

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 14
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 06-Jan-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) P-234
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC NORTHWEST 1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE SILVERDALE WA 98315-1101	CODE N44255	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N44255-15-R-6001	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 30-Dec-2014	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Subj: P-234 DESIGN-BID-BUILD P-234 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR, NAVAL AIR STATION WHIDBEY ISLAND, OAK HARBOR, WA The purpose of this amendment is to revise the RFP. the description continues on page two.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 06-Jan-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0002

1. The purpose of this amendment is to revise the RFP as follows:

Change from:

2. PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS will not be posted to NECO or FEDBIZOPPS. Submit a request to obtain the plans and specifications, no earlier than Monday, January 5th, 2015 to Mr. Neal Gaulden at harlan.gaulden@navy.mil or 360-396-6391

Change to:

2. Solicitation Information on NEC and FBO Websites. The solicitation and all amendments will be available for viewing and downloading at <https://www.neco.navy.mil> and <https://www.fbo.gov> upon issuance. Prospective Offerors must register on the perspective websites. This is the only method of distribution for the solicitation and amendments. It is the OFFEROR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO CHECK THE NECO AND FBO WEBSITES PERIODICALLY FOR ANY AMENDMENTS ISSUED TO THE SOLICITATION. The Plan Holders List is available at the NECO website.

2. The Plans & Specifications, and Attachment B-Schedule of Prices were provided with Amendment 0001. Amendment 0001 also changed RFP language under sections 4.3(b)(1)(a) and (b)(3)(a) as follows:

-Section 4.3(b)(1)(a)

Change from:

vii. If an Offeror is utilizing experience as described in item v and vi, information of affiliates / subsidiaries/parent / LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442) or experience from a subcontractor they plan to use that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement, the proposal shall include the following information in Box 11 of attachment C:

1. The proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract in order for the past performance information of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies to be considered.
2. The proposal shall state specific commitments of technical resources (e.g. personnel, equipment) that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies commit to the performance of this contract. In particular, the proposal will clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member that will be located at the worksites and company offices in the city/area of the project.
3. The proposal shall also describe specific roles of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies in terms of the work it will either self-perform or manage on behalf of the Offeror in performance of the contract.
4. In addition to the narrative, all Joint Venture relationships the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement(MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).
5. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in the project being considered not relevant and may result in an Unacceptable rating.

Change to:

vii. If an Offeror is utilizing experience as described in item v. and vi., information of joint venture partners, affiliates / subsidiaries / parent / LLC / LTD or member companies whose name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442, or experience from a subcontractor they plan to use that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement, the proposal shall include the following information in Box 11 of attachment C:

1. The proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the JV partners/subcontractor/ affiliate/ subsidiary/ parent/ LLC/ LTD member company firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract in order for the past performance information of the JV partners/subcontractor/affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies to be considered.
2. The proposal shall state specific commitments of technical resources (e.g. personnel, equipment) that the JV partner/subcontractor/affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies commit to the performance of this contract. In particular, the proposal will clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the JV partner/subcontractor affiliate/ subsidiary/ parent/ LLC/ LTD member that will be located at the worksites and company offices in the city/area of the project.
3. The proposal shall also describe specific roles of the JV partner/subcontractor/affiliate/ subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies in terms of the work it will either self-perform or manage on behalf of the Offeror in performance of the contract.
4. In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement(MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).
5. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in the project being considered not relevant and may result in an Unacceptable rating.

-Section 4.3(b)(3)(a)

Change from:

For the five (5) [2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate...

and

For the five (5) [2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate...

Change to:

For the five (5) [2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate...

and

For the five (5) [2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate...

3. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged. The proposal due date **IS NOT EXTENDED**. Offerors are reminded to acknowledge receipt of this amendment in Block 19 of the SF 1442 submitted in response to the subject RFP.

The following have been modified:

SECTION 00010

Section 00010 - Solicitation Contract Form

SECTION 00010 SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

DESCRIPTION OF WORK:

This project is a Firm-Fixed Price (FFP) Design-Bid-Build Construction Contract for the construction of an aircraft maintenance hangar to accommodate the new C-40 aircraft..

This project is for the construction of a 61,139 square foot Type III high bay aircraft maintenance hangar with multi-story spaces for administration, maintenance shops and aircraft parts storage. Special features will include steel framed gravity and lateral system, pile foundation, metal decking with concrete fill, metal deck roof, trench grate nozzle for aqueous film forming foam (AFFF), compressed air, uninterruptible power supply (UPS) and back-up generators, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver, and Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection (ATFP) features.

