

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 10
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 28-Feb-2013	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. N5523613RC000001		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY SOUTHWEST REGIONAL MAINTENANCE CENTER CODE 410 3755 BRINSER STREET, STE 1 SAN DIEGO CA 92136	CODE N55236	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N55236-12-R-0026	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 14-Feb-2013	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Ref: CIS VERTICAL LAUNCHING SYSTEM SERVICES See continuation pages				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		28-Feb-2013

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

1. Request for Specification Clarification:

a. **QUESTION:** Paragraph L 4.0(8) requires offerors to provide their company's certification that meets Section C reference C.1.1 (c) MK-41 Vertical Launching System Standing Instruction 86-2B, U. S. Navy MK-41 Vertical Launching System Personnel Qualification and Certification Program. Request the government provide the details describing the certification. May offerors obtain the certification post award?

RESPONSE: Certification requirement is delineated in Section C reference (c). Offerors shall submit subject certifications with their respective proposal. The Contracting Office will not consider certification obtained post award.

b. **QUESTION:** Can you please confirm that a multiple award contract will still be made other than a single award? Or will this result in a single award NTE 11M?

RESPONSE: The Government may elect to award a single award delivery order contract or to award a multiple award contract.

SECTION F - DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE

The following have been modified:

RMC F-1-0001 CONTRACT PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

Delivery orders will be issued under the terms and conditions of this Contract during the period of performance specified herein:

Base Period: (Items 0001-0007) is one year from effective date of award.

Option One: (Items 1001-1007) if exercised by the Government, is one year from effective date of execution.

Option Two: (Items 2001-2007) if exercised by the Government, is one year from effective date of execution.

Option Three: (Items 3001-3007) if exercised by the Government, is one year from effective date of execution.

Option Four: (Items 4001-4007) if exercised by the Government, is one year from effective date of execution.

NOTE 1: SEE FAR CLAUSE 52.217-9, OPTION TO EXTEND THE TERM OF THE CONTRACT, IN SECTION "I" OF THIS CONTRACT.

NOTE 2: SEE DFARS CLAUSE 252.216-7006, ORDERING AND FAR CLAUSE 52.216-19, ORDER LIMITATIONS, IN SECTION "I" OF THIS CONTRACT.

SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES

The following have been added by full text:

252.216-7006 ORDERING (MAY 2011)

(a) Any supplies and services to be furnished under this contract shall be ordered by issuance of delivery orders or task orders by the individuals or activities designated in the contract schedule. Such orders may be issued from (to be completed at award) through (to be completed at award).

(b) All delivery orders or task orders are subject to the terms and conditions of this contract. In the event of conflict between a delivery order or task order and this contract, the contract shall control.

(c)(1) If issued electronically, the order is considered ``issued" when a copy has been posted to the Electronic Document Access system, and notice has been sent to the Contractor.

(2) If mailed or transmitted by facsimile, a delivery order or task order is considered ``issued" when the Government deposits the order in the mail or transmits by facsimile. Mailing includes transmittal by U.S. mail or private delivery services.

(3) Orders may be issued orally only if authorized in the schedule.

(End of Clause)

The following have been deleted:

52.216-18

Ordering

OCT 1995

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS

1.0 General. If you want to compete for the contract described in Sections A through J of this Request for

Proposal (RFP), you must submit a proposal that includes the following: (1) an offer and (2) information about your technical capability and past performance. The Government will consider how well you complied with these instructions. The Government will consider any significant failure to comply with these instructions to be indicative of what could be expected from you during contract performance. Please contact the contract specialist listed on the cover page of this RFP if you do not understand any part of these instructions. **NO FACSIMILE OR EMAILED PROPOSALS WILL BE ACCEPTED.**

2.0 Solicitation Response Requirements

The following information is required in response to the solicitation:

Section 3.0	Price Proposal	Original, One Copy, Electronic Copy in Excel Format
Section 4.0	Technical Capability Proposal	Original, Five Copies, Electronic Copy
Section 5.0	Past Performance Information	Maximum of ten Reference Information Sheets (RIS)
Section 6.0	Quality Assurance Information	Original, One Copy

Technical Capability proposals shall contain no classified material. It is the sole responsibility of the Offeror to ensure that the electronic media submitted is virus free and can be opened and read by the Government. If the electronic media cannot be opened and read by the Government, the Offeror shall have a reasonable amount of time, typically 72 hours, after notification of the same to correct the deficiency. After that time, if the electronic media cannot be opened and read by the Government, the offer may be ineligible for award. In case of conflict between the electronic copy of the proposal and the paper copy of the proposal, the electronic copy shall take precedence.

