AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
J	1	13

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
0006	20-Apr-2015		

6. ISSUED BY	CODE N44255	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)	CODE
NAVFAC NORTHWEST 1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE SILVERDALE WA 98315-1101		**See Item 6**	

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			
		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N44255-15-R-6002
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 06-Feb-2015
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE		

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[X] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer [X] is extended, [ ] is not extended.

Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

[ ] A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.

[ ] B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).

[ ] C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:

[ ] D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not, [ ] is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Subject: RM09-1471 Repair Inside Machine Shop Building 431, Naval Base Kitsap, Bremerton, WA

Description continues on page two.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) TEL: EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 20-Apr-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0006

1. The purpose of this amendment is to extend the proposal due date pending PPI responses. The proposal due date is extended to April 30, 2015 at 2pm local time.

2. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged. Offerors are reminded to acknowledge receipt of this amendment when submitting proposals in accordance with the RFP instructions.

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

SECTION 00100

1. Pre-Proposal Inquiries. Offerors who determine that the technical and/or contractual requirements of this RFP require clarification(s) in order to permit submittal of a responsive proposal shall submit all questions in writing. The pre-proposal inquiry format is provided in Section 00100 **Attachment A**, Pre Proposal Inquiry Form. Pre-Proposal Inquiries shall be submitted via email to andrew.e.hart@navy.mil. Pre-proposal inquiries will be accepted **up to March 25, 2015**

2. Solicitation Information on NECO Website. The solicitation and all amendments will be available for viewing and downloading at https://www.neco.navy.mil and www.fbo.gov upon issuance. Prospective Offerors must register on the NECO website. This is the only method of distribution for the solicitation and amendments. It is the OFFEROR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO CHECK THE NECO AND/OR FBO WEBSITES PERIODICALLY FOR ANY AMENDMENTS ISSUED TO THE SOLICITATION. The Plan Holders List is available at the NECO website.

3. Proposal Format and Due Date. Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall be formatted as follows and furnished as stated herein:

3.1 Proposal Due Date, Submission Instructions, and Format. Offerors shall submit proposals in hard copy as follows:

Offerors shall affix their names and return addresses to the upper left corner of the proposal packages. Each package shall include the solicitation number and clearly identify the contents (i.e., "N44255-15-R-6002 ~ PROPOSAL – (Insert Company Name)"), and must be sealed.

Submit proposals to:

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Northwest
Attn: Mr. Andy Hart
1101 Tautog Circle, Suite 313
Silverdale, WA 98315-1101

If the Offeror is mailing its proposal, mail to the address above. It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure the package is delivered prior to the time specified. If the Offeror has access to Naval Base Kitsap-Bangor and is hand delivering its proposal to NAVFAC Northwest building 1101, **please call Andy Hart at (360) 396-1861** to make arrangements prior to your arrival, to be met at the lobby. Contractors shall not arrive at the building unannounced. **PLEASE NOTE:** The address listed above is within a controlled area (badge access). Allow yourself ample time for parking and security delays.

For Offerors who are hand-delivering its proposal and have not made prior arrangements, a NAVFAC Northwest employee will be at Pass & ID, Building 1033, Naval Base Kitsap-Bangor, one hour prior to the proposal submittal deadline, and will remain there until the proposal submittal deadline.

Whenever required by the factors, use the factor mandated attachments. For narratives aside from the required forms, the paper dimension shall be 8 ½ x 11". The font size shall be no smaller than 11 pitch. Each copy of the proposal shall be securely fastened/ bound. Tab and label all sections and attachments. Provide a table of contents. For recycling purposes, a soft cover or title sheet is sufficient.

- Technical Proposal: one (1) original with original signature and date, two (2) additional hardcopies copies, and one (1) copy on CD, of Factors 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.
- Price Proposal: one (1) original with original signature and date, one (1) additional hardcopy.
- The original proposals shall be identified as "Original" on the cover.

CLOSING DATE AND LATE SUBMISSIONS. The closing date and time for receipt of Proposals shall be as follows:

Proposals shall be received no later than <u>2 p.m. local time on April 30, 2015</u>.

