

**NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, MID - ATLANTIC**  
9742 MARYLAND AVENUE NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23511-3095  
POC: Jeff Haycox [jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil](mailto:jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil) (757) 341-2074

## **GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

**DESIGN-BUILD/DESIGN-BID-BUILD MULTIPLE AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (MACC) FOR  
NAVFAC MID-ATLANTIC'S ENTIRE AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY, NORTH CAROLINA TO MAINE,  
INCLUDING WEST VIRGINIA**

### **FOR PROPOSED TASK ORDER:**

**RM09-0804, Design-Build Building M-32 Renovations  
Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, Virginia**

#### FOR CONTRACTS:

N40085-10-D-5329  
DCK North America, LLC  
1900 State Route 51, Suite 200  
Large, PA 15025  
POC: Chris C. Barbe  
Phone: (412) 384-1026  
[cbarbe@dckww.com](mailto:cbarbe@dckww.com)

N40085-10-D-5330  
The Whiting-Turner Contracting Co.  
Hampton Plaza, 300 E Joppa Road  
Baltimore, MD 21286  
POC: Sam Abutaleb  
Phone: (410) 821-1100  
[sam.abutaleb@whiting-turner.com](mailto:sam.abutaleb@whiting-turner.com)

N40085-10-D-5331  
Walbridge Aldinger Co.  
777 Woodward Avenue, Ste 300  
Detroit, MI 48226  
POC: Scott Penrod  
Phone: (313) 963-8000  
[spenrod@walbridge.com](mailto:spenrod@walbridge.com)

N40085-10-D-5332  
Sundt Construction, Inc.  
2620 South 55<sup>th</sup> Street  
Tempe, AZ 85282  
POC: Wayne Einbinder  
Phone: (480) 293-3256  
[weinbinder@sundt.com](mailto:weinbinder@sundt.com)

N40085-10-D-5333  
DPR Hardin Construction-WGI Joint Venture  
3301 Windy Ridge Parkway  
Suite 400  
Atlanta, GA 30339  
POCs: Randall Gibson and Gregory Fraikor  
Phone: (850) 434-5311 (303) 708-1011 Ext:3015  
[rgibson@whitesell-green.com](mailto:rgibson@whitesell-green.com)  
[gregr@dpr.com](mailto:gregr@dpr.com)

**Solicitation Number:** N40085-15-R-0065 (Clauses incorporated in Base Contract apply to this solicitation)

**Specification Work Order No.:** 1303755

**Title:** RM09-0804, Design-Build Building M-32 Renovations

**Location of the work:** Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, Virginia

**Description of work:** Building M-32 renovations will encompass a total building rehabilitation that will meet the current and future needs of the existing building occupants as well as the Ship Nuclear Management Department and future occupants of the building. The renovation will include abatement of hazardous materials. Exterior renovation will be a State Historic Preservation Office-compliant (SHPO) rehabilitation or replacement of existing entrances and basement-level windows so as not to disturb the historic fabric of the building which will include complete masonry cleaning, repointing and repair. Interior renovation will include demolishing all interior non-load bearing partition walls in order to make structural improvements to the existing load bearing walls and floor slabs with replacement of HVAC, plumbing, electrical and fire suppression systems.

**Estimated Budget Amount:** The estimated contract to budget amount is \$26,532,547.

**NAICS Code:** 236220

**Time for completion:** All work shall be completed within 1020 calendar days.

**Wage Determination:** General Decision Number: VA150110 04/03/2015 VA110

**Liquidated damages:** \$13,200.00 Per Calendar Day

**Utilities:** Electricity and Potable Water will be provided by the Government at no cost to the Contractor.

**Record Shop Drawings:** Record drawings are required with reproducible copies

**Drawings and specifications will be furnished via AMRDEC to the MACC prime contractor's POC only and will not be posted to NECO.**

**Government Furnished Property:** There is no Government Furnished Property in this contract.

**Basis for Award:** Best Value Source Selection (BVSS), Tradeoff

**Bid Guarantee:** Shall be in a penal sum equal to at least 20 percent of the largest amount for which award can be made under the bid submitted, but in no case to exceed \$3,000,000.

