

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
			J	1	12
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 18-Mar-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC PWD PHILADELPHIA 4921 S. BROAD STREET BLDG 1, 2ND FLOOR PHILADELPHIA PA 19112	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-15-R-7509	
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 11-Feb-2015	
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE			FACILITY CODE		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) N40085-15-R-7509; IDIQ ROOF REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE AT THE PHILADELPHIA NAVAL BUSINESS CENTER (PNBC) AND NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY (NSA), PHILADELPHIA, PA NOTE: THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED WITH YOUR PROPOSAL					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 18-Mar-2015	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

THE PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT IS TO PROVIDE RESPONSES TO SUBMITTED REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI).

THE RFP DUE DATE SHALL REMAIN UNCHANGED AS **27 MARCH 2015 AT 3:00PM EST.**

THE NAVFAC TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT IS WORKING TO PROVIDE RESPONSES TO ADDITIONAL SUBMITTED REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI). SUBMITTED RFI'S WILL BE ADDRESSED VIA SEPARATE AMENDMENT.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI) WILL BE ACCEPTED UNTIL 3:00PM EST, 20 MARCH 2015. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED AFTER THIS TIME.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED

NOTE: THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED WITH YOUR PROPOSAL.

RFI'S

1. Roof Insulation:

a. The bid schedule lists X0008 as tapered insulation panels and X0009 says to provide tapered roof insulation – what is the difference between the two?

X0008 is for Polyiso only and X0009 is for non-Polyiso.

b. We do not see a line item for base layers of insulation – how will this be priced?

If this is referring to fill sections they can be priced under the tapered line item.

c. Spec section 07_22_00, 1.4.4 says to provide 'acceptable' R value. What is considered acceptable?

Acceptable R values shall be in accordance with the WBDG, R 2.78 per inch thickness for perlite and R 5.7 per inch thickness for polyiso using LTTR.

d. Spec section 07_22_00, 2.1.4 has left the R value for tapered insulation 'blank'. What is the required average R value?

See above

e. Over concrete decks, when installing cold-applied or torch-applied roof systems, can we foam-adhere the insulation?

No

2. There are multiple references to spec section 01_33_29 LEED requirements – this is not included as part of the specifications.
Section 01 33 29 SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING (LEEDS) will be added to the specifications via separate Amendment.
3. Preformed Metal Roofing:
 - a. The bid schedule includes line items X0023 (coated steel) and X0024 (coated aluminum) – which specification section should we use for this line item – SECTION 07 41 13 METAL ROOF PANELS or SECTION 07 61 14.00 20 STEEL STANDING SEAM ROOFING?
Use Section 07 61 14.00 20 for steel. Section 07 61 15.00 20 ALUMINUM STANDING SEAM ROOFING will be added to the specifications via separate Amendment.
 - b. If Section 07_22_00, they only list the thicknesses/type for aluminum.
See response to question 3(a)
 - c. If Section 07_61_14.00, they only list the thicknesses for steel.
See response to question 3(a)
4. There is no spec section on Roof Coatings – which type/thickness should we use for line item X0025?
There is no thickness requirement except to follow the manufacturer’s recommendations for application.
5. Are line items X0030 and X0031 used in conjunction with the other System line items (System GAA and System Gas)? In other words, you would add the same SQ’s to one of these line items depending on how the system was applied?
Yes
6. Spec section 07_51_13, 1.2 says “Minimum two-ply, three-ply SBS or APP modified bitumen roof membrane consisting of modified bitumen base sheet, fiberglass felt, venting base sheet, inter-ply sheet and cap sheet...”. Are all of these components supposed to be incorporated into every roof system? Most 2 or 3 ply SBS systems would compose of a vented or non-vented base sheet (one or the other), an inter-ply sheet and then the cap sheet. Please clarify.
Industry standards should be applied for all roof system components when pricing.
7. Line items X0038 thru X0040 are for an EPDM ‘recovery’ system. Is this line item inclusive of any required insulation or cover board, or is this line item to be used in conjunction with a separate insulation line item?
No there will be a separate line item used for insulation unless it is the industry standard to include.