3.0 Price Proposal. Your offer must consist of the following:

- a. Standard Form 33, "Solicitation, Offer, and Award" with blocks 12 through 18 completed by you.
- b. RFP Section B, "Supplies or Services and Prices/Costs," – Offerors must provide a unit price and total amount for all priced contract line item numbers (CLINs) in Section B of this RFP to include all option years. CLINs that are unpriced (for informational purposes only) will not specify a value in the quantity or unit fields for the unpriced CLIN and may be left blank by the offeror. If an offeror is uncertain whether a specific CLIN is priced or for informational purposes only, it is recommended that they contact the Contract Specialist. The electronic version of Section B must match the hardcopy submitted and shall be compatible with Microsoft Excel 2007.
- c. RFP Section K, "Representations, Certifications, and Other Statements of Offerors," completed by you.
- d. Solicitation Amendment(s) (if any) – The offeror shall submit a signed copy of each amendment.

The completion and submission to the government of the above items of information will constitute your offer to perform in accordance with the terms and conditions in Sections A through J of this RFP, including attachments and documents incorporated by reference. Your offer must communicate to the Government your unconditional assent to the terms and conditions of this RFP, its attachments, and documents incorporated by reference. The government's acceptance of your offer will create a binding contract between us.

If the Government selects you for award and if you are not a small business as defined in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Subpart 19.1, then you will have to submit a small business subcontracting plan in accordance with FAR Subpart 19.7, with your proposal. If you are required to submit a subcontracting plan, award will be conditioned upon the Government's ability to reach agreement with you on the terms of your plan. If we accept your subcontracting plan, it will become part of the contract.

The Government will not entertain alternate proposals. If you (1) fail or refuse to assent to any of the terms and conditions of this RFP, (2) propose additional terms or conditions, or (3) fail to submit any of the information required by this paragraph 2.0, then the Government will consider your offer to be unacceptable, which will make you ineligible for contract award. The only way that an unacceptable offer could be made acceptable would be through discussions (see FAR 15.306(d)). However, the Government intends to award a contract without discussions, as permitted by FAR 15.306(a) and 52.215-1. Therefore, we warn you to consult with the contracting officer before submitting an alternate proposal, submitting an offer that takes exception to any term or condition of this RFP, proposing any additional term or condition, or omitting any of the information requested. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions and to permit Offerors to revise their proposals if it is in the Government's best interest to do so.

4.0 Technical Capability Proposal

Format

An original with two copies along with an electronic copy are required to include all data and information required for evaluation, excluding any reference to the cost/price aspect of the offer. Resumes shall be single-spaced, one page only. An Offeror's technical excellence proposal shall not exceed 30 pages, single spaced, legibly typewritten on white bond paper, printed single sided only, with one-inch margins, in a type size no smaller than 10 point, on 8 ½ by 11 inch pages. Foldouts are permitted, but must be 8 ½ by 11 inches folded. Size of the pages must be uniform; tables, figures and charts may be reduced. No cost/price information shall be included in the technical proposal. Clarity and completeness of the proposal is of the utmost importance. The proposal shall be written in a practical, clear and concise manner. Unnecessarily elaborate brochures or other presentations beyond what is sufficient to present a complete and effective proposal are not desired. You should use flow charts and tables wherever possible in order to provide a concise presentation of your response. You shall respond to all requirements of the solicitation document. Each page of every copy should be affixed with the date, solicitation number, your firm's name, and following legend: "Source Selection Information, See FAR 2.101 and 3.104."

In the event any portion of the proposal is prepared or written by anyone who is not a bona fide employee of the firm submitting the proposals, a certificate to this effect shall be furnished. The certificate shall be signed by a responsible officer of the Offeror and shall also identify the person's name, employment capacity, the name of the person's firm, the relationship of that firm to the Offeror, and the portion of the proposal written by that person.

