

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 7
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 14-May-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC NORTHEAST IPT 9324 VIRGINIA AVENUE BLDG Z-144 NORFOLK VA 23511	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-15-R-8706	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 23-Dec-2014	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) N40085-15-R-8706, D/B Construction Services For P-816, Demolition of Central Steam System at Naval Station Great Lakes, Illinois *THIS AMENDMENT APPLIES ONLY TO OFFERORS SELECTED TO PARTICIPATE IN PHASE II OF THIS SOLICITATION* The purpose of this Amendment is to provide responses to contractor's request for information. (See the summary of changes). All other terms and conditions remain unchanged. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 15-May-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES TO RFIS

CONTINUATION SHEET 02

Project: N40085-15-R-8706 DB, BV, Demolition of Central Steam System

Inquiry #4

18. On Camp Porter, dwg MD-301 indicates Pit 282A near B1007 is to be demo'd, with steam/condensate lines coming from Pit 415 and going to B1007. Per dwg MD-233, Pit 415 steam/condensate lines go directly to B1007 and no details for pit or piping is provided on MD-2xx series drawings for Pit 282A. Please confirm Pit 282A is to be demo'd per MD-301 drawing.

Yes, Pit 282A is to be included in the list of steam pits to be demolished per the requirements of Part 3-Chapter 3-Section 3.2.2.2 of the RFP.

19. On Camp Porter, dwg MD-301 indicates Pits #418, 418A, 419, 420, 421, 424, 425, and 426 are to be demo'd. Dwg MD-200, Note 6 indicates they shall remain. Please confirm these pits are to remain per dwg MD-200.

Yes, Pit #'s 418, 418A, 419, 420, 421, 424, 425 and 426 are to be re-used in conjunction with the Nodal Steam Plant at Bldg. 7104, and shall remain per the instructions contained in Part 3-Chapter 3-Section 3.2.2.2 (Steam Pit Demolition) of the RFP.

20. Please confirm if there is no scope of work required at Pits identified to Remain per Notes 5 and 6 on dwg MD-200.

No, the Contractor cannot assume that no work is required at Pits identified to remain in the written text of the RFP or on the drawings. A number of Pits associated with the tunnels that run under various sections of Area A are to remain, but there is asbestos removal work required in each of these pits as required by the RFP. A number of Pits to remain will also require cutting and capping of existing steam and condensate lines that enter the pits from other pits that are to be demolished, and the resulting voids in the pit walls left after this demolition work will need to be filled in to prevent water ingress. There are also several errors/conflicting information contained in the RFP text and the Drawings in regards to certain pits. These errors/conflicts shall be corrected via an amendment to the RFP documents.

21. On Camp Porter, Pit #403 outside of B7220 is identified for demo per dwgs MD-231 and MD-302. Due it's location under brick pavers, can this pit be abandoned in place, with just steam/condensate piping cleaned, cut, and capped in lieu of full demo?

No, Pit #403 shall be demolished per the requirements listed in Part 3 – Chapter 3 – Section 3.2.2.2 (Steam Pit Demolition) of the RFP. This pit cannot be abandoned in place.

22. On Camp Moffett, dwg MD-228 for Pit #238 indicates steam and condensate piping extend from Pit #238 to Pit #237. Pit #237 does not appear on either MD-2xx or MD-3xx series dwgs. Please confirm there is no scope of work required at Pit #237.

Confirmed. There is no demolition work required at Pit #237.

23. Will silt and construction fence with mesh screening be required at every site set-up for steam pit demo or pier foundation structure demo for above ground piping?

Yes.

24. Camp Porter, Pit #407A near B1313 is inside a brick walled mechanical yard, located behind transformers and some on-grade HVAC equipment. As it is not easily accessible, could this pit be abandoned in place, with just steam/condensate piping cleaned, cut, and capped in lieu of full demo?

No, Pit #407A shall be demolished per the requirements listed in Part 3 – Chapter 3 – Section 3.2.2.2 (Steam Pit Demolition) of the written RFP and included in Part 6 – Attachment 1 – Drawing MD-200. This pit cannot be abandoned in place.

25. Mainside, Pit #112 near the Main Gate and Pit #125 near Barry Rd Bridge are tagged for demo per dwg MD-303, but called out as existing to remain per Note 5 on MD-200, MD-211, and MD-213. Please confirm Pit #112 and #125 are existing to remain.

