

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0004	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 30-Dec-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) 1 19
6. ISSUED BY NAVAL FACILITIES ENG COMMAND WASHINGTON 1314 HARWOOD ST SE, BLDG 212 WASHINGTON NAVY YARD DC 20374	CODE N40080	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40080-16-R-5312	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 20-Nov-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to provide the following: 1. Revised price schedule 2. Sheet P-10F-A 3. Revised sheet A-605 4. Final RFP Clarifications All other items remain unchanged.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 30-Dec-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0004**Revised Price Schedule**

The price schedule is revised to remove Item 0002 (Option 1). Utilize this revised price schedule to submit your proposal.

Sheet P-10F-A

The original RFP inadvertently included a duplicate PL-101A in place of P-10F-A. This missing sheet is attached.

Revised Sheet A-605

Sheet A-605 has been revised to clarify Window Tag #28. The revised sheet is attached.

Final RFP Clarifications

RFI: Per Spec Section 07 53 23 subsection 2.1.1 (EPDM): Please confirm that 90 mil EPDM is required and 45 mil EPDM will not be accepted.

Government Clarification: As a temporary Building, 45 Mil is acceptable. Be aware, however of the standard from UFC 3-110-03:

2-8.3 Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM) Membranes. EPDM roof membranes provide predictable serviceability in roof systems in all climates. The minimum sheet thickness shall be 90 mil. All lap seams shall be fabricated with 6-in. (150 mm) seam tape and stripped-in with self-adhering, semi-cured EPDM cover strips.

RFI: Per Spec Section 07 53 23 subsection 1.8.1 (Manufacturer Warranty): Please confirm the need for a 20 year warranty on the EPDM roof when the modular structure has an intended lifespan of 5 years.

Government Clarification: A 20 year warranty is not required. Provide at minimum a five year warranty.

RFI: Spec section 08 71 00 subsection 2.3.8 states the Government will provide permanent cylinders with cores and keys, then appears to instruct the contractor to furnish cylinders by Best, Falcon, or Arrow. Also, Spec section 13 41 00 subsection 2.9.3 seems to indicate that the contractor is to: key the cylinders to applicable base standards. Please confirm who will be providing permanent cylinders, cores, and keys.

Government Clarification: Currently all cores and keys are Medeco 6 pins cylinders. The contractor shall purchase the cylinders, cores, and keys as part of the contract. The system is a secure system. All items must be shipped to the government and then signed for by the contractor to do the Installation. For all other items, comply with contract documents.

RFI: General Question: Modular units range in size from 9'6" wide to 11'10" wide. The units that are less than 10' in width can present a problem with the wheel base and wind forces exerted on the units during transport resulting in an increased hazard that the units may tip over. If the overall building footprint and floorplan is maintained, can individual modular units' sizes be modified to help mitigate these risks?

Government Clarification: Variation in unit size is not allowed. Design intent is as shown.

RFI: What type of delay (time) should we expect and account for at the gate when transporting modular components? What type of inspection process (if any) will need to occur before the structures are allowed access into the facility?

Government Clarification: All contractors accessing the installation shall coordinate all deliveries with base security, and submit the SECNAV 5521/1 at least two weeks prior to delivery of modular components. Contractors should account for an average of 1 to 2-hours to gain access to the installation upon arrival. The vehicle, equipment, and modular structures will be inspected prior to granting access to the installation. SECNAV 5521/1 is available online at <http://www.public.navy.mil/spawar/Pacific/Documents/VettingForm.pdf>.

RFI: Many modular building manufacturers utilize "jack of all trades" workers to install electrical raceways and wirings in the factory setting. Please confirm that only licensed professional electricians are required to install all electrical systems in this modular building.

Government Clarification: Licensed electricians are not required except for Connections to the equipment rated higher than 120V.

RFI: Section 22 00 70, Lavatories L-2 & L-3 is the same. Is this correct? Reference Plumbing

Government Clarification: Yes.

RFI: Section 22 00 70, 2.17.3 - Floor sink (FS-1) is not shown on the plumbing fixture connection schedule on P-601. Please confirm if one is required. Reference Plumbing

Government Clarification: Refer to plumbing floor plans for type and location. Provide per the contract documents.