8. Line items X0051 thru X0052 refer to a Thermoplastic alloy roof system (TPA) – is this system covered in SECTION 07 54 19 POLYVINYL-CHLORIDE (PVC) ROOFING?
Although not identified in this section the Government and the Contractor shall abide by all known industry standards for any item not specifically identified.
9. In SECTION 07 62 10 COPPER SHEET METAL FLASHING, paragraph 1.2 refers to tables 1 and 2. At the very end of the section is says “SEE ATTACHMENTS FOR TABLE 3” – We could not find any tables attached.
The tables were not included in the RFP. Tables 1, 2 and 3 have been added via this Amendment.
10. Please clarify how line item X0160 will be used. Is this line item inclusive of the work performed to complete a task order, or is it added to a task order in an effort to expedite the start and completion time?
It will be added to Task Order to expedite work.
11. Can you give us some idea as to the size of Bldg. 44 for the cleaning schedule?
Bldg. 44 is 1,140 SF. However, this building has been removed from the Cleaning Schedule.
12. Can you give us some idea as to the size of Bldg. 770 for the cleaning schedule?
Building 770 is scheduled to be demolished and therefore has been removed from the Cleaning Schedule.
13. We understand there are essentially 4 roles - PM, Superintendent, Quality Control Manager & Safety Officer. There seemed to be conflicting information on which roles can be shared and which can't. Can you please clarify if you need 4 unique personnel for all 4 roles or who can share what roles. (i.e. PM can also be safety officer, or safety officer can also be QCM but not PM, etc).
The roles will depend on the complexity of the work. All roles will not be required for simple site work. There must be a minimum of 2 people. As long as qualifications are met, PM could be same as Superintendent, but not Safety Officer or Quality Control Manager. As long as qualifications are met, Safety Officer could be same as Quality Control Manager, but not PM or Superintendent.
14. Bldg. 779 is listed on the schedule, yet no information about the building is listed. Is this building included as part of the cleaning?
Building 770 is scheduled to be demolished and therefore has been removed from the Cleaning Schedule.
15. Reference *Contractor Availability*
 - a. Is there a minimum response time related to 24/7 POC

It is the Government's hope that the Contractor shall respond to all service calls within 4 hours (hopefully while the event is occurring) and that repairs will be completed within 48 hours. In requests for other services a time frame will usually be specified for response. The Government needs to be able to contact someone who can forward pertinent information to the contractor at any time of the day or week and know that the information will be forwarded. Please respond responsibly and within reason for any type of Government request.

16. Reference *Attachment B*

- a. Are services restricted to those buildings listed in Attachment 4 or intended to be used on any building under NAVFAC management at the PNBC and / or NSA

The roof surveys may be requested for any building within the Area of Responsibility (AOR) for this Office.

- b. Will contractor be allowed to invoice for additional building specific inspections fees such as lifts, additional fall protection measures, additional site visits, secure areas....

That will be determined on a per case basis. The Contractor before doing anything above and beyond the originally agreed upon cost should always contact the Contracting Officer. When receiving a task order requesting a roof survey, the Contractor should know if additional items are required and this should have been submitted when returning the task order to the Acquisition Specialist.

- c. Reference *Thermal Scans*

- i. Who will decide if a thermal scan is applicable

It will be stated in the task order or requested prior to any work being performed by the COR or the Contractor.

- ii. Will this cost be built into Attachment B price or added separately on an as needed basis

This will be addressed as a separate cost under ELIN X0158

- iii. What type of testing and data is expected

The Government can provide a sample of a previously received type document. Any info that is obtained during the survey as it is relevant to the roof and accessories. A general statement concerning the condition of all roofing components, any repairs needed or recommended and pictures.

- iv. Will a thermal scan result in an additional mobilization or will NAVFAC expect to request ahead of the initial survey to combine tasks

No a thermal scan is not an additional mobilization as it will almost always be requested at the initiation of a survey. Only an observation of a possible deteriorating situation might require the request for a thermal scan of an area.

v. Confirm these scans are not aerial scans but handheld
They are handheld scanning devices.

vi. Will scans be full roof scans or simply those areas of interest
Areas of interest

d. Reference *Core Samples*

i. Who will decide if core samples are applicable

It will be defined in the original request unless unknown problems are found.

ii. What type / size sample is desired

Generally 4" x 4" or 4" diameter core samples

iii. How many cores per roof area are required

Usually 2 per roof or two per a defined area

iv. Will these be done in addition to or in conjunction with hazardous material testing

It could be done in either manner

v. Will they result in an additional mobilization or with NAVFAC expect to request ahead of initial survey to combine tasks

There will be no additional mobilization required

e. Reference Line Items *0163 – 0165*

i. Please confirm that the sq. ft. data is intended for one roof per one survey

Yes, it is sq. ft. per one survey. The roof will fall into one of the three categories

ii. If NAVFAC intends to combine roofs / buildings under one survey

1. Will building be located at the same campus

Yes unless for some unknown reason they are requested at the same time for different locations.

2. Will additional cost for multiple set ups be accommodated

Each survey is one unto itself so there are no scenarios for multiple set-ups

f. What is the expected delivery time for Attachment B activities from time of issuance to

receipt of completed report.

30 days after completion of each phase of the work. The Contractor may request additional time but must provide notice and reasons for delayed report submission.

