

**NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
MID-ATLANTIC
9324 VIRGINIA AVENUE
NORFOLK, VA 23511
POC: Michael Brice, (757) 341-0695, michael.brice@navy.mil**

**DESIGN-BID-BUILD MULTIPLE AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (MACC) NAVFAC,
MID-ATLANTIC'S ENTIRE AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY, NORTH CAROLINA TO MAINE,
INCLUDING WEST VIRGINIA**

**PROPOSED TASK ORDER
P1396 INTEL OPS EXPANSION, MARINE CORPS BASE,
CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA**

FOR CONTRACTS:

**N40085-10-D-5329
DCK North America, LLC
1900 State Route 51, Suite 200
Large, PA 15025
POC: Andrew Pajak
Phone: (412) 384-1027
Fax: (412) 384-1360
apajak@dckww.com**

**N40085-10-D-5330
The Whiting-Turner Contracting Co.
Hampton Plaza, 300 E Joppa Road
Baltimore, MD 21286
POC: Sam Abutaleb
Phone: (410) 821-1100
Fax: (410) 337-5770
sam.abutaleb@whiting-turner.com**

**N40085-10-D-5331
Walbridge
777 Woodward Avenue, Ste 300
Detroit, MI 38226
POC: Glenn Saunders
Phone: (313) 963-8000
Fax: (313) 963-9129
spenrod@walbridge.com**

**N40085-10-D-5332
Sundt Construction, Inc.
2620 South 55th Street
Tempe, AZ 85282
POC: Wayne Einbinder
Phone: (480) 293-3256
Fax: (480) 293-3079
weinbinder@sundt.com**

**N40085-10-D-5333
DPR Hardin Construction – WGI Joint Venture
3301 Windy Ridge Parkway Suite 400
Atlanta, GA 30339
POC: Greg Fraikor and/or Randal Gibson
Phone: (303) 708-1011 (850) 434-5311
Fax: (850) 434-5315
gregfr@dpr.com
rgibson@Whitesell-Green.com**

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

NAVFAC Solicitation Number: N40085-15-R-0304 (Clauses incorporated in Base Contract apply to this solicitation)

Title: Design-Bid-Build P1396 Intel Ops Expansion Complex, Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina

Location of the work: Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina

Description of the work: P1396 is to Construct an administration facility and miscellaneous supporting structures/utilities/infrastructure. The facility will be divided into two (T-Shaped) connected areas: Headquarters and Intelligence/Operations (Intel/Ops). The Headquarters portion of the building consists of a single-story steel framed building with brick veneer over metal studs, and standing seam metal roof. The Intel/Ops portion will be one-story pre-engineered metal building (PEMB). This is a new requirement and feasible acquisition alternatives do not exist. Special construction features include pile foundations and storm water best management practices. Electrical systems include: primary power distribution, lighting, energy control systems, intrusion detection system, telephone/data switch/server rooms, photovoltaic cells, electrical switch gear, transformers, circuits, and fire alarms. Mechanical systems include: plumbing, fire protection, compressed air, de-humidification, heating/ventilation/air conditioning systems, energy management control systems, and direct digital controls. Information systems include telephone, data, local area network, mass notification and intercom. Site and building utility systems/connections will include utility distribution systems, traffic control, parking, electrical power, domestic water, fire protection water, sanitary sewer, storm water management, telephone/data communication, fiber optics, and television. Sustainable construction features will be included. The Project requires a LEED silver certification.

The cost magnitude for P1396 is between \$10,000,000 and \$25,000,000.

NAICS Code: 236220

Time for completion: All work shall be completed within **555 calendar days**.

Wage Determination: General Decision Number: NC150038 (as attached).

52.211-12 LIQUIDATED DAMAGES--CONSTRUCTION (SEP 2000)

- (a) If the Contractor fails to complete the work within the time specified in the contract, the Contractor shall pay liquidated damages to the Government in the amount of (see chart below) for each calendar day of delay until the work is completed or accepted.

PROJECT COST			EST LD's PER CALENDAR DAY
\$2,000.00	through	\$25,000.00	\$80.00
\$25,000.00	through	\$50,000.00	\$110.00
\$50,000.00	through	\$100,000.00	\$140.00
\$100,000.00	through	\$500,000.00	\$200.00
Each additional \$100,000 - add \$50.00			

***Liquidated Damages, if assessed will be approximately \$5,000.00 per calendar day**

- (b) If the Government terminates the Contractor's right to proceed, liquidated damages will continue to accrue until the work is completed. These liquidated damages are in addition to excess costs of repurchase under the Termination clause.

Utilities: Utilities will be available to the Contractor at the prevailing government rates.

Record Shop Drawings: Record drawings are required.

