

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 13
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 14-Jul-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL FACILITIES ENG COMMAND WASHINGTON 1314 HARWOOD ST. WASHINGTON DC 20374	CODE N40080	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40080-15-R-0155	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 21-Apr-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) N40080-15-R-0155-P621 ACADEMIC BUILDING FOR CYBER SECURITY, USNA, ANNA POLIS, MD The purpose of this amendment is the following: 1. Phase II Determination 2. Instructions to Offeror 3. Phase II Evaluation Criteria 4. Price Schedule 5. Wage Determination CONTIUED ON PAGE 2				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 14-Jul-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

PHASE II INSTRUCTIONS

Phase II Determinations

“The short-list determination has been made and the purpose of this amendment is to begin Phase II of the procurement. In accordance with Naval Facilities Acquisition Supplement 36.303-1-100 the number and identity of the most highly qualified offerors selected to submit Phase II proposals is not releasable. Phase II proposals will only be accepted from those offerors determined the most highly qualified and invited to submit Phase II proposals.”

Phase II Instructions to Offeror

- 1) Your acquisition points of contact for this project are Contract Specialist Cynthia Crowder at 202-685-3157, or email Cyndi.crowder@navy.mil and Contracting Officers Alyson Harbage at 202-685-3222, or email Alyson.harbage@navy.mil
- 2) The subject project is Design-Build. Award will be based on best value in accordance with Evaluation Factors for Award for Phase I and Phase II.
- 3) The Design Build Budget amount is \$112,200,000.00, inclusive of Options 1 & 2 and exclusive of Options 3-7.
- 4) Offer guarantees are required to be provided for Phase II
- 5) Proposals for Phase II are due by 2:00 P.M. on Tuesday, 01 September 2015. Please provide one (1) original and two (2) copies of your technical proposal, and one (1) original and two (2) copies of your price proposal, bound in THREE RING BINDERS. Any proposals submitted after the time set for receipt will be stamped with the date and hour of receipt and held unopened until after award. The file shall be documented in accordance with FAR 14.304-4. **There should absolutely be no price included in the technical proposal package.**
- 6) The Government reserves the right to reject any or all proposals at any time prior to award, to negotiate with any or all Offerors, and to award to the Offeror submitting the proposal determined by the Government to be the most advantageous. **OFFERORS ARE ADVISED THAT AN AWARD MAY BE MADE WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS.** Therefore, proposals should be submitted initially on the most favorable terms. Offerors should not assume that they will be contacted afforded an opportunity to qualify, discuss or revise their proposals prior to award.
- 7) The following milestones are established for this procurement:

Issue Phase II Solicitation:

10 July 2015

Pre-Proposal Conference/Site Visit: 21 July 2015 @ 10:00 AM
Pre Proposal Inquiries Cut Off Date: 20 August 2015
Proposal Due Date/Time for Phase II: 01 September 2015 by 2:00 PM local time
Oral Presentations 09 September 2015
Construction Completion date: 810 calendar days after award (includes 15 days for bond & insurance submittals)

8) The Government reserves the right to award contract at a price less than the design-build budget amount.

Submit your proposals to:

NAVFAC Washington
Attn: Cynthia Crowder
1314 Harwood Street SE, Bldg 212
Washington Navy Yard
Washington, DC 20374

9) INSTRUCTIONS FOR HAND DELIVERY OF PROPOSALS:

The current security condition at the Washington Navy Yard requires escorts for entry to the base by individuals not possessing a U.S. Government DoD Common Access Card (CAC) identification card. Individuals without a DoDCAC will not be permitted to enter the Navy Yard. NAVFAC Washington will NOT be able to provide escorts for Navy Yard entry of hand delivered proposals. NAVFAC Washington will NOT receive hand delivered proposals at the Base Pass Office or any location other than that identified for receipt in the solicitation.

The Government considers use of a courier service, such as FedEx or UPS, as hand delivery of proposals and the responsibility for timely delivery resides with the offeror.

Offerors are notified that the entry point for proposals submitted through the U.S. Postal Service is at Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling and NOT at the Washington Navy Yard. Contractors proposals must be received by NAVFAC Washington at the Navy Yard by the due date outlines in the solicitation and delivery time from the Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling to the Navy Yard cannot be predicted nor guaranteed. Offerors should take this into consideration when determining delivery method.

Facsimile copies and email copies will NOT be accepted.

All proposals must be received prior to the due date and time. Any proposals submitted after the time set for receipt will be stamped with the date and hour of receipt and held unopened until after award. The file shall be documented in accordance with FAR 14.304-4.