3.2 A cover letter shall accompany the technical and price proposals and shall include:

1. The solicitation number;
2. The names, addresses, telephone and facsimile numbers, and e-mail address of the Offeror;
3. Names, titles, phone numbers, facsimiles numbers, and e-mail addresses of persons authorized to negotiate on the Offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation, and;
4. Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal (the subcontracting plan must be signed by this individual);
5. DUNS # as required by FAR 52.204-6;
6. Tax ID Number; and
7. Acknowledgement of all amendments.

4. Basis of Award

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with Offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the Offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value—the proposal most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered..

2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with Offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The tradeoff process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.

4. All technical factors when combined are approximately equal in importance to the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating; and all technical factors and the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating, when combined are approximately equal to price.

4.1 Evaluation Factors for Award

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-price factors:

Factor 1 – Experience
Factor 2 – Past Performance
Factor 3 – Safety
Factor 4 – Technical Solution
Factor 5 – Small Business Utilization

The distinction between experience and past performance is that experience pertains to the volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work described under the definition of recent, relevant projects, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

2. The relative order of importance of the non-price evaluation factors:

The relative order of importance of the non- price evaluation factors is that technical Factors 1, 3, 4 and 5 are approximately equal to each other and when combined are approximately equal in importance to the performance confidence assessment (past performance) Factor 2. The combined non- price factors are approximately equal to price.

The importance of price will increase if the Offerors' non-price proposals are considered essentially equal in terms of overall quality, or if price is so high as to significantly diminish the value of a non-price proposal's superiority to the Government. Award will be made to the responsible Offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation and represents the best value to the Government, price and non-price factors considered.

4.2 Evaluation:

1. The price proposal shall be separate from the technical proposals.
2. Firms must demonstrate that they possess the proven competence and experience to perform the subject solicitation.
3. While the Government may elect to consider data obtained from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate, and complete past performance, experience, safety, and management information rests with the Offeror.

4.3 Proposal Submittal Requirements and Basis of Evaluation for Each Factor:

1. Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor.

a. Price:

(1) Submittal Requirements:

(a) Cover letter in accordance with FAR 52.215-1(c)(2), including DUNS number;
(b) Standard Form 1442 (Solicitation, Offer, and Award) – Blocks 14 through 20c completed;

(c) Complete Representations and Certifications in the RFP; including the supplemental certifications included in Section 00600, and ensure SAM is updated or current;
(d) Completed Section 00010, Attachment B, Schedule of Prices for CLINs 0001 -0002 shall be the entire work complete and in accordance with the solicitation; and
(e) Bid Bond in accordance with FAR 52.228-1; and
(f) Acknowledgement of all amendments.

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of CLINs 0001 and 0002. Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

(a) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP;
(b) Comparison of proposed prices with the Independent Government Cost Estimate;
(c) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information; and
(d) Comparison of market survey results.

Evaluation of the price proposal will determine the reasonableness of the Offeror's proposal in accordance with FAR 15.404. The total evaluated price will determine the Offeror's comprehension of the requirements of the RFP and the degree to which the proposed price accurately reflects proposed performance. A price found to be either unreasonably high or unrealistically low in relation to the proposed work may negatively impact the Offeror's ranking.

The Bid Bond will be evaluated for accuracy and completeness in accordance with FAR 28.101.

Representations and Certifications will be reviewed in SAM to ensure they are complete.

CLIN 0002 has a statutory cost limitation of $750,000.

b. Technical Factors:

(1) Factor 1 – Experience

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) will not be considered. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as:

Size: See dollar values as specified under Scope.
Scope:
1) Renovation of large scale military or commercial facilities, including architectural, mechanical and electrical systems with a minimum project cost of $20,000,000 and 100,000 square feet
2) Major structural steel retrofits to meet current seismic standards with a minimum project cost of $20,000,000 and 100,000 square feet
3) HAZMAT remediation including Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) with a minimum remediation cost of $200,000.
Complexity: Construction within controlled industrial area (CIA) locations and/ or similar heightened security areas

i. Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be substantially complete within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

ii. A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project for evaluation; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

iii. The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment C-DBB) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

iv. For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e., unique features, area, construction methods).

v. If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity or the Joint Venture partners. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) will not be considered. If the Offeror is a joint venture with no combined experience, at least one project from each member shall be submitted.

vi. The Offeror may submit relevant experience from a subcontractor or any other entity they plan to use that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. A minimum of two projects must be submitted by the Offeror (matching the DUNS number on the Cover Letter).

vii. If an Offeror is utilizing experience as described in items v and vi, information of JV partner, subcontractor, or any other entity (name, DUNS, and/or address is not exactly as stated on the Cover Letter) they plan to use that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement, the proposal shall include the following information in Box 10 of Attachment C:

- The proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the JV partner, subcontractor, or any other entity (name, DUNS, and/or address is not exactly as stated on the Cover Letter) will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract in order for the information of the JV partner, subcontractor, or any other entity to be considered.

