

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 17
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0007	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 11-Jun-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL FACILITIES ENG COMMAND WASHINGTON 1314 HARWOOD ST SE, BLDG 212 WASHINGTON NAVY YARD DC 20374	CODE N40080	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40080-14-R-1155	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 22-Oct-2014	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) SOLICITATION N40080-14-R-1155 P155 ATLANTIC TEST RANGE FACILITY NAVAL STATION, PATUXENT RIVER, MARYLAND As an amendment to the solicitation, the following is hereby incorporated: 1. Proposal due date is extended to 30 June 2015; time remains unchanged. 2. Incorporate current Wage Rate MD150022 Modification 02 dated 03/06/2015 3. Incorporated are Responses to Request For Information. Continued on Page 2				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 11-Jun-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 07

1. Question: Section SF30, Block 14 Continuation Page, Phase II Instructions to Offeror's, item #6 does not specify if the technical and price proposal volumes are required to also be submitted electronically in CD-ROM format. Please confirm that CDs are not required to be submitted with Phase II Proposal submissions.

Response: CD's are not required; Proposals are to be submitted as stated in Amendment 0004, page 3, number 6.

2. Question: Part 3, A10 Foundations, Page 1 under the Roof Live Load criteria the criteria states that loading from the domestic hot water solar panels are to be considered. In review of the mechanical engineering systems requirements we do not find any indication that solar domestic hot water is included as an RFP requirement. Please clarify

Response: The domestic hot water panels were eliminated and the foundation design no longer needs to consider panel loads.

3. Question: Reference Section 6, E&C Bulletin regarding USGBC LEED Project Registration Requirements. Part 3 Policy & Implementation related to FY11 and beyond projects. Will NAVFAC HQ be registering (and paying) for the LEED registration for the project

Response: AE1 will register the project with USGBC and the Contractor will not be responsible for registration costs.

4. Question: Part 2, section 01 33 29.05 20 paragraphs 1.4.4.a and 3.3 indicate the "Preliminary TPC (LEED) Checklist is included in Part 6. This checklist was not included, please provide.

Response: List was provided in Amendment 0006

5. Question: Part 2, section 01 33 29.05 20 paragraph 1.4.4.g states that the Government has contracted with a third party LEED Administrator. The LEED Administrator will be responsible for: Registration, review, certification and plaque fees. Please confirm that this third party administrator is be responsible for all costs associated with the above listed items.

Response: The Contractor is not responsible for costs associated with registration, review, certification or plaque costs.

6. Question: Reference page 3 of Amendment 004, Instructions for hand delivery of proposals. Please clarify the procedure to be utilized for delivery of hand carried proposals by non-commercial services without CAC identification cards. From the Amendment 004 description we will not be permitted to enter the Navy Yard, no escorts will be provided and the pass office will not receive proposal packages. Will NAVFAC have a representative outside the gate? If so please identify the gate that we are to deliver the proposal package.

Response: If the Offeror chooses to use a non-commercial service without CAC, no accommodations will be made other than what is stated in the Amendment 0004.

7. Question: Without complete information on the existing Building 2118, can we assume that the addition can be an extension of the existing Building 2118's fire area (i.e., no rated wall between new and existing required)?

Response: A fire wall is not required between the building addition and the existing building.

8. Question: Reference Part 4, D101001, 1.1 – considering the scope of this project is an Elevator Traffic Analysis required? It seems unnecessary considering the project scope.

Response: Elevator Traffic Analysis is not required.

9. Question: Can we assume that, except for work required to immediately connect to existing Building 2118 (rooms 133, 112, 107, 111 on drawing A110) no additional architectural work is required in the existing building?

Response: There is no additional work included in the Building 2118 beyond what is shown on Drawing A110 other than incidental repairs required elsewhere when tying in to existing utilities.

10. Question: Reference Part 3, Section B20 Exterior Enclosure – this section references requirements of the UFC 4-010-01 Antiterrorism standards. Part 4 Section B20 does not reference the UFC 4-010-01 Antiterrorism standards. Will the Part 4 B20 specification requirements be modified and re-issued to reflect the UFC 4-010-01 Antiterrorism standards?

