

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 30-Mar-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. ACQR3830564		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHWEST COASTAL IPT CODE ROPMA NAVAL STATION SAN DIEGO 2730 MCKEAN ST BLDG 291 SAN DIEGO CA 92136	CODE N62473	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N62473-15-R-1604	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 13-Mar-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this Amendment is to revise Evaluation Factor 2 - Experience and to answer the RFIs received to date. All other terms and conditions of this solicitation remain unchanged.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 30-Mar-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0001**AMENDMENT 0001****30 March 2015****PROJECT: P800 STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM DECENTRALIZATION****NAVAL BASE SAN DIEGO, CA****N62473-15-R-1604****1. Make the following change to RFP Part 1, page 13, Factor 2 – Experience:**

Change the Basis of Evaluation in paragraph (b) of Factor 2 – Experience from:

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five projects for construction and five for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable features may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable features.

To:

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five projects for construction and five for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable features may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable features.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with work on waterfront piers, or experience on projects of 10 million BTU/hr or greater may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with waterfront piers or with projects of 10 million BTU/hr or greater.

Relevant design experience projects that demonstrate experience with construction/renovation within registered historic districts, or on registered historic buildings may be considered more favorably than those that do not have experience with construction/renovation within registered historic districts, or on registered historic buildings.

2. Responses to RFIs received to date are provided below:

RFI #1: Section 0100: In Factor 2 Design Experience, it states that the Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor.

Can the Offeror also use a subcontractor to demonstrate construction experience?

Government Response: No. In accordance with the evaluation factor, subcontractor projects may not be used to demonstrate construction experience.

RFI #2: RFP Factor 2 – Experience (1) Construction Experience: Please confirm whether or not projects used as past experience showing either listed more favorable scopes i.e. “- Demonstrates experience with work on waterfront piers. - Demonstrates experience on projects of 10 million BTU/hour or greater. “ need to also show relevant scope as indicated in the Construction experience paragraph i.e. “a relevant project is further defined as gas-fired steam, heating hot water systems or Natural Gas Main infrastructure, particularly with controlling and maintaining pressures in the system; with construction costs of at least \$5,000,000”

Government Response: In accordance with Factor 2- Experience, submitted projects must first meet the threshold as a relevant project as stated in the criteria. Beyond that, the additional experience of work on waterfront piers or with projects of 10 million BTU/hr or greater may be considered more favorably.

RFI # 3: Solicitation - Factor 3 – Past Performance, 1st paragraph: This section states the following: “If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for construction experience. If a completed AE Contractor Appraisal Support System (ACASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for design experience. If there is not a completed CCASS or ACASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment D) for each project included in Factor 2 for both Construction Experience and Design Experience.”

Question: If an evaluation has been completed under the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), can an offeror submit the CPAR for a particular past performance project, or is the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) required if no CCASS OR ACASS evaluation has been completed?

Government Response: For projects with a CPARS evaluation, that evaluation shall be submitted. If the project does not have an evaluation in the CPAR system (CCASS or ACASS), then a PPQ is required.

RFI #4: RFP Factor 2 – Experience (1) Design Experience: During the pre-proposal conference, a question was asked regarding a Design Qualification. For Design experience in Factor 2, the RFP indicates that “the projects submitted shall be 100% complete within the past five (5) years” does submission of Final Design Documents meet this requirement?

Government Response: No, the Final Design Documents must be accepted and signed within the past five (5) years to meet the submission requirement.

RFI #5: RFP Factor 2 – Experience (1) Construction Experience: The RFP states "Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be 100% complete within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP." In order for Offerors to demonstrate a more inclusive resume of relevant and favorable experience, will the Government please consider extending the project experience to 100% completion within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP?

Government Response: Factor 2 – Experience will remain as currently stated, with projects required to be 100% complete within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

END OF AMENDMENT

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS SOLICITATION REMAIN UNCHANGED

(End of Summary of Changes)