-The proposal shall state specific commitments of technical resources (e.g. personnel, equipment) that the JV partner, subcontractor, or any other entity (name, DUNS, and/or address is not exactly as stated on the Cover Letter) commit to the performance of this contract. In particular, the proposal will clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the JV partner, subcontractor, or any other entity (name, DUNS, and/or address is not exactly as stated on the Cover Letter) member that will be located at the worksites and company offices in the city/area of the project.

-The proposal shall also describe specific roles of the JV partner, subcontractor, or any other entity(name, DUNS, and/or address is not exactly as stated on the Cover Letter) in terms of the work it will either self-perform or manage on behalf of the Offeror in performance of the contract.

-In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a JV agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement(MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror’s team identified above (e.g., joint

venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, sibling company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).

-Failure to comply with these requirements will result in the project being considered not relevant and may result in lower ratings.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review up to five (5) construction projects. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) will not be considered.

Every project shall meet at least one scope element, and the corresponding minimum size (i.e. dollar value). Each of the scope and complexity elements must be demonstrated on at least one project. Failure to meet all of the stated criteria will result in lower ratings.

Higher ratings may be given if size, scope (all scope elements), and complexity are demonstrated in a single relevant project.

Higher ratings may be given for relevant projects that demonstrate experience performing construction activities in an occupied operational facility.

Higher ratings may be given if a single scope element is demonstrated on more than two relevant projects.
.
Higher ratings may be given for relevant projects that demonstrate renovation of high bay facilities including architectural, mechanical and electrical systems. A high bay facility is considered an open area of at least three stories and 50,000 square feet.

(2) Factor 2 – Past Performance:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 1 Experience. If there is not a completed CCASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment D) for each project included in Factor 2 for both Construction Experience in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors shall follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Mr. Andy Hart, andrew.e.hart@navy.mil.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 1 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

(3) Factor 3 – Safety

(a) Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the Past Performance Worksheet for Safety (Attachment E). The following data shall be completed on, or attached to the worksheet: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

i. OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:

For the five (5) [2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA TRC Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA TRC Rate data should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

ii. OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the five (5) [2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA DART rates above 2.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

iii. Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to qualify, evaluate, select and oversee its potential subcontractors. The Safety narrative shall be limited to one page. Information in excess of one page will not be considered. Offerors must submit both (1) a plan to include the safety performance of subcontractors in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and (2) a plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 2.0. Offerors who fail to submit either of these will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine whether the Offeror has an acceptable safety record. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record as evidenced by the TRC and DART rates, if the Offeror's plan includes safety in the evaluation and selection of subcontractors, and if the narrative includes a plan to monitor the safety performance of subcontractors during performance. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety

i. OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA TRC Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA TRC rate is above 4.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

ii. OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA DART rate is above 2.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA DART rates above 2.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

iii. Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine if subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the qualification, evaluation, selection, of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project, and both the plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 2.0. Offerors who fail to address either of these items (i.e. whether the safety performance of subcontractors will be evaluated in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and whether the safety of those subcontractors will be monitored during contract performance) will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

(4) Factor 4 – Technical Solution

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Provide a narrative describing the technical solution to the project that meets the requirements of the RFP. The narrative shall not exceed three (3) double-side pages (or six (6) single sided pages) and shall be no smaller than 11 pitch font in 8 ½" and 11" format. The submission shall be in narrative form only. A project schedule in any form (e.g. Gantt Charts, Critical Path Method (CPM) schedules, etc.) will not be evaluated. The narrative shall include the following:

i. A description of the Offeror's approach to accomplishing the project's goals and requirements for completing the project within the required time frame. The narrative shall account for: the construction period (clearly identify the number of calendar days), inspection and testing, administrative submittals, project phasing, construction sequencing, and any critical path items including those submittals that must be accepted/ approved before repair and construction work can begin.

