

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1   4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 27-Jul-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC EXWC CODE ACQ / NAVAL BASE VENTURA COUNTY 1100 23RD AVE BLDG 1100 PORT HUENEME CA 93043-4301		CODE N39430	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N39430-15-R-1654
				X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 17-Jul-2015
					10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
					10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE		FACILITY CODE			
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
<b>13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.</b>					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  The purpose of this amendment is to provide Government responses to Contractor questions (see attachment Q&A 2) and remind Contractors that all questions shall be directed to both meadow.rivas@navy.mil and debra.buckley@navy.mil.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  28-Jul-2015	

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

## SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

Q&A 2

**QUESTION 5)** Is there an incumbent for the NAVFAC EMSWeb solicitation N39430-15-R-1654, or is this a new requirement?

**RESPONSE:** This is not a new requirement. EMSWeb has been in operation since 2010. During that time period, there have been two contractors that have worked upon EMSWeb (but not during the same time period).

**QUESTION 6)** Who is the company/team currently working on the NAVFAC Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System?

**RESPONSE:** The incumbent is currently working on the NAVFAC Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System, and is being managed by Naval Facilities Engineering and Expeditionary Warfare Center (NAVFAC EXWC).

**QUESTION 7)** Is there a page limit for the following sections?:

- a.) Cover Letter
- b.) Seed Task Order Technical Execution Plan
- c.) Key Personnel and Other Staff (Seed Task Section)

**RESPONSE:** There are no page limits for the Cover Letter, Seed Task Order Technical Execution Plan (Factor 2), or Key Personnel and Other Staff (Factor 2). There are page limits for Management Plan and Key Personnel (Factor 1), Seed Task Order Work Plan (Factor 2), and Safety Narrative (Factor 3) as indicated on solicitation pages 56 - 58.

**QUESTION 8)** From section 1.3 of the PWS - "In addition to this PWS, a contractor is currently working on implementing the NAVFAC Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System in EMSWeb until Feb 26, 2016. This implementation will change the EMSWeb programming code, and EMSWeb database structure. The NAVFAC Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System project will require additional functionality updates and data migration currently estimated an additional 2-3 years after the Feb 26, 2016 date." Is it expected that we will be working directly with the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System developer(s)?

**RESPONSE:** It is the Offeror's responsibility to determine the management approach to ensure that there are no negative consequences to the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System by the N39430-15-R-1654 contractor's EMSWeb updates. This may or may not include working directly with the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System developer(s).

**QUESTION 9)** If the answer to question 8 is yes, how will merging code changes to the EMSWeb be managed?

**RESPONSE:** It is the Offeror's responsibility to determine the management approach to ensure that there are no negative consequences to the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System by the N39430-15-R-1654 contractor's EMSWeb updates. It is the Offeror's responsibility to determine the management approach to ensure that EMSWeb programming code and EMSWeb database structure changes required by the NAVFAC Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System project prior to Feb 26, 2016 be incorporated into the EMSWeb code base.

**QUESTION 10)** Will the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System developer(s) be maintaining the code base or will it be maintained by the government?

RESPONSE: The government does not maintain or in any way alter, the programming code base. Before Feb 26, 2016, the incumbent will be the only contractor working on the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System; after Feb 26, 2016, the N39430-15-R-1654 contractor will be the only contractor working on the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System. However, the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System is being created using the EMSWeb framework, and utilizing the existing EMSWeb database. While the user interface and data from the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System is intended to be independent from the rest of the EMSWeb functionality, the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System and EMSWeb does share common functionality. Updates to EMSWeb functions and EMSWeb database may affect the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System and vice versa. In those cases, it is the N39430-15-R-1654 contractor's responsibility to ensure that there are no negative consequences to the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System by the N39430-15-R-1654 contractor's EMSWeb updates. NOTE: The government owns the code to the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System and EMSWeb. The government chooses to not maintain the code base, and outsources that function to contractors.

**QUESTION 11)** Will we be sharing a code base with this company/team?

RESPONSE: It is the Offeror's responsibility to determine the management approach to ensure that there are no negative consequences to the Hazardous Waste Enterprise Management System by the N39430-15-R-1654 contractor's EMSWeb updates. This may or may not include sharing the code base with the incumbent.

**QUESTION 12)** If the answer to question 10 is yes, is there a central repository where the code will be shared?

RESPONSE: It is the Offeror's responsibility to determine the management approach.

**QUESTION 13)** From section 1.3 of the PWS - "The EMSWeb application is built on a proprietary web application framework called C2." What documentation is available for this framework?

RESPONSE: No documentation is available.

**QUESTION 14)** If documentation from question 12 is available, can you provide us the document or upload it to NECO?

RESPONSE: No documentation is available.

**QUESTON 15)** Is C2 a set of UI components or a development methodology for ASP.NET MVC/NHibernate?

RESPONSE: The C2 framework is a development methodology.

**QUESTION 16)** If C2 is not a development methodology, what components are provided by the C2 framework?

RESPONSE: The C2 framework is a development methodology.

**QUESTION 17)** For pricing purposes, what is the primary place of performance for the IDIQ portion of this solicitation?

RESPONSE: The majority of the IDIQ tasks are related to programming and documentation. Those tasks may be performed at the contractor's normal place of business, as indicated by each individual task order. The Maintenance Seed Task only includes those tasks, and the Place of Performance is specified as "The Contractor may perform work at their normal place of business, provided they are able to access the Development, Quality Assurance, and Production servers from that location." In some task orders, it may be necessary for the Contractor to travel throughout the United States and its territories where NAVFAC has a presence (for example, for a meeting at a Navy installation).

**QUESTION 18)** The only document that is obtainable on the NECO site for Amendment 0001 is File 1:  
N39430/N3943015R16540001N39430-15-R-1654\_Amendment\_0001.pdf

The remaining cannot be viewed:

File2: N39430/N3943015R16540001Attachment\_C-4\_CustomFieldsController.cs

File3: N39430/N3943015R16540001Attachment\_C-5\_OrganizationsController.cs

File4: N39430/N3943015R16540001Attachment\_C-12\_U\_Application\_Security\_Development\_Checklist\_V3R5-xccdf.xml

**RESPONSE:** NECO does not support cs/C# format. Send an email to meadow.rivas@navy.mil and debra.buckley@navy.mil for Attachments C-4 and C-5 in .cs. Attachment C-12 (File 4 under Amendment 0001) can be viewed at [https://www.neco.navy.mil/biz\\_ops/840-v5soln.aspx?soln=N3943015R1654](https://www.neco.navy.mil/biz_ops/840-v5soln.aspx?soln=N3943015R1654). If you are still unable to view Attachment C-12, you may request a copy via email (meadow.rivas@navy.mil and debra.buckley@navy.mil).

**QUESTION 19)** For Past Performance, will a state evaluation system be an acceptable substitute for the CPARS?

**RESPONSE:** No, a Past Performance Questionnaire would need to be provided if a CPARS is not available.

**QUESTION 20)** Is there a document C-6?

**RESPONSE:** Yes, C-6 is now attached.

(End of Summary of Changes)