

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 11
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 04-Dec-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC PWD CRANE FEAD 300 HIGHWAY 361 NSA BLDG 2516 CRANE IN 47522	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-16-R-2800	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 20-Nov-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) This amendment is to add attachments, revise evaluation factor, and extend proposal due date out to January 5, 2016.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 04-Dec-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 21-Dec-2015 02:00 PM to 05-Jan-2016 02:00 PM.

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

DIVISION 0**DIVISION O. PRICING AND TECHNICAL PROPOSAL INFORMATION****Section 00100 - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS**

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

NAICS Code: 237110 – Water and Sewer Line and Related Structures – Construction (Size Standard: \$36.5 million)

FSC Code: Z1NE

This procurement is issued as a 100% Small Business Set Aside.

Proposals are due no later than 2:00 p.m. on Tuesday, January 5, 2016

2.0. REQUIRED DATA SUBMISSIONS

- a. All offerors are required to register in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database in accordance with FAR 52.204-7. *LACK OF REGISTRATION IN THE CCR DATABASE WILL MAKE THE OFFEROR INELIGIBLE FOR AWARD.* The website address for the CCR database is www.sam.gov.
- b. In addition, all offerors are required to complete and submit Annual Representations and Certifications via the Online Representations and Certifications Applications (ORCA) in accordance with FAR 52.204-8. The website address for ORCA is www.sam.gov
- c. All offerors are required to complete and submit VETS-4212 Federal Contractor Report on Veterans' Employment in accordance with Public Law 107-288 and FAR 52.222-37. The website address is: <http://www.dol.gov/vets/vets4212.htm>

3.0 PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE/SITE VISIT

A PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE/SITE VISIT HAS NOT BEEN SCHEDULED FOR THIS

RFP. THERE IS NO SEED PROJECT.

4.0 QUESTIONS

ALL QUESTIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING. The offeror may submit written questions via e-mail or fax to the address below specifying the section and paragraph of the RFP for which clarification is desired. Inquires should be addressed to:

NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic PWD Crane – Acquisition Department
Attn: Lorna D. Tribby (Code PRX22)
300 Highway 361
Building 2516, NSA
Crane, IN 47522-5082

Phone: (812) 854-6021
Facsimile: (812) 854-3800

E-Mail: lorna.tribby@navy.mil

5.0 PROPOSALS

Offerors are required to submit both technical and price proposals. The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered. For this solicitation, the combinations of the technical submittals are equal in value to the price.

The technical proposal and the price proposal shall be submitted in separate volumes. The technical proposal shall not contain any cost/pricing information except for salary information provide on resumes.

Proposals are due no later than 2:00 p.m. (EST) on Tuesday, January 5, 2016. Proposals shall be submitted to the following address:

NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic PWD Crane – Acquisition Department
Attn: Lorna D. Tribby (Code PRX22)
NSA, Bldg 2516
300 Hwy 361
Crane, IN 47522-5082

The Government will award this solicitation to the single offeror whose proposal conforming to the solicitation will be the most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered:

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL: *Offerors shall submit an original and three (3) copies of the Technical Proposal.* Pages must be singled sided 8 ½ " x 11" and may be formatted in landscape or portrait format. Pages may be single-spaced in any font size legible to the naked

eye. Pages of print are defined as text, diagrams, pictures, charts, tables, maps, appendices to proposal, or forms. Tabs are required for all sections of the technical proposal.

In addition, the offeror may submit catalogue cuts, brochures or other pre-printed material as long as the exact item to be incorporated is identified.

To facilitate the evaluation, the technical proposal should be sufficiently detailed and complete to clearly and fully demonstrate that the offeror has a thorough understanding of the requirements.

Extraneous information in the technical proposal may be construed as indicative of the offeror's ignorance of the subject or a casual approach to the RFP. Statements that the prospective offeror understands and can or will comply with all specifications, statements paraphrasing the statement of work or parts thereof, and phrases such as "standard procedures will be employed" or "well known techniques will be used", etc will be considered insufficient.

Elaborate brochures, binding, detailed artwork are unnecessary. The technical proposal shall be clear, concise and shall include sufficient detail for effective evaluation and for substantiating the validity of stated claims. The proposal should not simply rephrase or restate the Government's requirements, but rather shall provide convincing rationale to address how the offeror intends to meet requirements. Offerors shall assume that the Government has no prior knowledge of their experience, and will base its evaluation on the information presented in the offeror's proposal.

Proposals unrealistic in terms of technical or schedule commitments or unrealistically low in price will be considered lacking technical competence or comprehension of the complexity and risks of the contract requirements and may be rated as technically unacceptable.

Technical Evaluation Factors to be addressed in this solicitation are identified below and are listed in descending order of importance:

- Factor 1 – Corporate Experience
- Factor 2 – Safety
- Factor 3 – Past Performance

The distinction between corporate experience and past performance is corporate experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work covered by this requirement, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

1. Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor

(a) Technical Factors:

(1) **Factor 1, Corporate Experience:**

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Submit a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) utility and/or infrastructure construction projects, completed within the last five (5) years from the date of receipt of proposals, which demonstrate relevant experience in a diverse range such as new utility construction and demolition as well as maintenance and repair of utility systems and infrastructure, ranging in price from approximately \$30,000.00 to approximately \$750,000.00, and completed within the past five (5) years from the date of receipt of proposals. A diverse range of construction is defined as at least two (2) different types of construction tasks related to utilities (i.e. repair of infrastructure, alterations of utility systems or infrastructure, demolition, new construction, etc.).

