

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 6
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 21-Jun-2016	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) RM13-1762
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC HAMPTON ROADS IPT 9324 VIRGINIA AVENUE NORFOLK VA 23511-3095	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-16-R-6140	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 18-May-2016	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) RM13-1762, Design-Bid-Build Hangar 111 Fire Protection & Structural Repairs at Naval Air Station Oceana The purpose of this amendment is as follows: (1) provide PPI responses (per following pages) (2) provide revised drawings and specs (per following pages and two attachments) You are required to acknowledge ALL amendments. ***Proposal due date is unchanged at Tuesday, June 28 at 2pm local time.*** Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 21-Jun-2016

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 5

Count	Reference			Inquiry	Government Response
	Pg/Dwg	Section	Para		
41		09 97 13.27	1.4.6.4, 1.4.6.8	Specification section 09 97 13.27 indicates that we are to have an SSPC QP5 certified coating inspection company. The specification also states that the coating applicator is to be SSPC QP1 and QS1 certified. This will limit the pool of subcontractors considerably as there are only approximately 26 companies nationwide with the QS1 certification. On other military projects, we have been allowed to use either an SSPC certified coating inspection company to oversee the applicator, or an SSPC QP1 certified contractor without the inspection company. Please consider amending the certification requirements so that we may obtain adequate coverage for the scope of work and ensure accurate pricing. We recommend removing the QP1 and QS1 certification requirements and keeping the QP5 coating inspection company to oversee the work and ensure the user receives the desired quality product while reducing the costs considerably.	Bid per RFP.
42		09 97 13.27	1.4.6.8	If the coating contractor is required to be either ISO 9001 or SSPC QP1 and SSPC QS1 per 09 97 13.27 please consider making this requirement a part of the Contractor evaluation criteria by having the Contractor name their coating Subcontractor. Section 09 97 13.27 is such a stringent and costly specification, if overlooked or ignored, this could adversely affect the outcome of the competitive bidding process.	Bid per RFP.
43		09 97 13.27	2.2	Please confirm that only MIL-DTL and MIL-PRF products will be allowed. These products have short recoat windows and preclude the zinc rich primer from being shop applied. There are equal and superior products with larger recoat windows that are commercially available.	Bid per RFP.

44		09 97 13.27	3.3	Section 3.3 "Surfaces To Be Coated" states that all exterior steel surfaces, including the steel above the roof shall be coated per Specification 09 97 13.27. Please confirm NO other surfaces shall be coated per Specification 09 97 13.27.	Bid per RFP.
45		00010	Bid Form	The bid form describes bid items 0001AA through 0001AG separately but only provides one blank space to enter an amount. Please clarify - are separate prices required for each of these bid items, or only a total amount as indicated?	Bid per Attachment C - PPF.
46				Reference note #3 on drawings E-101 through E-103. Please identify what size feeder circuiting and what type of connection we are to provide to the trolley duct bus bar systems for the hangar bay doors?	See Amendment 5.
47		08 34 16.10	1.2.1, 2.1.10.3, 2.3.9.2,	<p>We are unclear as to the extent of the work for the hangar doors. Specification section 08 34 16.10 seems to be, at least in parts, a specification for new hangar doors and not a renovation spec. For example, paragraph 1.2.1, Door Design, says, "Doors shall operate without binding, interference, or damage to weatherstripping. Doors shall fit closely and be free from warping." Within the scope of work indicated for the hangar doors in the balance of the solicitation documents, there are no requirements to renovate the hangar doors in any way that would affect how the doors fit the structure or whether they are warped.</p> <p>Similarly, Paragraph 2.1.10.3, Hanging Head Flashing, says, "Provide with the top guide system specified in Section 05 12 00 STRUCTURAL STEEL." However, section 05 12 00 does not specify a top guide system, and the other documents do not require this.</p> <p>(Continued below)</p>	Bid per RFP.

