

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, MID - ATLANTIC
9324 VIRGINIA AVENUE NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23511-3095
POC: Jeff Haycox jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil (757) 341-2074

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

TOTAL SMALL BUSINESS DESIGN-BUILD/DESIGN-BID-BUILD MULTIPLE AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (MACC) FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, ALTERATION AND REPAIRS IN THE HAMPTON ROADS AREA OF VIRGINIA

FOR PROPOSED TASK ORDER:

RM13-1361, Design-Build, HVAC and DDC Facility Optimization
Naval Station Norfolk, Virginia

FOR CONTRACTS:

N40085-15-D-0092
Doyon Project Services, LLC
33810 Weyerhaeuser Way S., Suite 100
Federal Way, Washington 98001
POC: Tony Wood
Phone: (571) 306-7312
email: Anthony.wood@doyongovgrp.com

N40085-15-D-0093
EMR, Inc.
2110 Delaware Street, Suite B
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
POC: C. Michael Gross
Phone: 785-842-9013
email: mgross@emr-inc.com
email: MIDLANT@emr-inc.com

N40085-15-D-0094
GP Absher Once, LLC
2700 Holloway Road, Suite 107
Louisville, Kentucky 40299
POC: Gerald Palmer
Phone: 502-500-5342
email: gpalmer@gpne.biz

N40085-15-D-0095
Ocean Construction Services
104 Aragona Blvd
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462
POC: Thornton Elmore III
Phone: 757-200-9590
email: thor.elmore@ocsinc.us

N40085-15-D-0096
Southeast Cherokee Construction, Inc.
1491 Furnace Street
Montgomery, AL 36104
POC: Don Skipper
Phone: 334-264-1770
email: dskipper@secherokeeconstruction.com

N40085-15-D-0097
SYNCON, LLC.
201 Research Dr., Suite 101
Chesapeake, VA 23320
POC: Mark Lilly
Phone: 757-351-0770
email: mark.lilly@synconllc.com

Solicitation Number: N40085-16-R-6155 (Clauses incorporated in Base Contract apply to this solicitation)

Specification Work Order No.: 1333285

Title: RM13-1361, Design-Build, HVAC and DDC Facility Optimization

Location of the work: Naval Station Norfolk, Va.

Description of work: This project will implement energy conservation measures (ECM), expansion of direct digital controls (DDC) and lighting points for various large energy-consuming facilities at this installation.

Budget Amount: The magnitude of construction is \$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000.

NAICS Code: 236220

Time for completion: All work shall be completed within 855 calendar days after notice to proceed (10 days will be added for receipt and processing of bonds).

Wage Determination: General Decision Number: VA160108 04/22/2016 VA108 (Attachment A)

Liquidated damages: \$3,550.00 Per Calendar Day

Utilities: Government will provide utility as follows:

Electricity	\$110.37 per MWH
-------------	------------------

Record Shop Drawings: Required in reproducible digital format.

Drawings and specifications will be posted to NECO.

Government Furnished Property: None

Basis for Award: Lowest Price Technically Acceptable

Bid Guarantee: Shall be in a penal sum equal to at least 20 percent of the largest amount for which award can be made under the bid submitted, but in no case to exceed \$3,000,000.

Site Visit: **Tuesday, July 12, 2016**
10:00 AM local time
Naval Station Norfolk, Virginia
This will be the only scheduled site visit.

READ AND FOLLOW ALL THESE SITE VISIT INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY.

Site visit location details will be provided via a future amendment as soon as they are finalized.

In the interim follow these important instructions:

Participants who do not have routine active access to Naval Station Norfolk shall complete columns C-G of the One Day Pass spreadsheet (Attachment B) and submit via email to jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil no later than COB on Tuesday, July 5. Participants who require pass office visitor access are strongly encouraged to allow at least 90 minutes to clear visitor processing at the Naval Station Norfolk Pass Office which is located in Bldg. CD9 at 9040 Hampton Boulevard across

from Gate 5. To verify identity, visitors **MUST** be prepared to present a second form of identification at the pass office from the list of A or B Documents found at <http://www.uscis.gov/i-9-central/acceptable-documents>.

