

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 11
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0008	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 17-Jun-2016	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHWEST SPECIALTY CONTRACTS CORE CODE RAQ30 1220 PACIFIC HWY, BLDG 127 SAN DIEGO CA 92132-5190	CODE N62473	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N62473-16-R-0202	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 28-Apr-2016	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Title: Contract Labor Support for Tradesmen, Maintenance, Diagnostics, Repair, and Professional Support Services for NAVFAC Southwest Area of Responsibility. The description of the Amendment continues on page 2. All other terms and conditions of this solicitation remain unchanged and in effect. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 17-Jun-2016

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0008

1. **The purpose of Amendment 0008 is to change the following Evaluation Factors in Section M. Evaluation Factors under Section M - Evaluation Factors for Award:**

FROM:**FACTOR 1 – CORPORATE EXPERIENCE**

- (a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Submit three (3) temporary labor support services contracts for the Offeror that best demonstrates experience that meets the minimum dollar value, recency, relevancy, as special experience and type (discipline and performance location) listed below.

For purposes of this evaluation, the minimum recency requirement is as follows:

1. The contracts submitted shall be awarded within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP, and be complete or partially performed. "Partially performed" is defined as 60% or more of the total value of the contract has been performed.

For purposes of this evaluation, the minimum relevancy requirements are as follows:

1. The contract must have been awarded to the offeror as the prime contractor.
2. The total contract value for each contract submitted as experience shall have a potential (base plus options) minimum total value of \$3.5M.

For purposes of this evaluation, the special experience type requirements are:

1. At least one (1) contract must be submitted as experience that includes at least one of the following disciplines: tradesmen, maintenance, diagnostics, repair support, and/or professional support services.
2. At least one (1) contract submitted as experience must be for work performed on a federal installation.

The attached Recent Relevant Experience Contract Data Sheet (**Exhibit "A"** under Tab "A") is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit contract corporate experience information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. The content of Exhibit A, as included in the solicitation, may not be edited. Exhibit A is limited to three (3) pages; all additional pages will be removed and will not be evaluated.

For all submitted contracts, the description of the contracts shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the disciplines involved. Clearly state which contract(s) demonstrates the requirement of meeting at least one (1) of the disciplines described in the relevancy requirements section of the solicitation and clearly state which contract(s) demonstrates meeting at least one (1) contract submitted as experience for work performed on a federal installation.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant contract experience should be submitted for contracts completed by the Joint Venture entity or the Joint Venture partners. Offerors are still limited to a total of three (3) contracts combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF33), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract in order for the past performance information of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies to be considered. The proposal shall state specific commitments of technical resources (e.g. personnel, equipment) that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies commit to the performance of this contract. In particular, the proposal will clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member that will be located at the worksites and company offices in the city/area of the contract. The proposal shall also describe specific roles of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies in terms of the work it will either self-perform or manage on behalf of the Offeror in performance of the contract. Any contracts submitted in excess of the three (3) will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will be the Offeror's demonstrated experience in performing three (3) recent and relevant temporary labor support services contracts as defined in the proposal submission requirements above. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Proposals which submit fewer than three (3) relevant temporary labor support services contracts or fail to demonstrate experience as required above will be rated Unacceptable.

Any contracts submitted as experience which are (1) in excess of the three (3) required contracts, (2) not awarded within the past five (5) years of the date specified and complete or partially performed (see definition), (3) not awarded to the offeror as the prime contractor, (4) under the minimum total value of \$3.5M (see definition), or (5) outside the scope of work of this solicitation, will not be evaluated.

TO:

FACTOR 1 – CORPORATE EXPERIENCE

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Submit three (3) temporary labor support services contracts for the Offeror that best demonstrates experience that meets the minimum dollar value, recency, relevancy, as special experience and type (discipline and performance location) listed below.