Content

The format of each Offeror's proposal shall be as stated below. The intent is not to restrict the Offeror in the manner in which the proposal is presented, but rather to ensure a certain degree of uniformity in the format of the responses for evaluation purposes.

Your technical proposal shall consist of three sections: (1) Management/Performance Approach, (2) Manpower Resources, and (3) Experience (see enclosed). Your proposal should clearly demonstrate a thorough understanding and the capability to perform the efforts described in the Statement of Work contained in Section C. It is recognized that all technical elements cannot be detailed in advance, but your proposal must be sufficient to describe the management/performance approach and manpower resources you propose to use to accomplish the effort described in Section C. Statements that you understand, can or will comply with the contract requirements, or paraphrasing the contract Statement of Work or parts thereof are considered inadequate. At a minimum, you must provide detailed information organized as follows:

Management/Performance Approach

(1) Your management organization and program structure as it would pertain to the requirements of this solicitation.

- (2) Your overall approach, the authority, functions, and responsibilities of the on-site supervisor and his staff. Also include your organizational chart as it pertains to the proposal demonstrating clearly defined lines of authority and control.
- (3) Your scheduling system for preparing production schedules in order to accommodate multiple taskings without jeopardizing the performance period dates.
- (4) Your quality control system in place, procedures for quality documentation, and quality deficiency corrections.
- (5) Subcontractor selection and control, methods used for selection and management of subcontractors. The estimated percentage of total work to be performed by subcontractors shall be addressed and any special qualification which will enhance the subcontractor's ability to handle the work requirements.
- (6) Your system for tracking and subsequently notifying the Government early in the availability when it becomes known that performance dates are in jeopardy.
- (7) Provide in detail your performance approach that will successfully accomplish the requirements of the solicitation.
- (8) Provide your company's certification which meets Section C reference C.1.1 (c) MK-41 Vertical Launching System Standing Instruction 86-2B, U. S. Navy MK-41 Vertical Launching System Personnel Qualification and Certification Program. Certification for the System Maintenance Technician (SMT), commonly referred to as the "white card," is signed by the MK-41 VLS/ISEA Manager. Certification for the Test Director (TD), commonly referred to as the "blue card," is signed by the Launching Systems Program Manager.

Manpower Resources

- (1) Describe any plans to recruit, train, or otherwise obtain adequately trained personnel to fulfill requirements of this contract.
- (2) Provide specific and detailed information on the ability in terms of manning to routinely accept work at more than one area within the same time frame without jeopardizing the performance period dates. Include in your plan specifically how such work requirements will be met.
- (3) Describe their current and projected workload in general and provide a plan to implement the anticipated requirements of this contract into their existing and projected workload obligations.

Experience

- (1) Provide a detailed discussion of the Offeror's organizational experience in all aspects of MK-41 Vertical Launching System Cell and/or Uptake Hatch assembly repairs. The Offeror shall clearly identify in-house experience as well as the experience of cited subcontractors and/or team members. The Offeror's detailed discussion of organizational experience shall cover all relevant work performed during the last five (5) years and should demonstrate, at a minimum, specific experience in the conduct and/or management of Commercial Industrial Services – MK-41 Vertical Launching System Cell and/or Uptake Hatch assembly repairs, similar to Section C's Statement of Work. Any discussion of experience should include relevant managerial, technical and financial aspects, which indicate the depth, currency and breadth of the experience. The Government is more interested in recent experience.
- (2) Provide a detailed discussion of the Offeror's operational experience in all aspects of MK-41 Vertical Launching System Cell and/or Uptake Hatch assembly repairs. The Offeror's detailed discussion of operational experience shall cover all relevant work performed during the last five (5) years and should

demonstrate, at a minimum, specific experience in MK-41 Vertical Launching System Cell and/or Uptake Hatch assembly repairs similar to Section C Statement of Work. The Offeror should clearly identify each operation and specifically address the level of involvement during all phases of the operation; whether elements of the Offeror's organization participated as the prime contractor or as subcontractor(s) and/or team members; the actual effort performed; the operational scope and complexity; and the technical complexity.