Response: Part 4 specifications are not typically modified. And Part 4 Section B20 will not be reissued. The UFC 4-010-01 Antiterrorism Standards apply to the project.

11. Question: Part 4, Section B20 paragraph B202004, 1.3 indicates windows that will not be replaced in the project shall have fragment retention film if they require antiterrorism protection. Please confirm that the fragment retention film is not required to be applied to any of the existing B/2118 windows. This film is not referenced in Part 3, section B20.

Response: The scope of work associated with project does not include modifying existing buildings to comply with ATFP requirements. At this time, the scope of work does not include replacement of windows.

12. Question: Drawing E-501 shows that SMECO Transformer and 750kW Generator to be located in “Parking Lot Island”. However, the RFP, Part 3, Chapter 6, ESF D-509002 states for the Generator to be located outside in the existing utility fenced-in area behind the existing building. (GWMS)

- a) Please confirm exact proposed location for the SMECO Transformer and 750kW Generator. We recommend that this equipment be located as close as possible to the new proposed building.
- b) If the Option #2 is accepted and two generators are to be provided, please confirm that the existing utility area has enough room to fit both units and (possibly) the SMECO transformer. At the site visit we could not see into the yard since there is a brick screenwall.

Response: a) The proposed new SMECO pad mounted transformer should be located on the Island between parking spaces. Pax River has directed that the single Generator be located in the fenced-in utility area North-East of Building 2118 as shown on drawing E-104.

b) If Option #2 is accepted, the two generators are to be located outside the fenced-in utility area and adjacent to the new building. Contractor will need to coordinate the exact location with PAX River.

13. Question: As per existing site plan CX-101 and site visit photo (see attached), there is an existing Electrical manhole underneath the proposed building addition. It seems that this existing Electric manhole is the hub of at least 10 underground electrical feeders serving a number of buildings throughout the facility. The existing Electric manhole and feeders need to be relocated. New feeders will be extended to the new Electric manhole location. Please confirm the following:

- a) Size and quantity of feeders entering the Electric manhole.
- b) Voltage of feeders.
- c) Who owns these feeders? Utility Company or Facility?
- d) Size and quantity of conduits entering the Electric manhole.
- e) Identification of all the buildings being served by these feeders.
- f) Interruption of service downtime permissible for these buildings or any other restrictions. Are generators necessary? If so, what are the loads?
- g) Possible location of new Electric manhole.
- h) Nearest upstream and downstream point-of-connection locations for each feeder.

Response: The referenced electric manhole contains primary feeders. Contractor to review with PAX River and SMECO regarding who owns the cable. It is not certain if all ducts are active. PAX River had indicated that there is a potential that some ducts/feeders may have been abandoned in place. A meeting of the AE2, PAX River and SMECO will be needed to verify.

14. Question: As per existing site plan CX-101 and site visit photo (see attached), there is an existing Telecom manhole underneath the proposed building addition. It seems that this existing Telecom manhole is the hub of 3 underground telecom lines running throughout the facility. The

existing Telecom manhole and lines need to be relocated. New lines will be extended to the new Telecom manhole location. Please confirm the following:

- a) Size and quantity of Telecom conduits and any cabling/fiber entering the Telecom manhole.
- b) Nearest splice points upstream and downstream for each telecom line.
- c) Identification of all the buildings being served by these lines.
- d) Interruption of service downtime for these buildings.
- e) Possible location of new Telecom manhole.

Response: A meeting of the AE2 and PAX River telecom group will be needed to verify.

15. Question: In lieu of the complex electrical and telecom relocation work required as mentioned in PPI-GWMS-2 and PPI-GWMS-3, which will consume lots of time and money, would NAVFAC consider shifting the foot print of the building to avoid the manholes? Drawing CL-101 which is part of the Aria Environmental “Chemical Testing of Soil” report found in Part 6 and CL-101 that is within the Schnabel Geotechnical Report show a building location that differs from that shown on the Concept Drawing CL-101. The layout per the Aria and Schnabel reports avoids the manholes. This layout would greatly simplify the electrical and telecom relocation work. The manholes could remain in place and only the lines that run under the building footprint would need to be relocated, not ALL of the lines. Please let us know if this alternate site plan may be utilized for this project.