Pre-Proposal Inquiries (PPIs): Offerors who determine that the technical and/or contractual requirements of this solicitation require clarification(s) in order to permit submittal of a responsive proposal shall submit all inquiries in writing using the PPI form (Attachment C). All inquiries shall be submitted via email to Jeff Haycox at jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil **no later than 14 calendar days prior to the proposal due date**. Do not submit the same inquiry more than once. Please verify that inquiries submitted by you on behalf of your consultants are not duplicates. A response will be published via an amendment.

Proposal Due Date/Time: **Wednesday, July 28, 2016 / 2:00 PM local time**

Proposal Acceptance Period: 90 Days from receipt of offers

Proposal Delivery Information

Proposals may be sent via express mail carriers or hand-delivered to the following address:

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic

Attn: Jeff Haycox, Code OPHA-JH
Hampton Roads IPT, Acquisition
9324 Virginia Avenue
Building Z-140, Room 225
Norfolk, Va. 23511-3095

Important note: Contractors are not permitted to work in Room 225 prior to delivery while finalizing the proposal. Once the proposal is formally delivered, the contractor's business is considered complete and the contractor is expected to promptly depart Room 225.

Security Information

Offerors who would like to hand deliver their proposal and who do not currently have a valid badge issued by the Department of Defense (DoD) to enter Naval Station Norfolk must do the following:

1. Submit a one day pass request spreadsheet **NO LESS THAN 7 DAYS** prior to the proposal due date. Submit your request for a one day pass spreadsheet (Attachment B) to Jeff Haycox at jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil.
2. Present the following information at the pass office: Valid Driver's License, Current Vehicle Registration and/or Rental Car Contract, Current State Safety Inspection, Current Proof of Insurance

To verify identity, visitors **MUST** present a second form of identification at the pass office from the list of A or B Documents found at <http://www.uscis.gov/i-9-central/acceptable-documents>. The Naval Station Norfolk Pass & ID Office is located in Bldg. CD9 at 9040 Hampton Boulevard across from Gate 5. All potential offerors must adhere to this notice in order to avoid delay in submitting your proposal. The level of security at Naval Station Norfolk may change at any time. As the level of security heightens, the amount of time required to gain access to the Naval Station increases.

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. The Government shall evaluate each offeror on price and the following non-price factors:

Factor 1 – Experience
Factor 2 – Past Performance

PRICE

A. Price Submission:

1. Offeror shall submit one (1) original in a separate three ring binder (maximum 1/2”) with the following:

- Price Proposal Form (Attachment D)
- 8 ½ X 11 format, Times New Roman, 11 point font
- Copy of Representation and Certifications from ORCA
- Bid Bond
- Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, phone number, and email address.

B. Basis of Evaluation:

1. The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirements and all option items. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- a. Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- b. Comparison of proposed prices with the Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE).

NON-PRICE FACTORS

A. Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

1. Offeror shall submit one (1), marked “Original”, three (3) copies, each in a separate three-ring binder (maximum 1.5”) and one (1) CD with the following characteristics:

- 8 ½ x 11 letter, Times New Roman, 11 point font
- Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, Title, phone number, and email address. This information must be provided for the Construction Firm, as well as the Design Firm.
- One (1) complete electronic copy of the technical proposal factors only as a single .pdf searchable file on a CD-ROM (include with the “Original” technical submission). Do not include pricing in the electronic files on the CD.

Factor 1, Experience:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a minimum of two (2) up to a maximum of five (5) relevant projects for the Offeror that best demonstrate your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as follows:

Size/Scope/Complexity: A project that includes the development of Direct Digital Control (DDC) submittals and all associated installation, commissioning and customer training of DDC systems for occupied buildings complete with

HVAC systems. The DDC systems must have been designed and installed such that all of the points could be monitored and controlled from a centralized location and the final construction value of the controls component of the project exceeds \$500,000.

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project and will not be considered; rather, Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment E) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this solicitation (i.e., unique features, area, construction methods).