Site Visit:

A pre-proposal conference/site visit will be held at 1300 on Tuesday, 9 June 2015. Participants will meet at the MARSOC compound entrance Pass Office on Range Road, Marine Corp Base Camp Lejeune, North Carolina. Upon completion of the pre-proposal conference and MARSOC security vetting process, movement to the project site will proceed.

To access the Stone Bay Rifle Range, attendees will require identification, car registration and insurance. Obtain base vehicle and base access passes at the Visitors Center, Building 818 located at the main gate complex off of Hwy 24.

Two (2) days prior to site visit, all contractors will need to email government point of contact, a list of ALL personnel attending the site visit. The list is to include company name and their name EXACTLY as it appears on their ID. In order to obtain entrance onto the MARSOC Complex, everyone on the site visit MUST be on the MARSOC personnel list. Those persons who are not on the MARSOC personnel list or fail to provide the correct information will be denied access to the MARSOC Compound and will not be able to participate in the site visit.

Government POCs:

CM: LT Mike Dilger: Phone 910-449-4622; michael.a.dilger@navy.mil

ACO: Meghan Hislop, 910-451-2582 X5234, meghan.hislop@navy.mil

Proposal Acceptance Period: 120 Days from receipt of offers

Due Date: 29 June 2015/ 12:00 noon (EST)

Submitting your proposal:

Federal Express (FedEx), United Postal Service (UPS), and United States Postal Service (USPS) may be sent to:

ATTN: Michael Brice, Z-140, Room 116
NAVFAC MIDLANT
9324 Virginia Avenue
Norfolk, VA 23511-3095

Hand-carried proposals will be received at:
NAVFAC MIDLANT
Marine Corps IPT Acquisition Office
Building Z-140, Room 116
9324 Virginia Avenue
Norfolk, VA 23511-3095

ALL REQUESTS FOR HAND DELIVERING PROPOSALS MUST BE RECEIVED BEFORE 3:00 PM (EST) MONDAY 22 JUNE 2015.

LATE REQUESTS WILL NOT BE HONORED DUE TO SECURITY REGULATIONS FOR OBTAINING ACCESS TO THE VARIOUS BASES.

Due to increased security at all military installations, all prospective visitors who do not currently have a valid Contractor's ID (RapidGate Pass) to enter Naval Station Norfolk must allow sufficient time to obtain a one-day pass to permit entry to the bases.

Prospective visitors planning to deliver proposals who do not have a valid Contractor's ID, must submit a completed copy of the RFP Attachment "One Day Pass (ODP) Request Spreadsheet, Attachment D." The completed attachment shall be sent to Michael Brice, Contract Specialist, via e-mail at michael.brice@navy.mil. The following items are required to obtain a pass: Contractors Visit Request on file, two forms of ID (i.e. valid Driver's License and [one of the following] US Passport, US Social Security Card or Birth Certificate), Vehicle Registration or Rental Car Agreement, proof of Car insurance, and proof of State Safety Inspection

QUESTIONS AND RFI'S: May be directed to Michael Brice via email michael.brice@navy.mil. The RFI cutoff date is 19 June 2015 at 12:00 noon EST. Please print and use **Attachment C (PPI Log)** to submit any RFI questions you may have regarding this solicitation.

DEFINITIONS

WEAKNESS: A flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

SIGNIFICANT WEAKNESS: A flaw that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

DEFICIENCY: A material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

RATINGS

ADJECTIVAL RATINGS/DESCRIPTIONS:

The following adjectival ratings shall be used:

1. The following adjectival ratings and rating definitions will be used to assign an overall rating to each non-price proposal and to assign a rating for each non-price factor. Use upper case letter ratings for major technical factors as well as the overall rating. The addition of plus (+) or minus (-) to an adjective rating is not allowed.

Adjectival Ratings/Definitions For Overall Technical Rating and Each Factor/Subfactor	
Rating	Definitions
A (Acceptable)	<p>Factors Other than Past Performance: Technical capabilities meet the standard of acceptability for the factors and subfactors. There may be some weaknesses; however, there are no deficiencies.</p> <p>Past Performance Factor: The Offeror’s performance of previously awarded relevant contract(s) consistently met contractual requirements. Performance of relevant completed contracts was consistently of adequate or better quality or exhibited a trend of becoming so. The Offeror’s past performance record leads to an expectation of successful performance.</p>
U (Unacceptable)	<p>Factors Other than Past Performance: Technical capabilities do not meet the standards of acceptability for the factor(s) and subfactors. Proposal contains deficiencies resulting in an increased risk of unsuccessful contract performance.</p> <p>Past Performance Factor: The Offeror’s performance of previously awarded relevant contracts did not consistently meet contractual requirements. The prior performance being assessed reflected problem(s) for which the Offeror either failed to identify or implement corrective actions or for which corrective actions, implemented, or proposed to be implemented, were, or are expected to be, mostly ineffective. The Offeror’s past performance record leads to a strong expectation that successful performance will not be achieved or that it can occur only with greatly increased levels of Government management and oversight.</p>
N (Neutral)	<p>To Be Used Only for Past Performance Factor: The Offeror lacks a record of relevant or available past performance history. There is no expectation of either successful or unsuccessful performance based on the Offeror’s past performance record.</p>