RFI: On the on P-001 and the plumbing isometrics for the sanitary sewer and venting, floor drains are denoted by FD. However, section 22 00 70 references FD-1, FD-3 and SD-1. Please clarify what drain types are required. Reference Plumbing

Government Clarification: Refer to plumbing floor plans for type and location. Provide per the contract documents.

RFI: P-001, please clarify what floor sink (FS), area drain (AD) and roof drain (RD) are required as it relates to section 22 00 70. Reference Plumbing

Government Clarification: Refer to plumbing floor plans for type and location. Provide per the contract documents.

RFI: Section 22 00 70 and P-001, we do not see hose bib (HB-1) or the roof hydrant (RH-1) labeled on the drawings. Please clarify if any of these are required. Reference Plumbing

Government Clarification: Provide per the contract documents.

RFI: Drawings show the module floor to floor height at 13'4". This will make the modules too tall for transportation. Please advise. What is the minimum interior ceiling height?

Government Clarification: Ceiling heights are indicated in the AC-series sheets.

RFI: Please clarify the anticipated start date of construction.

Government Clarification: Refer to RFP.

RFI: Please confirm that this project is not tax exempt.

Government Clarification: Confirmed.

RFI: Can a temporary asphalt patch be used around all of the helical piers in lieu of the detailed mounded patch shown on C-503?

Government Clarification: Provide per the Contract Documents.

RFI: Note 7 on the bid form states that Items 2 and 3 may be exercised within 365 days. Please provide the exercise period for items 4 and 5. In addition, please consider reducing the duration to exercise item 3 due to the lead time for elevators.

Government Clarification: Items 3, 4, and 5 are deductive items and, if exercised, will be exercised at the time of contract award. Please see revised Price Schedule.

RFI: The bid form item numbers do not currently match the item numbers in the option descriptions. Please advise.

Government Clarification: The item numbers have been corrected. Please see revised Price Schedule.

RFI: Section 014500-1.5.4 requires QC Specialists with no duties other than their QC responsibilities; however, no other "technical" specifications reference a QC Specialist requirement. Please clarify if any trades require a QC Specialist and if they can be employed by the subcontractor rather than the GC.

Government Clarification: Provide per the Contract Documents.

RFI: Per the Geotechnical report prepared by Haley & Aldrich, page 8 recommends that "Cement grout should be placed in the zone with at least 4 in. around the top 2 ft. of the pile shaft for lateral stability of the shaft." This is not shown on the "Pier Foundation Detail" on sheet C-503. Please advise.

Government Clarification: Provide per the geotechnical. Refer to sheet S-001 Foundation Design for additional information.

RFI: The modular structure and layout are clearly shown on the documents including the floor framing, roof trusses, and interior wall layouts. The manufacturing processes vary with each modular company and minor changes to the wall layouts or structure may allow for more work to be performed in the factory. Considering that this is a design-bid-build project, please clarify the amount of latitude the modular companies have to make minor adjustments within the design intent of the documents.

Government Clarification: The design documents indicate the design intent. Deviation from the design is not permitted. Specific means and methods will be per the contractor.

RFI: The VRF mechanical system design favors an on-site installation due to the layout of the ductwork and piping rather than a factory installed system. Since this is a design-bid-build project, please confirm that the designed mechanical system is to be provided and that alternate mechanical systems are not desired at this time.

Government Clarification: Provide the mechanical system as designed.

RFI: Sheet ES-101 shows the site electrical work; however, this sheet conflicts with the site electrical work shown on sheet CU-101. Please clarify the correct scope of work to be included.

Government Clarification: Sheet CU-101, Bid Option, Dated 11/4/15 is correct.

RFI: Note #3 on A-101-A1 thru A-102-C4 09 06 90 calls for Behavioral Health Interior lites to receive SH1 type window shades and conference room 110 to receive SH2 type window shades. Neither the Behavioral Health department nor conference room 110 could not be located. Also there is no SH2 type window shade indicated in either spec section 12 24 13 or 09 06 90. Please provide the location of Behavioral Health department and conference room 110, as well as, specifications for SH2 type window shades.