For purposes of this evaluation, the minimum recency requirement is as follows:

1. The contracts submitted shall be awarded within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP, and be complete or partially performed. "Partially performed" is defined as 60% or more of the total value of the contract has been performed.

For purposes of this evaluation, the minimum relevancy requirements are as follows:

1. The contract must have been awarded to the offeror as the prime contractor.

2. The total contract value for each contract submitted as experience shall have a potential (base plus options) minimum total value of \$3.5M.

For purposes of this evaluation, the special experience type requirements are:

1. At least one (1) contract must be submitted as experience that includes at least one of the following disciplines: tradesmen, maintenance, diagnostics, repair support, and/or professional support services.
2. At least one (1) contract submitted as experience must be for work performed on a federal installation.
3. At least one (1) contract submitted as experience must be by an offeror who will be submitting as the Prime Contractor for this solicitation.

The attached Recent Relevant Experience Contract Data Sheet (**Exhibit "A"** under Tab "A") is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit contract corporate experience information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. The content of Exhibit A, as included in the solicitation, may not be edited. Exhibit A is limited to three (3) pages; all additional pages will be removed and will not be evaluated.

For all submitted contracts, the description of the contracts shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the disciplines involved. Clearly state which contract(s) demonstrates the requirement of meeting at least one (1) of the disciplines described in the relevancy requirements section of the solicitation and clearly state which contract(s) demonstrates meeting at least one (1) contract submitted as experience for work performed on a federal installation.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant contract experience should be submitted for contracts completed by the Joint Venture entity or the Joint Venture partners. Offerors are still limited to a total of three (3) contracts combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF33), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract in order for the past performance information of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies to be considered. The proposal shall state specific commitments of technical resources (e.g. personnel, equipment) that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies commit to the performance of this contract. In particular, the proposal will clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member that will be located at the worksites and company offices in the city/area of the contract. The proposal shall also describe specific roles of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies in terms of the work it will either self-perform or manage on behalf of the Offeror in performance of the contract. Any contracts submitted in excess of the three (3) will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will be the Offeror's demonstrated experience in performing three (3) recent and relevant temporary labor support services contracts as defined in the proposal submission requirements above. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Proposals which submit fewer than three (3) relevant temporary labor support services contracts or fail to demonstrate experience as required above will be rated Unacceptable.

Any contracts submitted as experience which are (1) in excess of the three (3) required contracts, (2) not awarded within the past five (5) years of the date specified and complete or partially performed (see definition), (3) not awarded to the offeror as the prime contractor, (4) under the minimum total value of \$3.5M (see definition), or (5) outside the scope of work of this solicitation, will not be evaluated. (6) At least one project submitted as experience must be by an Offeror who will be submitting as the Prime Contractor for this solicitation.

FROM:**FACTOR 2 – SAFETY**(a) Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. TRC and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:

For the five (5) previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA TRC Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA TRC Rate data should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the five (5) previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to qualify, evaluate, select and oversee its potential subcontractors. The Safety narrative shall be limited to one page. Offerors must submit both (1) a plan to include the safety performance of subcontractors in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and (2) a plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those subcontractors whose EMR is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 3.0. Offerors who fail to submit either of these will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine whether the Offeror has an acceptable safety record. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record as evidenced by the TRC and DART rates, if the Offeror's plan includes safety in the evaluation and selection of subcontractors, and if the narrative includes a plan to monitor the safety performance of subcontractors during performance. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety

(1) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA TRC Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA TRC rate is above 4.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, will

be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA DART rate is above 3.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine if subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the qualification, evaluation, selection, of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project, and both the plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 3.0. Offerors who fail to address either of these items (i.e. whether the safety performance of subcontractors will be evaluated in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and whether the safety of those subcontractors will be monitored during contract performance) will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

TO:

FACTOR 2 – SAFETY

(a) Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.) Exhibit B, Safety Data & Narrative Sheet, is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit safety information.