Response: The layouts shown in the Schnabel and Aria reports were prepared during the Program Phase and do not reflect the final design developed with the customer. The conflict with the manhole was recognized but the customer was adamant about locating the addition as close to Building 2118 as possible to minimize the loss of parking spaces, which this layout does. Many hours were expended investigating alternate locations for the addition and the Schnabel/Aria “alternate” layouts are not viable options based on the customer’s requirements.

16. Question: Part 3, Chapter 6 ESR A10 and B10 mention the “design roof live load shall be 20 psf plus loading from domestic hot water solar panels located on the roof structure”. We do not see mention of domestic hot water solar panels elsewhere in the RFP.

- a) Are any required? If so, please provide the specific requirements.
- b) Should the roof still be designed for possible future domestic hot water solar panels? If so, what loadings shall we assume?

Response: a) Domestic Hot Water Solar Panels are not required.

b) The roof does not need to be designed for possible future domestic hot water solar panels.

17. Question: Can you please provide the Concept Drawings CX-101 through E-501 in CAD format at this time to assist us with preparation of our proposals?

Response: CAD drawings will not be provided.

18. Question: Can you please provide Drawing CL-101 from the Schnabel Geotechnical Report and from the Aria Chemical Testing of Soil Report in CAD format to assist us with preparation of our proposals?

Response: The drawings in Schnabel's and Aria's reports were prepared during the Program Report Phase and do not reflect the final design included in the RFP documents. CAD drawings will not be provided.

19. Question: The Schnabel Geotechnical Report mentions a topographical site plan, Sheet B-101 prepared by WBCM. We do not have this document. Can you please provide it in PDF and CAD format?

Response: CAD drawings will not be provided. Schnabel's report was prepared during the Program Report phase and refers to a preliminary drawing prepared by WBCM. Drawing B-101 was relabeled CX-101 and was included in the RFP documents.

20. Question: Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR B-203008 states to provide a "card swipe system" and ESR-C102007 states to provide "magnetic strip keycard locksets". ESR-D503005 states that the base bid is only to provide empty raceways and boxes with pull strings for user defined card access system. Option #2 is for provision of the ESS.

- a) Please confirm that the card swipe system devices and wiring are to be provided under Option #2, not the Base Bid.
- b) Are the magnetic strip keycard locksets to be provided in the Base Bid or Option #2? If Base Bid, please provide specific make and model numbers to ensure compatibility with the existing system.

Response: a) Card swipe system devices and wiring are to be provided under Option #2. b) Magnetic strip keycard locksets are to be provided in Option #2. It is our understanding, which is reflected in D503005, that for the ESS the Contractor is responsible for providing empty raceways with pull strings, outlet boxes, cover plates and associated power boxes and that Pax River will be responsible for providing the devices, equipment and wiring. Regarding the locksets, it is also our understanding based on information provided by Pax River that they are to be provided by the Contractor. C102007 includes the generic specification requirements for the locksets provided by Pax River. We are not allowed to provide proprietary specifications so any additional information will have to be provided by Pax River.

21. Question: Item 5 on Page 3 of Amendment #4 states that "Offer guarantees are required to be provided for Phase II". There is no other mention of the Bid Bonds. The Price Proposal instructions on Page 8 of Amendment #4 does not mention Bid Bonds to be submitted as part of the proposal. The SF 1442 issued for Phase I states that an offer guarantee is not required. Are Bid Bonds required? If so, please provide the requirements.

Response: Yes, Bid Bonds are required.

22. Question: Page 3 of Amendment #4 states that Facsimile and email copies of the proposal will not be accepted. We request permission to hand deliver the Price and Technical Proposal containing everything required except the Price Schedule and permission to email just the Price Schedule in by the proposal due time.