The Offeror may utilize experience of a subcontractor that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer MUST provide a letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement that the subcontractor will have in performance of this contract.

(2) Design Experience

Submit a minimum of two (2) up to a maximum of five (5) design projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as follows:

Size/Scope/Complexity: A project that includes the development of Direct Digital Control (DDC) submittals and all associated installation, commissioning and customer training of DDC systems for occupied buildings complete with HVAC systems. The DDC systems must have been designed and installed such that all of the points could be monitored and controlled from a centralized location and the construction value of the controls component of the project exceeds \$500,000.

For all projects submitted, the design shall be completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed as the designer-of-record (DOR) professionally responsible for the DDC design aspects of the construction project under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole should not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors should submit the work performed under a task order as a project. Preparation of concept designs or partial designs used for a design-build Request for Proposal documents or a performance-based design for an RFP will not be considered.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment E) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods).

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offeror must provide a supporting joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation: The requirement for acceptability will be based upon the projects submitted by the Offeror in its proposal, the Offeror must have at least (3) projects for both construction experience and design experience that meet/demonstrate experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP.

Factor 2, Past Performance:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS), Architect-Engineer Contractor Appraisal Support System (ACASS), or Contractor Performance Assessment Reports System (CPARS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 1 - Experience. If there is not a completed CCASS, ACASS, or CPARS evaluation, then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment F) for each project included in Factor 1. The Offeror should provide completed PPQs in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, PPQs may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Jeff Haycox, by email to jeffrey.haycox@navy.mil.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the reference's unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor. Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation: This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 1 and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

In the case of an Offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the Offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance. Therefore, the Offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, “unknown” shall be considered “acceptable.”

EVALUATION

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value - the proposal most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.
2. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.”
3. The LPTA process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition because the best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated priced.
4. An overall technical rating must be “ACCEPTABLE” to be eligible for award. An “UNACCEPTABLE” rating results in the overall technical proposal being rated “UNACCEPTABLE” unless corrected through discussions. An overall technical rating of “UNACCEPTABLE” makes a proposal ineligible for award.

ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A – Wage Determination (below)
ATTACHMENT B – One Day Pass
ATTACHMENT C – Pre-Proposal Inquiry form
ATTACHMENT D – Price Proposal Form (below)
ATTACHMENT E – Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet
ATTACHMENT F – Past Performance Questionnaire

ATTACHMENT A

WAGE DETERMINATION

General Decision Number: VA160108 04/22/2016 VA108
 Superseded General Decision Number: VA20150108
 State: Virginia
 Construction Type: Building
 County: Norfolk* County in Virginia.
 *INDEPENDENT CITY

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (does not include single family homes or apartments up to and including 4 stories).

Note: Under Executive Order (EO) 13658, an hourly minimum wage of \$10.15 for calendar year 2016 applies to all contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act for which the solicitation was issued on or after January 1, 2015. If this contract is covered by the EO, the contractor must pay all workers in any classification listed on this wage determination at least \$10.15 (or the applicable wage rate listed on this wage determination, if it is higher) for all hours spent performing on the contract in calendar year 2016. The EO minimum wage rate will be adjusted annually. Additional information on contractor requirements and worker protections under the EO is available at www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts.

Modification Number	Publication Date
0	01/08/2016
1	01/15/2016
2	01/22/2016
3	04/15/2016
4	04/22/2016

ASBE0085-005 05/01/2014

	Rates	Fringes
ASBESTOS WORKER/HEAT & FROST INSULATOR.....	\$ 21.71	11.21

Includes the application of all insulating materials, protective coverings, coatings and finishes to all types of mechanical systems

 BOIL0045-004 01/01/2016

	Rates	Fringes
BOILERMAKER.....	\$ 31.92	25.21

 BRVA0001-004 07/01/2013

	Rates	Fringes
BRICKLAYER.....	\$ 19.00	8.13

 ELEC0080-009 06/01/2015

	Rates	Fringes
ELECTRICIAN.....	\$ 26.95	14.67%+5.05+a

a. Workmen shall take off 2 hours with pay, at the discretion of the employer, on State and National Election days; Tuesday following the first Monday in November, provided they are qualified and vote.

