

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1   10
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 10-Dec-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC NORTHEAST IPT 9324 VIRGINIA AVENUE BLDG Z-144 NORFOLK VA 23511	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-15-R-8734	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 20-Nov-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  REFUSE COLLECTION, DISPOSAL AND RECYCLING, NAVAL STATION COMPLEX, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND  This amendment is issued to provide responses to submitted questions and incorporate changes, per the attached Continuation Pages.  The proposal due date of 22 December 2015 and all other terms and conditions remain unchanged.  Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  10-Dec-2015

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

## SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMEND 0005**Questions and Answers Regarding Solicitation N40085-15-R-8734 (Amendment 0005)**

Solid Waste and Recycling Collection at Naval Station, Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Naval War College and Naval Clinic New England at Newport Rhode Island

1. 52.212-2 Evaluation Commercial Items. Will the Government please clarify this section? There appears to be no Factor 1 and two Factor 3's?  
**Answer: Revised by Amendment 0005.**
2. Will the Government require separate weight tickets for NAVSTA, NHCNE, NAPS and NUWC locations?  
**Answer: NAVSTA, NHCNE, NAPS are together on one ticket, NUWC is a standalone.**
3. 1503030, 3.1. Will the Government please provide historical data regarding the number of services for 30 cubic yard, 40 cubic yard and compactor containers per week? Are these containers scheduled according to the information provided in Attachment J-1503030-04, Historical Data or emptied as needed?  
**Answer: As needed, it is difficult to schedule exact times due to the fact that NAVSTA's student populations vary, as well as usage by the NUWC command may vary throughout because of R&D projects.**
4. Attachment J-1503030-04, Historical Data. Will the Government please provide at least 12 recent month's tonnage history for MSW and recyclables?  
**Answer: 1,631 tonnages, this figure is an estimate as tonnages vary depending on events, ship ported etc... throughout the year.**
5. 1503030, 3.1.1.3. Will the Government please provide at least 12 recent month's tonnage history for Bulky collections with tonnages?  
**Answer: N/A, This contract does not provide services for "Bulk" collections. NAVFACs Bulk collections are considered TVs, mattresses, furniture etc...**
6. Will the Government please provide the current Wage Determination table for Newport?  
**Answer: Wage Determination 05-2467, Rev 16, dated 7/8/2015 is included in Section J and applicable for this procurement.**

7. Attachment J-1503030-04, Dumpster Inventory. Will the Government please confirm the CONTRACTOR SUPPLY CONTAINERS count? The itemized list indicates different counts, 95 MSW 8yrd containers, 80 Recyclables, 8yrd containers as well as a few 30 cubic yrd roll-off containers.

**Answer: Revised inventory list provided in Amendment 0005.**

## Dumpster Inventory (updated 12/2015)

## NUWC

Bldg#	Total	Recycle	Type of Recyclables	Trash	Location of 8 & 40 cubic yard dumpsters	Condition of Lids	Comments
4	1@40	1	wood	0	eastside		
4	3@8	2		1	Southeast side		
6	2@8	1		1	Eastside		
6	1@40	1	wood	0	East side		
11	2@8	1		1	Southeast corner, parking lot		
80	1@8	0		1	NorthWest side of building,		
102	3@8	1		2	Eastside, near main entrance		
111	1@8	0		1	Northside parking lot		
113	2@8	1		1	Southend of building		
115	2@8	1		1	Westside along fence		
116	2@8	1		1	Westside along fence		
117	2@8	1		1	South end		
119	3@8	1		2	Still Water		
123	1@8	0		1	Eastside, across street		
130	2@8	1		1	Eastside parking lot across street		
147	2@8	1		1	Northside, near entrance		
162	1@8	0		1	East side across street		
180	2@8	1		1	Northend of building		
654	1@8	0		1	Northside, near garage door		
1170 MS	2@8	1		1	Southend by high bay		
1171	3@8	1		2	Northend of building		
1176	2@8	1		1	Southside parking lot		
1177	2@40	0		2	Eastside		
1177	1@40	1	cardboard		Eastside		
1177	1@40	1	wood		Eastside		
1190	2@8	1		1	Near gate S.E.		
1246	2@8	1		1	Eastside parking lot		
1258	1@8			1			
1259	3@8	1		2	Eastside		
1264	1@8	0		1	eastside		
1272	2@8	1		1	Northwest side		
1310	2@8	1		1	NEastside		
1319	1@40	1			Westside		
1319	2@8	0		2	Adjacent to rolloff		
1320	2@40	1		1	Loading dock		
1346	2@8	1		1	Northeast corner		
1351	2@8	1		1	Northwest corner parking lot		
1371	3@8	1		2	South Side		
1404	2@8	1		1	Northside		
GPS	1@8	0		1	Southside parking lot		
Total		31		42			



Coastal Harbor

1A&3	2@2	1		1	Between Bldgs		2yd containers
27	6@8	3		3	Westside		
52	2@8	1		1	Westside		
95	2@40	1		1	Compactors Southside		
114	2@8	1		1	Southside		
446	3@8	1		2	Northside		
684	1@40	0		1	Compactors Westside		
684	2@8	2			Westside		
991	1@40	0		1	Compactor Westside		
991	2@8	2			Westside		
1109	2@8	1		1	Westside		
1183	1@8	0		1	Westside		
1284	2@8	1		1	Westside		
1377	1@8	0		1	Southside		Pass office
	<b>Total</b>	14		15			