Response: Follow the instruction to offeror's in the solicitation.

23. Question: Factor 5 description on Pages 6-7 of Amendment #4 state that the narrative may be up to 6 pages and up to two conceptual drawings may be provided.

- a) Please confirm that the drawings are in addition to the 6 page limit on the narrative.
- b) What is the size limitation for the conceptual drawings? Folded 11x17?

**Response: a) The drawings are in addition to the 6 page limit.
b) 11x17 folded.**

24. Question: Specification Section 013310.0520-2.2.2 provided non-review dates. Please update these for 2015.

Response: Please disregard dates in Section 013310.0520-2.2.2.

25. Question: Specification Section 015000-1.6 states that the Contractor shall pay for all temporary utilities. Please confirm that we are required to meter and pay for all utilities on this project. Other similar projects, the government paid the usage charges directly.

Response: The contractors are responsible for temporary utility charges.

26. Question: Is there a transformer/switchgear nearby that can be tapped for temporary power during construction? If so, where and what is the available capacity?

Response: Unknown if any existing local transformer / switchgear has sufficient temporary power. Contractor shall be responsible for procuring temporary power source from local utility (SEMCO).

27. Question: Specification Section 015000.0520-2.2 states the temporary sign detail is attached but it cannot be located. Please provide.

Response: See attachment.

28. Question: Part 3, Chapter 2, Section 1.0.b states that "fire protection systems are independent of systems in the existing building", but Part 3, Chapter 6 ESR D40 states the existing fire alarm system shall be extended into the building addition. Please confirm ESR D40 is correct and that a completely new fire alarm system is not required.

Response: ESR D40 is correct.

29. Question: Drawing CL-101 from the Schnabel Geotechnical Report and from the Aria Chemical Testing of Soil Report show new ADA parking spaces to be provided in the reconfigured parking lot near the new building. Please confirm that this approach is acceptable in lieu of placing the ADA parking spaces in the new parking lot across Cedar Point Road.

Response: As noted above, any information on the Schnabel and Aria site plans regarding proposed building layouts should be disregarded.

30. Question: Should the design costs for Option 1 “Generator” and Option 2 “ESS” be carried in the Base Bid or with each Option?

Response: The design cost is exclusive of all options.

31. Question: The new building will be taller than the existing Building 2118. Has the added snow drift load to the existing building roof been anticipated? Is analysis of this and possible modification to the existing building structure required in this contract?

Response: The anticipated snow drift on the existing roof has been accounted for based on the RFP design layout. Structural modifications to the existing structure are not anticipated based on the RFP design layout. However, per ESR B10, drift loads on adjacent structures shall be taken into consideration for final design layout developed by the Engineer-of-Record/Designer-of-Record.

32. Question: Concept Drawing CL-101 shows the LOD extending to the east for a “utility extension connection”. What utility connection is this depicting?

Response: Ductbank from Emergency Generator location.

33. Question: Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR-202005 states to conduct a water analysis and provide conditioning equipment if appropriate. For purposes of the bid, shall we assume this equipment is necessary or not?

Response: The analysis and potential for conditioning equipment is required as specified.

34. Question: Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D-509004 states to modify the existing Building 2118 lightning protection system to insure the entire system meets UL Lightning Protection Inspection Certificate UL 96A. (GWMS)

- a) Is the existing system currently certified to UL 96A?
- b) If not, please provide as-built drawings of the existing lightning protection system or provide a detailed description of the work required in order to modify it to meet certification requirements.

Response: a) Unknown.

b) The existing drawings were provided in part 6 of the RFP. The contractors shall price and qualify their scopes of Work to be performed based on the requirements of ESR D509004 as specified.

35. Question: In order for us to properly size the mechanical equipment (and associated electrical equipment) for this building, we will need to know the anticipated heat gain from the FFE Racks within the Test Cell rooms on the 2nd Floor. Please provide the total anticipated heat gain from this FFE equipment (even if it is just a rough estimate). (GWMS)

Response: Sensible equipment loads were based on the following. Equipment types and quantities were provided by the end user. AE2 shall verify all equipment sizes and quantities with the end user prior to final design load calculations.