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of Price and the following non-price factors:

- Factor 1 – Price
- Factor 2 – Past Performance

PRICE REQUIREMENT: Offeror shall submit one (1) original, marked “Original”, and one (1) copies, each in a separate three ring binder with the following:

- 8 ½ x 11 format
- 12 point font
- Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor’s Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code,

Point of Contact, Title, phone and email address. Please also include all of the above information for the design team.

- Bid Bond
- Price Binder should only include information in response to Price

TECHNICAL REQUIREMENT: Offeror shall submit one (1) original, marked “Original”, CD, and six (6) copies, each in a separate three ring binder with the following:

- 8 ½ x 11 format
- 12 point font
- NAVFAC Past Performance Questionnaire
- Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor’s Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact and their phone and email address.

FACTOR 1 – PRICE

(a) SOLICITATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS:

Price shall be evaluated on the basis of the cumulative price for all the line items, including base item, as shown in the Pricing Schedule. The Offeror is required to submit a complete Pricing Schedule that includes all CLINS.

(b) BASIS OF EVALUATION:

Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with ICGE

FACTOR 2 – PAST PERFORMANCE

(a) SOLICITATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENT:

Offerors do not need to provide any information under this factor as the Government will base the evaluation on known past performance on task orders awarded under this Multiple Award Contract (MAC). However, Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on task orders under this MAC. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues under this MAC. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

(b) BASIS OF EVALUATION:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on projects under this Multiple Award Contract. More emphasis will be placed on task orders that are more similar to the RFP. The Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the

Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS), inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's ability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking past performance information on other task orders under this Multiple Award Contract will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

EVALUATION

1. Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with Offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the Offeror submitting the lowest price technically acceptable proposal.

2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with Offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if necessary. In addition, if the SSA determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The Low Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition because the best value is expected to result from selection of the lowest priced technically acceptable proposal.

4. Proposals will initially be screened for price and placed in order of price (lowest price to highest price). The Navy will then evaluate the non-price factors of the five lowest priced proposals. The Navy will make award to the lowest priced technically acceptable proposal from among the five lowest priced proposals. However, the Navy, at its sole discretion, reserves the right to increase the number of proposals it will review under this methodology. Accordingly, under this methodology, the non-price factors of some proposals may not be evaluated by the Navy. If discussions are deemed necessary by the Contracting Officer, all proposals will be evaluated (both non-price and price) for the purpose of establishing a competitive range.

5. An overall non-price factors rating must be at least "ACCEPTABLE" in order to be eligible for award. An "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any factor results in the overall non-price factors proposal being rated "UNACCEPTABLE" unless corrected through discussions.

An "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any subfactor results in the factor being rated "UNACCEPTABLE" and the overall non-price factors proposal being rated

“UNACCEPTABLE” unless corrected through discussions.” An overall non-price factors rating of “UNACCEPTABLE” makes a proposal ineligible for award.

AMENDMENTS ACKNOWLEDGED:

Amendment No.	Date	Amendment No.	Date	Amendment No.	Date

Offeror: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Signed: _____

Date: _____

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - Wage Determination

Attachment B - Bid Schedule (See attached document)

Attachment C - Pre-Proposal Inquiry (See attached document)

Attachment D - Attachment D One Day Pass (ODP) Request Spreadsheet (See attached document)

Attachment E - 15P1396 SPC Final 2015-05-22 (Additional Documents)

ARCHITECTURAL

AUDIO VISUAL

CIVIL

ELECTRICAL

FIRE PROTECTION

GENERAL

INTERIORS

LANDSCAPE

LIFE SAFETY

MECHANICAL

PLUMBING

STRUCTURAL

ATTACHMENT A

General Decision Number: NC150038 05/15/2015 NC38

Superseded General Decision Number: NC20140038

State: North Carolina

Construction Type: Building

County: Onslow County in North Carolina.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (does not include single family homes or apartments up to and including 4 stories).