Yes, it is confirmed that Pit #112 and Pit #125 are to remain due to their location.

26. Mainside, piping between Pits #124 and #125 on dwg MD-303, and Pits #34 and #35 on dwg MD-304, are tagged as being in a pipe chase, but these pits are on either end of Barry St and Sampson St bridges respectively. Please confirm if the pipes between these pits should be changed to "remove from being supported from the bridge" in lieu, similar to Note 1 on dwg MD-303.

No, the steam and condensate pipes that run between Pit #34 and #35 under the Sampson St. bridge, and the pipes that run between Pit #124 and #125 under the Barry St. bridge do run through pipe chases. They are tagged correctly on Drawing MD-303. They are not supported from the respective bridge structures, as is found on the D St. bridge and the Farragut Ave. bridge. Therefore, the piping running through the chases under the Barry and Sampson St. bridges shall be abandoned in place._

27. Hospital side, piping between Pits #45 thru #50 is tagged as above ground. Please confirm this pipe should be tagged as direct buried in lieu and treated accordingly.

The pipe between Pit #45 and #50 (into Bldg. 1H/43H) actually runs through a pipe chase. The pipe is not direct buried in that area of the base.

28. Hospital side, per dwg MD-207, Pit #50 has piping extending from it to Pit #49, Pit #52, B1H, and B14BH. Pit #52 and B14BH do not appear on dwg MD-304 so we are uncertain if abandoned direct buried piping to these structures from Pit #50 requires flowable fill under paved surfaces. Please confirm.

No, there is no requirement to include flowable fill from Pit #50 to Pit #52 and Bldg. 14BH as part of the demolition activities associated with Pit #50. The demolition requirements at Pit #50 are limited to the remaining requirements listed in Part 3 – Chapter 3 – Section 3.2.2.2 (Steam Pit Demolition) of the written RFP and included in Part 6 – Attachment 1 – Drawing MD-200.

29. Dwg MD-207 identifies Pits #51, 52, 53, and 53A, but are not shown at all on MD-3xx series drawings. Please confirm these four Pits are existing to remain with no work required.

These 4 pits (#51, #52, #53 and #53A) were abandoned a number of years ago when the Hospital side housing units (Bldgs. 201H – 215H) were taken off the steam system and modified with natural gas boiler heating. However, these pits still remain and are to be included in the Demolition scope of work for this project.

30. Please confirm there is no work with "Pits" associated with the Tunnel in the vicinity of Ross Field (2,4,6-13,15,132-138,142-146, 151-158A). Most of the Pits are not shown for demo on MD-304, but have not been included on MD-200 Notes 5 or 6, or consistently labeled as (Pit to Remain) on MD-2xx Pit Detail series dwgs.

No, the Contractor cannot assume that no work is required at Pits identified as being “associated with the Tunnel in the vicinity of Ross Field” in the written text of the RFP or on the drawings. A number of Pits associated with this tunnel are to remain, but there is asbestos removal work required in each of these pits as required by the RFP. A number of Pits to remain will also require cutting and capping of existing steam and condensate lines that enter the pits from other pits that are to be demolished, and the resulting voids in the pit walls left after this demolition work will need to be filled in to prevent water ingress.

31. Dwgs MD-200 and MD-201 tag Pit #5 as existing to remain, dwg MD-304 tags it to be demo'd. Please confirm this pit is to be demo'd.

Drawings MD-200 and MD-201 inaccurately tag Pit #5 as existing to remain. Pit #5 shall be included in the list of pits to be demolished per the demolition requirements outlined in the RFP.

32. Dwg MD-304 shows Pit #25 near B13 to be demo'd, but this pit is not detailed on either MD-203 or MD-204, and is not included in the Steam Pit Inventory per Attachment 4. Please confirm if Pit #25 is to be demo'd.

No, Pit #25 shall not be included in the list of pits to be demolished under the requirements of this RFP. This pit has already been demolished under a separate contract.

33. Historically, landscaped areas above buried steam lines are not as robust and green as adjacent landscaped areas due to heat source beneath the sod. Please confirm proposer is not responsible for re-landscaping undisturbed areas over abandoned buried steam lines, only at Pits to be demo'd.

The Contractor is only responsible for re-landscaping (reseeding, etc.) areas that are disturbed during demolition activities. Disturbed soil, grass or other landscaping would include areas adjacent to Steam Pits, but may also include areas where aboveground steam lines/support structures, utility trestles, and other structures are to be removed under the requirements included in the RFP documents. The Contractor will not be responsible for re-landscaping areas not directly related to demolition activities under this contract.