- g. Does NAVFAC intend to issue repair / replacement activities resulting from surveys as TOs under this contract
NAVFAC may potentially issue work if it is found to be needed based on inspection reports/surveys.

17. Reference *Attachment 4*

- a. What type of report is required following the annual visual inspection
The Government can provide a sample of a previously received type document. Any info that is obtained during the inspection as it is relevant to the roof and accessories. A general statement concerning the condition of all roofing components, any repairs needed or recommended and pictures.

- b. Are reports required following quarterly and bi-annual roof / gutter / drain cleanings
Yes, reference NSWF Roof List / Attachment A. Table 1, Quarterly Cleaning requires inspection report after March cleanings. Table 2, Bi-Annual Cleaning requires inspection report after April cleanings.

- c. Where is the termination of responsibility for drain and gutter blockages (below grade, building interior, full through to main)
Below grade

- i. Will compensations be made if drain / gutter blockages are not normal roofing related blockages
Additional work could be issued. If Contractor has doubt, he should contact the Contracting Officer or their representative before proceeding with work.

- d. Are any buildings / roof systems listed in Attachment 4 located in secure areas or require additional clearances
No

- i. Please define security measures and anticipated time for clearances for building if they are
Secure areas shall only be accessed when accompanied by a designated escort provided by the Government. Delays should be minimal if notification is given.

18. Reference *Warranty*

- a. Will NAVFAC provide warranty information for buildings under Attachment 4 / A / B
Yes, as best as it can be provided.

- b. Who is responsible for warranty coordination
Contracting Officer, Contractor, Acquisition Specialist and Government Representative
- c. If roof repairs or partial roof replacement activities occur on a warranted roof, will NAVFAC expect contractor to warrant the full roof system or only those portion repaired/ replaced
Only the repaired portion will be considered.
19. Please confirm that items such as lifts, cranes, scaffolding, interior protection, lightning protection and such will fall under Non-prepriced Line Items 0158 & 0159
These items would come priced under ELIN X0158. ELIN X0159 is for labor only.
20. Please define Line Items 0008 & 0009 for bidding purposes to include desired R value and min/max thickness
See answers to question 1.
21. ELIN's 0008 and 0009 both reference the installation of tapered insulation with different units of measure (SQ vs BF). How will the Government pay for the replacement/installation of flat polyisocyanurate insulation?
Aren't these basically a similar type measurement just expressed differently
- Will a line item be added for flat polyisocyanurate insulation?
At this time no line item was specified for flat insulation. It may be added at a later date but for this purpose it shall be considered additional material and covered under X0158
- If so, a specific thickness should be specified (for unit of measurement purposes). **When that time comes the thicknesses shall be for 1" and 2"**
22. A line item for cover board is not provided in the Schedule of Prices. Should the cost of a coverboard (ie. Wood fiber, gypsum, perlite, etc.) be incorporated into the pricing for the specific roofing line items provided or will a cover board line item be added under the roof insulation section of the Schedule of Prices?
This can be added in the future if a need is seen to include this. As it stands now it will be covered under X0158.
23. Is there a particular taper slope that you would like as the basis for "A0008 Provide polyisocyanurate tapered insulation panels", and "A0009 Provide tapered roof insulation", and their corresponding option year line items? **Yes, 1/2" per foot.**
24. Under Attachment B, 4h – It states hazardous material test results are to be included in the written report. Does this item indicate that the proposer is to provide the cost of asbestos/lead testing for ALL buildings to be assessed in ELIN's 0163, 0164, and 0165?
No, asbestos testing will be separate.

Or will the proposer be paid separately for this service on a case by case basis? **Yes**

In addition, 4j (Thermal Image Conditions) is labeled "if applicable." Thermal imaging (IR Scans) are costly and will substantially increase the cost of ELIN's 0163, 0164, and 0165 to the Government if we are to assume each building will require one. Please advise. **No, this will be ordered on a case by case basis.**

25. In line items A0049-A0052, should "TPA" be TPO? **TPA**
26. When scaffolding or hi-reach become necessary for roof access or repair work, should the cost incurred be included in quoted per unit pricing or will A0158 and A0159 be utilized? **ELIN X0158. ELIN X0159 is for labor only**
27. Are unincluded items, such as snow stops, vented ridge, etc. to be included in per unit pricing or will A0158 and A0159 be used?
ELIN X0158. ELIN X0159 is for labor only
28. We are assuming A0158 and A0159 will be used for repairs that may be encountered that is not covered by line items, is this correct and can you give us some examples? **Yes, examples can be found under other questions already answered.**
29. What are reserved line items used for? **Future use**
30. In the Roof Insulation section (lines 008-0014) that deals with low slope roofs, insulation is to be included in unit pricing. Can you please advise what the average thickness is? There is a considerable price difference between the thicknesses. **Split pricing as to 1" and 2" thicknesses**
31. Is there a requirement to meet minimum level of bonding.
Although bonds are not required as a part of your proposal submission, they may be required for individual task orders based on value of each task order (Reference NFAS Clause 5252.228-9305 Notice of Bonding Requirements). For the minimum and maximum order limitations, please refer to FAR Clause 52.216-19 Order Limitations, which is a part of the solicitation.
32. Is there an upper level threshold for EMR and DART
Although no specified upward limit is expressed in the RFP for either DART or EMR, be advised that as values for those requirements increase, your rating for Factor 3 may be impacted negatively. Refer to Factor 3 – Safety. As specified in the RFP, any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