**Site Visit:**  
**Tuesday, May 19, 2015**  
**9:30 AM local time**  
**Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, Virginia**  
**This will be the last scheduled site visit.**

The following instructions are identical to the solicitation for Building 30 and a One Day Pass Site Visit spreadsheet need only be submitted once for access to both site visits:

Participants who do not have routine active access to NNSY shall complete columns C-G of the One Day Pass Site Visit spreadsheet (Attachment A) and submit via email to Jeff Haycox at [jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil](mailto:jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil) no later than COB on Tuesday, May 12.

Participants who require visitor access are strongly encouraged to allow at least 90 minutes to clear visitor processing at the NNSY Pass Office Bldg. 1502 (2720 Effingham Street in Portsmouth, Va.) and be ready to depart at 9:30 sharp in transportation that will be provided by the government.

To verify identity, visitors MUST present a second form of identification at the pass office from the list of A or B Documents found at <http://www.uscis.gov/i-9-central/acceptable-documents>.

There will be no photography permitted during the site visit.

**Requests for Information:** Offerors who determine that the technical and or contractual requirements of this RFP require clarification(s) in order to permit submittal of a responsive proposal shall submit all questions in writing. Additionally, all inquiries shall be submitted using the Request for Information form (Attachment B). All inquiries shall be submitted via email to Jeff Haycox at [jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil](mailto:jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil) **no later than 14 calendar days prior to the proposal due date**. A response will be published via an amendment.

**Proposal Due Date/Time:** Friday, June 5, 2015 / 2:00 PM local time

**Proposal Acceptance Period:** 120 Days from receipt of offers

#### **Proposal Delivery Information**

Proposals may be sent via express mail carriers or hand-delivered to the following address:

#### **Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic**

Attn: Jeff Haycox, Code OPHA-JH  
Hampton Roads IPT, Acquisition  
9324 Virginia Avenue  
Building Z-140, Room 225  
Norfolk, Va. 23511-3624

#### **Security Information**

Offerors who would like to hand deliver their proposal and who do not currently have a valid badge issued by the Department of Defense (DoD) to enter Naval Station Norfolk must do the following:

1. Submit a one day pass request spreadsheet **NO LESS THAN 7 DAYS** prior to the proposal due date. Submit your request for a one day pass spreadsheet (Attachment C) to Jeff Haycox at [jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil](mailto:jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil).

2. Present the following information at the pass office:

Valid Driver's License, Current Vehicle Registration and/or Rental Car Contract, Current State Safety Inspection, Current Proof of Insurance

To verify identity, visitors MUST present a second form of identification at the pass office from the list of A or B Documents found at <http://www.uscis.gov/i-9-central/acceptable-documents>.

Naval Station Norfolk Pass & ID Office is located on Hampton Boulevard across from Gate 5. All potential offerors must adhere to this notice in order to avoid delay in submitting your proposal. The level of security at

the Naval Station Norfolk may change at any time. As the level of security heightens, the amount of time required to gain access to the Naval Station increases.

### **EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-price factors:

Factor 1 – Experience  
Factor 2 – Technical Solution  
Factor 3 – Energy and Sustainable Design  
Factor 4 – Past Performance

2. The relative order of importance of the non-cost/price evaluation factors is that technical factors 1-3 are equal to each other and, when combined, are equal in importance to the performance confidence assessment (Factor 4 - Past Performance). When the proposal is evaluated as a whole, the technical factors and past performance factor combined are approximately equal to price.

### **PRICE**

#### **A. Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

1. Offeror shall submit one (1) original, marked "Original", and one (1) copy, each in a separate three ring binder with the following:
  - Price Proposal Form (Attachment F)
  - Bid Bond
  - Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, phone number, and email address. Include all of the above information for the design team.

#### **Basis of Evaluation:**

1. The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirements and all option items. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:
  - a. Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
  - b. Comparison of proposed prices with the Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE).