Government Clarification: The Behavioral Health Department is comprised of the rooms shown in areas A-102-B1 and B2. Remove reference to Conference Room 110 and SH-2 shade.

RFI: Spec section 12 24 13 part 1.4.1.1 requests motor-controlled roller shades; however, part 2.1.1.e indicates roller shades are manually operated. Please clarify if there are any motor-controlled shades.

Government Clarification: Shades will be manually operated.

RFI: The bid documents include drawings Q-102-A1.1, Q-102-A2.1, Q-102-A3.1, Q-102-A4.1 and a Phase 2 RCL indicating there is a phase 2 requirement. However, there are no instructions in the RFP or price schedule regarding Phase 2. Please provide direction regarding how phase 2 pricing is to be included since the layouts overlap and conflict with phase 1.

Government Clarification: Phase 2 Equipment plans and RCL are included for information only. The contractor is responsible for the completion of Phase 1 only. The owner will subsequently outfit

Phase 2. The contractor is to make sure that during the course of construction no changes are made that would preclude the completion of Phase 2.

RFI: Spec Section 099000 part 1.3.2 indicates that all contractors that perform surface preparation or coating applications shall be SSPC QP 1 certified. This certification is typically for cast iron prep and painting work which is not applicable to this project. Please confirm that contractors perform the painting work on this project do not need to be SSPC QP-1 certified.

Government Clarification: Confirmed.

RFI: Spec 092900 part 2.1.4 and drawing AI-530 indicates pre-decorated gyp board systems are required on this project. Gyp Board suppliers are indicating that this product is not made anymore. Please provide an alternative solution or a specific manufacturer of this product.

Government Clarification: Pre-decorated or Vinyl Faced Gypsum is available from National Gypsum.

RFI: Spec 11 72 13 part 3.3 indicates that equipment lists were issued as Appendix 4-3 Equipment Lists. The bid documents did not include Appendix 4-3 Equipment Lists. Please provide if applicable.

Government Clarification: The referenced equipment list is the RCL. Refer to File 41_Final Corrected RCL Phase 1.

RFI: Please provide the make and model for the toilet accessories to be furnished by the contractor in spec 10 28 13.

Government Clarification: The specifications are open. Provide items that meet the performance characteristics required by the specifications.

RFI: Please provide the make and model for the medical equipment to be furnished by the contractor in spec 11 72 13.

Government Clarification: The specifications are open. Provide items that meet the performance characteristics required by the specifications.

RFI: Please provide a Room Content List of the equipment to be deducted as part of Option 3.

Government Clarification: The referenced equipment list is the RCL. Refer to File 41_Final Corrected RCL Phase 1.

RFI: The door hardware schedule (Spec 087100 part 3.6) does not have specific manufacturer & product information to price each set desired. Please provide this information.

Government Clarification: The specifications are open. Provide items that meet the performance characteristics required by the specifications.

RFI: Please confirm the entire existing wireless duress system will be relocated and reinstalled by the Government per Note 19 on EY-001, and that no additional new duress devices will be required by the Contractor.

Government Clarification: Confirmed.

RFI: Please confirm Contractor responsibilities for the wireless duress system are limited to pathways and coordination per Note 21 on EY-001.

Government Clarification: Confirmed.

RFI: Detail 4 on EY-501 notes that CCTV Camera Type A is GFGE Equipment. Because there is no specification for CCTV, please confirm that the CCTV system is GFGE, and that responsibilities the Contractor are limited to pathways and coordination. If not, please provide a specification for the CCTV equipment and clarify what is to be provided.

Government Clarification: Confirmed.

RFI: Please provide a specification for the access panels noted per keynote 1 on drawing AC-101-A, AC-101-B, and AC-101-C, as well as, detailed on B1/AI-501.

Government Clarification: Refer to specification section 05 50 13 2.3 Access Doors and Panels.

RFI: Both the RCL-Phase 1 and detail B3/A-434 calls for a F3055 whiteboard, with sliding panels for conference room 2B29. There are no specifications for F3055 and no make and models for any of the visual display boards to be furnished by contractor in spec 10 10 00. Please provide make and models for all visual communications specialties.

Government Clarification: Refer to File 41_Final Corrected RCL Phase 1.

