

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 8
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 19-May-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL FACILITIES ENG COMMAND WASHINGTON 1314 HARWOOD ST. WASHINGTON DC 20374	CODE N40080	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40080-15-R-0155	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 21-Apr-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) N40080-15-R-0155-P621 ACADEMIC BUILDING FOR CYBER SECURITY STUDIES BUILDING, USNA, ANNAPOLIS, MD As an amendment to the solicitation, the following is hereby incorporated: Incorporated are responses to Request for Information (RFI). CONTINUED ON PAGE 2				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 19-May-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0002**Factor 2 – Experience:**

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) relevant new construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as one where you served as the prime contractor for new construction of a facility that is five stories or more that incorporates multi-use spaces including, but not limited to classrooms, teaching and research laboratories, lecture halls, offices, multi-purpose space, and kitchen and dining facilities. A project that also demonstrates the construction of an observatory may be considered more favorably.

At least one (1) of the new construction projects submitted for this factor shall demonstrate experience in deep foundation construction for a waterfront facility on a constrained site.

Projects submitted for the offeror shall be completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of the RFP. A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture partners may be rated lower. Offerors are limited to a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) projects combined. The Offeror shall submit a signed copy of the Joint Venture agreement indicating the proposed participation of each partner. Teaming arrangements are not considered Joint Venture agreements.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a subcontractor that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement that the subcontractor will have in performance of this contract. More weight may be given to the Offeror's projects than to those submitted for subcontractors.

(2) Design Experience

Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) relevant new design projects for the design team that best demonstrates design experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as one where you served as the prime contractor for new design of a facility that is five stories or more that incorporates multi-use spaces including, but not limited to classrooms, teaching and research laboratories, lecture halls, offices, multi-use purpose space, and kitchen and dining facilities. A project that also demonstrates the design of an observatory may be considered more favorably. Experience with new design of projects involving multi-use building complexes having combined floor space of approximately 200,000 gross square feet and includes facilities for mixed use.

At least one (1) of the new design projects submitted for this factor experience shall demonstrate experience in deep foundation design for a waterfront facility on a constrained site.

Projects demonstrating similar design shall have been completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider

information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a supporting joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor.

(ii) **Basis of Evaluation:**

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. For Design and Construction Experience, the Government will review the projects submitted collectively to assess an overall relevancy rating for this factor. The Government will only review five projects for construction and five for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with sustainable features may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with sustainable features.

Factor 3 – Past Performance:

(i) **Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

IF A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION IS AVAILABLE, IT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSAL. IF THERE IS NOT A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION, the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) included in the solicitation is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 2. AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

IF A CPARS EVALUATION IS NOT AVAILABLE, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment C), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Cynthia Crowder, via email at Cyndi.crowder@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors may include PPQ's submitted in response to other solicitations providing they are for the projects included under Factor 2. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Performance award or additional information submitted by the Offeror will not be considered.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

1. **Question:** Ref: Factor 2, (i) (1) Construction Experience: To allow offerors to submit their most relevant experience, please consider modifying the section to add the sentence *“Offerors who have submitted at least two projects meeting the above requirements may submit up to 3 additional projects (for a maximum of five) that either individually or in combination further demonstrate relevant construction experience. The more relevancy items included in each project, the higher the relevancy factor may be for each.”*

Construction Experience

Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) relevant new construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as one where you served as the prime contractor for new construction of a facility that is a minimum of five stories or more that incorporates multi-use spaces including, but not limited to classrooms, teaching and research laboratories, lecture halls, offices, multi-purpose space, and kitchen and dining facilities. A project that also demonstrates the construction of an observatory may be considered more favorably.

At least one (1) of the new construction projects submitted for relevant experience shall demonstrate experience in deep foundation construction for a waterfront facility on a constrained site.

“Offerors who have submitted at least two projects meeting the above requirements may submit up to 3 additional projects (for a maximum of five) that either individually or in combination further demonstrate relevant construction experience. The more relevancy items included in each project, the higher the relevancy factor may be for each.”

Discussion: Purpose for language modification is to allow offerors to submit their most relevant experience, which in this case may include facilities that may be less than 5 stories, smaller in size, or lack components of the relevancy requirements as written. It is our opinion that the present language may be unduly restrictive and will limit competition of qualified firms unnecessarily.