<i>Control Room-1</i>	<i>Workstations</i>	<i>56</i>
	<i>60" Monitors</i>	<i>5</i>
	<i>Server Racks</i>	<i>2</i>
	<i>Copier</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>Control Room-2</i>	<i>Workstations</i>	<i>56</i>
	<i>60" Monitors</i>	<i>5</i>
	<i>Server Racks</i>	<i>2</i>
	<i>Copier</i>	<i>2</i>

36. Question: Please provide additional detail regarding the existing Stormwater Management pond to the southeast of B/2118 (see attached photo). Is it the intent for this pond to receive the additional runoff from the new facilities? (SAI)

Response: No. Stormwater management shall be provided as described in ESR G30, G303007. The existing pond referenced in the attached photo does not provide any SWM treatment for this project.

37. Question: It is unclear as to where and how the stormwater from the existing northerly parking lot, in the vicinity of the fire lane, is currently conveyed. Please provide an overall storm sewer system plan for the drainage area showing how stormwater is currently conveyed for the entire project area. (SAI)

Response: The existing storm drain is shown on CX101 from field run topographic survey and utility location done for the purposes of the preparation of the RFP. In the existing parking lot in question the grade break for drainage is generally a line running from the existing shrub with 4' label and two light posts near the north corner of the existing building to the edge of the existing diagonal walkway between Cedar Point Road and the existing parking drive line. The Design-Build Contractor is responsible for investigation and design of storm drainage and conveyance for the project including the analysis of existing drainage area from provided information.

38. Question: Can the limits of disturbance be modified slightly during design to accommodate the Contractor's proposed storm conveyance system? (SAI)

Response: Yes.

39. Question: RFP Specification Section 01 33 29.05 20 par. 1.4.4 item g. states that the government has contracted with a third party LEED Administrator to provide registration, review, certification, TPC management/documentation and plaque fees. Please confirm that this is correct.

Response: The third party TPC will register the project with USGBC, assigning all credits designated as "Yes" to the Design/Builder and will compile the LEED Submission documentation and completing the formal submission at the completion of the project for LEED certification. The Design Builder will be responsible for designing the building to achieve LEED Silver certification and will complete and upload the documentation and forms associated with the credits identified as "Yes" as noted in. Paragraph 1.4.4.item h.

40. Question: May we utilize someone on the design team as the LEED AP or must they be an independent third party? (GWMS)

Response: At least one principal participant of the project team must be a LEED Accredited Professional with a specialty appropriate for the project. A member of the design team would meet these criteria.

41. Question: Specification Section 013329.0520-1.4.4.g states that the Government has contracted with a third party LEED Administrator. And furthermore states that the LEED Administrator will register the project for certification and will pay associated fees and will upload all required information to USGBC as necessary. Please confirm that the Contractor does not need to register the project with USGBC, upload any required documentation and pay all associated fees.

Response: As noted in a response to an earlier question, the LEED Administrator will register the project with USGBC and will forward the application to USGBC for certification at the end of the project. The Contractor is responsible for designing the project to meet the requirements for LEED Silver certification and for uploading all of the documentation to the USGBC website. Paragraph 1.4.4.h. states the Contractor shall provide all design data, calculations, product data and certifications required to demonstrate compliance with the TPC requirements. In this context "provide" would include uploading required documentation.

42. Question: Part 3, Chapter 2, Section 2.3.7 states that the SAPF area shall be accredited. Please confirm that the government handles all accreditation coordination, scheduling and any associated costs.

Response: Contractor shall design and construct the SAPF spaces to conform with the requirements of JAFAN 6/9 and shall prepare the SAPF FIXED FACILITY ACCREDITATION CHECKLIST. Pax River should confirm whether the Contractor or

the government is responsible for accreditation coordination, scheduling and any associated costs.