33. Will they grant evidence for Tax Exemption under this contract.

The Department of the Navy does not provide a tax exempt number for your use in securing exemptions from your state because only the cognizant state office has authority to render an opinion regarding the propriety of such a tax exemption. However, upon request the following information will be provided via letter to assist you in such matter: “The Department of the Navy recently entered into the above-referenced contract with your firm. In performance of the required work, it is anticipated that you will be required to purchase various materials and items for use on the project site or which will ultimately be incorporated into the project.”

34. Reference 5252.209-9300 *Org. Conflict of Interest*

- a. Does it apply to Attachment B under this contract that may lead to subsequent TO's or;
- b. Projects not issued under this contract
- c. Will it be waived

FAR Clause 5252.209-9300 is not applicable to Attachment B or projects not issued under this contract.

35. Reference 5252.236-9301

- a. What is the average delay for vehicles (left blank)

Average delay for vehicles at Naval Support Activity (NSA) Philadelphia can be more or less than 30mins at the security gate. The Philadelphia Naval Business Center (PNBC) typically does not have security gate delays.

36. Response grading / points system

- a. What is the total maximum grade / points obtainable for the full response
- b. What are the applicable breakdowns for Factors, sections, and subsections

There is no maximum grade / points obtainable for this RFP; points and grading systems are not utilized in this procurement. Rather, as the solicitation provides, adjectival ratings, either ACCEPTABLE or UNACCEPTABLE, will be assigned to proposals. Furthermore, the Government will select the lowest-priced technically acceptable offer at a reasonable cost to the Government. An overall non-price factors rating must be at least “ACCEPTABLE” in order to be eligible for award. An “UNACCEPTABLE” rating in any factor results in the overall non-price factors proposal being rated “UNACCEPTABLE” unless corrected through discussions. An overall non-price factors rating of “UNACCEPTABLE” makes a proposal ineligible for award. Offerors MUST be evaluated as technically ACCEPTABLE under factors 1, 2, and 3 in order to be considered technically acceptable overall.

37. Please provide Attachment A – PNBC Gutter and Drain List

Attachment 3: NSWC Roof List; which is included in the RFP, is the same list to utilize as Attachment A – PNBC Gutter and Drain List.

38. Is there an existing contract or has there been a past contract that this IDIQ is replacing and if so

- a. Who was the incumbent
- b. Can NAVFAC provide initial award price
- c. Will existing surveys, reports, and other materials be supplied to the contractor for reference upon award

The previous contract was awarded to W. P. Mahon, Inc. in the amount \$3,787,573.38. If available, existing surveys, reports, and other materials may be supplied to the contractor for reference upon award.

39. Please advise if the solicitation will be a single award IDIQ contract or multiple award contract.

This solicitation will be a single award IDIQ contract.

40. Where or what is "Attachment A"? We see it listed referenced a few times throughout but can not find it. Can you please provide?

Attachment 3: NSWC Roof List; which is included in the RFP, is the same list to utilize as Attachment A – PNBC Gutter and Drain List.

41. Can you please give some clarity on Attachment C and its use in the proposal. Is the first page of attachment C what we use for Factor 1 Corporate Experience? Then is the Survey component of Attachment C for the Factor 2 Past Performance?

Corporate experience will be evaluated based on the information provided on the first page of the Questionnaire. Refer to Factor 1 – Corporate Experience. The offeror shall complete the NAVFAC/USACE Past Performance Questionnaire (Form PPQ-0), which is provided as Attachment C. Corporate experience will be evaluated based on the information provided on the first page of the Questionnaire. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for the questionnaire shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

As for the Survey, Refer to Factor 2 – Past Performance. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment C), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Mr. David Rhoads via email at

David.Rhoads@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date.

42. Please confirm the surveys are expected to be in the proposal.

Refer to Factor 2 – Past Performance. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment C), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients / references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Mr. David Rhoads via email at David.Rhoads@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date.

(End of Summary of Changes)