34. Dwg MD-304 does not tag Pit #140 near B178 to be demo'd, and Pit #140 is not detailed on dwg MD-214. Please confirm this pit is existing to remain.

No, Pit #140 shall not be included in the list of pits to be demolished under the requirements of this RFP. This pit has already been demolished under a separate contract.

35. Please confirm Note 4.7 on Dwg MD-200 only applies to direct buried pipe, and not pipe in tunnels or chases.

Yes, this is correct. Note 4.7 on Drawing MD-200 only applies to direct buried pipe.

36. Dwg MD-303 identifies Pits #114, 115, 116, 117, and 159 to be demo'd. No details on MD-212 or MD-217 dwgs were provided for these pits. Please provide or confirm these Pits are existing to remain.

Pit #114, #115, #116, #117 and #159 shall not be included in the list of pits to be demolished under the requirements of this RFP. These pits have already been demolished under past contracts.

37. Please confirm Bid Option 2 for demolition of B3511 is no longer included in this project.

That is correct. The demolition of Bldg. 3511 is no longer included as part of the P-816 Phase II Demolition project.

38. Attachment 4 Steam Pit Inventory indicates to assume a 10' average depth, but RFP Part 3.1.3.4 indicates an average pit depth of 5'. It is not practical for proposers to survey all the pits

prior to bid to confirm depth, this must be provided by the Navy to establish bidding parameters. Please confirm if proposer shall assume an average 5' or 10' depth for the steam pits.

The proposer shall assume an average steam pit depth of 10 feet for bidding purposes.

39. Bid Option 4 asks for pricing for HazMat abatement inside the Tunnel, but RFP Part 3.1.3.3 indicates piping in the Tunnel shall be left as is. Please confirm the Base Bid is to leave Tunnel and piping as is, and Option 4 is to abate Tunnel pipe if awarded by the Navy.

Tunnel to be left "as is".

40. Please confirm foundation demolition scope for Areas 2 and 3 of B11 include removing foundation walls to 3' below grade and breaking up basement slab for drainage prior to backfilling to grade.

Yes, that is correct. The foundation walls associated with the Boiler 4 & 5 areas of Bldg. 11 shall be removed to 3 feet below grade and the basement slabs shall be broken up for drainage prior to backfilling to grade with Class 5 material.

41. Dwg MD-305 identifies Pits #163, 164, 165 along Bronson Ave to be demo'd. No details on MD-217 dwgs were provided for these pits. Please provide pit details, or confirm these Pits are existing to remain, or confirm these Pits have already been removed under separate contract.

Pit #163, #164, and #165 shall not be included in the list of pits to be demolished under the requirements of this RFP. These pits have already been demolished under a separate contract.

42. Dwg MD-225 indicates piping exiting Pits #214 and 216 into "Tunnels". Please confirm size of Tunnel opening into each Pit (to quantify area to block up prior to backfilling pit), and confirm no other scope of work is required inside these Tunnels.

The Pit #214 & # 216 drawings on MD-225 are incorrect and do not exit to tunnels. The Contractor shall be responsible for verifying existing site conditions in all steam pits. The start of the tunnel leading to Bldgs. 833/834 is actually located in Pit # 216B on the north side of Nimitz Ave. Pit 216B is to remain, with demolition activities limited to potential asbestos abatement work and required pipe and pit wall work associated with Pit #216 & 216B.

43. KN6 on dwg MD-301 indicates to demo above ground support piers to 3' below grade on Camp Porter. KN4 on dwg on MD-302 indicates to demo above ground support piers to 3' below grade along Ray St. KN3,4,5,7 on MD-303 and KN1 on MD-304 only indicate to remove above ground steam pipe in Mainside ravines down to B11, and GN6 on all MD-3xx series drawings indicates to remove steel structure for above grade piping. Please confirm concrete foundations are to remain for above grade piping in MD-303 and MD-304.

Yes, the concrete foundations for all above grade steam and condensate piping that is shown on Drawings MD-303 and MD-304 are to remain in order to assist in slope stabilization. Only the piping and steel support structures are included in the scope of demolition activities in these

areas. The concrete supports in Camp Porter and along Ray St. are the only concrete support piers to be demolished under the requirements contained in this RFP.

(End of Summary of Changes)