43. Question: We request clarification on the extent and limits of the SAPF Construction.

a) Room Requirements only show the two Test Cells as being SAPF, but Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D50, Page 1 states “the second floor is considered classified and secure. The first floor is considered unclassified”. The Room Requirements Sheets list the Test Cells, the Small Conference Room, the Mission Debriefing Room and the SAPF POP Room to have “Secure” access. Which rooms are to be SAPF?

b) Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D50-3001, Page 8 mentions Black and Red communication systems in the Mission Briefing Room and in the Small Conference Room. Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D-502001 states that dielectric breaks shall be provided at the Mission Test Cells and 2nd Floor Conference Rooms. The Mission Briefing Room and Small Conference Room are not listed on the Room Requirement Sheets as being built to SAPF requirements. Should they be?

c) Part 3, Chapter 6, ESR D50-3001, Page 9 states that the public address system shall have dielectric breaks at the second floor.

Response: a) Only the Mission Control Rooms are considered SAPF spaces. However secure access is to be provided to the Second Floor as a whole and to Small Conference Room, Mission Briefing Room and SAPF POP room.

b) The Mission Briefing Room and Small Conference Room are not SAPF spaces.

c) Dielectric breaks (Non-ferrous couplings) have been requested by ATF for public address system conduits and are required for this project. This is to prevent RFI/EMI signals from leaving the second floor. Installation and bonding of the conduit shall be as required by the NEC.

44. Question: What is the extent of the SAPF Construction? Is it only for the Test Cells? Is it for the entire 2nd Floor? Is there a larger SAPF with smaller ones within, such as the entire 2nd Floor perimeter as one SAPF and Test Cells within it as another? Please clarify. If you could provide the floor plan with the SAPF limits denoted, it would be appreciated.

Response: Only the Mission Control Rooms are considered SAPF spaces. However secure access is to be provided to the Second Floor as a whole and to Small Conference Room, Mission Briefing Room and SAPF POP room.

General Decision Wage

General Decision Number: MD150022 03/06/2015 MD22

Superseded General Decision Number: MD20140022

State: Maryland

Construction Type: Building

County: St Mary's County in Maryland.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (does not include single family homes or apartments up to and including 4 stories).

Note: Executive Order (EO) 13658 establishes an hourly minimum wage of \$10.10 for 2015 that applies to all contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act for which the solicitation is issued on or after January 1, 2015. If this contract is covered by the EO, the contractor must pay all workers in any classification listed on this wage determination at least \$10.10 (or the applicable wage rate listed on this wage determination, if it is higher) for all hours spent performing on the contract. The EO minimum wage rate will be adjusted annually. Additional information on contractor requirements and worker protections under the EO is available at www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts.

Modification Number	Publication Date
0	01/02/2015
1	01/09/2015
2	03/06/2015

ASBE0024-007 10/01/2013

Rates	Fringes
-------	---------

ASBESTOS WORKER/HEAT & FROST INSULATOR.....	\$ 33.13	13.76
--	----------	-------

Includes the application of all insulating materials, protective coverings, coatings and finishes to all types of mechanical systems

 CARP0132-011 05/01/2013

Rates	Fringes
-------	---------

CARPENTER (Drywall Hanging and Form Work ONLY).....	\$ 26.81	8.13
--	----------	------

 ELEC0026-019 11/03/2014

Rates	Fringes
-------	---------

ELECTRICIAN.....	\$ 42.40	14.97+a
------------------	----------	---------

a.PAID HOLIDAYS: New Year's Day, Inauguration Day, Martin Luther King Jr.'s Birthday, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans' Day, Thanksgiving Day, the day after Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day.

IRON0005-006 06/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
IRONWORKER, STRUCTURAL.....	\$ 30.25	17.285

PAIN0051-017 06/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
PAINTER (Brush, Roller, Drywall Finisher/Taper).....	\$ 24.89	9.05

PLUM0005-010 08/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
PLUMBER.....	\$ 38.92	16.35+a

a. PAID HOLIDAYS: Labor Day, Veterans' Day, Thanksgiving Day and the day after Thanksgiving, Christmas Day, New Year's Day, Martin Luther King's Birthday, Memorial Day and the Fourth of July.