48		(Continued)	(Continued)	<p>(Continued from Above)</p> <p>Another example is paragraph 2.3.9.2, Electrical Cables which says, "Flexible cables or cable reels shall be provided under Section 26 20 00 INTERIOR DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM." However, section 26 20 00 paragraph 3.1.3.10 says, "Provide flexible steel conduit between 3 and 6 feet in length". Clearly, this is not what is intended for the connections to the buss system.</p> <p>Please confirm whether this is the correct spec. If not, please provide a correct spec. If this is the correct spec, please verify the scope of work being solicited. For example, are we providing new top guides? Are we responsible for repairing or replacing the entire door if it is warped? Note 2 on A-111, A-112, A-113, and A/A-301 seems to provide a much more limited scope. What is the intent?</p>	Bid per RFP.
49				Please verify that the hangar doors are to be painted in accordance with the interior steel requirements of section 09 90 00, as indicated on A-111 through A-113 and A-301 and not in accordance with section 09 67 23.27 indicated for the roof stays.	Bid per RFP.
50				Please provide a Basis of Design for the FRP Composite Strengthening Systems.	Bid per RFP.
51		Phasing Plan (Attachment A)		The Phasing Plan mentions that the ceilings and trusses in the hangar bays are to be cleaned and painted. After reviewing the Architectural Floor Plans and Elevations it appears that cleaning and painting only applies to the interior wall and the hangar doors within the hangar bays. Please confirm whether or not the existing ceilings and trusses within the hangar bays should be cleaned and painted as this would be a significant increase in cost if required - including abatement of the paint as indicated in the environmental survey in Appendix A.	See Amendment 5.

52		Appendix C & 02 83 13.0020		The survey in appendix C (on the last page) indicates that we are to include cleaning the heavy metal dust, prior to disturbance or demolition, from "walls, piping, equipment, and areas where dust has visually accumulated in Hangar 111" via HEPA vacuuming, as specified in section 02 83 13.0020. Note 6/H-001 says to clean the walls and wall-mounted piping and equipment. Please clarify whether each of the following require cleaning via HEPA vacuuming: (A) Floors at Hangar bays A, B, & C; (B) Overhead piping and equipment in the hangar bays; (C) Structural steel in the hangar bays; (D) Floors in the foam room, compressor room, & mechanical rooms 1, 2, & 3; (E) Equipment in the foam room, compressor room, & mechanical rooms 1, 2, & 3; (F) The bottom of the hangar bay roof deck; and (G) All other surfaces in the hangar bay and equipment areas as well as in the office spaces where work is to be performed. The criterion, "where dust has visually accumulated" seems to include all these areas.	See Amendment 5.
53	Solicitation	13		We have received numerous feedback and requests from the market that the Bid Date be revised and extended to at least June 30, 2016?	See Amendment 4.

DOCUMENT 00 01 15 LIST OF DRAWINGS

NAVFAC Dwg Nos. 12716226 is revised as of June 16, 2016. The revised drawing accompanies this amendment.

UFGS 01 14 00 WORK RESTRICTIONS

Paragraph 1.2 SPECIAL SCHEDULING REQUIREMENTS

Add item "h. Refer to Appendix A – Phasing Plan Final Submittal, dated June 16, 2016".

NAVFAC Dwg No. 12716269 (AD101)

Key Note 2

Add the following "EXISTING DOOR TRACK AND GUIDES DO NOT REQUIRE REPAIR."

NAVFAC Dwg No. 12716270 (AD102)

Key Note 2

Add the following "EXISTING DOOR TRACK AND GUIDES DO NOT REQUIRE REPAIR."

NAVFAC Dwg No. 12716271 (AD103)

Key Note 2

Add the following "EXISTING DOOR TRACK AND GUIDES DO NOT REQUIRE REPAIR."

PROJECT TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX A – PHASING PLAN

Appendix A – Phasing Plan, 100% Pre-Final Submittal, dated February 15, 2016 is deleted in its entirety and replaced with Appendix A – Phasing Plan, Final Submittal, dated June 16, 2016.

--End of Amendment--

(End of Summary of Changes)