5.0 Past Performance Information

Prepare and submit at least three (3) but no more than ten (10) Reference Information Sheets in the format of RFP Attachment (2). On the Reference Information Sheet, include the names of references who can verify that you have done the type of work that is required under the contract contemplated by this RFP and who will provide information about the quality of your past performance. We may not contact all of your references. The contracts that you reference must be ongoing or have been completed within the last three years. Make sure that your reference information is up to date and that each reference will cooperate with us. Do not give us the names of a reference unless you have made sure that we can reach that reference at the telephone number, e-mail address, and postal address that you have provided, and that they will give us the required information.

Each Offeror also has the opportunity to provide in its proposal any information regarding its past performance of contracts similar to the Government's requirement including additional information which the Government has readily available, for example, data in the CPARS system, information which the Offeror considers essential to the Government's evaluation, or explanatory information of substandard or poor performance and the corrective actions taken to prevent a recurrence.

6.0 Quality Assurance Information

Provide written confirmation of the offeror's Quality Management System (QMS) has been approved by SWRMC Quality Assurance Department or QMS has been submitted to SWRMC Quality Assurance Department for review. See RFP Section L, provision "Quality Assurance Information."

NOTE: OFFERORS ARE REQUESTED TO NOTIFY MR. MARIANITO J. ROSAL BY EMAIL, marianito.rosal@navy.mil OF THEIR INTENT TO SUBMIT AN OFFER AT LEAST TWO WEEKS BEFORE THE DUE DATE FOR RECEIPT OF OFFERS SO THE GOVERNMENT CAN BEGIN ITS PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION. EARLY SUBMISSION OF EXPERIENCE AND PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED.

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The following have been modified:

1.0 General. The Government will award a contract to the offeror(s) who propose a reasonable price, are considered technically acceptable, and obtain an acceptable past performance rating. The Government will determine price reasonableness, technical capability, and acceptable past performance on the basis of the evaluation factors described below in 3.0.

2.0 Offer. The Government will determine the acceptability of each offer on a pass or fail basis. The Government will consider an offer to be acceptable when it (1) manifests the Offeror's assent, without exception or imposition of condition, to the terms and conditions of this RFP, including attachments and documents incorporated by reference, and (2) includes material information required by the solicitation. If an offeror (a) takes exception to any of the terms and conditions of the RFP, (b) imposes additional conditions, or (c) omits material information required by this RFP, then the Government will consider an offer to be unacceptable and the offeror to be ineligible for contract award. (See RFP Section L, Proposal Preparation Instructions, paragraphs 2.0 and 3.0). The Government reserves the right to change the terms and conditions of this RFP by amendment at any time prior to the source selection decision.

3.0 Evaluation Factors. The evaluation factors that will be used are price, technical capability, and past performance. An offeror receiving a rating of unacceptable in either past performance or technical capability, or if their price is considered unreasonable, will be sufficient to result in the offeror not receiving an award, regardless of the ratings received for the remaining evaluation factors.

3.1 Price. The Government will evaluate the proposed price of each offer for reasonableness in accordance with FAR 15.305(a)(1) and FAR Subpart 15.4. Your total evaluated price will be the sum of all CLINs in Section B.

3.2 Technical Capability. Technical capability reveals the offeror's comprehensive understanding and application of management techniques, methods, and procedures required to efficiently execute the contract resulting from this solicitation. Technical capability will be evaluated based on an evaluation of an offeror's management/performance approach, manpower resources, and experience. These aspects of technical capability are further defined below:

A. Management/Performance Approach – Under this sub-factor, the Government will review the contractor's overall approach, authority, functions, and responsibilities of the organization. The contractor's organizational chart will be evaluated for the relationship and responsibilities of management, planning, quality assurance, subcontracting, scheduling, and on-site supervisors. Additional positive consideration will be given to proposals that demonstrate clearly defined lines of authority and control. The Government will assess the functions to be subcontracted as they pertain to this effort including the rationale for such and the percentage of work to be subcontracted. The Government will assess the contractor's ability, via the submitted procedures and techniques, to respond to more than one requirement (simultaneous task orders for more than one ship). The Government will assess the Offeror's adaptability to cope with schedule changes. Additionally, the Government will assess the Offeror's performance approach to successfully accomplishing the requirements of the solicitation, including the Statement of Work (SOW). The offeror will be required to provide, in detail, the methods for how it will provide Commercial Industrial Services – MK-41 Vertical Launching System Cell and/or Uptake Hatch assembly repairs and perform the specific requirements contained in the SOW. The Government will check if the offeror possesses certification which meets Section C reference C.1.1 (c) MK-41 Vertical Launching System Standing Instruction 86-2B, U. S. Navy MK-41 Vertical Launching System Personnel Qualification and Certification Program.

