

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 5
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 11-Mar-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. ACQR3871868		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL FACILITIES ENG COMMAND WASHINGTON 1314 HARWOOD ST SE, BLDG 212 WASHINGTON NAVY YARD DC 20374	CODE N40080	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40080-15-R-0451	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 27-Feb-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Small Business, Multiple Award Construction Contract (SB MAAC) for new construction, renovation, alteration, and repairs projects for the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) Washington for installations within Washington DC, Maryland and Virginia area of responsibility. The purpose of this Amendment is to: 1. Provide Responses to Request for Information See continuation on page 2 All other terms and conditions remain unchanged. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 11-Mar-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0003

The purpose of this Amendment is to:

1. Provide Responses to Request for Information

The Proposal Due Date Remains 31 March 2015.

Responses to RFIs

22. Are teaming agreements allowed under this contract/solicitation?

Response: See evaluation criteria.

23. If teaming agreements are allowed can we use the past performance from each entity within the teaming agreement?

Response: See evaluation criteria.

24. If teaming agreements are allowed would a teaming partner be considered a subcontractor?

Response: See evaluation criteria.

29. Factor 1, construction experience requirements are overly restrictive and seem to favor the incumbent contractors. Please consider allowing projects completed within 10 years of date of solicitation.

Response: See evaluation criteria.

30. Factor 1, construction experience requires experience as prime contractor on all submitted projects. Would a project be considered relevant if offeror was the major subcontractor under a teaming agreement? Also note that the corporate experience form allows one to select prime contractor or subcontractor.

Response: Per the solicitation requirements, the project would not be considered relevant if the Offeror was a major subcontractor. The Government acknowledges the corporate experience form indicates selections for prime contractor or subcontractor however this does not imply subcontractor experience shall be considered. Per the solicitation requirements, only prime contractor experience shall be considered by the Government.

33. Factor 1, construction experience requires submission of six projects- 2 new construction, 2 interior renovations, and 2 exterior renovations. If a project involves both interior and exterior renovations, how would this project be judged? Does the value of the exterior renovations need to exceed the \$2 million threshold?

Response: Offeror may submit a project involves both interior and exterior renovations; however, offeror must clearly identify whether the submitted project is to be considered interior or exterior. Per the solicitation requirements, the value of exterior renovations shall meet or exceed the \$2 million threshold. Please note submission of a total of six (6) projects is still required.

34. I wish to attend the Pre Proposal Conference/Site Walk this THURSDAY, 12 March at the USNA Annapolis, MD Sol#: N4008015R0451.

What information do you need so that I may attend? Is there a form I need to fill out? Also, my company is currently not registered on SAMs. Is that a problem?

Response: Please refer to Amendment 0002 regarding information for the Pre-Proposal Conference and Site Visit.

All contractors submitting bids, proposals, or quotes on Department of Defense solicitations must be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) database. Failure to register in the SAM database will make an offeror ineligible for award. The SAM website can be accessed at www.sam.gov/portal/SAM. Refer to FAR 52.204-70 System for Award Management for detail.

35. The SF -1442 states that “All joint ventures must consist of multiple small businesses unless an approved Small Business Administration joint venture agreement is in place or pending”. The SF-1442 also states that this project is “100% Small Business Set Aside”. Our understanding of a 100% Small Business Set Aside is that all parties bidding must be a small business, including any approved SBA Mentor-Protégé arrangements. Please confirm that a SBA Mentor-Protégé arrangement (in place or pending) between a Large and Small firm is not able to submit on this contract and that all parties of a JV must be Small Businesses.

Response: Response: SBA approves mentor protégé agreements which are joint ventures between 8a firms and mentor firms. A mentor firm can be a large or small business.

38. Page 10 of the RFP, “Basis for Award” Item #5 states that the number of proposals to be evaluated will be limited to 10 lowest priced Offerors. Does this mean that NAVFAC will select the highest rated or most relevant six contractors from these 10, or that the Task order awards will still go the six lowest priced technically acceptable contractors in order of pricing?

Response: The resulting contracts award will go to the six lowest priced technically acceptable contractors.