For each project, your proposal shall provide the following minimum information using the Corporate Experience format provided under Attachment E:

Contract Number/Project Title/Location:

Original Contract Amount:

Final Contract Amount:

Award Date:

Contract completion date:

Contractor Performed as Prime Contractor or Subcontractor

Project Description: (describe salient features of the work)

% of Work Performed by Offeror

Type of Construction Task(s), i.e. new construction, demolition, renovation, etc

Construction Trades Performed by Offeror

Customer POC; Organization; Tel #; Fax #; and Email.

Notes:

(1) Failure to provide all the above information for each project will result in an unacceptable rating for this Factor.

(2) It is the offeror's responsibility to ensure points of contact, telephone numbers and email addresses are accurate.

Demonstrate experience managing several construction projects simultaneously through submission of the required projects above. A minimum of two of the submitted projects must have been performed simultaneously.

Demonstrate experience performing as a prime or sub contractor through submission of the three (3) required projects above.

Demonstrate experience managing and applying control measures to asbestos transite pipe abatement that adequately assures work will be conducted within all applicable laws and regulations as well as the requirements of this contract.

Demonstrate experience managing warranty issues.

Demonstrate experience responding to unknown/unforeseen conditions

The Government will not evaluate projects performed by parent, affiliate, subsidiary, sister or any other company with a similar relationship to the offeror.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation: An acceptable proposal shall demonstrate the following through the three (3) to five (5) submitted projects:

- Relevant experience in a diverse range of construction trades, such as new utility construction and demolition as well as maintenance and repair of utility systems and infrastructure, ranging in price from approximately \$30,000.00 to approximately \$750,000.00, and completed within the past five (5) years from the date of receipt of proposals. A diverse range of construction is defined as at least two (2) different types of construction tasks related to utilities (i.e. repair of infrastructure, alterations of utility systems or infrastructure, demolition, new construction, etc).
- Experience working simultaneously on several projects.
- Experience performing as a prime contractor.
- Ability to manage and apply control measures to asbestos transite pipe abatement that adequately assure work will be conducted within all applicable laws and regulations as well as the requirements of this contract.
- Ability to manage warranty issues.
- Ability to respond to unknown/unforeseen conditions.

If less than three (3) relevant projects are submitted, or all of the requirements above are not demonstrated, the offeror will receive an “unacceptable” rating.

(2) **Factor 2, Safety:**

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): For the three previous complete calendar years 2012, 2013, and 2014, submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three year period). EMR's shall be submitted on insurance company letterhead. If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: For the three previous complete calendar years 2012, 2013, and 2014, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety: Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to two pages.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation: The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Facility Accident and Incident Reporting (FAIR) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety

- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety: The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) **Factor 3, Past Performance:**

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed CPARS evaluation is available for the project(s) listed under Factor 1, Corporate Experience, it shall be submitted with the proposal. If there is not a completed CPARS evaluation, the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) included in the solicitation is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 1, Corporate Experience.

AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

If a CPARS evaluation is not available, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment C), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to Lorna Tribby at lorna.tribby@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Also include performance recognition documents received within the last three (3) years, such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other sources of information for evaluating past performance. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), and any other known sources not provided by the offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the offeror.

Note: The assessment of past performance information is separate from the responsibility determination required under FAR Subpart 9.1.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:

- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
- Recency of tasks performed that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government funds

PRICE PROPOSAL: *Offerors shall submit an original Price proposal. No additional copies of the price proposal are required. The basis of price proposal shall be the exhibit line items (ELINS) for each of the contract periods.*

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: Offerors shall submit an one copy of the price proposal. The basis of price proposal shall be the exhibit line items (ELINS) for each of the contract periods. Offerors shall complete and submit the SF1442, SF1442 Continuation Page, and Exhibit Line Items for the entire project. Offerors shall indicate on the proposal that prices are valid for not less than sixty (60) days.

(2) Basis of Evaluation: The Government will evaluate price based on the total

price. Total price consists of all line items for the base period plus all line items for both option periods. (The total price of Exhibits A, B, C, D, and E). The Government intends to evaluate all options and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990) in Section 00100 of the solicitation. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE.
- (iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.)

Submit the following with the price proposal:

- ... Bid Guarantee, as required by NFAS 5252.228-9302.
- ... In order to show the offeror's ability to obtain adequate financial resources to support this project, the offeror shall submit a statement from a bank or other financial institution stating its available line of credit and that its accounts are in good standing.
- ... The offeror shall demonstrate the capability to bond individual projects valued up to \$1,000,000.00 as well as the capability to bond up to \$2,000,000.00 of projects within a 12-month time period.
- ... The offeror shall provide a letter from its bonding company that describes the contractor's bonding capability.
- ... Representations and certifications shall be completed on-line in accordance with FAR 52.204-8. In addition, complete and submit the information required in Section 00600 of the specifications.

6.0 BASIS FOR AWARD

The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the lowest priced, technically acceptable offer.

As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

The LPTA process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition because the best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price.

An overall non-price factors rating must be at least “ACCEPTABLE” in order to be eligible for award. An “UNACCEPTABLE” rating in any factor results in the overall non-price factors proposal being rated “UNACCEPTABLE” unless corrected through discussions. An overall non-price factors rating of “UNACCEPTABLE” makes a proposal ineligible for award.

7.0 DISPOSITION OF PROPOSALS

After award, any excess copies of the proposal will not be returned to the offeror, but shall be destroyed by the Contracting Officer. No certificate of destruction will be issued.

- - - END OF SECTION - - -

(End of Summary of Changes)