(1) OSHA Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years (2013, 2014, and 2015), submit your Total Experience Modification Rate (EMR) (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three year period), as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an EMR Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA EMR Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. EMR rates above 4.0, in any of the previous three years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years (2013, 2014, and 2015), submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous three years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to qualify, evaluate, select and oversee its potential subcontractors. The Safety narrative shall be limited to one page. Offerors must submit both (1) a plan to include the safety performance of subcontractors in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and (2) a plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR is greater than 3.0, whose DART rate is greater than 3.0. Offerors who fail to submit either of these will be rated UNACCEPTABLE. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to two (2) pages.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, and any innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement.

The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Facility Accident and Incident Reporting (FAIR) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

* Note: Blank entries will be considered unacceptable.

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. EMR rates above 4.0, in any of the previous three years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA DART rate is above 3.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous three years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine if subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the qualification, evaluation, selection, of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project, and both the plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR is greater than 4.0, whose DART rate is greater than 3.0. Offerors who fail to address either of these items (i.e. whether the safety performance of subcontractors will be evaluated in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and whether the safety of those subcontractors will be monitored during contract performance) will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

2. The purpose of Amendment 0008 is to also revise Attachment J-16 Safety Data & Narrative in Section J - Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments.

**ATTACHMENT J-16
SAFETY DATA & NARRATIVE
SOLICITATION NO: N62473-16-R-0202**

This form is to be completed by the Offeror. Use this form to provide safety information for the Safety factor. EMR and DART rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.

Joint Venture (JV): Provide a safety Data Sheet for each contractor who is part of the JV; however, only one safety narrative is required.

1. Offeror Name:

Name of Joint Venture partner providing the information below (if applicable):

Offeror's Three Previous (complete) Years of Reported Safety Data:

Only complete year safety data will be considered. Indicate data rates as decimal figures, not whole numbers. NOTE: Blank entries will be considered non-responsive. If you have no established rates, annotate block with "see narrative below." Any responses with a blank will be considered incomplete and may result in an unsatisfactory rating.

2. Experience Modification Rate (EMR)	2013	2014	2015

3a. Explanation of any extenuating circumstances resulting in any EMRs greater than 1.0 and address any corrective action(s) taken:

3b. Explain any upward EMR trends:

3c. If you do not have an EMR for a given year, state so here and explain why:

4. Insurance Carrier Information:

Insurance Carrier Name:

Agent's name:

Phone Number:

5. U.S. Dept. of Labor, OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate*	2013	2014	2015

**For each year, submit a completed copy of U.S. Dept. of Labor, [OSHA Form 300A](#) (Summary of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses), latest version. This information is required regardless of number of employees in the company.*

6a. Explain any extenuating circumstances resulting in any DART rates 3.0 or greater and address any corrective action(s) taken:

6b. Explain any upward DART rate trends:

6c. If you do not have a DART rate for a given year, state so here and explain why:

**ATTACHMENT J-16
SAFETY DATA & NARRATIVE
SOLICITATION NO: N62473-16-R-0202**

7. Provide the Technical Approach for Safety using this form. The Technical Approach for Safety Narrative shall not exceed two (2) single-sided pages (or one (1) double-sided page). Any additional pages will not be considered.

Technical Approach for Safety:

7a. Describe the plan the Offeror will implement to evaluate the safety program and performance of potential subcontractors:

7b. Describe the plan to monitor subcontractor worksite safety:

7c. Describe any innovative methods the Offeror will employ that may enhance worksite safety for this procurement:

3. The purpose of Amendment 0008 is to also provide questions and answers:

QUESTION 1: Will the Project Manager be provided a dedicated work area at the customer site for the performance of this contract?

ANSWER 1: The Project manager will not be provided a dedicated work area at the customer site for the performance of this contract.

QUESTION 2: What is the anticipated start date of this contract?

ANSWER 2: See Amendment 0001 with updated language in section A, A.2 Type of Contract.