### **NON-PRICE FACTORS**

#### **A. Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

1. Offeror shall submit one (1), marked "Original", a CD and three (3) copies, each in a separate three-ring binder with the following characteristics:
  - 8 ½ x 11 letter, 11 x 17 for drawings
  - 11 point font
  - PAGES THAT EXCEED PAGE LIMITATIONS SHALL NOT BE EVALUATED.

- Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, Title, phone number, and email address. This information must be provided for the Construction Firm, as well as the Design Firm.

**Factor 1 - Experience:**

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a minimum of one (1) to a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects (similar in size, scope and complexity to the RFP). For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as a restoration or renovation of an administrative facility with a minimum size of 30,000 square feet and a minimum contract value of \$10,000,000.

Projects submitted shall be completed within the past ten (10) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole should not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors should submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment D) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (e.g.: unique features, area, construction methods). For projects that involve performing structural upgrades to improve an existing building's ability to resist a blast impact or alterations to meet the progressive collapse requirements of UFC 4-010-01 - DOD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings the description should address the condition of the existing facility before alterations, the upgrades performed and how they integrated into the existing facility, sequence of installation and how the project compares to the requirements of this RFP. For projects that involve a historic building or a building in a historic district the description should address features detailing how the work was completed in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or an equivalent organization or process.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a subcontractor such as the masonry restoration contractor that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement that the subcontractor will have in performance of this contract. More weight may be given to the Offeror's projects than to those submitted for subcontractors.

## (2) Design Experience

Submit a minimum of one (1) to a maximum of five (5) relevant design projects for the design team that best demonstrates design experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as a restoration or renovation of an administrative facility with a minimum size of 30,000 square feet and a minimum contract value of \$10,000,000

Projects submitted shall be completed within the past ten (10) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past ten (10) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment D) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (e.g.: unique features, area, construction methods). For projects that involve performing structural upgrades to improve an existing building's ability to resist a blast impact or alterations to meet the progressive collapse requirements of UFC 4-010-01 - DOD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings the description should address the condition of the existing facility before alterations, the upgrades performed and how they integrated into the existing facility, sequence of installation and how the project compares to the requirements of this RFP. For projects that involve a historic building or a building in a historic district the description should address features detailing how the work was completed in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior's *Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties*. In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or an equivalent organization or process.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a supporting partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor.

### (b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five (5) projects for construction and five (5) projects for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with sustainable features may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with sustainable features.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with restoration or renovation of a historic facility may be considered more favorably than those that do not.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with a major renovation of a masonry facility that required major structural upgrades and retrofits as required to meet the DOD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings, UFC 4-010-01 may be considered more favorably than those that do not.

## **Factor 2 – Technical Solution**

### **(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

Provide a narrative describing the technical approach to the project that meets the requirements of the RFP. Include the following:

- A narrative describing the proposed primary construction firms and primary design firms for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the roles and responsibilities, and the contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). The narrative shall also include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. If the experience of an entity is being claimed in Factor 1, that entity must be named in the narrative and organizational chart.
- The design team shall include a Historic Architect meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications for historic architecture (found at [http://www.cr.nps.gov/local-law/arch\\_stnds\\_9.htm](http://www.cr.nps.gov/local-law/arch_stnds_9.htm)) with five years of relevant experience working on comparable projects requiring review by a state historic preservation office or the National Park Service. The narrative shall describe the Historic Architects role and authority in the design process and how the design will be approached to ensure that the project is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties and ensure the design has no adverse effect on historic resources. Provide a two page resume for the Historic Architect. Resume is not included in page count for the narrative.
- The team shall include a Historic Masonry Consultant that meets the qualification requirements of specification section 04 01 00.91, Restoration and Cleaning of Masonry in Historic Structures dated 05/12. The narrative shall describe the Historic Masonry Consultant's role and authority during the investigation, planning and execution phase of the masonry restoration as well as the quality control measure to be implemented. Provide a two page resume for the Historic Masonry Consultant. Resume is not included in page count for the narrative.
- The narrative shall describe exterior and interior circulation and interior adjacencies.
- The narrative shall describe the design approach for meeting ADA and Life Safety requirements.
- The narrative shall describe the approach, means and methods and quality control to the cataloging, removal, restoration and reinstallation of historically relevant windows.
- The narrative shall include the approach for performing the blast analysis and the design and implementation of structural enhancements.
- Site layout including a description of the parking, surrounding paving, walkways, emergency vehicle access, ATFP standoff distances, and drainage.

