

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 5
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 20-Nov-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL FACILITIES ENG COMMAND WASHINGTON 1314 HARWOOD ST SE, BLDG 212 WASHINGTON NAVY YARD DC 20374	CODE N40080	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) NAVAL FACILITIES ENG COMMAND 1013 O ST SE BLDG 166 WASHINGTON DC 20374		CODE N40080
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40080-16-R-0452
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 29-Oct-2015
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) N40080-16-R-0452 P275 DBB ELECTRONIC SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY, NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY (NRL), WASHINGTON, DC SEE SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
			TEL:	EMAIL:
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		20-Nov-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0001

The purpose of this amendment is to provide the following:

ATTACHMENTS:

Upload P275 Site Visit Sign In Sheet

SF1442: PRICE FACTOR pg 9 of 54

Add the following under (1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements, paragraph 2:

The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are as follows:

	FY 2016
SB	66.94%
SDB	17.44%
WOSB	15.45%
HUBZone	9.03%
SDVOSB	3.06%

SF 1442: TECHNICAL FACTORS, Page 10 of 54:

Add the following:

Solicitation Submittal Requirements for Technical Proposal:

For technical factors 1 through 3, the offeror shall submit one (1) original, marked "Original", one (1) CD-ROM, and five (5) copies, marked "copy", in a separate three ring binder (1&1/2 inch. minimum) with the following:

- ... Text pages 8 ½ x 11 format
- ... Drawings 11x17 inches and folded down to 8-1/2 x 11 inches
- ... 11 point font, Times New Roman
- ... Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, Title, phone number, and email address

SF1442: FACTOR 1 – CORPORATE EXPERIENCE, (i) SOLICITATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS, pg 10 of 54

Remove the following sentence: "Submit up to two (2) construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the solicited project."

Replace with: "Submit up to two (2) construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the solicited project. The submitted projects shall meet the size and time requirements listed below. The submitted projects collectively shall meet the size and complexity requirements listed below."

Remove the following paragraph:

"If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for the Joint Venture members (1 project maximum per member). The Offeror shall submit a signed copy of the Joint Venture agreement showing what role each member will play in the execution of the solicited project. Teaming arrangements are not considered Joint Venture agreements."

Replace with the following paragraph:

"If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects shall be submitted for the Joint Venture members (1 project maximum per member). The Joint Venture is still limited to up to two (2) projects combined. Each project submitted by each member shall meet the size and time requirements listed above. Between the two projects, the projects **SHALL** demonstrate collectively the scope and complexity elements outlined above. The Offeror shall submit a signed copy of the Joint Venture agreement showing what role each member will play in the execution of the solicited project. Teaming arrangements are not considered Joint Venture agreements."

SF1442: FACTOR 2 – SAFETY, (i) SOLICITATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS, pg 12 of 54

Remove paragraph (3) Technical Approach for Safety in its entirety.

SF1442: FACTOR 2 – SAFETY, (ii) BASIS OF EVALUATION, pg 12 of 54

Remove (ii) Basis of Evaluation in its entirety and replace with the following:

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS), and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror.

In order to receive an ACCEPTABLE rating, the risk level SHALL NOT be higher than MODERATE RISK for each year in BOTH EMR and DART.

The following depicts the risk ranges for the EMR and DART rates:

<u>Risk</u>	<u>EMR</u>
Very Low Risk	Less than 0.6
Low Risk	From 0.6 to less than 0.8
Moderate Risk	From 0.8 to 1.0
High Risk	Greater than 1.0 to 1.1
Extremely High Risk	Greater than 1.1

<u>Risk</u>	<u>DART Rate</u>
Very Low Risk	Less Than 1.0
Low Risk	From 1.0 to 1.99
Moderate Risk	From 2.0 to 2.99
High Risk	From 3.0 to 4.0
Extremely High Risk	Greater than 4.0

SF1442: FACTOR 3 – PAST PERFORMANCE (ii) BASIS OF EVALUATION, pg 13 of 54

Remove (ii) Basis of Evaluation in its entirety and replace with the following:

(ii) Basis of Evaluation

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 1 for Construction Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), any other known sources not provided by the Offeror, and performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source that reflect a trend of satisfactory performance.

Past performance will be rated on an “**acceptable**” or “**unacceptable**” basis using the following definitions:

Past Performance Evaluation Ratings	
Rating	Description
Acceptable (A)	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror's performance record is unknown.

	Satisfactory or better on any Overall Rating and no more than one Marginal or worse rating in any of the following categories: Quality, Schedule, Cost Control, Management, Regulatory Compliance, Safety, and other areas.
Unacceptable (U)	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has no reasonable expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort. Marginal or worse on any Overall Rating or Marginal or worse in more than one of the following categories: Quality, Schedule, Cost Control, Management, Regulatory Compliance, Safety, and other areas.

At a minimum, past performance information SHALL be obtained for each project in Factor 1 in order to receive an acceptable rating.

In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability "unknown" shall be considered "acceptable".

(End of Summary of Changes)