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

2. **Question:** RFP Reference: Phase 1 Evaluation Factors, Factor 2 – Experience (1)
RFI: Ref: Factor 2, (i) (1) Construction Experience. The RFP states *“At least one (1) of the new construction projects submitted for relevant experience shall demonstrate experience in deep foundation construction for a waterfront facility on a constrained site.”* Please confirm that offerors may submit waterfront facilities with deep foundation construction on constrained sites that do not meet all of the other relevancy criteria (i.e. 5 stories, classrooms, laboratories, size (sf), \$ value etc...). If a project is less than 5 stories, is smaller in square footage and doesn't demonstrate all of the relevancy attributes, will it still be accepted for evaluation?

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

3. **Question:** RFP Reference: Phase 1 Evaluation Factors, Factor 2 – Experience (1)

Please confirm that Offerors are able to show required experience (i.e. five stories, classrooms, teaching and research laboratories etc.) within all projects provided and are not required to display all required experience in each project submitted.

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

4. **Question:** RFP Reference: Phase 1 Evaluation Factors, Factor 2 – Experience (1). If a project possesses several features defined as relevant and favorable but does not meet the five story minimum, will it be considered adequate for evaluation?

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

5. **Question:** Phase 1 Solicitation, Attachment B – PPQ Form
The PPQ provided looks different from other PPQs for NAVFAC and USACE clients that we have had completed within the past year. The questions are the same, slightly differently organized, but asking the same information. May we use the previously submitted PPQs in lieu of asking for a new PPQ?

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 3

6. **Question:** Please refer to Page 12 of 83 of the RFP
Factor 2 – Experience, (1) Construction Experience requires that relevant projects be ‘...new construction of a facility that is a minimum of five stories or more that incorporates multi-use spaces

Similarly, please refer to page 13 of 83 of the RFP

Factor 2 – Experience, (2) Design Experience requires that relevant projects be ‘...new design of a facility that is a minimum of five stories or more...’

Numerous projects with similar building functionality and space types have been designed and constructed over the last 7 years in configurations of four stories or less. Several of these projects meet all other required project relevancies and are good representative experience for the P621 project.

Please consider changing ‘five stories or more’ to ‘four stories or more’ provided all other project relevance’s are met for both Construction & Design experience.

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

7. **Question:** Construction and Design Experience
Section of the Request for Proposal: Section 00100 Instructions to Offerors, Factor 2 – Experience,
(1) Construction Experience and (2) Design Experience
Paragraph: Second paragraph of each sub-section
Page number: Pages 12 and 14 of 83

Please refer to Factor 2 – Experience, (1) Construction Experience and (2) Design Experience, second paragraph of each subsection. Please confirm that projects with experience in deep foundation construction for a waterfront facility on a constrained site are NOT required to have

all of the following features: classrooms, teaching and research laboratories, lecture halls, offices, multi-use purpose space, and kitchen and dining facilities.

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

8. Question: Factor 2 (i),(1) Construction Experience (page 12 of 83)

Regarding the requirement for projects to show “new construction of a facility that is a minimum of five stories ...”would NAVFAC accept projects for deep foundation construction of a waterfront facility on a constrained site if 3+ stories?

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

9. Question: In the solicitation, Phase I Evaluation Factor 2 - Experience (1) Construction and (2) Design defines relevant projects for the purposes of this evaluation. These projects are ones in which the firm has served as the prime contractor for new construction (or design) of a facility that is a minimum of five stories or more that incorporates multi-use spaces including, but not limited to classrooms, teaching and research laboratories, lecture halls, offices, multi-use purpose space, and kitchen and dining facilities.

Would buildings of three (3) stories that incorporate the program spaces described be considered equally relevant for the scope of work associated with this project?

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

10. Question: Section 00100 – Bidding Schedule/Instruction to bidders:

Factor 2, paragraph 2 on page 13 of 83 states “Experience with new design of projects involving multi-use building complexes having combined floor space of approximately 200,000 gross square feet and includes facilities for mixed use.”

Please confirm that projects exhibiting this feature will be considered more favorably and that this is not a required feature for a design project to be considered “relevant”.

RESPONSE: SEE REVISED FACTOR 2

END OF AMENDMENT 0002

(End of Summary of Changes)