B. Manpower Resources – Under this sub-factor, the Government will assess the Offeror's plan to provide qualified personnel to perform quality work in accordance with requirements set forth in Section C of the solicitation. The Government will assess plans to recruit, train, or otherwise obtain adequately trained personnel to fulfill requirements of this contract. The Government will assess the offeror's ability in terms of manning to perform emergent requirements and manning available to perform under this contract in relation to manpower resources already committed elsewhere to other requirements or projected to be so in the future.

C. Experience – Under this sub-factor, the Government will review the experience claimed by the offeror for relevancy, depth and breadth. The greatest consideration will be given to offerors with the most number of instances (breadth) of recent experience that demonstrate the highest degree of complexity (depth) and similarity to the anticipated requirement (relevancy).

Technical capability will be rated according to the table below:

Technical Acceptable/Unacceptable Ratings	
Rating	Description
Acceptable	Proposal follows the instructions as outlined in Section L and clearly demonstrates the offeror’s ability to meet the requirements as stated in Section C of the solicitation.
Unacceptable	Proposal did not follow the instructions as outlined in Section L and does not clearly demonstrate the offeror’s ability to meet the requirements as stated in Section C of the solicitation.

3.3 Past Performance. Past performance is a measure of the degree to which an Offeror has satisfied customers in the past. Past Performance will be rated as “Acceptable” or “Unacceptable”, focusing on performance that is relevant to the contract requirements. Offeror past performance will be evaluated on the basis of the following subfactors (of past performance):

A. Technical (Quality of Product) – The government will review and evaluate the offeror’s past performance of the technical requirements of the subject availability, and the effectiveness of any implemented or proposed corrective actions on previously awarded relevant contracts.

B. Schedule – The Government will review and evaluate the offeror’s past performance in meeting schedule requirements of the subject availability, and the effectiveness of any implemented or proposed corrective actions on previously awarded relevant contracts.

C. Management – The Government will review and evaluate the performance in managing the subject availability, and the effectiveness of any implemented or proposed corrective actions on previously awarded relevant contracts. This includes a review and evaluation of the offeror’s past performance in complying with the stipulations within FAR Clause 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns.

For the purposes of this source selection, recent past performance is defined as contracts performed within three (3) years of the date of the issuance of the solicitation. Offerors without a record of relevant past performance, or for whom information on past performance is not available, will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance. Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of Acceptability/Unacceptability, “Unknown” shall be considered “Acceptable.”

The Government’s evaluation of your past performance will be subjective, and based mainly on performance evaluations available in the automated Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS), information obtained from your references during telephone interviews and/or performance questionnaires, and information available in the Government’s local files to evaluate past performance. The Government may use other sources, as appropriate. The Government will consider performance of work that you have subcontracted to another firm as your past performance. However, the Government will not consider the past performance of a proposed subcontractor, unless that performance was under a subcontract awarded by you. The Government will give greater consideration to contracts requiring the same or similar type and complexity of work required by the solicitation. However, other types of contracts/work may be considered as part of the past performance evaluation as well, if aspects of the past performance are deemed to have some bearing on the expected performance of the subject solicitation.

Past Performance will be rated according to the table below:

Past Performance Evaluation Ratings	
Rating	Description
Acceptable	Based on the Offeror’s performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the Offeror’s performance record is unknown.

Unacceptable	Based on the Offeror's performance record, the Government has no reasonable expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.
--------------	--

4.0 Source Selection Decision. The Government will make a source selection decision based on the Lowest Price Technically Acceptable method in accordance with FAR 15.101-2. An offeror must propose a reasonable price and be rated as "Acceptable" in both Technical Capability and Past Performance to be eligible for award.

(End of Summary of Changes)