Narrative shall not exceed four (4) double-sided pages (or eight (8) single-sided pages). The organizational chart does not count toward this page count limit. Up to four (4) additional conceptual drawings (11x17, single sided) may be provided to supplement the narrative (e.g. floor plan, exterior elevation, site plan).

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the narrative and conceptual drawings (if included) considering the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of the architectural and engineering requirements of the project. The Government will evaluate the effectiveness of the design-build team's technical solution to determine the likelihood that the work will be performed in accordance with the technical requirements of the RFP.

**Factor 3 – Energy and Sustainable Design**

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Provide the following information, which describes how the project will meet or exceed the following sustainable design contract requirements.

(1) EPAAct 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative:

Using the guidance outlined in Part 3 of this RFP, provide a detailed narrative to describe whether the proposed design solution will meet or exceed the goal of a 30% energy reduction using the ASHRAE Std 90.1-2007, Appendix G, Building Performance Rating Method, excluding receptacle and process loads. Provide the proposed percentage of energy reduction you conclude your design will achieve. Provide the assumptions you used in your calculations to conclude that your design will result in a high-performance building, which will comply with these energy reduction goals. Describe the Offeror's proposed building with regards to building orientation, shape, fenestration, solar heat gain coefficients (SHGC), wall and roof insulation values (U-values), HVAC systems, water heating systems, lighting systems, and control systems. Organize/divide the assumptions into four areas; building orientation and configuration, building envelope, mechanical systems, and electrical systems. If the Offeror cannot achieve the 30% reduction within the budget identified, the Offeror shall state what percentage of energy reduction will be achieved by their proposal. Do not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages). *Note: Building performance rating and percentage of energy reduction are calculated in terms of energy rather than energy cost.*

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's response to the Energy and Sustainable Design Factor considering the proposed energy savings.

EPAAct 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative: The Government will evaluate the Offeror's proposed energy budget reduction relative to EPAAct 2005 energy efficiency goals, including evaluation of assumptions.

**Factor 4 – Past Performance:**

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation or Contractor Performance Assessments Reports System (CPARS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 1 for construction experience. If a completed AE Contractor Appraisal Support System (ACASS) evaluation or Contractor Performance Assessments Reports System (CPARS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 1 for design experience. If there is not a completed CCASS, CPARS, or ACASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment E) for each project included in Factor 1 for both Construction Experience and Design Experience. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires

(PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, PPQs may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact via email to Jeff Haycox at [jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil](mailto:jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil).

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1 and on other task orders under this Multiple Award Contract. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues on the projects for Factor 1 – Experience or under this Multiple Award Contract. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on projects under Factor 1 – Experience and other task orders under this Multiple Award Contract. More emphasis will be placed on projects and task orders that are more similar to the RFP. The Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS), inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's ability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking past performance information on other task orders under this Multiple Award Contract will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

## **V. EVALUATION**

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value - the proposal most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.

2. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.”
3. The tradeoff process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.
4. The relative order of importance of the non-cost/price evaluation factors is that technical factors 1-3 are equal to each other and, when combined, are equal in importance to the performance confidence assessment (Factor 4 - Past Performance). When the proposal is evaluated as a whole, the technical factors and past performance factor combined are approximately equal to price.
5. Any proposal found to have a deficiency in meeting the stated solicitation requirements or performance objectives will be considered ineligible for award unless the deficiency is corrected through discussions. Proposals may be found to have either a significant weakness or multiple weaknesses that impact either the individual factor rating or the overall rating for the proposal. The evaluation report must document the evaluation board’s assessment of the identified weakness(es) and the associated risk to successful contract performance resulting from the weakness(es). This assessment must provide the rationale for proceeding to award without discussions.