PHASE II EVALUATION CRITERIA

Factor 4 – Safety

(i) Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years, January 1, 2011 through January 1, 2014, submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three (3) year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element, but narrative shall not exceed one (1) page, single sided. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years, January 1, 2011 through January 1, 2014, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element, but narrative shall not exceed one (1) page, single sided. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety narrative shall be limited to two pages, single sided.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS), and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

Factor 5 – Design Solution

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

1. In accordance with the requirements of the RFP provide design solutions that demonstrate your approach to design of a facility for the Base Bid and both Options 1 and 2. The intent is to gain a full understanding of your approach to the design with and without the Option(s). The following items shall be included in the three design solutions presented:

- a. Graphic depictions of the design solutions stated above.
- b. Site Plan (including predominate alignments and axes, and connection points to neighboring buildings/structures, outdoor elements)
- c. Waterfront Elevation(s)
- d. Proposed exterior materials and finishes

Design solution may be presented in any of the following mediums, or combination thereof:

- Drawings on presentation boards (not to exceed 30"x42", Size E)
- PowerPoint presentation
- Models
- Material samples

2. Provide a narrative describing the technical solution to the project that meets the requirements of the RFP. Narrative shall include the following:

- Vertical and horizontal interior circulation/adjacencies
- Description of how the exterior design projects a techtonic, diaphanous, and contemporary elevation that has an innovative design that deviates from the adjacent buildings' architectural style.
- Description of how the massing of the materials used will contribute to the significance of this visual focal point.

- Description of how this iconic structure will utilize interior and exterior gathering spaces and multi-use environments to celebrate technology, warfare research and education, with the purpose being to inspire vibrant collaboration amongst midshipmen, their instructors and the community.
- Proposed innovative energy solutions.
- Description of how the proposed structure integrates with the surrounding area and adjacent structures.
- Description of how the proposed building will physically connect to the ground level of adjacent structures: Rickover Hall and Nimitz Library.
- Description of site layout, including building orientation on the historical axis, roadways, emergency vehicle access, and ATFP standoff distances. Also include a description of the general concept proposed to meet low impact development (LID).
- Description of solution for deep foundations, waterproofing, and vegetative roof systems used.
- Description of proposed construction logistics to include phasing, site access, materials delivery, and utility outages, including but not limited to the electrical substation.
- Describe to the Government how your concept design will be flexible as design development proceeds.
- Describe plan to address SHPO requirements.

Narrative shall not exceed twenty-five (25) double-sided pages or fifty (50) single-sided pages). Interior floor plans and layouts are not required as part of the proposal. The successful contractor shall develop the final floor plans and layouts after contract award. Up to five (5) conceptual drawings may be provided to supplement the narrative (i.e. exterior elevation, site plan).

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will review the narrative and conceptual drawings and evaluate the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of the architectural and engineering requirements of the project. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's technical solution to determine adherence to the technical requirements of the RFP and to understand the flexibility with design changes during design development.

This factor will be evaluated according to the following:

1. Proposed design solution which demonstrates compliance with the RFP, and adherence to the Solicitation paragraphs that comprise "Project Scope and Objectives", as delineated at the beginning of this Solicitation.
2. Proposed design solution which demonstrates the best qualities of overall site-organization, including: scale, iconic presence and a diaphanous relationship with the adjacent buildings, including appearance and siting of the facility as well as views from surrounding sites; and how the exterior building enclosures, finishes, and materials complement or contrast to each other within the site.
3. Proposed design solution which provides the greatest quantity of usable building area within the project cost budget, without exceeding the programmed space requirements.
4. Proposed design solution which demonstrates that the building layout is flexible and will meet the requirements of the RFP regarding room adjacencies, separation of functions and circulation. The Offerors shall clearly demonstrate proposed design solutions for base bid and the visual impact of the building should Options 1 and 2 be exercised at time of award.

Factor 6 – Energy and Sustainable Design**(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

Provide the following information, which describes how the project will meet or exceed the following sustainable design contract requirements.

The Energy Efficiency and Sustainability Narratives shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) total with 11” font minimum.

(1) Energy Efficiency Narrative:

Using the guidance outlined in Part 3 of this RFP, provide a detailed narrative to describe whether the proposed solution will meet or exceed this project’s energy efficiency requirements (30% energy reduction using the ASHRAE Std 90.1-2007, Appendix G, Building Performance Rating Method, excluding receptacle and process loads). Provide the proposed percent energy reduction. Provide the assumptions the Offeror will use to obtain a high-performance building, which will comply with these energy reduction goals. Describe the Offeror’s proposed building with regards to building orientation, shape, envelope properties (SHGC, U-values), HVAC systems and controls, plumbing systems, electrical systems (power, lighting, lighting controls, and daylighting), and renewable energy systems (if applicable). Organize/divide the assumptions into four areas; building orientation and configuration, building envelope, mechanical and plumbing systems, and electrical and renewable energy systems. If the Offeror cannot achieve the 30% reduction within the budget identified, the Offeror shall state what percent energy reduction is proposed within their proposal. Note: Building performance rating and percent energy reduction are calculated in terms of energy consumption rather than energy cost. Energy narrative shall also address Options 1 and 2.