RFI: Sign Type 5 shown on detail A4/AG-503 shows the width as 127'-11 7/8". Please confirm width dimension.

Government Clarification: Width of Panel A is indicated on sheet notes.

RFI: Please provide location for all of the Free Standing Identification Signs (Type A) per A3/AG-518.

Government Clarification: See location on attached sketch Sheet CS-102.

RFI: Please provide location for all of the Building-Mounted Identification Signs per A1 & B1/AG-518.

Government Clarification: Building Sign is to be located per B1/AG-518

RFI: On drawing A-102-C2, the Military Testing Room 2C03, has a window tag #28 shown but there are no #28 interior window types on A-605. Please advise.

Government Clarification: See attached revised sheet A-605.

RFI: Specification 06 10 00, 2.5.2 mentions that gypsum wall sheathing must be fire retardant. Is all wood subflooring, walls, trusses, etc. to be fire retardant, as well?

Government Clarification: Provide materials per contract documents.

RFI: Please confirm that sprinklers above ceilings are not required.

Government Clarification: Confirmed.

RFI: Note 1 on E-002 mentions to remove, salvage, protect and store all existing site lighting within 20 feet of the building for reinstallation after removal of temporary facility. Will the Government provide a storage location on campus, and if so, where? Please confirm these costs should be included in Option 1?

Government Clarification: The light fixtures should be stored on-site, under the temporary facility in between the piers.

RFI: Drawings ED-101 and CD-101 differ on which site light poles and fixtures need to be removed, salvaged, and stored. In addition, Note 1 on E-002 mentions all site lighting within 20 feet of the building must be removed, salvaged, and stored. Please clarify which drawing takes precedence, and whether there are any additional light fixtures within 20 feet of the building that are not shown.

Government Clarification: Follow CD-101. Contractor is responsible to protect existing light fixtures to remain.

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS
INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

The following paragraphs are supplemental instructions to bidders to be used in conjunction with the remaining sections.

1. PRIMARY POINT OF CONTACT:

The primary point of contact for this project is Kenneth Janosko at kenneth.janosko@navy.mil. Forward any Requests for Information (RFI) regarding this project in writing to Kenneth Janosko at kenneth.janosko@navy.mil in Microsoft Word format.

SECONDARY POINT OF CONTACT: Lindsay Naill at lindsay.naill@navy.mil.

All drawings, specifications and amendments shall be posted to the Navy Electronic Commerce Online (NECO) website at www.neco.navy.mil. Potential offerors will not be contacted regarding any changes posted.

2. REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI):

CONTRACTORS ARE REQUIRED TO REVIEW THE ENTIRE SOLICITATION PACKAGE BEFORE SUBMITTING QUESTIONS.

ALL INQUIRIES MUST BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN 10 DAYS PRIOR TO THE PROPOSAL DUE DATE. All inquiries must be submitted in writing and emailed to Kenneth Janosko at kenneth.janosko@Navy.mil and Lindsay Naill at lindsay.naill@navy.mil with inquiries attached as a **WORD** document.

RFI CUT-OFF DATE IS 21 DECEMBER 2015.

3. PROPOSAL DELIVERY INSTRUCTIONS:

Proposals may be sent via express mail carriers or hand-carried to the following address:

**NAVFAC Washington
Attn: Kenneth Janosko
1314 Harwood Street SE, Bldg. 212, First Floor Mail Room
Washington Navy Yard
Washington, DC 20374**

Proposals must be received no later than **05 JANUARY 2016 at 2:00 PM EST.**

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HAND DELIVERY OF PROPOSALS:

The current security condition at the Washington Navy Yard requires escorts for entry to the base by individuals not possessing a U.S. Government DoD Common Access Card (CAC) identification card. Individuals without a DoD CAC will not be permitted to enter the Navy Yard. NAVFAC Washington **will NOT** be able to provide escorts for Navy Yard entry of hand delivered proposals. NAVFAC Washington will **NOT** receive hand delivered proposals at the Base Pass Office or any location other than that identified for receipt in the solicitation.

The Government considers use of a courier service, such as FedEx or UPS, as hand delivery of proposals and the responsibility for timely delivery resides with the offeror.