## **VII. ATTACHMENTS**

- ATTACHMENT A – One Day Pass Site Visit
- ATTACHMENT B – Request for Information form
- ATTACHMENT C – One Day Pass Proposal Delivery
- ATTACHMENT D – Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet
- ATTACHMENT E – Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ)
- ATTACHMENT F -- Price Proposal Form (below)
- ATTACHMENT G – Wage Determination (below)

**ATTACHMENT F**

**PRICE PROPOSAL FORM**

**BLDG M-32 – RM09-0804 FACILITY RESTORATIONS**

Contractor price shall include the necessary labor, materials, equipment, management, supervision and administration to accomplish the following:

0001A – Price for providing all work, complete, in accordance with the drawings and specifications, but excluding Items 0001B thru 0001L, 0002-0003 listed below.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001A \$ \_\_\_\_\_

0001B - Price for removal and reconstruction of damaged/deteriorated (1) wythe Historic Masonry (See B201001). Unit cost shall include staging and scaffolding.

<u>Item Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>No. Units</u>	<u>Extension</u>
Historic Masonry Restoration	50 SF	\$ _____	220	\$ _____

0001C - Price for 40 yard dumpster for non-recyclable demolition and construction debris disposal. Unit cost shall include all hauling and dumping fees.

<u>Item Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>No. Units</u>	<u>Extension</u>
40 Yard Dumpster	EA	\$ _____	<u>50</u>	\$ _____

0001D - Price for abatement and disposal of all Asbestos floor tile and mastic down to substrate throughout building (assume 2 layers of AB flooring per floor).

<u>Item Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>No. Units</u>	<u>Extension</u>
Asbestos Floor Tile Abatement	100 SF	\$ _____	<u>1000</u>	\$ _____

0001E - Price for abatement and disposal of all Asbestos Ceiling tile and mastic down to substrate throughout building (assume 1 layer of AB ceiling tile per floor). Cost shall include staging and scaffolding.

<u>Item Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>No. Units</u>	<u>Extension</u>
Asbestos Ceiling Tile Abatement	100 SF	\$ _____	<u>500</u>	\$ _____

0001F - Price for abatement and disposal of all Lead paint, on the interior of masonry walls and on interior partition walls throughout building.

<u>Item Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>No. Units</u>	<u>Extension</u>
Lead Paint Abatement	100 SF	\$ _____	<u>500</u>	\$ _____

0001G - Price for replacement of damaged synthetic slate roof and deteriorated wood decking (see B301001 and photos for reference). Cost shall include staging and scaffolding.

Item Unit	Unit	Unit Price	No. Units	Extension
Roof and Decking Repair	100 SF	\$_____	<u>30</u>	\$_____

0001H – Price for Window rehabilitation on the East wing of the Basement Floor; Windows with Bars. (See B-2020)

Item Unit	Unit	Unit Price	No. Units	Extension
Window Rehab and Repair	EA	\$_____	<u>11</u>	\$_____

0001I – Price for Asbestos and Lead sampling and testing

Item Unit	Unit	Unit Price	No. Units	Extension
AB and LB Sampling & Testing	EA	\$_____	<u>4</u>	\$_____

0001J – Price for Joist tail, wood decking and other relate wood elements, repair. Cost shall include staging and scaffolding.

Item Unit	Unit	Unit Price	No. Units	Extension
Joist tail and Soffit Repair	100 SF	\$_____	<u>20</u>	\$_____

0001K – Modular Office Units Price per Unit of Modular Office, i.e., temporary trailers approximately 12'-8" x 70'-0" each, including furnishing as per the RFP. Includes utilities, services, desks, filing cabinets, chairs, hook ups for power, internet, communications, installation, maintenance, and removal thereof at the end of the contract.

Item Unit	Unit	Unit Price	No. Units	Extension
Modular Office Units	EA	\$_____	<u>24</u>	\$_____

0001L – Mortar Repointing:

Pricing for removal, repair, and repointing of mortar joints as per National Park Services requirements. Price to include design, approval, staging, erection, and removal of appropriate scaffolding.

