

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 5
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 31-Aug-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHWEST POINT LOMA FEAD/CODE ROPML NAVAL BASE POINT LOMA 4635 PACIFIC HWY SAN DIEGO CA 92110-2756	CODE N62473	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N62473-15-R-1012	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 17-Aug-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended.				
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)				
N62473-15-R-1012, NAICS 238220 JOB ORDER CONTRACT, NAVAL BASE POINT LOMA, SAN DIEGO, CA				
The purpose of this Amendment is to provide clarifications, include complete forms and to extend the Proposal DUE DATE/TIME from 2:00pm (PST) September 03, 2015 to 2:00pm (PST) September 08, 2015.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		31-Aug-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION A - SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 03-Sep-2015 02:00 PM to 08-Sep-2015 02:00 PM.

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 05**AMENDMENT 0005- DATED 31 AUGUST 2015 –
N62473-15-R-1012**

Subject: **N62473-15-R-1012, NAICS 238220 JOB ORDER CONTRACT, NAVAL BASE POINT LOMA, SAN DIEGO, CA**

The purpose of this Amendment is to provide clarifications, incorporate forms in their entirety and to **extend the Proposal DUE DATE/TIME from 2:00pm (PST) September 03, 2015 to 2:00pm (PST) September 08, 2015:**

Request for Information (RFI) responses:

1. Question: Individual performance and payment bonds are going to be required for each individual task order. If so is there a minimum size of task order where final bonds will be required?

Response: Performance bonds and payment bonds are required for 100 percent of the original contract price and all Task Orders exceeding \$30,000; unless the contracting officer makes a written determination supported by specific findings that bonds might be required for a lesser amount.

Please reference FAR 28.102-2 Amount Required.

2. Question: Will the Navy accept an annual performance and payment bond for \$2,000,000?

Response: No, the Awarded Offeror will need to provide the Contracting Officer a Letter of bondability for the total contract amount of \$10,000,000 (aggregate) and minimum amount of \$2,000 (single). After contract award, a blanket performance and payment bond for an amount approved per the Contracting Officer may be acceptable.

3. Question: Is the Navy going to require performance and payment bonds for the full maximum potential amount of the entire potential contract term of 5 years for \$10,000,000.

Response: Yes. A bid guarantee is required for 20% of the minimum guarantee of \$5,000. A letter of bondability is required for the total contract maximum amount of \$10, 000, 000, (aggregate) and a minimum amount of \$2,000 (single).

4. Question: This particular contractor has other task order work in place with the Navy. Last year the contractor secured 3-4 task orders for roughly \$500,000 each, with each individual task order being for work to be done in 3-4 separate buildings. In that case, we initially provided separate bonds for each task order but the Navy ultimately required the contractor to furnish one bond for \$2,200,000 covering all of the task orders that were awarded at that same time. Is the Navy looking to also require final bonds on task orders to be awarded under this upcoming solicitation in the same way, where several simultaneously awarded task orders become grouped together under the same final bond requirement?

Response: Although not a requirement of the contract, it was previously recommended that the contractor may provide blanket bonds (i.e. amount to be determined by the contractor) in order to cover several projects that are awarded simultaneously in order to facilitate and expedite the submittal time and minimize administrative efforts. This will only be applicable to the successful Offeror/Awardee.

5. Question: SOW calls for 12 week to complete the project; taking into account submittals and procurement plus delivery that will only leave us best case 1 week to complete the project

Response: Period of Performance stated in the SOW could be flexible; the government might consider extending the period of performance based on specific client's requirement and urgency of each task order.

6. Question: What is the current DDC system for this building and the installation?

Response: The existing DDC is thermostat controlled and thermostat control will be installed.

7. Question: The building has an existing overhead crane that looks to still be functional. When was the last time the crane was certified, is the certification current and can we use it to remove the units from the roof and set the new units?

Response: The contractor shall provide their own crane; the existing Government crane will not be used on this project.

8. Question: Exhibit B, the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) attachment is attached. There is no place for review from the Client. Is there a missing page?

