

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1   4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0006	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 05-Apr-2016	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. ACQR4250190	5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE NAVFAC SOUTHWEST CENTRAL IPT CODE ROPCA 1220 PACIFIC HWY SAN DIEGO CA 92132-5190		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) CODE  <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N62473-16-R-0612		
		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 01-Mar-2016		
		10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.		
		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)		
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  N62473-16-R-0612 FIRM FIXED PRICE, DESIGN-BUILD, PROJECT PE1309M REPAIR BEQ 520442, MARINE CORPS BASE (MCB) CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA.  Description of this Amendment continues on Page 2.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED  05-Apr-2016	

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

## SUMMARY OF CHANGES

## SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0006

1. The purpose of this amendment is to Revise the Request for Proposal (RFP) and provide responses to the Request for Information (RFI's):

**A. The RFP is hereby revised as follows:**

1. SF1442 Block 13, A.:

**DELETE:** 13. ADDITIONAL SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS:

A. Sealed offers in original and 2 copies to perform the work required are due at **937 N. Harbor Drive, Building 1, 3rd Floor, Room 323 San Diego, CA 92132-5190 by 1:00 PM (hour) local time 7 Apr 2016 (date)**. If this is a sealed bid solicitation, offers must be publicly opened at that time. Sealed envelopes containing offers shall be marked to show the offeror's name and address, the solicitation number, and the date and time offers are due.

**REPLACE WITH:** 13. ADDITIONAL SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS:

A. Sealed offers in original and 2 copies to perform the work required are due at **937 N. Harbor Drive, Building 1, 3rd Floor, Room 323 San Diego, CA 92132-5190 by 1:00 PM (hour) local time 12 Apr 2016 (date)**.

2. Part 1. 10. Proposal Submittal Requirements, Price;

**DELETE:**

Surety Form (Enclosure 4): Offerors shall submit the Surety Form to report their surety bonding information, verifying aggregate bonding capacity, current available bonding, as well as single project limit. Ensure the name of the Offeror's surety, including the name and phone number of the Offeror's agent within the surety, is shown on the form (Enclosure 4). If the Offeror is a joint venture, one Surety Form shall be submitted for the joint venture, not the individual partners.

**REPLACE WITH:**

Bid Bond (see NFAS clause 5252.228-9302)

3. Part 1, 14. Basis For Award;

**DELETE:**

The Proposed Contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror offering the lowest in price that is technically acceptable (LPTA). To make a determination, the Government shall evaluate each offeror on whose offer, conforming to the RFP, is determined to provide the technically acceptable and lowest price to the Government. Technical and Price are evaluated individually.

**REPLACE WITH:**

The Proposed Contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror offering the lowest in price that is technically acceptable (LPTA). Per NFAS 15.101-2 Lowest price technically acceptable source selection

process: The number of proposals to be evaluated for technical acceptability may be limited to the three lowest priced offers at the discretion of the contracting officer. If the number of proposals to be evaluated is limited, technical proposals shall be provided to the evaluator(s) without any identification of prices or any rank order of prices. If no proposals are found to be technically acceptable within the first group of proposals, then the process described will be conducted again as many times as necessary, until such time the Government identifies a technically acceptable proposal. The source selection method must be included in the request for proposal. If the contracting officer later determines that discussions are necessary, the procedures in FAR 15.306 shall be followed.

4. Part 1, 14. Basis For Award;

**DELETE:**

Submit a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as construction of new and/or major upgrades and/or repairs to apartments, dormitories, Single Room Occupancy (SRO), Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQ), Bachelor Officers Quarters (BOQ), market style units, or multifamily housing. Provide client points of contact.

**REPLACE WITH:**

Submit a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as construction of new and/or major upgrades and/or repairs to apartments, dormitories, Single Room Occupancy (SRO), Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQ), Bachelor Officers Quarters (BOQ), barracks, market style units, or multifamily housing. Provide client points of contact.

5. Part 1, Clauses Incorporated by Full Text;

**ADD:**

NFAS 5252.228-9302 Bid Guarantee (Oct 2004)

To assure the execution of the contract and the performance and payment bonds, each bidder/offeror shall submit with its bid/offer a guarantee bond (Standard Form 24) executed by a surety company holding a certificate of authority from the Secretary of the Treasury as an acceptable surety, or other security as provided in FAR Clause 52.228-1, "Bid Guarantee". Security shall be in a penal sum equal to at least 20 percent of the largest amount for which award can be made under the bid submitted, but in no case to exceed \$3,000,000. A copy of the agent's authority to sign bonds for the surety company shall accompany the bid guarantee bond.

(End of provision)

**B. Responses to RFI's received:**

**RFI 127:** Section 00100 - Bidding Schedule/Instructions to Bidders; Paragraph: Part 1, Paragraph 14, Basis of Award, Factor 1 – Experience, (2) Design Experience; Page number: 11

We have barracks renovation experience but have not designed the renovation or repairs for a Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQ). Would this lack of specific BEQ experience disqualify our team for the design effort (even though we have complete barracks complex renovation experience)?

**Response 127:** See A. 4. above for details.

**RFI 128:** After reviewing Amendments 1 and 4 we have found additional scope which is adding cost to the overall project. We do not think the original CCL has taken account of these scope changes and costs that

they are incurring. Is it possible to get an updated CCL to reflect all the added scope and cost related to them?

**Response 128:** Bids are to reflect the cost of the entire scope.

**RFI 129:** Contractor has identified potential cost savings after a complete review of both the RFP and existing building conditions. Would the Government be open to exploring these cost saving options? Contractor feels that Government is not receiving good value for the dollars that must be spent due to the RFP requirements.

Potential cost savings at a minimum exist in both the following:

1) Simplex Grinnell Fire Alarm System - Discovered during discussions with subcontractor that Simplex Grinnell had installed the "new" fire alarm system in BEQ Building #520442 in 2013. We believe that the current system is still fully operational with the current communication backbone. Why are we installing new again?

2) Branch Circuit Connectors - Contractor also believes that the existing branch circuit connectors could be reused throughout the building. There is no apparent value to replace with all new when they are well within their life expectancy.

**Response 129:** For bidding purposes, bids are to include all items in the RFP.

**\*\* NOTE: ALL OTHER PARAGRAPHS, PROVISIONS, AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED. \*\***

#### SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 07-Apr-2016 01:00 PM to 21-Apr-2016 01:00 PM.

The number of offeror copies required has increased by 1 from 1 to 2.

(End of Summary of Changes)