QUESTION 3: Upon contract execution, does the government currently know or have a projection of the anticipated initial task orders and personnel requirements to be filled during the transition/mobilization phase as we assume contract responsibility?

ANSWER 3: This information is not available at this time.

QUESTION 4: How should the offeror submit their TRC rates that are requested under Factor 2 - Safety?

ANSWER 4: See Amendment 0008, revision of Section M Factor 2 – Safety , and revision of Attachment J-16 Safety Data & Narrative.

QUESTION 5: Tab C in the Technical Proposal is required to contain EMR and DART Rates with a one page limit. Page 5 of 0202_Amendment_007pdf provides Attachment J-16 Safety Data & Narrative with blocks for 2012, 2013 and 2014 EMR and DART data. Is it the Government's intent that blocks 1 thru 6 portion of this attachment be used as the Tab C content?

ANSWER 5: See Amendment 0002, page 4, volume #1 – Technical Proposal, (2) Technical Proposal, Tab C. Offeror needs to provide both attachment J-16 and Tab C.

QUESTION 6: Section M.5 requires submittal of Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rates for the five previous complete calendar years. If it is the Government's intent that Attachment J-16 (which only asks for EMR and DART data) is to be used to provide the content of Tab C, where in the proposal does the Government wish the TRC rates to be provided?

ANSWER 6: See Amendment 0008, revision of M.5 Factor 2 – Safety and attachment J-16 Safety Data & Narrative.

QUESTION 7: Section M.5 requires submittal of Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rates for the five previous complete calendar years. If it is the Governments intent that Attachment J-16 be used to provide the content of Tab C, Attachment J-16 only requests DART data for 2012, 2013, 2014. Should the offeror modify J-16 to add 2011 and 2015 DART data?

ANSWER 7: See Amendment 0008, revision of M.5 Factor 2 – Safety and attachment J-16 Safety Data & Narrative.

QUESTION 8: Unlike for TRC and DART rates (as specified in paragraphs, M.5 FACTOR 2 - SAFETY (a)(1) and M.5 FACTOR 2 - SAFETY (a)(2), respectively) there does not appear to be a specific requirement to provide a specific history (e.g. five years) of EMR data. Should the offeror just provide the 2012, 2013, 2014 data requested on Attachment J-16, or should the offeror modify J-16 to add 2011 and 2015 EMR data?

ANSWER 8: See Amendment 0008, revision of M.5 Factor 2 – Safety and attachment J-16 Safety Data & Narrative.

QUESTION 9: Tab D in the Technical Proposal is required to contain the Technical Approach for Safety with a two page limit. Page 6 of 0202_Amendment_007pdf provides Attachment J-16 Safety Data & Narrative with blocks for the Technical Approach for Safety. Is it the Government's intent that the block 7 portion of this attachment be used as the Tab D content?

ANSWER 9: See Amendment 0002, page 4, volume #1 – Technical Proposal, (2) Technical Proposal, Tab C. Offeror needs to provide both attachment J-16 and Tab C.

4. The purpose of Amendment 0008 is also to provide a new Attachment J-08 Burdened Rate Breakout as follows:

DELETE Attachment J-08, Burdened Rate Breakout of Section J - Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments in its entirety.

ADD a revised version of Attachment J-08, Burdened Rate Breakout of Section J - Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments.

- 5. The purpose of Amendment 0008 is also to add the FAR clause 52.237-10 Identification of Uncompensated Overtime under section I.**
- 6. The deadline for submission of Requests for Information (RFI's) for questions has expired. All RFI's received to date have been answered.**
- 7. The proposal due date remains the same: June 23, 2016 at 2:00 PM local time.**
- 8. Offerors shall state their acknowledgement of this Amendment when submitting proposals.**

SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES

The following have been added by reference:

52.237-10 Identification of Uncompensated Overtime MAR 2015

(End of Summary of Changes)