Offerors are notified that the entry point for proposals submitted through the **U.S. Postal Service** is at Joint Base Anacosta-Bolling and NOT at the Washington Navy Yard. Proposals must be received by NAVFAC Washington at the Navy Yard by the due date and delivery time from the Joint Base Anacosta-Bolling to the Navy Yard cannot be predicted nor guaranteed. Offerors should take this into consideration when determining delivery method.

Please note offerors have the option to deliver the **PRICE SCHEDULE** via the Safe Access File Exchange (SAFE) website at <https://safe.amrdec.army.mil/SAFE/Welcome.aspx>. Instructions on how to use SAFE can be found on this website. Please note **ONLY THE PRICE SCHEDULE** is allowed to be delivered via this website. Other information submitted via this website **WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED**. Submissions via this method are subject to the same proposals due date and time as listed above.

Facsimile copies or email copies will **NOT** be accepted.

Please allow **SUFFICIENT TIME** for your proposal to be received prior to the proposed closing date/time. Technical and Price proposals shall be submitted in sealed envelopes/boxes marked in the bottom right corner "Solicitation N40080-16-R-5312, DO NOT OPEN."

All proposals must be received prior to the due date and time. Any proposals submitted after the time set for receipt will be stamped with the date and hour of receipt and held unopened until after award. The file shall be documented in accordance with FAR 15.208 (h).

4. PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE AND SITE VISIT:

The pre-proposal conference and site visit will be announced via Amendment.

5. PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project Title: P125, Temporary Medical Facilities, Naval Support Activity Bethesda

Project Description:

The intent of this project is to construct temporary modular medical/clinical facilities for staff, patients, and visitors to NSA Bethesda to supplement vacated space during the demolition of Buildings 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 on the Bethesda campus. The temporary facility will be a multi-story modular construction of approximately 100,000 SF constructed on the site of parking lot H on the Bethesda campus. The facility will utilize helical foundations. Facilities will be constructed in accordance with UFC 4-010-01 Section 1-8.7, barrier free design in accordance with 000 criteria and DEPSECDEF Memorandum "Access for People with Disabilities" dated 31 October 2008, applicable energy conservation legislation, and applicable 000 Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan (SSPP) standards.

Contract Type: This is a firm fixed price contract for construction services.

Term of Contract: The contract period shall be for 350 days commencing on the date of contract award.

Commencement of Work: Work under this contract shall commence 15 days after award. Site access will not be available until notice to proceed is issued by the Contracting Officer, approximately four months after date of award.

Place of Performance: The place of performance shall be Naval Support Activity Bethesda, located at 8901 Wisconsin Ave, Bethesda, MD 20889.

NAICS Code: 236220, Commercial and Institutional Building Construction

Estimated Price Range: Between \$10,000,000 and \$25,000,000

Contract Completion Date: All work shall be completed within 320 calendar days after contract award.

Wage Determination: MD150057 10/09/2015 MD57

Liquidated Damages: \$11,019 Per Calendar Day

6. EVALUATION CRITERIA

A. BASIS FOR AWARD

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the lowest priced, technically acceptable offer.
2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.
3. The LPTA process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition because the best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price.
4. An overall non-price factors rating must be at least "ACCEPTABLE" in order to be eligible for award. An "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any factor results in the overall non-price factors proposal being rated "UNACCEPTABLE" unless corrected through discussions. An overall non-price factors rating of "UNACCEPTABLE" makes a proposal ineligible for award.
5. **The number of proposals to be evaluated for technical acceptability shall be limited to the three (3) lowest priced offerors. If no proposals are found to be technically acceptable within the first**

group of three (3) proposals, then the process described will be conducted again as many times as necessary, until such time as the Government identifies a technically acceptable proposal.

B. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-price factors:

Factor 1 – Construction Experience

Factor 2 – Safety

Factor 3 – Past Performance

The distinction between experience and past performance is experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work covered by this requirement, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

1. Proposal Organization and format:

a. The proposal submitted in response to this solicitation shall be clear, concise, and shall contain sufficient detail for effective evaluation and for substantiating the validity of stated claims. The proposals should not simply rephrase or restate the Government's requirements, but rather should demonstrate convincing rationale to address how the offeror intends to meet these requirements. The offer shall be compliant with the requirements as stated in the RFP. Nonconformance with the solicitation requirements, specified organization and content may result in elimination or miscalculation of the proposal. All claimed technical capabilities to meet the requirements shall be realistic and are subject to verification by the Government. Offerors should assume that the Government has no prior knowledge of the offeror's business and that the Government will base its evaluation on the information contained in the offeror's proposals.

b. Proposal Organization and Number of Copies.