PLUM0602-010 08/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
PIPEFITTER (HVAC Pipe Installation).....	\$ 38.24	19.42+a

a. PAID HOLIDAYS: New Year's Day, Martin Luther King's Birthday, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans' Day, Thanksgiving Day and the day after Thanksgiving and Christmas Day.

* SHEE0100-023 03/01/2015

	Rates	Fringes
SHEETMETAL WORKER, Including HVAC Duct Installation.....	\$ 39.05	16.76+a

a. PAID HOLIDAYS: New Year's Day, Martin Luther King's Birthday, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day

SUMD2010-045 04/20/2010

	Rates	Fringes
BRICKLAYER (Excluding Pointing, Caulking, Cleaning).....	\$ 21.38	3.68
CARPENTER (Excluding Drywall Hanging and Form Work).....	\$ 20.23	3.15
IRONWORKER, REINFORCING.....	\$ 17.69	2.02
LABORER		
Brick Mason Tenders.....	\$ 12.74	3.28
Common or General.....	\$ 12.74	3.28
Mason Tender for Pointing, Caulking and Cleaning.....	\$ 12.56	0.00
OPERATOR: Backhoe.....	\$ 17.39	4.76
OPERATOR: Forklift.....	\$ 18.95	0.00
PIPEFITTER (Excluding HVAC Pipe Installation).....	\$ 24.75	7.63
POINTER, CAULKER, CLEANER, Includes pointing, caulking, cleaning of existing masonry, brick, stone and cement structures (restoration work); excludes pointing, caulking, cleaning of new or replacement masonry, brick, stone or cement.....	\$ 19.79	0.00

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

=====

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).

The body of each wage determination lists the classification and wage rates that have been found to be prevailing for the cited type(s) of construction in the area covered by the wage determination. The classifications are listed in alphabetical order of "identifiers" that indicate whether the particular rate is a union rate (current union negotiated rate for local), a survey rate (weighted average rate) or a union average rate (weighted union average rate).

Union Rate Identifiers

A four letter classification abbreviation identifier enclosed in dotted lines beginning with characters other than "SU" or "UAVG" denotes that the union classification and rate were prevailing for that classification in the survey. Example: PLUM0198-005 07/01/2014. PLUM is an abbreviation identifier of the union which prevailed in the survey for this classification, which in this example would be Plumbers. 0198 indicates the local union number or district council number where applicable, i.e., Plumbers Local 0198. The next number, 005 in the example, is an internal number used in processing the wage determination. 07/01/2014 is the effective date of the most current negotiated rate, which in this example is July 1, 2014.

Union prevailing wage rates are updated to reflect all rate changes in the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) governing this classification and rate.

Survey Rate Identifiers

Classifications listed under the "SU" identifier indicate that no one rate prevailed for this classification in the survey and the published rate is derived by computing a weighted average rate based on all the rates reported in the survey for that classification. As this weighted average rate includes all rates reported in the survey, it may include both union and non-union rates. Example: SULA2012-007 5/13/2014. SU indicates the rates are survey rates based on a weighted average calculation of rates and are not majority rates. LA indicates the State of Louisiana. 2012 is the year of survey on which these classifications and rates are based. The next number, 007 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 5/13/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

Survey wage rates are not updated and remain in effect until a new survey is conducted.

Union Average Rate Identifiers

Classification(s) listed under the UAVG identifier indicate that no single majority rate prevailed for those classifications; however, 100% of the data reported for the classifications was union data. EXAMPLE: UAVG-OH-0010 08/29/2014. UAVG indicates that the rate is a weighted union average rate. OH indicates the state. The next number, 0010 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 08/29/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

A UAVG rate will be updated once a year, usually in January of each year, to reflect a weighted average of the current negotiated/CBA rate of the union locals from which the rate is based.

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- * an existing published wage determination
- * a survey underlying a wage determination
- * a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- * a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations
Wage and Hour Division
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====
END OF GENERAL DECISION

END AMENDMENT 0007

(End of Summary of Changes)