ii. The Offeror shall include a discussion of at least (3) elements that have a potential to negatively impact the critical path and what measures will be taken to mitigate impacts to time and resources.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

Evaluation of this factor will be a subjective assessment of the Offeror's approach to accomplishing the project's goals and requirements for completing the project within the required timeframe to determine if it is realistic and demonstrates the ability to properly accomplish the requirements of the project. Failure to address any of the submission requirements may result in lower ratings. Restating the RFP requirements may result in lower ratings.

(5) Factor 5, Small Business Utilization

Definitions: "SB" as used herein, is intended to include Small Business concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business concerns (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business concerns (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business concerns (HUBZone), Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (VOSB), and Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (SDVOSB). All small business programs are self-certifying programs with the exception of HUBZone certifications, see HUBZone SB Certifications below. Small Business Program requirements and definitions may be found in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 19.

HUBZone SB Certifications: Offerors are reminded that HUBZone SB concerns must obtain formal certification from the Small Business Administration (SBA) if they expect to receive the evaluation benefits associated with the HUBZone SB programs either as a prime or subcontractor(s). For more information on the HUBZone SB certification requirements and available benefits, contact your local SBA representative. Certified HUBZone SB firms are listed on the U.S. Small Business Administration's Dynamic Small Business Search (DSBS) website at http://web.sba.gov/pro-net/search/dsp_dsbs.cfm. It is the responsibility of the prime contractor to periodically check the DSBS as certifications are subject to change.

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

i. PAST PERFORMANCE IN UTILIZATION OF SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS:

Proposals that do not include responses addressing ALL elements of the requirements stated below (a through d) must include an explanation why that element is not addressed. Failure to address all elements will result in lower ratings.

a. Provide performance evaluation ratings (i.e., SF1420, DD2626, or equivalent) obtained on the implementation of small business subcontracting plans for all of the Offeror's projects referenced under Factor 2, Past Performance. Recently completed project evaluations are desired, however, in the absence of recently completed project evaluations, interim ratings for projects that are 80% complete may be considered. If more than five evaluation ratings are provided, only the first five will be considered. In addition, the Government may consider past performance information on other projects as made available to the Government from other sources (such as the Construction Contractor Appraisal Support Systems (CCASS)), Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) and Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)).

b. Provide small business subcontracting history. Large businesses with Federal prime contracting experience shall provide final or current Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts (SF294) or Individual Subcontracting Reports (ISR's) on prime (only) contracts submitted under Factor 2, Past Performance. If Factor 2 submitted contracts are not prime contracts, submit SF294s or ISRs for contracts of similar scope performed as the prime contractor. If goals were not met on any submitted contracts, an explanation for each unmet goal is required. Large

Businesses with no documented SF294/ISR history shall submit a subcontracting history on Attachment F, Small Business Past Performance. If more than five (5) reports are provided, only the first 5 reports will be considered

c. Small Business proposers shall provide a subcontracting history on Attachment F, Small Business Past Performance.

d. If an Offeror is utilizing past performance information of JV partner, subcontractor, or any other entity (name and/ or DUNS is not exactly as stated on the Cover Letter), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the entity will have meaningful participation in the management of the subcontracting program/plan by identifying the personnel or resources from the member companies that will be dedicated to managing the plan, and an organization chart which demonstrates the reporting chain within the membership.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture, Partnership LLC or other entity consisting of more than one entity, provide past performance information, elements a. through d., for each individual business entity(ies) that will be responsible for managing the subcontracting program/plan.