Item Unit	Unit	Unit Price	No. Units	Extension
Mortar Repointing	20 LF	\$_____	<u>500</u>	\$_____

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEMS 0001A THRU 0001L** \$\_\_\_\_\_

**0002 – PLANNED MODIFICATION 1 – FURNITURE, FIXTURES & EQUIPMENT (FF&E):**

Price for providing work in connection with all labor, material, equipment, transportation, and supervision required for the FF&E, in accordance with the following schedule:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Unit Price (NTE 5%)</u>	<u>Estimated Quantity</u>	<u>Handling Fee</u>
Coordination, Labor, Material, Equipment, Transportation, and Supervision Required for the FF&E	_____%	\$2,199,012.16	\$_____

**0003 – PLANNED MODIFICATION 2 – AUDIO VISUAL EQUIPMENT (AV):**

Price for providing work in connection with all labor, material, equipment, transportation, and supervision required for the AV, in accordance with the following schedule:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Unit Price (NTE 5%)</u>	<u>Estimated Quantity</u>	<u>Handling Fee</u>
Coordination, Labor, Material, Equipment, Transportation, and Supervision Required for the AV	_____%	\$80,000	\$_____

**TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEMS 0001 THRU 0003** \$\_\_\_\_\_

## NOTES

### General

1. Award will be made on the total sum of Item 0001 and the sum of the extensions under Items 0002 and 0003. Offerors shall enter unit prices and/or extended totals in spaces provided. If there is a difference between a unit price and the extended total, the unit price will be held to be the intended price and the total recomputed accordingly. If an offeror provides a total but fails to enter a unit price, the total divided by the specified quantity will be held to be the intended unit price.
2. FAR 52.217-5, *Evaluation of Options* (Jul 1990) – Except when it is determined in accordance with FAR 17.206 (b) not to be in the Government's best interest, the Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).
3. The Government may reject an offer as nonresponsive if it is materially unbalanced as to prices for the basic requirement and the option quantities. An offer is unbalanced when it is based on prices significantly less than cost for some work and prices which are significantly overstated for other work.
4. In accordance with FAR 52.228-15, if an option is exercised after award and the contract price increases, an additional amount equal to 100 percent of the increase is required for the Performance and Payment Bonds.
5. Unit Prices: Unit prices provided will be the basis for the adjustment in accordance with FAR 52.211-18, *Variation in Estimated Quantity*.

### FF&E – Procurement and Installation (Item 0002)

1. In this solicitation, for FF&E Procurement and Installation Planned Modification, the contractor will only propose and be evaluated on the Handling and Administrative Rate (HAR) applied to the Government Estimated amount for FF&E. Upon Government approval of the preliminary design and best value analysis, a Best Value Determination (BVD) will be made and will be the rationale for selecting the FF&E vendor(s) for the project. Accordingly, the price(s) provided by the selected vendor(s), using predominately negotiated price schedules from BPA, GSA or other Federal contracts, will be the basis for the actual cost of the Final FF&E Package. The actual total cost of the awarded planned modification will be the total of the accepted Final FF&E Package and the HAR applied to the accepted Final FF&E Package.
2. Government Estimated Amount for FF&E. The Government estimated amount for FF&E, as shown above, includes the FF&E cost, freight and installation charges. The Government estimated amount for FF&E has been identified to provide offerors with the projected magnitude of effort for FF&E. The total estimated budget amount for the FF&E includes the actual cost of the FF&E including freight and installation charges and Prime Contractor's proposed HAR. The Total FF&E Budget Amount (Estimated) shall be entered by the Government.
3. Prime Contractor FF&E HAR. Offerors may propose a fee, up to but not to exceed 5%, for the handling and administration of the FF&E. This fee will account for all administrative costs, overhead, bonding fees, administration of subcontractors, profit and any other costs associated with and related to the coordination and processing of the procurement and installation of FF&E. No other charges, expenses, fee, mark-ups, etc., will be authorized. The prime contractor's proposed HAR mark-up shall be applied to the Government estimated amount of FF&E to derive a total proposed price for Item 0002.