The project will be located at Naval Air Station Whidbey Island, Oak Harbor, WA. The estimated total contract price range, in accordance with FAR 36.204, is between \$25,000,000 and \$100,000,000.

THIS PROJECT WILL BE AWARDED USING SOURCE SELECTION PROCEDURES. To be eligible for award, your firm(s) must be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) per FAR 52.204-7, <http://www.sam.gov>. See Section 00700

INTENT TO AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS: The Government fully intends to evaluate proposals and award the contract without discussions with Offerors. However, the Government reserves the right to clarify certain aspects of the proposals or conduct discussions providing an opportunity for the Offeror to revise its proposal. Therefore, each initial offer should contain the Offeror's best terms and conditions from a technical and price standpoint. Offerors should not assume that they would be contacted or afforded an opportunity to qualify, discuss, or revise their proposals.

Price Range for award of this project is: In accordance with DFARS 236.204 "Disclosure of the Magnitude of Construction Projects", the price range for this project is between \$25,000,000 and \$100,000,000 for CLINs 0001-0004. Proposals that contain qualifications, conditions, express unilateral interpretations of the minimum contract requirements, or exceed budget limitations on either CLIN may be excluded from the competitive range or be considered ineligible for award.

NO ALTERNATE OR MULTIPLE PROPOSAL variations or options, nor alternate or alternative proposals will be considered in the evaluation.

NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) code for this acquisition is 236220. The small business size standard is \$36,500,000.

PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE is scheduled for January 8, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. in the Skywarrior Theater at the Naval Air Station (NAS) Whidbey Island. Please register for the conference by emailing harlan.gaulden@navy.mil by January 5, 2015 at 09:30 a.m. NO EXCEPTIONS. See FAR clause 52.236-27 for address and further details of the site visit.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSIONS OF PROPOSALS, acknowledgement of amendments or modifications of proposals are not allowed. See Section 00100.

SCHEDULE OF PRICES – See Attachments.

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The below Table of Contents has been added

Exhibit/Attachment Table of Contents

DOCUMENT TYPE	DESCRIPTION	PAGES	DATE
---------------	-------------	-------	------

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

SECTION 00100

- 1. Pre-Proposal Inquiries.** Offerors who determine that the technical and/or contractual requirements of this RFP require clarification(s) in order to permit submittal of a responsive proposal shall submit all questions in writing. The pre-proposal inquiry format is provided in Section 00100 **Attachment A**, Pre Proposal Inquiry Form. Pre-Proposal Inquiries shall be submitted via email to harlan.gaulden@navy.mil. Pre-proposal inquiries will be accepted **up to January 14, 2015**.
- 2. Solicitation Information on NEC and FBO Websites.** The solicitation and all amendments will be available for viewing and downloading at <https://www.neco.navy.mil> and <https://www.fbo.gov> upon issuance. Prospective Offerors must register on the perspective websites. This is the only method of distribution for the solicitation and amendments. It is the OFFEROR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO CHECK THE NECO AND FBO WEBSITES PERIODICALLY FOR ANY AMENDMENTS ISSUED TO THE SOLICITATION. The Plan Holders List is available at the NECO website.
- 3. Proposal Format and Due Date.** Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall be formatted as follows and furnished as stated herein:

3.1 Proposal Due Date, Submission Instructions, and Format. Offerors shall submit proposals in hard copy as follows:

Offerors shall affix their names and return addresses to the upper left corner of the proposal packages. Each package shall include the solicitation number and clearly identify the contents (i.e., "N44255-15-R-6001 ~ PROPOSAL – (Insert Company Name)"), and must be sealed.

Submit proposals to:

**Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Northwest
Attn: Mr. Harlan "Neal" Gaulden
1101 Tautog Circle, Suite 313
Silverdale, WA 98315-1101**

Email or Facsimile transmissions of proposals, acknowledgement of amendments or modifications of proposals is *NOT* allowed.

If the Offeror is mailing its proposal, mail to the address above. It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure the package is delivered prior to the time specified. If the Offeror has access to Naval Base Kitsap-Bangor and is hand delivering its proposal to NAVFAC Northwest building 1101, **please call Neal Gaulden at (360) 396-6391** to make arrangements prior to your arrival, to be met at the lobby. Contractors shall not arrive at the building unannounced.

PLEASE NOTE: The address listed above is within a controlled area (badge access). Allow yourself ample time for parking and security delays.