Proposals including information on any of the following additional elements may be rated higher, based on the evaluated extent to which the information addresses the basis of evaluation:

- Provide information on national-level, and industry-issued awards that Offerors received for outstanding support to SB concerns within the past five (5) years. Include purpose, issuer, and date of award(s). National and industry-issued awards received beyond five (5) years will not be considered.
- Provide information on previous, existing, planned or pending mentor-protégé agreements (MPA) under any Federal Government, or other, program held within the last five years. Information should include, at a minimum, the members, objectives, period of performance, and major accomplishments during the MPA.
- Provide information on past use of Community Rehabilitation Program (CRP) organizations certified under the AbilityOne Program by SourceAmerica, or the National Industry for the Blind (NIB). Information should include the contract type, type of work performed, period of performance, and number of employed severely handicapped persons.

ii. SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION

a. Identify in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total acquisition, the extent of work you will perform as the prime contractor. If submitting an offer as a Joint-Venture, identify the percentage of work each member will be responsible for and indicate the size status of each member, e.g., LB, SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, etc.

b. If you are a Large Business, submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this project in the format provided in Attachment G for this factor, to include all information required in the Attachment. If you are a Small Business, submit a subcontracting participation breakdown in the format provided in Attachment H for this factor. All proposers: To demonstrate commitment in using small business concerns, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan or subcontracting participation breakdown may list all subcontractors by name. If the proposed Small Business Subcontracting goals do not meet the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, include a detailed explanation describing the actions taken to arrive at that determination, along with an explanation for the goals that actually were proposed. For proposals submitted on design-build solicitations, the proposer must identify its designer/design team in its Subcontracting Plan or Small Business Participation Breakdown.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

i. PAST PERFORMANCE IN UTILIZATION OF SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS

The extent to which the proposal demonstrates the proposer's level of past performance in utilizing Small Business (SB) concerns, AbilityOne, Mentor-Protégé Agreements, and other socio-economic programs, as defined in FAR Parts 26.1 and 26.2, in subcontracting, and in meeting established Small Business subcontracting goals.

ii. SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION

The extent to which the proposer's Small Business Subcontracting Plan establishes reasonable efforts demonstrating the subcontracting targets can be met during the performance of the contract:

A copy of the blank forms to be used for Offeror submission of Small Business Utilization are included as follows:

Attachment F – Small Business Past Performance
Attachment G – Small Business Subcontracting Plan.
Attachment H – Small Business Offeror Small Business Participation Breakdown

The following will be evaluated on all proposals:

- The extent to which the proposal demonstrates maximum practicable participation of SBs in terms of the total value of the acquisition, including options.
- The extent to which the proposal demonstrates a commitment to use SB concerns that are specifically identified in the proposal, including but not limited to use of mentor protégé programs.
- The extent to which the proposal demonstrates SB participation in a variety of industries expected during the performance of work.
- The realism of the proposal to meet the proposed goals.

The following will be evaluated on proposals submitted by Large Business firms:

i. The extent to which the proposal provides Small Business Subcontracting goals that meet or exceed the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, and utilization of AbilityOne CRP organizations. Proposals that provide goals exceeding the NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets may be rated higher. The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are as follows:

FY 15 NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets

Small Business	66.80%
Small Disadvantaged Business	17.27%
Women-Owned Small Business	15.30%
HUBZone Small Business	8.94%
Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned SB	3.03%

ii. The extent to which the proposer's Small Business Subcontracting Plan establishes reasonable efforts demonstrating the subcontracting targets can be met during the performance of the contract:

6. Definitions

A supplemental list of definitions applicable to this solicitation is provided as **Attachment I**.

7. Pre-Proposal Conference –

A pre-proposal conference and site visit will be scheduled. See Section 00100 FAR Clause 52.236-27 Site Visit (Construction) (FEB 1995) – Alternate I (FEB 1995) for specific site visit information. See Attachment J – Short Term Visitor Badge Request and Attachment K – Foreign Interest Determination Questionaire.

EXHIBITS FOR SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS ARE PROVIDED AS SEPARATE ATTACHMENTS ON THE NAVY ELECTRONIC COMMERCE ONLINE (NECO) WEBSITE AT https://www.neco.navy.mil/

V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A – Pre-Proposal Inquiry Form

Attachment B – Schedule of Prices

Attachment C – Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet

Attachment D – Past Performance Questionnaire

Attachment E – Past Performance Worksheet for Safety

Attachment F - Small Business Participation Plan

Attachment G – Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Large Businesses)

Attachment H – Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Small Businesses)

Attachment I – Definitions

Attachment J – Short Term Visitor Badge Request

Attachment K – Foreign Interest Determination Questionnaire

Attachment L – SECNAV 5512/ 1 (APR 2014)

(End of Summary of Changes)