39. Page 11 of the RFP, Item B.1.b.ii.6 – states to provide a bid guarantee of 20% of the bid price but shall not exceed \$3 Million. Since the seed project is valued at \$1-5 Million, this will result in bid bonds of less than \$1 Million. Page 20, Item 2.e states that the estimated Task Orders on this contract may exceed \$10 Million. Shouldn't the bonding capacity information being requested at this time prove that the contractor has the ability to bond the largest possible project in the Task Order Contract? Shouldn't a letter be required from the contractor's bonding company stating that bonding up to \$12-13 Million per project is available?

Response: The solicitation will not be revised regarding this RFI.

40. Page 13 of the RFP, 3rd paragraph states that the contractor may submit “on-going” projects. We feel that in order for the Navy to get a good understanding of the contractor's past performance, this should be clarified to a certain percentage of completion. Maybe 80-90% complete.

Response: An on-going project shall be considered at least 80% complete.

41. Page 13 of the RFP, 3rd paragraph states that the contractor may submit “on-going” projects. How many of the six projects may be on-going? We feel that in order for the Navy to get a good understanding of the contractor’s past performance, this should be limited to 1 or 2 ongoing projects out of the six submitted.

Response: It is at the Offerors discretion to determine the number of on-going projects to submit for consideration by the Government.

42. Page 16 of the RFP, last paragraph states that in case an offeror doesn’t have relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or sparse, then the offeror will be determined to have unknown past performance which will be considered “Acceptable”. We feel that this clause is not appropriate for this contract. If a company has sparse or no past performance experience, they should not be eligible for consideration on this contract.

Response: The solicitation will not be revised regarding this RFI.

44. Page 20 – Item 2.d states that 60% of the orders will be design/build. The RFP does not require any technical information or past performance information to be submitted from possible proposed design firms. Is any required to be submitted for evaluation?

Response: No design firm information is required to be submitted by the Offeror for this solicitation.

45. The drawings issued contain two copies of Drawing E-601. It appears that the one denoted “Amendment #1 dated 2/9/15” is the most current and would supersede the other E-601. Please confirm.

Response: Confirmed, the E-601 drawing sheet which denotes "Amendment #1 dated 2/9/15" is the most current and supersedes the other E-601 drawing sheet.

46. Will general contractors also be responsible for IT structured cabling and security?

Response: Contractors are NOT responsible for IT structured cabling and security for this project.

47. I have received several emails regarding the subject project, the first to inform us that the solicitation had been posted, and then one yesterday and one today regarding (2) Amendments. I am confused as the solicitation downloaded from the neco site is titled: Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) Phase I Project - Naval Support Activity Annapolis, and has A/E Contract No. N40080-11-D-0496-0034 on the front and every subsequent page. The solicitation we have been awaiting is No. N40080-15-R-0451 and that is the solicitation number on both amendments downloaded from the same site. These are titled: Small Business, Multiple Award and Construction Contract (SB MACC) for new construction

I am confused about this; can you please clarify?

Response: The solicitation number is N40080-15-R-0451. The Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) Phase I Project - Naval Support Activity Annapolis, Contract No. N40080-11-D-0496-0034 is the Seed project to the solicitation. Additional information regarding the relationship between the WWTP project and the solicitation can be found in the RFP.

48. Please confirm, for Technical Factor 1 – Construction Experience (ii) Exterior Building Renovation, it is acceptable to use projects which scopes entailed exterior facility repairs, redevelopment, and/or renovations i.e. site civil, over waterways, utilities, infrastructure etc.? A good example of this type project would be the SEED project, Repairs to the Waste Water Treatment Plant.

Response: Yes.

49. Please clarify what should be submitted for confirmation of filing the Veterans' Employment and Training VETS 100 report for the cycle. When filing online, we receive an automated email confirming the filing. Is a copy of this email sufficient?

Response: Per the solicitation, Offeror shall submit Confirmation of filing of Veterans' Employment and Training (VETS) VETS 100 and/or VETS report for the cycle.

END OF AMENDMENT 0003

(End of Summary of Changes)