For Offerors who are hand-delivering its proposal and have not made prior arrangements, a NAVFAC Northwest employee will be at Pass & ID, Building 1033, Naval Base Kitsap-Bangor, one hour prior to the proposal submittal deadline, and will remain there until the proposal submittal deadline.

Whenever required by the factors, use the factor mandated attachments. For narratives aside from the required forms, the paper dimension shall be 8 ½ x 11". The font size shall be no smaller than 11 pitch. Each copy of the proposal shall be securely fastened/ bound. Tab and label all sections and attachments. Provide a table of contents. For recycling purposes, a soft cover or title sheet is sufficient.

- Technical Proposal: one (1) original with original signature and date, two (2) additional hardcopies copies, and one (1) copy on CD, of Factors 1, 2, 3, and 4.
- Price Proposal: one (1) original with original signature and date, one (1) additional hardcopy.
- The original proposals shall be identified as "Original" on the cover. See Proposal submission requirements for additional details.

CLOSING DATE AND LATE SUBMISSIONS. The closing date and time for receipt of Proposals shall be as follows:

Proposals shall be received no later than 10:00 a.m. local time on February 4, 2015. NO EMAIL or FACSIMILE PROPOSALS WILL BE ALLOWED.

3.2 A cover letter shall accompany the technical and price proposals and shall include:

1. The solicitation number;
2. The names, addresses, telephone and facsimile numbers, and e-mail address of the Offeror;
3. Names, titles, phone numbers, facsimiles numbers, and e-mail addresses of persons authorized to negotiate on the Offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation, and;
4. Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal;
5. DUNS # as required by FAR 52.204-6;
6. Tax ID Number; and
7. Acknowledgement of all amendments.

4. Basis of Award

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with Offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the Offeror submitting the lowest priced, technically acceptable offer.
2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with Offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.
3. The LPTA process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition because the best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price. Proposals will initially be screened for price and placed in order of price (lowest price to highest price). The Government will then evaluate the technical factors of the three (3) lowest priced offers in accordance with the criteria for acceptability set forth in the solicitation. However, the Government, at its sole discretion, reserves the right to increase the number of proposals it will review under this methodology. If the number of proposals to be evaluated is limited, technical proposals

shall be provided to the evaluators without any identification of prices or any rank order of prices. If no proposals are found to be technically acceptable within the first group of proposals, then the process described will be conducted again as many times as necessary, until such time as the Government identifies a technically acceptable proposal. Accordingly, under this methodology, the technical factors of some proposals may not be evaluated by the Navy. If discussions are deemed necessary by the Contracting Officer, all proposals will be evaluated (both technical and price) for the purposes of establishing a competitive range. At no time during the technical evaluation will the SSEB be made aware of the Offeror's pricing, nor their particular price ranking.

4. An overall non-price factors rating must be at least "ACCEPTABLE" in order to be eligible for award. An "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any factor results in the overall non-price factors proposal being rated "UNACCEPTABLE" unless corrected through discussions. An overall non-price factors rating of "UNACCEPTABLE" makes a proposal ineligible for award. If an Offeror receives an "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any non-price factor, no additional proposal evaluation will be performed. Accordingly, under this methodology, some of the technical factors of some of the evaluated proposals may not be evaluated by the Navy.

4.1 Evaluation Factors for Award

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-price factors:

- Factor 1 – Experience
- Factor 2 – Past Performance
- Factor 3 – Safety
- Factor 4 – Small Business Utilization

2. The distinction between experience and past performance is experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work covered by this requirement, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

4.2 Evaluation:

1. The price proposal shall be separate from the technical proposals.
2. Firms must demonstrate that they possess the proven competence and experience to perform the subject solicitation.
3. While the Government may elect to consider data obtained from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate, and complete past performance, experience, safety, and management information rests with the Offeror.

4.3 Proposal Submittal Requirements and Basis of Evaluation for Each Factor:

(a) Price:

(1) Submittal Requirements:

- i. Cover letter in accordance with FAR 52.215-1(c)(2), including DUNS number
- ii. Standard Form 1442 (Solicitation, Offer, and Award) – Blocks 14 through 20c completed;
- iii. Complete Representations and Certifications in the RFP; including the supplemental certifications included in Section 00600, and ensure SAM is updated or current;
- iv. Completed Section 00010 Pricing Schedule (**Attachment B**) for CLINs 0001-0004 shall be the entire work complete and in accordance with the plans and specifications;
- v. Bid Bond in accordance with FAR 52.228-1; and
- vi. Acknowledgement of all amendments.