(1a) Whole Building Energy Simulation:

Provide a Whole Building Energy Simulation summary following the procedure outlined in LEED 2009, Credit EAc1 – Optimize Energy Performance, Option 1 – Whole Building Energy Simulation, except utilize the “Baseline Building Performance Rating” shown in the RFP, Part 6, to demonstrate the percentage improvement in the “Proposed Building Performance Rating”. Provide a completed Performance Rating Method Compliance Report, Table 3, page 279-283 in the LEED 2009 Reference guide for New Construction. Utilize the default simulation factors and energy rates included in the RFP, Part 6. Energy Simulation shall also address Options 1 and 2.

(2) Sustainability Narrative:

Using the guidance outlined in Part 3 of this RFP, provide a detailed narrative to describe the assumptions that the Offeror will use to obtain a high quality, robust, and maintainable facility. Organize/divide the narrative into four areas; building envelope, interior features and finishes, mechanical/plumbing systems, and electrical systems.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the Offeror’s response to the Energy and Sustainable Design Factor considering the proposed energy savings.

Energy Efficiency and Sustainability Narratives: The Government will evaluate the design features and assumptions that the Offeror will incorporate to provide a high performance and sustainable building. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's proposed energy budget reduction relative to the energy efficiency goals identified in the RFP.

Whole Building Energy Simulation: The Government will evaluate the Whole Building Energy Simulation to determine the validity of the design assumptions, thoroughness of the Energy Simulation, and the percent improvement above the Government's "Baseline Building Performance Rating".

Technical Solutions may receive a higher rating by demonstrating:

- (1) Logical and realistic approaches to Energy Efficiency and Sustainability that exceed the requirements of the RFP.
- (2) An Energy Simulation that significantly exceeds the Government's "Baseline Building Performance Rating".

Factor 7: Construction Schedule

A. Submittal Requirements:

1. Provide a schedule-(a graphic timeline (50 milestones maximum))-using Microsoft Project, Primavera PE, or Primavera SureTrak software. (A narrative explanation shall also be provided). The proposal shall clearly show the logic and sequence of events necessary for the successful execution of the total project and each milestone. The schedule must comply with all requirements of the RFP. The narrative shall not exceed 10 single sided 8 1/2" X 11" pages, font 10 Courier New. Provide schedule from contract award through construction completion (including phasing). Identify critical path activities, milestones, durations and other important activities during post-contract award, design, fabrication, construction, commissioning, demolition, and beneficial occupancy. All days on the schedule should be in "calendar days". Include the time/duration of construction required to accomplish the base bid, and the time/duration required to accomplish each individual additive item or option. Describe the schedule, both narratively and graphically, the process to accomplish the base bid design solution as well as how the design would be modified to accommodate one or more Options #1, #2, #3, based on the funding time frames noted in Item 1.a.

a. For bid items and options, consider that funding will not be available before Dec 31, 2017 for Options #1, #2, #3. Therefore, the Government will have until that date to decide whether to select and execute those options. Funding for Options #4, #5, #6, and #7, if any, will be available no later than 7 months prior to the contract completion date in accordance with ESR D5030 Telecommunications and Security, ESR E10 Equipment, and E20 Fixtures, Furnishings, and Equipment. Again, the Government will have until that date to decide whether to select and execute those options. The proposed schedule must consider these funding constraints.

B. Basis of Evaluation:

The schedule shall be evaluated based on the logic and sequence of events necessary for the successful execution of the project.

The design and construction schedule for this project is negotiable, and realistic schedules that indicate completion in a substantially shorter time may be viewed as favorable if determined logical by the Government.

By incorporation of the proposed schedule in the contract, the offeror agrees to comply with FAR 52.211-10, Commencement, Prosecution and Completion of Work (Apr 1984) and FAR 52.211-12, Liquidated Damages.

The resultant contract completion date for design and construction of the project shall not exceed 1255 calendar days including the base bid and all options. This period includes 15 days for obtaining and providing bonds.