Note: Executive Order (EO) 13658 establishes an hourly minimum wage of \$10.10 for 2015 that applies to all contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act for which the solicitation is issued on or after January 1, 2015. If this contract is covered by the EO, the contractor must pay all workers in any classification listed on this wage determination at least \$10.10 (or the applicable wage rate listed on this wage determination, if it is higher) for all hours spent performing on the contract. The EO minimum wage rate will be adjusted annually. Additional information on contractor requirements and worker protections under the EO is available at www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts.

Modification Number	Publication Date
0	01/02/2015
1	05/15/2015

* IRON0848-005 12/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
IRONWORKER, STRUCTURAL.....	\$ 23.00	12.00

 PLUM0421-003 07/01/2013

	Rates	Fringes
PIPEFITTER.....	\$ 24.85	9.65

 SUNC2011-019 08/24/2011

	Rates	Fringes
BRICKLAYER.....	\$ 19.00	0.00

CARPENTER (Drywall Hanging

Only).....	\$ 13.83	0.00
CARPENTER (Form Work Only).....	\$ 13.38	1.80
CARPENTER, Excludes Drywall Hanging, and Form Work.....	\$ 16.39	4.26
CEMENT MASON/CONCRETE FINISHER...\$ 15.80		0.00
ELECTRICIAN.....	\$ 20.64	6.68
HVAC MECHANIC (HVAC Duct Installation Only).....	\$ 17.37	1.82
LABORER: Common or General.....	\$ 11.13	0.32
LABORER: Landscape & Irrigation.....	\$9.13	0.28
LABORER: Pipelayer.....	\$ 13.35	2.80
LABORER: Mason Tender-Brick/Cement/Concrete.....	\$ 12.00	0.00
OPERATOR: Backhoe/Excavator/Trackhoe.....	\$ 18.47	2.41
OPERATOR: Bulldozer.....	\$ 16.00	1.87
OPERATOR: Crane.....	\$ 19.77	4.48
OPERATOR: Forklift.....	\$ 13.86	0.00
OPERATOR: Grader/Blade.....	\$ 15.72	1.49
OPERATOR: Loader.....	\$ 16.17	0.25
PAINTER: Brush, Roller and Spray.....	\$ 12.35	0.00
PLUMBER.....	\$ 18.48	3.93
ROOFER.....	\$ 11.75	1.06
SHEET METAL WORKER, Excludes HVAC Duct Installation.....	\$ 15.81	1.40
TRUCK DRIVER.....	\$ 13.38	1.48

 WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing

operation to which welding is incidental.

=====
Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).

The body of each wage determination lists the classification and wage rates that have been found to be prevailing for the cited type(s) of construction in the area covered by the wage determination. The classifications are listed in alphabetical order of "identifiers" that indicate whether the particular rate is a union rate (current union negotiated rate for local), a survey rate (weighted average rate) or a union average rate (weighted union average rate).

Union Rate Identifiers

A four letter classification abbreviation identifier enclosed in dotted lines beginning with characters other than "SU" or "UAVG" denotes that the union classification and rate were prevailing for that classification in the survey. Example: PLUM0198-005 07/01/2014. PLUM is an abbreviation identifier of the union which prevailed in the survey for this classification, which in this example would be Plumbers. 0198 indicates the local union number or district council number where applicable, i.e., Plumbers Local 0198. The next number, 005 in the example, is an internal number used in processing the wage determination. 07/01/2014 is the effective date of the most current negotiated rate, which in this example is July 1, 2014.

Union prevailing wage rates are updated to reflect all rate changes in the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) governing this classification and rate.

Survey Rate Identifiers

Classifications listed under the "SU" identifier indicate that no one rate prevailed for this classification in the survey and the published rate is derived by computing a weighted average rate based on all the rates reported in the survey for that classification. As this weighted average rate includes all rates reported in the survey, it may include both union and

non-union rates. Example: SULA2012-007 5/13/2014. SU indicates the rates are survey rates based on a weighted average calculation of rates and are not majority rates. LA indicates the State of Louisiana. 2012 is the year of survey on which these classifications and rates are based. The next number, 007 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 5/13/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

Survey wage rates are not updated and remain in effect until a new survey is conducted.

Union Average Rate Identifiers

Classification(s) listed under the UAVG identifier indicate that no single majority rate prevailed for those classifications; however, 100% of the data reported for the classifications was union data. EXAMPLE: UAVG-OH-0010 08/29/2014. UAVG indicates that the rate is a weighted union average rate. OH indicates the state. The next number, 0010 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 08/29/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

A UAVG rate will be updated once a year, usually in January of each year, to reflect a weighted average of the current negotiated/CBA rate of the union locals from which the rate is based.

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- * an existing published wage determination
- * a survey underlying a wage determination
- * a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- * a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations
Wage and Hour Division
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====

END OF GENERAL DECISION