

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1   5
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 29-Jul-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC NORTHEAST IPT 9324 VIRGINIA AVENUE BLDG Z-144 NORFOLK VA 23511	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-14-R-5235	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 07-Jul-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES AND IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTIONS FOR PROJECTS AT NAVSTA GREAT LAKES, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS, NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY CRANE, INDIANA AND OTHER NAVFAC ATLANTIC/MID-ATLANTIC AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY  This amendment is issued to correct the solicitation and provide responses to submitted questions, per the attached Continuation Pages.  The proposal due date of 6 August 2015 and all other terms and conditions remain unchanged.  Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  29-Jul-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMEND 0003

The solicitation is changed as follows:

Sections L.5.5.1.1.2 and M.4.5.1.1.2

DELETE: "cost tracking (overall and between CLINS)"

REPLACE WITH: "**overall cost tracking**"

## **Questions & Answers – Amendment 0003**

1. Attachment F was included with Amendment 0002 for Proposed Subcontracting Participation Breakdown. This form lists the base year plus two option years. The RFP is for a base year plus 4 option years. Will you provide an updated form, or do we add columns for option years 3 and 4?

**Answer: The referenced requirement (submission of the form) is to be completed for the seed project only.**

2. Because we do not know the full extent of different types of work and/or locations of future task orders, other than the seed project, it is not possible to provide a list of subcontractors that may be used on for performance of this contract. Is this form meant to include all potential subcontractors that may be utilized throughout the length of this contract? Or only the subcontractors used for the seed project?

**Answer: See answer to Q1 above.**

3. Section L.5.5.1.4.1 of the RFP states for the Seed Project: "Provide a Performance Milestone Schedule that demonstrates understanding of objectives and challenges to successful performance with a target completion date proposed in accordance with the PTO 001 Statement of Work." Because we do not know the anticipated contract award date or notice to proceed, it is unclear if you require a schedule based

on an actual start date, or can the schedule be based on the first day from issuance of the notice to proceed?

**Answer: The schedule can be based on the first day from issuance of the notice to proceed.**

4. Section 1.2 of the SOW mentions that some sediment impacts exist within the investigation area (PAHs, metals, pesticides, and PCBs). However it is unclear whether these analytes are being requested for this evaluation or whether previous investigations are/were adequate to characterize the extent of these constituents. If this point source, non-point source evaluation is regulatory driven, does the government have any regulatory guidance and/or requirements which define analytical constituents the regulators would like to see sampled per each of the known outfalls locations?

**Answer: The Navy has received no site-specific regulatory direction regarding the extent of sampling necessary to either establish a technical baseline or monitor under an MS4 permit. The Seed Project scope of work requires that the Contractor identify and investigate outfalls, evaluate upstream sources of contamination, and come up with a technical approach for the anticipated MS4 transition. This work will therefore require the contractor to take into account regulatory requirements, Naval Station Great Lakes operations, physical conditions within Pettibone Ravine, 303(d) impairments, and potential sources of upstream contamination in order to develop the Sampling and Analysis Plan portion of the Work Plan.**

5. The scope of work requests the analysis of surface water and sediment for "chemical and biological parameters". Are there any additional biological parameters that are required in addition to fecal coliform analysis?

**Answer: See answer to Q4 above.**

6. The RFP indicates the pricing evaluation will be based on the Seed Project; however, pages 3 and 4 of the RFP also asks for Firm Fixed Price Maximum Net Amount for the Base Year and 4 Option Years. The scope of services and work locations is broad-based with no specific tasks other than the seed project. What is the percentage of work, level of effort, and type of work that is anticipated at Naval Station Great Lakes and Naval Support Activity Crane? What is the level of effort anticipated at other locations and what are those locations? Is it possible to provide any additional information that will more clearly define the scope of work and potential work locations?

**Answer: The percentage of work, level of effort, and type of work that is anticipated is unknown. As requirements arise, each awardee will have the opportunity to compete. The CLINs on pages 3 and 4 of the solicitation will be completed by the Government at award and when exercising the options, if determined. The price to be submitted and evaluated for award purposes is for the seed project.**

7. To meet delivery time requirements can the proposal be emailed with hard copies to follow, provided that the hard copies are mailed and post-marked before the due date and time?

**Answer: Electronic copies are not permitted. Only hard copies of the proposal, received by the due date and time, are acceptable.**

8. Will NAVFAC allow a site visit for the seed project to get a better understanding of the site conditions?

**Answer: A site visit will not be held.**

9. Regarding the seed project at Naval Station Great Lakes, how many/what percentage of the outfalls will require a dry weather flow survey?

**Answer: No number or percentage of outfalls has been established. Contractor should make its best recommendation as to what is necessary to obtain the information needed to understand the current system and to transition to an MS4 permit.**

11. What is the maximum number of surface water/sediment sample locations anticipated?

**Answer: No maximum number of sampling locations has been established. Contractor should make its best recommendation as to what is necessary to obtain the information needed to understand the current system and to transition to an MS4 permit.**

12. Will the contractor have access to the Pettibone Creek ravine project area on weekends/holidays?

**Answer: While access to the ravine project area may ultimately be permitted for weekends and/or holidays, the contractor should assume no weekend or holiday access for purposes of bid preparation and scheduling.**

13. What is the level of Data Validation required for analytical results?

**Answer: Contractor shall assume 100 percent Tier I data validation. The Contractor should make a recommendation as to what percentage of samples and/or parameters should be subject to Tier II or higher review.**

14. Sections L 5.5.1.1.2 and M4.5.1.1.2 refer to CLINS for this work. No CLINS were provided. Please clarify.

**Answer: Overall cost tracking remains a requirement. However, cost tracking between CLINS has been deleted by this amendment.**

15. Will the bid due date be extended?

**Answer: The due date is not extended; proposals are due August 6, 2015.**

16. The scope of work described in Section C.1.2.3, C1.2.4, and C1.2.5 is very general and wide-ranging. Is it possible to be more specific as to the types of projects anticipated and media involved so that we can select past performance that most closely demonstrates our experience that is comparable to the type of work anticipated?

**Answer: Specifics as to the type of projects anticipated for this contract are unknown.**

17. Section C.1.3.7 states Contractor is expected to provide appropriate project personnel who are able to comply with the badging and security requirements for access. In addition to obtaining Common Access Cards (CAC) is any other personnel security clearance required for site access to the work locations included in this contract?

**Answer: The contractor shall comply with the requirement at Section C.1.3.7 and their chosen level of access described in Section B.13.**

(End of Summary of Changes)