

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1   4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 30-Oct-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. ACQR2853215		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHWEST CENTRAL IPT CODE ROPCA 1220 PACIFIC HWY SAN DIEGO CA 92132-5190	CODE N62473	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N62473-16-R-0601	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 15-Oct-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  Subj: N62473-16-R-0601, P-222 ENHANCED LAND MOBILE RADIO (E-LMR) SLICE COMMUNICATION TOWERS AT MARINE CORPS MOUNTAIN WARFARE TRAINING CENTER BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA  Mod Reason Code: ADMN  Description of Modification continues on Page 2.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED  30-Oct-2015	

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

## SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

P00001

1. The purpose of this administrative modification is to Revise the Request for Proposal (RFP) and provide responses to the Request for Information (RFI's):

**A. The RFP is hereby revised as follows:**

(1) Section 00210, Basis of Award and Evaluation Factors, Para. 5 Phase I Basis of Evaluation/Submission Requirements/Criteria Description, Factor 2 – Experience, (a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements (1) Construction Experience:

**DELETE:** The offeror may not utilize experience of a subcontractor to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor.

**REPLACE WITH:** The Offeror may utilize experience of a subcontractor that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer shall provide a letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement that the subcontractor will have in performance of this contract.

(2) Section 00210, Basis of Award and Evaluation Factors, Para. 5 Phase I Basis of Evaluation/Submission Requirements/Criteria Description, Factor 2 – Experience, (a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements (1) Construction Experience:

**DELETE:** Submit a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP.

**REPLACE WITH:** Submit a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP for awards of \$5,000,000 or more.

**B. Responses to RFI's received:**

**RFI 1:** Is there a site visit schedule for this project?

**Response 1:** There is no scheduled site visit in Phase I.

**RFI 2:** Question is regarding: Factor 2 – Experience (a) (2) Design Experience; SECTION 00210 BASIS OF AWARD; Paragraph: 5. Phase I Basis of Evaluation/Submission Requirements/Criteria Description, Page 15 of 54.

The provided proposal instructions ask each bidder to identify both the proposed primary construction firms and primary design firms for this potential contract. As part of the formulation of our design team, we are working on bringing in several small business firms for specific areas including solar system design.

This evaluation factor includes an overarching requirement for identifying programs greater than \$5,000,000 and then a specific requirement to identify a design program with solar and batteries. We have talked with several small business solar firms but none of these firms have any previously completed solar programs close to a program value of \$5,000,000. The average maximum program value for these solar companies is between \$500,000 and \$1,000,000.

We kindly request this requirement be reviewed and modified so small business participation can be used for the potential work associated with solar and batteries.

**Response 2:**

The requirement of a project size of \$5,000,000 will remain unchanged. Please note that each relevant project requires at a minimum, a 50 foot free standing tower.

**RFI 3:** Question is regarding: “The offeror may not utilize experience of a subcontractor to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor.” Section 00210, Paragraph 5. Phase I Basis of Evaluation/Submission Requirements/Criteria Description, Page 15.

Our company has extensive experience in erecting towers (and supporting shelters with propane power sources) of the exact type being sought under the solicitation, having recently installed a large infrastructure of towers for the US Army. This necessitated employing multiple subcontract vendors to support the varying aspects of the build-out and gaining FAA/FCC/SHPO/Environmental approvals from multiple federal and state agencies.

Therefore, could the Government please clarify/provide supporting rationale for the referenced Section 00210 criterion: Does this mean that the offeror’s construction experience must have been without employing subcontractors or does it mean that the offeror cannot utilize a teammate/subcontractor’s relevant past experience for this solicitation?

**Response 3:**

Please see the Revised RFP language A. (1) above. For purposes of this solicitation, subcontractor experience may be used, but a teaming agreement with said subcontractor will be required in order to show meaningful involvement on this contract.

**RFI 4:** What are the quantity(s) of tower heights above 100’?

**Response 4:** This information will be provided in Phase II

**RFI 5:** In what locations are these towers exceeding 100’ located?

**Response 5:** See Response 4 above for details.

**RFI 6:** Are the tower heights dictated by technical requirements or to overcome foliage canopy?

**Response 6:** See Response 4 above for details.

**RFI 7:** What is the USN definition of a tower foundation?

**Response 7:** See Response 4 above for details.

**RFI 8:** On the Pre-Proposal Presentation Page 14 Project Location MCMWTC – what does the blue lettering and star used for Base Camp indicate?

**Response 8:** The blue lettering and star indicates the main site of the Base.

**RFI 9:** What is the Seismic Design Category for these 5 locations?

**Response 9:** See Response 4 above for details.

**RFI 10:** SECTION 00210 BASIS OF AWARD, Paragraph 5. (2), Page 15 and 16; Regarding the statement in the RFP "Submit a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant design projects for the design team that best demonstrates design experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP for N62473-16-R-0601 awards of \$5,000,000 or more." Does the \$5,000,000 mean the value of the design fee only or the entire project?

**Response 10:** Construction value of the project must be a minimum of \$5,000,000 for each project.

**RFI 11:** SECTION 00210 BASIS OF AWARD, Paragraph 5. (2), Page 15 and 16; If a single project contains both civil and design activity meeting the RFP requirements, must a separate project data sheet be submitted for each element or can they be combined within a single data sheet?

**Response 11:** No, per the requirements, provide separate project data sheets.

**RFI 12:** SECTION 00210 BASIS OF AWARD, Paragraph 5. (2), Page 15; Is it correct to assume that a single past performance questionnaire for a project that combines both civil and design elements is appropriate?

**Response 12:** See Response 11 above for details.

**RFI 13:** SECTION 00210 BASIS OF AWARD, Paragraph 5. (2), Page 15; Can a recent CPAR on a program we are utilizing as a past performance be substituted for the questionnaire if the information contained is similar to the questionnaire?

**Response 13:** Provide responses on the required questionnaire (Exhibit B).

(End of Summary of Changes)