 ENGI0147-024 11/01/2013

	Rates	Fringes
Power equipment operators:		
Cranes 90 tons & over capacity; Tower & Climbing Cranes with Controls 100 ft. above ground.....	\$ 28.30	8.69%+8.15
Cranes under 90 tons.....	\$ 27.38	8.69%+8.15
Forklift.....	\$ 23.58	8.69%+6.55

* IRON0079-009 01/01/2016		
	Rates	Fringes
IRONWORKER, STRUCTURAL AND ORNAMENTAL.....	\$ 25.00	14.28

LABO0351-003 06/01/2010		
	Rates	Fringes
LABORER (Mason Tender Brick)....	\$ 13.85	5.55

PAIN0051-032 06/01/2014		
	Rates	Fringes
PAINTER (Spray).....	\$ 18.00	9.05

PLAS0891-009 05/01/2014		
	Rates	Fringes
CEMENT MASON/CONCRETE FINISHER...	\$ 20.50	5.69

PLUM0110-005 11/01/2015		
	Rates	Fringes
PIPEFITTER (Including HVAC Pipe & System Installation)....	\$ 26.56	15.93
PLUMBER.....	\$ 26.56	15.93

ROOF0185-008 06/01/2011		
	Rates	Fringes
ROOFER.....	\$ 27.00	11.46

SHHE0100-038 07/01/2015		
	Rates	Fringes
SHEET METAL WORKER (Including HVAC Duct Installation).....	\$ 21.93	15.37

SUVA2010-113 09/20/2010		
	Rates	Fringes
CARPENTER (Drywall Hanging Only).....	\$ 16.00	1.21
CARPENTER (Form Work Only).....	\$ 18.00	7.35
CARPENTER, Excludes Drywall Hanging, and Form Work.....	\$ 15.94	2.87
FENCE ERECTOR.....	\$ 13.72	4.16
LABORER: Common or General.....	\$ 9.75	1.62

LABORER: Landscape.....	\$ 10.64	0.00
LABORER: Mason Tender - Cement/Concrete.....	\$ 12.96	3.12
LABORER: Pipelayer.....	\$ 12.40	2.33
OPERATOR: Backhoe.....	\$ 17.00	3.42
OPERATOR: Bobcat/Skid Steer/Skid Loader.....	\$ 15.62	2.40
OPERATOR: Bulldozer.....	\$ 21.50	4.80
OPERATOR: Excavator.....	\$ 18.38	4.17
OPERATOR: Loader.....	\$ 20.63	4.80
OPERATOR: Mechanic.....	\$ 18.23	1.59
OPERATOR: Roller.....	\$ 21.50	4.80
PAINTER (All Other Work).....	\$ 13.40	0.00
PAINTER: Brush Only.....	\$ 13.56	0.37
TILE FINISHER.....	\$ 17.32	6.72
TILE SETTER.....	\$ 21.12	7.68
TRUCK DRIVER: Dump Truck.....	\$ 13.00	2.35

 WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

=====
 Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).

 The body of each wage determination lists the classification and wage rates that have been found to be prevailing for the cited type(s) of construction in the area covered by the wage determination. The classifications are listed in alphabetical order of "identifiers" that indicate whether the particular rate is a union rate (current union negotiated rate for local), a survey rate (weighted average rate) or a union average rate (weighted union average rate).

Union Rate Identifiers

A four letter classification abbreviation identifier enclosed in dotted lines beginning with characters other than "SU" or "UAVG" denotes that the union classification and rate were prevailing for that classification in the survey. Example: PLUM0198-005 07/01/2014. PLUM is an abbreviation identifier of the union which prevailed in the survey for this classification, which in this example would be Plumbers. 0198 indicates the local union number or district council number where applicable, i.e., Plumbers Local 0198. The next number, 005 in the example, is an internal number used in processing the wage determination. 07/01/2014 is the effective date of the most current negotiated rate, which in this example is July 1, 2014.