(i) Volume 1: Technical Proposal – Offeror shall submit one (1) original and three (3) copies, each in a separate three-ring binder and tabbed appropriately by major evaluation factor with the following characteristics:

(1) Text pages 8 ½ x 11 format, minimum 10 point font.

(2) Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, phone number, and email address.

(3) A complete electronic copy of the technical proposal as a single .pdf file on a CD-ROM (include with the Original non-price submission).

(4) Limit is not to exceed twenty (20) double-sided pages or forty (40) single-sided pages for Volume 1. Technical Proposal Submission over this page limit will **NOT** be evaluated.

(ii) Volume 2: Price Proposal - Offeror shall submit one marked "Original" and one (1) copy each in a separate package with the following characteristics:

(1) Text pages 8 ½ x 11 format, minimum 10 point font.

(2) Completed SF 1442 signed with all amendments acknowledged.

(3) Price Schedule.

(4) Copy of Online Representation and Certifications and proof of registration in System for Award Management (SAM)

(5) Confirmation of filing of Veterans' Employment and Training (VETS) VETS 100 and/or VETS report for the cycle.

(6) Bid Guarantee in accordance with FAR Clause 52.228-1 Bid Guarantee. Amount shall be at least 20 percent of the bid price but shall not exceed \$3 million.

(7) Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, phone number, and email address.

(8) A complete electronic copy of the price proposal as a single .pdf file on a CD-ROM.

Staples or other binding shall not be used. Elaborate brochures or documentation, detailed art work, or other embellishments are unnecessary and are not desired.

The proposal shall be written to the greatest extent possible on a stand-alone basis so that its content may be evaluated with a minimum of cross-referencing to other volumes of the proposal. Cross-referencing within a proposal volume is permitted where its use would conserve space without impairing clarity. Hyperlinking of cross-references is permissible. Information required for proposal evaluation, but not provided in its designated volume or cross-referenced, is assumed omitted from the proposal.

2. Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Price and Non-Price Factors.

A. PRICE

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Prices will be submitted on the Price Schedule included in the solicitation.

If you are a Large Business, submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this project using the attached Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Attachment C). The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are as follows:

	FY 2016
SB	66.94%
SDB	17.44%
WOSB	15.45%
HUBZone	9.03%
SDVOSB	3.06%

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirements and all option items (see Price Schedule). The Government intends to evaluate all options and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990) in Section M of the solicitation. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE.
- (iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
- (iv) Comparison of market survey results.

B. NON-PRICE FACTORS

Factor 1 - Construction Experience:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Each offeror **SHALL** submit a **TOTAL OF 3** construction projects that best demonstrate your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the projects proposed for this project.

For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is defined as follows:

Size: A construction project value of at least \$10,000,000. Projects submitted below this stated amount will not be considered relevant.

Scope/Complexity: Between the 3 projects submitted, the Offeror **SHALL** demonstrate the following elements:

- ... Construction of clinical facilities
- ... Modular trailer construction
- ... Installation of helical pier systems

Time: Projects submitted for the Offeror shall have been completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

To be considered relevant, submitted projects shall demonstrate the following:

To be considered relevant, submitted projects must have been completed as the Prime Contractor. The Government will not consider experience as a subcontractor.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole should not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors should submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A) is **MANDATORY** and **SHALL** be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. This requirement also applies to LEED “Self Certified” or “Sustainable Validated” project (i.e. those not having U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) certificate). For projects which are registered USGBC LEED Certified, submit a copy of the LEED certificate.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the JV entity. If the JV does not have shared experience, projects shall be submitted for the Joint Venture members (1 project minimum per member). The Joint Venture is still limited to three (3) projects combined. Each project submitted shall meet the size and time requirements listed above. The three projects, **SHALL** demonstrate collectively the scope and complexity elements outlined above. The Offeror shall submit a signed copy of the Joint Venture agreement showing what role each member

will play in the execution of the solicited project. Teaming arrangements are not considered Joint Venture agreements.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/ LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The requirement for acceptability will be based upon the projects submitted by the Offeror in their proposal. The offeror shall submit three (3) construction projects that demonstrate experience (as the prime contractor) on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the solicited project. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the solicitation. The Offeror's projects will be evaluated collectively in determining acceptability. The Government will only review three projects for construction. Any projects submitted in excess of the three (3) for Construction Experience will not be considered.