(2) Basis of Evaluation: The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirements and all option items and planned modifications (CLINs 0001-0004). The Government intends to evaluate all options and planned modifications and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990) in the solicitation. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- i. Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP;
- ii. Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE;
- iii. Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information; and
- iv. Comparison of market survey results.

Evaluation of the price proposal will determine the reasonableness of the Offeror's proposal in accordance with FAR 15.404. The total evaluated price will determine the Offeror's comprehension of the requirements of the RFP and the degree to which the proposed price accurately reflects proposed performance. A price found to be either unreasonably high or unrealistically low in relation to the proposed work may negatively impact the Offeror's ranking.

The Bid Bond will be evaluated for accuracy and completeness in accordance with FAR 28.101.

Representations and Certifications will be reviewed in SAM to ensure they are complete.

In accordance with DFARS 236.204 "Disclosure of the Magnitude of Construction Projects", the price range for this project is between \$25,000,000 and \$100,000,000 for CLINs 0001-0004.

(b) Technical Factors:

(1) Factor 1 – Experience

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) will not be considered. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as:

Size: Minimum of \$20 Million

Scope: New construction of a military or commercial high bay aircraft maintenance hangar of at least 50,000 sqft.

Complexity: 1) Installation of aircraft foam fire retardant system; and 2) Installation of sliding steel hangar bay doors.

All projects must meet the size stated. Scope must be demonstrated on at least two projects. Both Scope and Complexity must be demonstrated on at least one project. Complexity may be demonstrated on renovation or retrofit projects. Both elements must be shown for complexity.

i. Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

ii. A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project for evaluation; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

iii. The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment C) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

iv. For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e., unique features, area, construction methods).

v. If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity or the Joint Venture partners. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) will not be considered. If the Offeror is a joint venture with no combined experience, at least one project from each member shall be submitted.

vi. The Offeror may submit relevant experience from a subcontractor or affiliates / subsidiaries / parent / LLC / LTD member companies they plan to use that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. A minimum of two projects must be submitted by the Offeror (matching the DUNS number on the cover sheet).

vii. If an Offeror is utilizing experience as described in item v. and vi., information of joint venture partners, affiliates / subsidiaries / parent / LLC / LTD or member companies whose name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442, or experience from a subcontractor they plan to use that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement, the proposal shall include the following information in Box 11 of attachment C:

1. The proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the JV partners /subcontractor/ affiliate/ subsidiary/ parent/LLC/LTD member company firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract in order for the past performance information of the JV partners/ subcontractor/ affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies to be considered.
2. The proposal shall state specific commitments of technical resources (e.g. personnel, equipment) that the JV partner/subcontractor/affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies commit to the performance of this contract. In particular, the proposal will clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the JV partner/subcontractor affiliate/ subsidiary/ parent/LLC/LTD member that will be located at the worksites and company offices in the city/area of the project.
3. The proposal shall also describe specific roles of the JV partner/ subcontractor/ affiliate/ subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies in terms of the work it will either self-perform or manage on behalf of the Offeror in performance of the contract.
4. In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement(MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).
5. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in the project being considered not relevant and may result in an Unacceptable rating.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The requirement for acceptability will be based upon the projects submitted by the Offeror in its proposal. The Offeror must have at least two projects that meet the following criteria:

Size: Minimum of \$20 Million

Scope: New construction of a military or commercial high bay aircraft maintenance hangar of at least 50,000 sqft.

Complexity: 1) Installation of aircraft foam fire retardant system; and 2) Installation of sliding steel hangar bay doors.

All projects must meet the size stated. Scope must be demonstrated on at least two projects. Both Scope and Complexity must be demonstrated on at least one project. Complexity may be demonstrated on renovation or

retrofit projects. Both elements must be shown for complexity. Failure to meet all of the stated criteria may result in an Unacceptable rating.

(2) Factor 2 – Past Performance:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in factor 1. If there is not a completed CCASS evaluation, then submit past performance questionnaires (Attachment D) for each project included in factor 1. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors shall follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the government's point of contact, *Harlan (Neal) Gaulden*, harlan.gaulden@navy.mi.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 1 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. Based on the Offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the Offeror's performance record is unknown.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination.

In the case of an Offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the Offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance. Therefore, the Offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, "unknown" shall be considered "acceptable."

(3) Factor 3 – Safety

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the Past Performance Worksheet for Safety (**Attachment E**). For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. TRC and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.

