded under JOC</p> <p>2. In case Design effort is required under a specific Task Order please advise if it will be priced as separate prepriced or not pre-priced line Item</p>	<p>1.) Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.</p> <p>2.) "Only when specific line items are not contained in the UPB, or have not been added as a pre-priced item via contract modification, may the Contractor use non pre-priced line items." (Reference: Section C, C.8)</p>	
7	6/24/2016				<p>Is it possible to know what was the pricing factor for the winning bidder? (Of the previous NSA Souda Bay JOC, Contract # N33191-12-D-0628)</p>	<p>Yes. The pricing factor was based on contractor proposed coefficients multiplied by an estimated means value for pre-priced, non pre-priced (competitive quote), and non pre-priced (self performed) work. The coefficients of the winning bidder can be found in Section B of the awarded contract.</p>	1