Response: Yes, The PPQ Questionnaire included on the original solicitation posted on August 17, 2015 was missing the evaluation pages. Amendment 0005 incorporates the evaluation pages for the client to complete.

9. What if our past performance grading questionnaire will not be able part of bid package due to a short notice we received the forms, our clients cannot respond with a very limited time allocated but it will be late, Will this disqualify our bid package?

Response: PPQ must be submitted with the proposals. Due to missing pages of the PPQ, Amendment 0005 **extends the Proposal DUE DATE/TIME from 2:00pm (PST) September 03, 2015 to 2:00pm (PST) September 08, 2015.**

10. We request at least 5 more work days this bid be extended.

Response: Please refer to answer to question No. 9 above .

11. For this bid proposal we will need to submit actual Current Quarter pre-priced itemized cost proposal from RS Means per the SOW in the RFP?

Response: Yes, The current edition of the MEANS Cost Data books or software shall be used in each performance period of the contract, including the most current quarterly updates for all costs, please refer to Section B of the original solicitation posted on August 17, 2015.

The following forms have been incorporated into Amendment 0005 in their entirety:

- Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) (incorporates page 2-4 in conjunction to the original form submitted 08/17/2015)
- Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (incorporates page 2 in conjunction to the original form submitted 08/17/2015)
- Financial Questionnaire
- Safety Data Sheet (incorporates page 2 in conjunction to the original form submitted 08/17/2015).

ALL OTHER PARAGRAPHS, PROVISIONS, AND CONDITIONS TO N62473-15-R-1012 REMAIN UNCHANGED. **

THE OFFEROR MUST ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ALL AMENDMENTS ON THE OFFER SCHEDULE

SECTION J - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

The Table of Contents has changed from:

Exhibit/Attachment Table of Contents

DOCUMENT TYPE	DESCRIPTION	PAGES	DATE
Attachment 2	FORMS		25-AUG-2015
Attachment 3	Replace Air Handling Units (AHU) at SOC		21-AUG-2015
Attachment 5	Existing Conditions Inventory		27-AUG-2015

to:

Exhibit/Attachment Table of Contents

DOCUMENT TYPE	DESCRIPTION	PAGES	DATE
Attachment 2	FORMS		25-AUG-2015
Attachment 3	Replace Air Handling Units (AHU) at SOC		21-AUG-2015
Attachment 5	Existing Conditions Inventory		27-AUG-2015
Attachment 6	Attachment_FORMS		31-AUG-2015

(End of Summary of Changes)

NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0)

CONTRACT INFORMATION (Contractor to complete Blocks 1-4)

1. Contractor Information

Firm Name: _____ CAGE Code: _____
Address: _____ DUNs Number: _____
Phone Number: _____
Email Address: _____
Point of Contact: _____ Contact Phone Number: _____

2. Work Performed as: Prime Contractor Sub Contractor Joint Venture Other (Explain)

Percent of project work performed: _____
If subcontractor, who was the prime (Name/Phone #): _____

3. Contract Information

Contract Number: _____
Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable): _____
Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price Cost Reimbursement Other (Please specify): _____
Contract Title: _____
Contract Location: _____

Award Date (mm/dd/yy): _____
Contract Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): _____
Actual Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): _____
Explain Differences: _____

Original Contract Price (Award Amount): _____
Final Contract Price (*to include all modifications, if applicable*): _____
Explain Differences: _____

4. Project Description:

Complexity of Work High Med Routine
How is this project relevant to project of submission? (*Please provide details such as similar equipment, requirements, conditions, etc.*)

CLIENT INFORMATION (Client to complete Blocks 5-8)

5. Client Information

Name: _____
Title: _____
Phone Number: _____
Email Address: _____

6. Describe the client's role in the project:

7. Date Questionnaire was completed (mm/dd/yy): _____

8. Client's Signature:

NOTE: NAVFAC REQUESTS THAT THE CLIENT COMPLETES THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND SUBMITS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE OFFEROR. THE OFFEROR WILL SUBMIT THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO NAVFAC WITH THEIR PROPOSAL, AND MAY DUPLICATE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FUTURE SUBMISSION ON NAVFAC SOLICITATIONS. CLIENTS ARE HIGHLY ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT QUESTIONNAIRES DIRECTLY TO THE OFFEROR. HOWEVER, QUESTIONNAIRES MAY BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO NAVFAC. PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFEROR FOR NAVFAC POC INFORMATION. THE GOVERNMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VERIFY ANY AND ALL INFORMATION ON THIS FORM.

**ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE**

RATING	DEFINITION	NOTE
(E) Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was highly effective.	An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
(VG) Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.	A Very Good rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
(S) Satisfactory	Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	A Satisfactory rating is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract.
(M) Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	A Marginal is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner.
(U) Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	An Unsatisfactory rating is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating.
(N) Not Applicable	No information or did not apply to your contract	Rating will be neither positive nor negative.

Contractor Information (Firm Name): _____

Client Information (Name): _____

TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT

PLEASE CIRCLE THE ADJECTIVE RATING WHICH BEST REFLECTS YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE.

1. QUALITY:	
a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts	E VG S M U N
b) Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance	E VG S M U N
c) Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance	E VG S M U N
d) Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance)	E VG S M U N
2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:	
a) Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones. <i>(If liquidated damages were assessed or the schedule was not met, please address below)</i>	E VG S M U N
b) Rate the contractor's use of available resources to accomplish tasks identified in the contract	E VG S M U N
3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:	
a) To what extent were the end users satisfied with the project?	E VG S M U N
b) Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports, businesslike and communication)	E VG S M U N
c) To what extent was the contractor cooperative, businesslike, and concerned with the interests of the customer?	E VG S M U N
d) Overall customer satisfaction	E VG S M U N
4. MANAGEMENT/ PERSONNEL/LABOR	
a) Effectiveness of on-site management, including management of subcontractors, suppliers, materials, and/or labor force?	E VG S M U N
b) Ability to hire, apply, and retain a qualified workforce to this effort	E VG S M U N
c) Government Property Control	E VG S M U N
d) Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by contractor personnel	E VG S M U N
e) Utilization of Small Business concerns	E VG S M U N
f) Ability to simultaneously manage multiple projects with multiple disciplines	E VG S M U N
g) Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in requirements and/or priority, including planning, execution and response to Government changes	E VG S M U N
h) Effectiveness of overall management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program)	E VG S M U N
5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	
a) Ability to meet the terms and conditions within the contractually agreed price(s)?	E VG S M U N

Contractor Information (Firm Name): _____

Client Information (Name): _____

b) Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) If this is/was a Government cost type contract, please rate the Contractor's timeliness and accuracy in submitting monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation, monthly status reports/budget variance reports, compliance with established budgets and avoidance of significant and/or unexplained variances (under runs or overruns)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Is the Contractor's accounting system adequate for management and tracking of costs? <i>If no, please explain in Remarks section.</i>	Yes			No		
e) If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? <i>Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.</i>	Yes			No		
f) Have there been any indications that the contractor has had any financial problems? <i>If yes, please explain below.</i>	Yes			No		
6. SAFETY/SECURITY						
a) To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan, and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.	E	VG	S	M	U	N
7. GENERAL						
a) Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations (including notifying COR, PM or Contracting Officer in a timely manner regarding urgent contractual issues).	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Compliance with contractual terms/provisions (<i>explain if specific issues</i>)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) Would you hire or work with this firm again? (<i>If no, please explain below</i>)	Yes			No		
d) In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this contractor.	E	VG	S	M	U	N

Please provide responses to the questions above (*if applicable*) and/or additional remarks. Furthermore, please provide a brief narrative addressing specific strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, or other comments which may assist our office in evaluating performance risk (*please attach additional pages if necessary*):

ATTACHMENT

CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE PROJECT DATA SHEET – OFFEROR
N62473-15-R-1012

This form is to be completed by the Offeror. Submit (one per project) in order to provide supporting project information for Factor 1, Experience. Only projects that are within the project description parameters of the solicitation will be considered relevant. Submit five (5) projects. All other projects will not be considered.