(1) OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:

For the five (5) [2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA TRC Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA TRC Rate data should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the five (5) [2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to qualify, evaluate, select and oversee its potential subcontractors. The Safety narrative shall be limited to one page. Offerors must submit both (1) a plan to include the safety performance of subcontractors in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and (2) a plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those subcontractors whose EMR is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 3.0. Offerors who fail to submit either of these will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine whether the Offeror has an acceptable safety record. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record as evidenced by the TRC and DART rates, if the Offeror's plan includes safety in the evaluation and selection of subcontractors, and if the narrative includes a plan to monitor the safety performance of subcontractors during performance. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety

(1) OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA TRC Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA TRC rate is above 4.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA DART rate is above 3.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine if subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the qualification, evaluation, selection, of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project, and both the plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 3.0. Offerors who fail to address either of these items (i.e. whether the safety performance of subcontractors will be evaluated in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and whether the safety of those subcontractors will be monitored during contract performance) will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

(4) Factor 4 – Small Business Utilization

Definitions: "SB" as used herein, is intended to include Small Business concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business concerns (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business concerns (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business concerns (HUBZone), Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (VOSB), and Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (SDVOSB). All small business programs are self-certifying programs with the exception of HUBZone certifications, see HUBZone SB Certifications below. Small Business Program requirements and definitions may be found in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 19.

HUBZone SB Certifications: Offerors are reminded that HUBZone SB concerns must obtain formal certification from the Small Business Administration (SBA) if they expect to receive the evaluation benefits associated with the HUBZone SB programs either as a prime or subcontractor(s). For more information on the HUBZone SB certification requirements and available benefits, contact your local SBA representative. Certified HUBZone SB firms are listed on the U.S. Small Business Administration's Dynamic Small Business Search (DSBS) website at http://web.sba.gov/pro-net/search/dsp_dsbs.cfm. It is the responsibility of the prime contractor to periodically check the DSBS as certifications are subject to change.

SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION**(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

Submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this project in the format provided in **Attachment F** for this factor, to include all information required in the attachment. To demonstrate commitment in using small business concerns, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan may list subcontractors by name. If the proposed Small Business Subcontracting goals do not meet the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, include a detailed explanation describing the actions taken to arrive at that determination, along with an explanation for the goals that actually were proposed.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the extent to which the proposal provides Small Business Subcontracting targets that meet or exceed the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets. The NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets for the fiscal year (FY) are as follows:

Targets	FY 2015 NAVFAC	Subcontracting
---------	----------------	----------------

Small Business	66.80%
Small Disadvantaged Business	17.27%
Women-Owned Small Business	15.30%
HUBZone Small Business	8.94%
Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned SB	3.03%

Proposals that provide goals to meet or exceed FY2015 targets will be considered Acceptable. If the Offeror proposes goals less than the FY targets in the chart above, and includes a detailed explanation for why goals that fully meet the targets were not proposed, the proposal will be found Acceptable. If the Offeror proposes less than 10% below the FY target for any single SB category, the proposal will be found Unacceptable. If the Offeror fails to submit a subcontracting plan or proposes less than the subcontracting targets without providing a detailed explanation, the proposal will be found Unacceptable.

5. Responsibility Determination Information Submission

A. Additional Methods of Evaluation

1. In accordance with FAR 9.104 and DFARS 209.104, the Government will use, but is not limited to, the following sources of information to support a determination that a prospective Offeror meets the general and applicable special standards of responsibility:

- i. System for Award Management (SAM)
- ii. Veterans' Employment and Training Service (VETS) 100 Website.
- iii. Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) Website.
- iv. State databases.

6. Definitions

A supplemental list of definitions applicable to this solicitation is provided as **Attachment G**.

7. Pre-Proposal Conference –

A pre-proposal conference and site visit will be scheduled. See Section 00100 FAR Clause 52.236-27 Site Visit (Construction) (FEB 1995) – Alternate I (FEB 1995) for specific site visit information.

**EXHIBITS FOR SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS ARE PROVIDED AS SEPARATE ATTACHMENTS
ON THE NAVY ELECTRONIC COMMERCE ONLINE (NECO) WEBSITE
AT <https://www.neco.navy.mil/>**

V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A – Pre-Proposal Inquiry

Attachment B – Schedule of Prices

Attachment C – Construction Experience Project Data Sheet

Attachment D – Past Performance Questionnaire, Construction Projects

Attachment E – Past Performance Worksheet for Safety

Attachment F – Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Large Businesses)

Attachment G – Definitions

(End of Summary of Changes)