4. The prime contractor's FF&E HAR will be incorporated into the contract as proposed and will not be adjusted regardless of fluctuations from the Government budget amount of FF&E. The HAR is a fixed rate. When the final FF&E package is priced, the contractor's HAR, as incorporated into the contract, shall be applied to the FF&E supplier and vendor quotes to derive a total proposed price for final negotiation of the FF&E planned modification.
5. Price will be evaluated on the total of the Base Price and all the options. However, for the FF&E Procurement and Installation, only the HAR segment of the planned modification line item will be utilized in the evaluation (this is the HAR multiplied by the Government Estimated Amount for FF&E).
6. If awarded, this line item will be funded separately after completion of FF&E design review, acceptance of FF&E package, and receipt of appropriate funding. The design for the FF&E itself is performed under the Base Amount. See Specification Section 01 30 01 for details on FF&E.

**AMENDMENTS ACKNOWLEDGMENT:**

Amendment No.	Date	Amendment No.	Date	Amendment No.	Date

**Offeror:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Address:** \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Phone:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Email:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Signed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**ATTACHMENT G**

WAGE DETERMINATION

General Decision Number: VA150110 04/03/2015 VA110

Superseded General Decision Number: VA20140110

State: Virginia

Construction Type: Building

County: Portsmouth\* County in Virginia.

\*INDEPENDENT CITY

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (does not include single family homes or apartments up to and including 4 stories).

Note: Executive Order (EO) 13658 establishes an hourly minimum wage of \$10.10 for 2015 that applies to all contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act for which the solicitation is issued on or after January 1, 2015. If this contract is covered by the EO, the contractor must pay all workers in any classification listed on this wage determination at least \$10.10 (or the applicable wage rate listed on this wage determination, if it is higher) for all hours spent performing on the contract. The EO minimum wage rate will be adjusted annually. Additional information on contractor requirements and worker protections under the EO is available at [www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts](http://www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts).

Modification Number	Publication Date
0	01/02/2015
1	04/03/2015

ASBE0085-005 05/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
ASBESTOS WORKER/HEAT & FROST INSULATOR.....	\$ 21.71	11.21

Includes the application of all insulating materials, protective coverings, coatings and finishes to all types of mechanical systems

-----  
 BOIL0045-004 10/01/2013

	Rates	Fringes
BOILERMAKER.....	\$ 32.36	27.62

-----  
 BRVA0001-004 07/01/2013

	Rates	Fringes
BRICKLAYER.....	\$ 19.00	8.13

-----  
 \* ELEC0080-009 12/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
ELECTRICIAN.....	\$ 26.05	14.67%+5.00+a

a. Workmen shall take off 2 hours with pay, at the discretion of the employer, on State and National Election days; Tuesday following the first Monday in November, provided they are qualified and vote.

-----  
 ENGI0147-024 11/01/2013

	Rates	Fringes
Power equipment operators:		
Cranes 90 tons & over		
capacity; Tower & Climbing		
Cranes with Controls 100		
ft. above ground.....	\$ 28.30	8.69%+8.15
Cranes under 90 tons.....	\$ 27.38	8.69%+8.15
Forklift.....	\$ 23.58	8.69%+6.55

-----  
 IRON0079-009 11/01/2013

	Rates	Fringes
IRONWORKER, STRUCTURAL AND		
ORNAMENTAL.....	\$ 24.40	10%+11.26

-----  
 LABO0351-003 06/01/2010

	Rates	Fringes
LABORER (Mason Tender Brick)....	\$ 13.85	5.55

-----  
 PLAS0891-009 05/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
CEMENT MASON/CONCRETE FINISHER...\$	20.50	5.69

-----  
 PLUM0110-005 11/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
PIPEFITTER (Including HVAC		
Pipe & System Installation).....\$	26.02	15.83
PLUMBER.....	\$ 26.02	15.83

-----  
 ROOF0185-008 06/01/2011

	Rates	Fringes
ROOFER.....	\$ 27.00	11.46

-----  
 SHEE0100-038 07/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
SHEET METAL WORKER (Including		
HVAC Duct Installation).....	\$ 22.13	15.05