Project No. (check one): - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5

Contractor:

1. **Offeror Name:** _____
Firm who performed the work: _____
Performed this work as: Prime Contractor Subcontractor Joint Venture
 Other (explain): _____
 If subcontractor, name of prime: _____ Phone #: _____

If the firm who performed this project differs from the Offeror proposing on this contract, identify the firm's relationship to the Offeror:

<input type="checkbox"/> Joint Venture Partner	<input type="checkbox"/> Subsidiary
<input type="checkbox"/> Partner	<input type="checkbox"/> Satellite Office
<input type="checkbox"/> Subcontractor	<input type="checkbox"/> Predecessor Company
<input type="checkbox"/> Parent Company	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:

Explain how this firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract:

Construction Contract:

2. **Contract/Project No.:** _____ **Delivery/Task Order Number:** _____
Title: _____
Location: _____

3. Award Date (mm/dd/yy): _____	Award Amount: \$ _____
Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): _____	Final Price: \$ _____
This is the: <input type="checkbox"/> total project <input type="checkbox"/> subcontract price	

4. **Type of Contract:** Design-Build. If D-B, design firm was: _____
 (check one) Design-Bid-Build

Customer:

5. **Name:** _____
Point of Contact Name/Title: _____
 POC Phone Number: _____ POC Email Address: _____

Project Description:

6. **Type of Work (check all that apply):** New Construction Renovation Repair

7. Provide a detailed description of the project and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP:

8. If project is design-build, provide a detailed description of the design effort:

**9. Percentage of work your firm self-performed (see definition): _____ %
Provide a detailed description of the work your firm self-performed on this project.**

FINANCIAL QUESTIONNAIRE
(To be submitted by Offeror)

Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Diego, California is currently considering award of a Navy contract to our firm. Prior to award, the Navy requests the financial information listed below with regard to our firm. In order to be responsive to the Navy's needs it is requested that you complete this financial questionnaire with regard to our firm. Upon completion of the questionnaire it is requested that you **place the completed questionnaire in a sealed envelope showing the return address of the financial institution and forward it to the Offeror shown below.**

The contractor needs the information **no later than:** _____
All information will be kept strictly confidential.

Prospective contractor for which information is being requested:

Name: _____

Address: _____

Point of Contact: _____

Phone Number _____

Signature Of The Person Authorized To request Financial Information: _____

Account Number: _____ Type of Account: _____ Average Figure: _____

Do they have a Line of Credit: Yes No

What is their limitation? _____ Balance unused? _____

Relationship with the bank: Outstanding Satisfactory Other: _____

How long have they been a client? _____

What is their most recent credit rating? _____

Date of most recent credit rating? _____

Credit rating prepared by: _____

Signature of Prospective Contractor: _____ Date: _____

Date: _____

(Signature and title of person providing the information)

SAFETY DATA SHEET

N62473-15-R-1012

This form is to be completed by the Offeror. Use this form to provide safety information for the Safety factor. TRC and DART rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.

Joint Venture (JV) or Partnership: Provide a Safety Data Sheet for each contractor who is part of the JV or partnership; however, only one safety narrative is required.

1. Offeror Name:

Name of JV partner providing the information below (if applicable):

Offeror's Three Previous Complete Calendar Years of Reported Safety Data:

Only complete year safety data will be considered. Indicate data rates as decimal figures, not whole numbers.

2. Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:	2012	2013	2014

3a. Explain any extenuating circumstances resulting in any TRCs greater than 1.0:

3b. Explain any upward or downward TRC trends:

3c. If you do not have an TRC for a given year, state so here and explain why:

4. Insurance Carrier Information:

Insurance Carrier Name:

Agent's name:

Phone No.:

Email:

5. U.S. Dept. of Labor, OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate	2012	2013	2014

6a. Explain any extenuating circumstances resulting in any DART Rates of 3.0 or greater:

6b. Explain any upward or downward DART Rate trends:

6c. If you do not have a DART Rate for a given year, state so here and explain why:

7. Technical Approach for Safety: Provide the Safety Narrative using this form. Do not exceed 2 pages. Any additional pages will not be considered.

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate the safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors:

Describe how the Offeror will ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels:

Note: Form may be expanded