Factor 2 – Safety:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): For the *three* previous complete calendar years (01 January 2012 – 31 December 2014), submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a *three* year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so, and explain why.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: For the *three* previous complete calendar years (01 January 2012 – 31 December 2014), submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS), and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror.

In order to receive an **ACCEPTABLE** rating, the risk level **SHALL NOT** be higher than **MODERATE RISK** for each year in BOTH EMR and DART.

The following depicts the risk ranges for the EMR and DART rates:

<u>Risk</u>	<u>EMR</u>
Very Low Risk	Less than 0.6
Low Risk	From 0.6 to less than 0.8

Moderate Risk	From 0.8 to 1.0
High Risk	Greater than 1.0 to 1.1
Extremely High Risk	Greater than 1.1

<u>Risk</u>	<u>DART Rate</u>
Very Low Risk	Less than 1.0
Low Risk	From 1.0 to 1.99
Moderate Risk	From 2.0 to 2.99
High Risk	From 3.0 to 3.99
Extremely High Risk	Greater than 4.0

Factor 3 - Past Performance:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

For each project included in Factor 1 for Construction Experience, submit a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation [formerly known as Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS)] if available. If there is not a completed CPARS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment D) for each project included in Factor 1 Construction Experience. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. (Note: Clients are highly encouraged to submit questionnaires directly to the Offeror. However, if the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Kenneth Janosko, at kenneth.janosko@navy.mil.)

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues on the projects for Factor 1 – Construction Experience. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 1 for Construction Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting

System (eSRS), any other known sources not provided by the Offeror, and performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source that reflect a trend of satisfactory performance.

Past performance will be rated on an “**acceptable**” or “**unacceptable**” basis using the following definitions:

Past Performance Evaluation Ratings	
Rating	Description
Acceptable (A)	Based on the offeror’s performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror’s performance record is unknown. Satisfactory or better on any Overall Rating and no more than one Marginal or worse rating in any of the following categories: Quality, Schedule, Cost Control, Management, Regulatory Compliance, Safety, and other areas.
Unacceptable (U)	Based on the offeror’s performance record, the Government has no reasonable expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort. Marginal or worse on any Overall Rating or Marginal or worse in more than one of the following categories: Quality, Schedule, Cost Control, Management, Regulatory Compliance, Safety, and other areas.

At a minimum, past performance information SHALL be obtained for each project in Factor 1 in order to receive an acceptable rating.

In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability “unknown” shall be considered “acceptable”.

7. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - Construction Experience Project Data Sheet

Attachment B – NAVFAC Past Performance Questionnaire

Attachment C – Small Business Subcontracting Plan

Attachment 1 – Base Bid Drawings and Specifications:

- File 01_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 02_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 03_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 04_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 05_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 06_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 07_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 08_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 09_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 10_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 11_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 12_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 13_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 14_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 15_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 16_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 17_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf

- File 18_Final Corrected Drawings Vol1.pdf
- File 19_Final Corrected Drawings Vol2.pdf
- File 20_Final Corrected Drawings Vol2.pdf
- File 21_Final Corrected Drawings Vol2.pdf
- File 22_Final Corrected Drawings Vol2.pdf
- File 23_Final Corrected Drawings Vol2.pdf
- File 24_Final Corrected Drawings Vol2.pdf
- File 25_Final Corrected Drawings Vol2.pdf
- File 26_Final Corrected Drawings Vol2.pdf
- File 27_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 28_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 29_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 30_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 31_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 32_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 33_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 34_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 35_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 36_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 37_Final Corrected Drawings Vol3.pdf
- File 38_Final Corrected Specifications Vol1.pdf
- File 39_Final Corrected Specifications Vol1.pdf
- File 40_Final Corrected Specifications Vol2.pdf
- File 41_Final Corrected RCL Phase1
- File 42_Final Corrected RCL Phase2
- PDF-Table of Contents-P125_P2_FnlCorr
- Attachment 2 – Bid Options Drawings and Specifications
- File 43_Bid Options General
- File 44_Bid Options Life Safety
- File 45_Bid Options Civil
- File 46_Bid Options Structural
- File 47_Bid Options Architectural
- File 48_Bid Options Wayfinding
- File 49_Bid Options Interiors
- File 50_Bid Options Equipment
- File 51_Bid Options Fire Protection
- File 52_Bid Options Plumbing
- File 53_Bid Options Mechanical
- File 54_Bid Options Electrical
- File 55_Bid Options Electrical
- File 56_Bid Options Telecom
- PDF-Table of Contents-P125_P2_BidOp

PRICE SCHEDULE

PRICE SCHEDULE WRNMMC P125 Temporary Medical Facilities Naval Support Activity Bethesda

Part 1 General

1.1 Basis of Bids

1.1.1 Base Item

Item	Description	Total Price
0001	BASE ITEM: All work complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications excluding work indicated in options 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5	\$ _____

1.1.2 Options

This contract will be solicited with options. A description of the options is contained in Standard Form SF 1442, "Solicitation, Offer and Award."

Item	Description	Total Price
0002	Option 1 (ADDITIVE)	_____
	See notes 1 through 8 at the end of this form.	
	Basis of Bid for Item 0002 shall be the addition of the following work complete: Removal of the modular buildings and site restoration after 5 years: Price for providing all work in connection with the removal of the modular building in accordance with the RFP requirements, drawings, and specifications. Specification Section 02-41-00 DEMOLITION.	_____

0003	Option 2 (DEDUCTIVE)	
	See notes 1 through 8 at the end of this form.	
	Basis of Bid for Item 0003 shall be the deletion of the following work complete: Removal of one hydraulic elevator cab and associated elevator pit in lieu of two hydraulic elevators: Price for providing all work in connection with removal of one hydraulic elevator in accordance with the RFP requirements, drawings and specifications. Refer to Volume V-Bid Options.	\$ _____

0004	Option 3 (DEDUCTIVE)	
	See notes 1 through 8 at the end of this form.	
	Basis of Bid for Item 0004 shall be the deletion of the following work complete: Removal of the Lasik area and the associated mechanical, electrical and plumbing from the project without impacting the functionality of the surrounding areas: Price for providing all work in connection with removal of the Lasik Area in accordance with the RFP requirements, drawings and specifications. Refer to Volume V-Bid Options.	\$ _____

0005	Option 4 (DEDUCTIVE)	
	See notes 1 through 8 at the end of this form.	

Basis of Bid for Item 0005 shall be the deletion of the following work complete:
Removal of the Outside Ramp from the project: Price for providing all work in connection with removal of the Ramp in accordance with the RFP requirements, drawings and specifications. Refer to Volume V-Bid Options.

\$ _____

Notes:

- (1) Offeror shall provide a price for all items on the Price Schedule.
- (2) A firm fixed price is required for each item and no provision will be made for economic adjustments.
- (3) Offerors shall provide unit pricing for: Piles (3 inch diameter, Helical). Specification Section: 31 66 23 HELICAL PIER FOUNDATION SYSTEM.
Price Per Linear Foot: \$ _____
- (4) Options will be evaluated in accordance with FAR 52.217-5 Evaluation of Options.
- (5) Evaluation of the Options will not obligate the Government to execute any or all of the Options.
- (6) Should the Government choose to exercise any or all of Options, the contract completion date shall not change.
- ~~(7) Items 0002 thru 0003 may be exercised at the time of award or within 365 calendar days after award. A firm fixed price is required for each option.~~
- (8) The Offeror's price is valid for at least 120 days from the receipt of proposals.

Name & Address of Firm Submitting Offer:

Signature: _____

Phone: _____

Email: _____

Fax: _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AMENDMENT(S): _____

END OF SECTION 00100

(End of Summary of Changes)