Latest Date to exercise for each Option:

Option Item 1 – Fifth Floor: December 31, 2017

Option Item 2 – Glazing: December 31, 2017

Option Item 3 – Equipment: December 31, 2017

Option Item 4 – Audio Visual Electronics/Equipment: September 1, 2018

Option Item 5 – Active IT Telecom Hardware – September 1, 2018

Option Item 6 – Security Systems – September 1, 2018

Option Item 7 – FF&E – September 1, 2018

Factor 8 – Small Business Utilization

Factor 8 consists of two Subfactors, 8A, Past Performance in Utilization of Small Business Concerns, and 8B, Small Business Participation. The evaluation of Subfactors 8A and 8B are of equal importance to the determination of Factor 8 Rating.

Definitions: “SB” as used herein, is intended to include Small Business concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business concerns (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business concerns (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business concerns (HUBZone), Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (VOSB), and Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (SDVOSB). All small business programs are self-certifying programs with the exception of HUBZone certifications, see HUBZone SB Certifications below. Small Business Program requirements and definitions may be found in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 19.

HUBZone SB Certifications: Offerors are reminded that HUBZone SB concerns must obtain formal certification from the Small Business Administration (SBA) if they expect to receive the evaluation benefits associated with the HUBZone SB programs either as a prime or subcontractor(s). For more information on the HUBZone SB certification requirements and available benefits, contact your local SBA representative. Certified HUBZone SB firms are listed on the U.S. Small Business Administration’s Dynamic Small Business Search (DSBS) website at http://web.sba.gov/pro-net/search/dsp_dsbs.cfm. It is the responsibility of the prime contractor to periodically check the SAM as certifications are subject to change.

Subfactor 8A, Past Performance in Utilization of Small Business Concerns

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Proposals that do not include responses addressing ALL elements of the requirements stated below (1 through 4) must include an explanation why that element is not addressed.

(1) Provide performance evaluation ratings (i.e., SF1420, DD2626, or equivalent) obtained on the implementation of small business subcontracting plans for all of the offeror’s projects referenced under Factor (3), Past Performance. Recently completed project evaluations are desired, however, in the absence

of recently completed project evaluations, interim ratings for projects that are 80% complete may be considered. If more than five evaluation ratings are provided, only the first five will be considered. In addition, the Government may consider past performance information on other projects as made available to the Government from other sources (such as the Construction Contractor Appraisal Support Systems (CCASS)), Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) and Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)).

(2) Provide small business subcontracting history. Large businesses with Federal contracting experience shall provide final or current Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts (SF294) or Individual Subcontracting Reports (ISR's) on prime (only) contracts referenced under Factor (3) Past Performance. If Factor 3 Past Performance submitted contracts are not prime contracts, submit SF294s or ISRs for contracts of similar scope performed as the prime contractor. If goals were not met on and submitted contracts, provide an explanation for each unmet goal is required. Large Businesses with no documented SF294/ISR history shall submit a subcontracting history on Attachment (D), Small Business Past Performance. If more than five (5) reports are provided only the first 5 reports will be considered, or Large Businesses with no prior SF294/ISR history shall submit a subcontracting history on Attachment D, Small Business Past Performance.

(3) Small Business proposers shall provide a subcontracting history on Attachment D), Small Business Past Performance.

(4) If an Offeror is utilizing past performance information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the solicitation), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies will have meaningful participation of all members in the management of the subcontracting program/plan by identifying the personnel or resources from the member companies that will be dedicated to managing the plan, and an organization chart which demonstrates the reporting chain within the membership.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture, Partnership LLC or other entity consisting of more than one entity, provide past performance information, elements 1 through 4, for each individual business entity(ies) that will be responsible for managing the subcontracting program/plan.

Proposals including information on any of the following additional elements may be rated higher, based on the evaluated extent to which the information addresses the basis of evaluation in paragraph (b):

(1) Provide information on national-level, and industry-issued awards that offerors received for outstanding support to SB concerns within the past five (5) years. Include purpose, issuer, and date of award(s). National and industry-issued awards received beyond five (5) years will not be considered.

(2) Provide information on previous, existing, planned or pending mentor-protégé agreements (MPA) under any Federal Government, or other, program held within the last five years. Information should include, at a minimum, the members, objectives, period of performance, and major accomplishments during the MPA.

(3) Provide information on past use of Community Rehabilitation Program (CRP) organizations certified under the AbilityOne Program by SourceAmerica, or the National Industry for the Blind (NIB). Information should include the contract type, type of work performed, period of performance, and number of employed severely handicapped persons.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The extent to which the proposal demonstrates the proposer's level of past performance in utilizing Small Business (SB) concerns, AbilityOne, Mentor-Protégé Agreements, and other socio-economic programs,

as defined in FAR Parts 26.1 and 26.2, in subcontracting, and in meeting established Small Business subcontracting goals.

