

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 23-Aug-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND SPECIALTY CENTER ACQUISITIONS NAVFAC CODE AQ00/NAVAL BASE VENTURA COUNTY 1100 23RD AVE BLDG 1100 PORT HUENEME CA 93043-4347	CODE N62583	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N39430-15-R-1613	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 10-Aug-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to provide Government answers to offeror questions.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 23-Aug-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

Q&A 1

Q&A 1

1. Question: SF33 states proposals are due by 3:00PM on 14 September. However, page 58, Section L.1 states “The common cut-off date for receipt of all written solicitation questions is 1500 Pacific time on 14 September 2015.” Please clarify?

Answer: This is a typo. Section L.1 is amended to state the following: "The common cut-off date for receipt of all written solicitation questions is 1500 Pacific time on 7 September 2015."

2. Question: Page 58: Page limit on Past Performance is indicated as 5 per project. However, page 61 states “The statement for each project/contract may not exceed one page.” Please clarify?

Answer: The question posed above speaks to two separate requirements. As currently stated in the solicitation (page 61) the narrative statement for each project may not exceed one page in length. The total page limitation of each project (including narrative statements) shall not exceed 5 pages (see page 58).

3. Question: Page 59, Section L.5, Factor 1 references Section C.5.0 of the PWS. The PWS does not contain section C.5.0; please clarify?

Answer: Correct. There is a typo and reference to section 5.0 will be removed.

4. Question: Page 60, Factor 2 Key Personnel references Section C.9.2.1, however this section does not exist. Please clarify?

Correct. This is a typo and will be modified to read C.6.0. instead of C.9.2.1.

5. Question: Page 60, Factor 4, general question – if a past performance project does not have a completed CPARS, may contractors submit completed PPQs that were filled out for previous solicitations?

Answer: Offerors may utilize PPQs that were filled out for previous solicitations if the following conditions are met:

1. The PPQ in question utilizes the NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0) format (i.e. the same PPQ as Attachment J-2 to this solicitation).

2. The PPQ cover page is updated to reflect this procurement. Specifically, Block 4 of page 1 of the PPQ asks offerors to state what the similarities are of the PPQ project in comparison to the requirements of this solicitation.

Offerors that submit PPQs that are not in conformance with Form PPQ-0 or do not update the cover page to speak to this specific solicitation may not be taken into consideration when conducting an evaluation of the Past Performance factor.

6. Question: Page 58: Page limit for Factor 2, Management Approach (consisting of the Quality Management Plan and the Key Staff resumes) is listed as 12 pages. However, page 60 states that the Quality Management Plan is

limited to two pages, and on page 59, #4, it states that personnel resumes “are not counted as “pages” for page limit purposes.” Please clarify the page limit for Factor 2?

Answer: Factor 2 consists of two parts: A quality management plan and resumes of key personnel.

1. The quality management plan page limit is two pages, as stated in the solicitation.
2. The page limit for each resume remains at three pages per resume, as stated in the solicitation.
3. The statement regarding personnel resumes not counting as pages is removed from the solicitation.
4. The P.58 Page Limit for Factor 2 is revised to reflect the above (two pages for the plan, no more than three pages per resume)..

7. Question: Under this solicitation, does a subcontractor need to have a Secret or higher clearance at time of contract award? Or do clearances for subcontractors only come into play at the Task Order level?

Answer: It is desirable, though not required, that subcontractors and partners for Task Orders proposed under this solicitation have a Secret Clearance (or higher) at the time of award of this basic contract. Offerors are advised that under Factor 1, offerors that propose subcontractors that already have clearances may be rated more favorably than those that do not.

8. Question: Can you provide an estimate of how much work done under the existing contract required cleared personnel at the Secret or higher level? And how much of that work was OCONUS?

Answer: Approximately 75% of previous task orders involved SCIF facilities. Approximately 95% of previous task orders required US citizens.

9. Does the Prime contractor require any clearance above Secret for this contract?

Answer: Higher clearance levels above Secret are desirable, but not required at the time of award of this IDIQ.

10. Question: Can “Offerors” Past Performance include projects from a subcontractor on the team? In other words, can a Prime use Past Performance examples based on the subcontractors relevant past performance?

Answer: The Government’s preference is to obtain past performance information from offerors acting as prime contractors on previous projects. However, offerors may submit projects that have the offeror acting in the capacity of a subcontractor if the PPQ and/or CPARS forms submitted speak specifically to the offeror’s performance on a project. Offerors are advised that, per Section M of the solicitation, the Government will be evaluated both performance and relevancy (i.e. that projects are similar in size and scope to the requirement) for the Past Performance Factor.

11. Question: On Page 18 Para C.6.0 we noticed a requirement to provide “performance evaluations from prior employers” for Key Personnel, but on page 29 H.3 (b) this requirement does not appear. Is this a requirement, does an extended period of employment with the company (i.e.5 yrs.) negate this requirement?

Answer: Per Section L of the solicitation, the only requirement in regards to Key Personnel are resumes.

12. Question: What is the Deadline for Questions?

Answer: See the answer to Question 1, above.

13. Question: What is the Government MB limit for electronic submission of the Proposal NLT 3 PM on 14 Sep 2015 to your e-mail address.

Answer: The Government email size limit is 8 MB per email sent (no limit to the amount of emails that can be sent). Due to security concerns, the Government will not accept third party file transfer services as an alternative to email.

Offerors are also advised that hard copies in accordance with Section L.3 and L.4 must still be provided in addition to electronic copies.

If an offeror's electronic proposal is unable to be sent via normal email channels, submission via CD and included with the offeror's physical proposal may be substituted.

(End of Summary of Changes)