NHCNE

23	1@8	1		0	Eastside		
23	1@40	0		1	Compactor Eastside		Gov't owns Compactor, WM empty's
44	3@8	1		2	Westside		
	<b>Total</b>	2		3			

Midway

1276	2@8	1		1	Eastside		
1283	2@8	1		1	Northside		
	<b>Total</b>	2		2			

Seasonal Containers

B.R.	1@8	0		1	Westside		Bishop's Rock 6/1 to 9/30
Marina	2@8	0		2	Southside		4/1 to 11/30
Carrs Pt.	2@8	1		1	Parking/Ball Field		6/1 to 11/15
	<b>Total</b>	1		4			

NOTE:

NUWC Command has determined that the Bi-Monthly pick-up of the Single Steam Dumpsters is not fulfilling their recycling mission. Due to an exacerbated increase of recycling material and the inability to

**effectively monitor the dumpster's capacity, a weekly schedule will be needed to realistically control the additional quantities being disposed**

## SECTION SF 1449 - CONTINUATION SHEET

The following have been modified:

### 52.212-2 EVALUATION--COMMERCIAL ITEMS (OCT 2014)

(a) The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered. The following factors shall be used to evaluate offers:

#### **Factor #1 Technical Capability**

Offeror shall submit a technical narrative that demonstrates capability to provide services to meet the performance requirements/qualification requirements. Offeror's technical proposal shall be no longer than twenty pages (double sided pages count as two).

- ... Offeror's technical narrative shall address how the contractor will remove daily solid waste in accordance with Spec Item 3.1 & attachment J-1503030-02 taking into consideration weight receipt requirements addressed in Spec Item 2.3.2 & attachment J-1503030-05.
- ... Technical narrative will address contractor's capability to provide all containers as listed in Section J-1503030-02 within three weeks of date of award. Anticipated Base Year Performance Period of 04/04/2016 through 04/03/2017.
- ... Utilizing the Corporate Experience form (Attachment A), the offeror shall provide a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 5 Refuse collection, disposal and recycling contracts performed, as the prime, within the past 3 years, including current (on-going) contracts.

#### **Basis of Evaluation:**

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant projects as defined in the solicitation. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five projects. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) will not be considered.

#### **Factor #2 Past Performance**

Provide a minimum of 1 and a max of 5 contracts similar in size, scope and complexity to this solicitation completed during the past 3 years and all contracts currently in process must be provided. Contracts listed may include those entered into by the Federal Government, agencies of state and local governments and commercial customers. Provide the information on the Past Performance Form (Attachment B) for each contract. The prime contractor will not be found acceptable on the basis of the subcontractor's experience only.

The evaluation of past performance will include the contractor's history of reasonable and cooperative behavior, commitment to customer satisfaction, record of conforming to applicable law and industry standards, quality of workmanship, record of recommending and/or implementing innovative approaches and/or technologies; record of controlling costs; safety performance record, and adherence to schedules.

The Government reserves the right to contact any other sources of information that may have knowledge or information on an offeror's relevant past performance history.

IF A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION IS AVAILABLE, IT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSAL. IF THERE IS NOT A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION, the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) included in the solicitation is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal for Factor (insert applicable factor number, usually Factor 1, and insert factor title, usually Corporate Experience). AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

IF A CPARS EVALUATION IS NOT AVAILABLE, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment B), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Pamela Waller, via email at [pamela.waller@navy.mil](mailto:pamela.waller@navy.mil) prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Also include performance recognition documents received within the last (3) years such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other sources of information for evaluating past performance. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

#### **Basis of Evaluation:**

The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:

- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
- Recency of tasks performed that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government funds

#### **Factor #3 Safety**

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part

of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): For the three previous complete calendar years 2012, 2013 and 2014, submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: For the three previous complete calendar years 2012, 2013 and 2014, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety: Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to two pages.

#### **Basis of Evaluation:**

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Facility Accident and Incident Reporting (FAIR) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety: The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

**Factor #4 Price**

The Offeror shall submit a price proposal for the base and all option periods. One or more of the following techniques will be used to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

1. Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the solicitation.
2. Comparison of proposed prices with the independent Government estimate.
3. Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
4. Comparison of market survey results.

Proposals will be evaluated for completeness and reasonableness as follows:

(a) Completeness. All pricing information required by the solicitation has been provided, all mathematic computations are correct and the pricing application understood, prices are on an "all or none" basis, and failure to submit a price on an item or a number of items may cause this factor and the entire offer to be determined unacceptable.

(b) Reasonableness. Price analysis comparisons indicate the offered price to be fair and reasonable, balanced across option years, and balanced between fixed-price and IDIQ items.

Unreasonable, unbalanced or incomplete pricing may cause a proposal to be determined unacceptable.

Technical is equal to past performance, and when combined, is approximately equal to price.

(b) Options. The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. The Government may determine that an offer is unacceptable if the option prices are significantly unbalanced. Evaluation of options shall not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

(c) A written notice of award or acceptance of an offer, mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time for acceptance specified in the offer, shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party. Before the offer's specified expiration time, the Government may accept an offer (or part of an offer), whether or not there are negotiations after its receipt, unless a written notice of withdrawal is received before award.

(End of provision)

(End of Summary of Changes)