Subfactor 8B, Small Business Participation

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Identify in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total acquisition, the extent of work you will perform as the prime contractor. If submitting an offer as a Joint-Venture, identify the percentage of work each member will be responsible for and indicate the size status of each member, e.g., LB, SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB.

If you are a Large Business, submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this project in the format provided in Attachment E for this factor, to include all information required in the attachment. If you are a Small Business, submit a subcontracting participation breakdown in the format provided in Attachment F for this factor. All proposers: To demonstrate commitment in using small business concerns, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan or subcontracting participation breakdown may list all subcontractors by name. If the proposed Small Business Subcontracting goals do not meet the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, include a detailed explanation describing the actions taken to arrive at that determination, along with an explanation for the goals that actually were proposed. For proposals submitted on design-build solicitations, the proposer must identify its designer/design team in its Subcontracting Plan or Small Business Participation Breakdown.

Firms commitments to subcontract to multiple companies: The Offeror may provide a demonstration of commitments in planned subcontracts by listing multiple names of companies that will be used to support specific small business category (i.e., SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, VOSB AND SDVOSB).

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The following will be evaluated on all proposals:

- (1) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates maximum practicable participation of SBs in terms of the total value of the acquisition, including options.
- (2) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates a commitment to use SB concerns that are specifically identified in the proposal, including but not limited to use of mentor protégé programs.
- (3) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates SB participation in a variety of industries expected during the performance of work.
- (4) The realism of the proposal to meet the proposed goals.

The following will be evaluated on proposals submitted by Large Business firms:

The extent to which the proposal provides Small Business Subcontracting goals that meet or exceed the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, and utilization of AbilityOne CRP organizations. Proposals that provide goals exceeding the NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets may be rated higher. The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are as follows:

	FY 2015
SB	66.80%
SDB	17.27%

WOSB	15.30%
HUBZone	8.94%
SDVOSB	3.03%

(1) The extent to which the proposer's Small Business Subcontracting Plan establishes reasonable efforts demonstrating the subcontracting targets can be met during the performance of the contract:

A copy of the blank forms to be used for Offeror submission of Small Business Utilization are provided as Attachments D, E, and F.

Attachment D – Small Business Past Performance

Attachment E – Small Business Subcontracting Plan

Attachment F – Small Business Offeror Small Business Participation Breakdown

(END OF PHASE II EVALUATION FACTORS)

52.236-27 SITE VISIT (CONSTRUCTION) (FEB 1995) – ALTERNATE I (FEB 1995)

(a) The clauses at 52.236-2, Differing Site Conditions, and 52.236-3, Site Investigations and Conditions Affecting the Work, will be included in any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation. Accordingly, offerors or quoters are urged and expected to inspect the site where the work will be performed.

(b) An organized site visit is scheduled for: **Tuesday, 21 July 2015 at 10:00 AM EST**

(c) Participants will meet at:

Location: United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD

Access: Valid ID such as Driver's License

10) Request for Information (RFI) must be submitted in a MS-WORD document and submitted by the Prime Contractor only. Subcontractors with questions must submit them through the Prime Contractor. The RFI cut-off date is close of business 20 August 2015. RFI during the proposal preparation period shall be submitted by email to Cynthia Crowder at email Cyndi.crowder@navy.mil and Contracting Officers Alyson Harbage at email Alyson.harbage@navy.mil

CONTRACT CLAUSES

FAR 52.222-6, DAVIS BACON ACT

The applicable Department of Labor General Wage Decision for this work is: MD150053 Modification Number 6 dated 07/03/2015.

FAR 52.222-23, NOTICE OF REQUIREMENT FOR AFFIRMATIVE ACTION TO ENSURE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY (APR 1984)

Goals for minority participation 28%
Goals for female participation for each trade 6.9%

FAR 52.211-12, LIQUIDATED DAMAGES - CONSTRUCTION (SEP 2000)

If the contractor fails to complete the work within the time specified in the contract, or any extension, the contractor shall pay to the Government as liquidated damages as follows, the sum of \$56,450.00 per calendar day for each day of delay.

**FAR 52.211-10, COMMENCEMENT, PROSECUTION, AND COMPLETION OF WORK – ALT
I**

The Contractor shall be required to commence work under this order within 15 calendar days (administrative time for submission and approval of bonds and insurance), and complete the entire work not later than 810 calendar days.

All clauses and provisions included in the basic contract remain applicable to any resultant task order. The following clauses specific to this RFP are hereby incorporated in full text or by reference

(End of Summary of Changes)