Union prevailing wage rates are updated to reflect all rate changes in the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) governing

this classification and rate.

Survey Rate Identifiers

Classifications listed under the "SU" identifier indicate that no one rate prevailed for this classification in the survey and the published rate is derived by computing a weighted average rate based on all the rates reported in the survey for that classification. As this weighted average rate includes all rates reported in the survey, it may include both union and non-union rates. Example: SULA2012-007 5/13/2014. SU indicates the rates are survey rates based on a weighted average calculation of rates and are not majority rates. LA indicates the State of Louisiana. 2012 is the year of survey on which these classifications and rates are based. The next number, 007 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 5/13/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

Survey wage rates are not updated and remain in effect until a new survey is conducted.

Union Average Rate Identifiers

Classification(s) listed under the UAVG identifier indicate that no single majority rate prevailed for those classifications; however, 100% of the data reported for the classifications was union data. EXAMPLE: UAVG-OH-0010 08/29/2014. UAVG indicates that the rate is a weighted union average rate. OH indicates the state. The next number, 0010 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 08/29/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

A UAVG rate will be updated once a year, usually in January of each year, to reflect a weighted average of the current negotiated/CBA rate of the union locals from which the rate is based.

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- * an existing published wage determination
- * a survey underlying a wage determination
- * a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- * a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations
Wage and Hour Division
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request

review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator
(See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the
interested party's position and by any information (wage
payment data, project description, area practice material,
etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an
interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative
Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====

END OF GENERAL DECISION

ATTACHMENT D

RM13-1361 Price Proposal Form
dated 6/28/2016

Contractor price shall include the necessary labor, materials, equipment, management, supervision, and administration to accomplish the following:

ITEM 0001 – BASE PRICE: Price for all work, complete, in accordance with the RFP, but excluding work described in Items 0002-0005.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001: \$ _____

ITEM 0002 – OPTION 1: ECM FOR BUILDING SP-132 Price for all work, complete, in accordance with the RFP for the ECM in Building SP-132.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0002 \$ _____

ITEM 0003 – OPTION 2: FULL DDC UPGRADE FOR BUILDING V-53 Price for all work, complete, in accordance with the RFP for the full DDC upgrade and damper replacements in Building V-53.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0003 \$ _____

ITEM 0004 – OPTION 3: FULL DDC UPGRADE FOR BUILDINGS Z-133, C-9, LF-59 AND SP-108 Price for all work, complete, in accordance with the RFP for the full DDC upgrade and damper replacements in Buildings Z-133, C-9, LF-59 and SP-108.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0004 \$ _____

ITEM 0005 – OPTION 4: FULL DDC UPGRADE FOR SP-381 Price for all work, complete, in accordance with the RFP for the full DDC upgrade and damper replacements in Building SP-381.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0005 \$ _____

TOTAL PRICE FOR ALL ITEMS 0001, 0002, 0003, 0004 & 0005: \$ _____

LINE ITEM NOTES

1. Award will be made on the total sum of Items 0001 through 0005. Offerors shall enter prices in spaces provided.
2. FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (Jul 1990) – Except when it is deemed in accordance with FAR 17.206 (b) not to be in the Government's best interest, the Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement Item 0001. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the options.

3. The Government may reject an offer as nonresponsive if it is materially unbalanced as to prices for the basic requirement and the option quantities. An offer is unbalanced when it is based on prices significantly less than cost for some work and prices which are significantly overstated for other work.
4. The Government reserves the unilateral right to award Option Items 0002 through 0005 to the contractor at the proposed price within 60 calendar days after contract award. No provision is made for economic price adjustment. If options are exercised, the contract completion date remains 855 calendar days after notice to proceed.
5. If Option Items are awarded after the basic award, additional bonding and consent of surety is required. Consequently, the Performance and Payment Bonds must reflect 100 percent of the aggregate amount of all items.

AMENDMENTS ACKNOWLEDGED:

Amendment No.	Date	Amendment No.	Date	Amendment No.	Date

Offeror: _____

Name: _____

Signed: _____

Date: _____