

**MQ-8 FIRE SCOUT COURSEWARE DEVELOPMENT
SOLICITATION N61340-16-R-0009**

QUESTION/COMMENT FORM

<p>Subject:</p> <p>Past Performance – Attachment 5 – CPARS Update Questionnaire</p>		
Reference:	Paragraph:	Page
RFP Section J		21
<p>Question/Comment:</p> <p>CPARS Update Questionnaire (Attachment 5) is listed in RFP Section J, page 21 but its use is not defined in the RFP. It appears to duplicate some questions on Attachment 6 Past Performance Questionnaire.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. Question - Please define the requirements and use for Attachment 5 CPARS Update Questionnaire.</p>		
<p>Response:</p> <p>RFP L.B.2.1.f. (page 35): Forward a copy of the Past Performance Questionnaire (Attachment 6) to the past performance contract customer's Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO), Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO), Program Manager and Point of Contact for the following past performance contracts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Past performance contracts that do not have CPARS Reports, and• Past performance contracts with CPARS Reports completed by the Assessing Official more than six months prior to the RFP's proposal due date. <p>Please disregard Attachment 5.</p>		

**MQ-8 FIRE SCOUT COURSEWARE DEVELOPMENT
SOLICITATION N61340-16-R-0009**

QUESTION/COMMENT FORM

Subject: Volume 1 Technical – Hidden Text		
Reference: RFP Section L Part B	Paragraph: 1.0 Volume 1: Technical	Page 34
Question/Comment: RFP Section L Part B 1.0 page 34; When this section is viewed in Microsoft Office 2013 there is hidden text that can be viewed on-screen which details requirements for the proposal response in section 1.1 Element 1 Overall Approach paragraph a and in paragraph (c) to include requirements for submitting names and letters of commitment. These requirements do not show up in print form or when the document is viewed in Microsoft Office 2010. A. Question – are the requirements of the hidden text valid or should they be disregarded?		
Response: Hidden text should be ignored. PDF version of the RFP has been uploaded to FedBizOps and supersedes the earlier Microsoft Word version of the RFP.		

**MQ-8 FIRE SCOUT COURSEWARE DEVELOPMENT
SOLICITATION N61340-16-R-0009**

QUESTION/COMMENT FORM

<p>Subject:</p> <p>Volume 1 – Technical Page Count</p>		
<p>Reference:</p> <p>RFP Section L Part A</p>	<p>Paragraph:</p> <p>4.0 Proposal Volumes</p>	<p>Page</p> <p>30, 31</p>
<p>Question/Comment:</p> <p>RFP Section L Part A 4.0 page 31 details a 30 page limit for the contents of Volume 1. Volume 1 requires a cover page, table of contents, list of figures, a list of acronyms, and the use of tabs/dividers. Volume 1 content includes the Integrated Master Schedules detailed in Part B 1.2.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. Question – Are any these required documents/contents excluded from the page count?</p>		
<p>Response:</p> <p>Cover page, table of contents, list of figures, list of acronyms, and tabs/dividers are excluded from the 30 page limit. Integrated Master Schedules are included in the 30 page limit; however, combined, the Integrated Master Schedules should not exceed 10 pages.</p>		

**MQ-8 FIRE SCOUT COURSEWARE DEVELOPMENT
SOLICITATION N61340-16-R-0009**

QUESTION/COMMENT FORM

<p>Subject:</p> <p>Past Performance Contract Information</p>		
<p>Reference:</p> <p>RFP Section L Part B</p>	<p>Paragraph:</p> <p>2.2 Past Performance Contract Information</p>	<p>Page</p> <p>36</p>
<p>Question/Comment:</p> <p>RFP Section L Part B Section 2.2 page 36 requires information identified in the table to be provided for each contract.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. Question – does the government want the data for all contracts in combined in one all-inclusive table or do they want the information provided for each past performance separately?</p>		
<p>Response:</p> <p>The information should be submitted as one all-inclusive table.</p>		