-----  
 SUVA2010-116 09/20/2010

	Rates	Fringes
CARPENTER (Drywall Hanging		
Only).....	\$ 16.00	1.21
CARPENTER (Form Work Only).....	\$ 16.40	0.00
CARPENTER, Excludes Drywall		
Hanging, and Form Work.....	\$ 15.50	1.28
FENCE ERECTOR.....	\$ 13.72	4.16
LABORER: Common or General.....	\$ 8.25	0.38
LABORER: Landscape.....	\$ 10.64	0.00
LABORER: Mason Tender -		

Cement/Concrete.....	\$ 12.96	3.12
LABORER: Pipelayer.....	\$ 12.40	2.33
OPERATOR: Backhoe.....	\$ 17.00	3.42
OPERATOR: Bobcat/Skid Steer/Skid Loader.....	\$ 15.62	2.40
OPERATOR: Bulldozer.....	\$ 21.50	4.80
OPERATOR: Excavator.....	\$ 18.38	4.17
OPERATOR: Loader.....	\$ 20.63	4.80
OPERATOR: Mechanic.....	\$ 18.23	1.59
OPERATOR: Roller.....	\$ 21.50	4.80
PAINTER (All Other Work).....	\$ 13.40	0.00
PAINTER: Brush and Roller.....	\$ 13.34	0.37
PAINTER: Spray.....	\$ 14.15	0.00
TILE FINISHER.....	\$ 17.32	6.72
TILE SETTER.....	\$ 21.12	7.68
TRUCK DRIVER: Dump Truck.....	\$ 13.25	1.80

-----  
WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing  
operation to which welding is incidental.

=====  
Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within  
the scope of the classifications listed may be added after  
award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses  
(29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).

-----  
The body of each wage determination lists the classification  
and wage rates that have been found to be prevailing for the  
cited type(s) of construction in the area covered by the wage  
determination. The classifications are listed in alphabetical  
order of "identifiers" that indicate whether the particular  
rate is a union rate (current union negotiated rate for local),  
a survey rate (weighted average rate) or a union average rate  
(weighted union average rate).

#### Union Rate Identifiers

A four letter classification abbreviation identifier enclosed  
in dotted lines beginning with characters other than "SU" or  
"UAVG" denotes that the union classification and rate were  
prevailing for that classification in the survey. Example:  
PLUM0198-005 07/01/2014. PLUM is an abbreviation identifier of  
the union which prevailed in the survey for this  
classification, which in this example would be Plumbers. 0198  
indicates the local union number or district council number  
where applicable, i.e., Plumbers Local 0198. The next number,  
005 in the example, is an internal number used in processing  
the wage determination. 07/01/2014 is the effective date of the  
most current negotiated rate, which in this example is July 1,  
2014.

Union prevailing wage rates are updated to reflect all rate changes in the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) governing this classification and rate.

Survey Rate Identifiers

Classifications listed under the "SU" identifier indicate that no one rate prevailed for this classification in the survey and the published rate is derived by computing a weighted average rate based on all the rates reported in the survey for that classification. As this weighted average rate includes all rates reported in the survey, it may include both union and non-union rates. Example: SULA2012-007 5/13/2014. SU indicates the rates are survey rates based on a weighted average calculation of rates and are not majority rates. LA indicates the State of Louisiana. 2012 is the year of survey on which these classifications and rates are based. The next number, 007 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 5/13/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

Survey wage rates are not updated and remain in effect until a new survey is conducted.

Union Average Rate Identifiers

Classification(s) listed under the UAVG identifier indicate that no single majority rate prevailed for those classifications; however, 100% of the data reported for the classifications was union data. EXAMPLE: UAVG-OH-0010 08/29/2014. UAVG indicates that the rate is a weighted union average rate. OH indicates the state. The next number, 0010 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 08/29/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

A UAVG rate will be updated once a year, usually in January of each year, to reflect a weighted average of the current negotiated/CBA rate of the union locals from which the rate is based.

-----  
WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- \* an existing published wage determination
- \* a survey underlying a wage determination
- \* a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- \* a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations  
Wage and Hour Division  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====

END OF GENERAL DECISION