

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 6
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 24-Feb-2016	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHWEST COASTAL IPT CODE ROPMA NAVAL STATION SAN DIEGO 2730 MCKEAN ST BLDG 291 SAN DIEGO CA 92136	CODE N62473	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N62473-16-R-3201	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 11-Feb-2016	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) This amendment addresses contractor submitted RFIs.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 24-Feb-2016

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 01

24 February 2016

N62473-16-R-3201: IDIQ MACC FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COMMERCIAL AND INSTITUTIONAL
FACILITIES FOR NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE COMMAND

All Amendments Must Be Acknowledged

- 1.) The Exhibit entitled, "Section 00600 - Representations and Certifications" was loaded onto the NECO and FBO websites on 16 February 2016. This serves to inform Offerors, that the information contained in section 00600, and referenced in Section 00100, Paragraph 6 on pages 7-8 of the RFP may be filled out and submitted using this exhibit.
- 2.) Sections 00100 and 00800 are hereby revised to include language pertaining to the required Exclusive Agreement between Offerors and Lead Design Firms. The revision shall apply to these sections, as well as anywhere else in the RFP where the change may apply.
 - a.) Section 00100, Paragraph 2- Proposal Submittal Requirements, is hereby revised to incorporate the following changes:

2. Proposal Submittal Requirements

Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall be formatted as follows and furnished in the number of copies stated herein. A cover letter shall accompany the proposal.

The cover letter shall include—

- a) The solicitation number;
- b) The name, address, telephone and facsimile numbers, and email address of the Offeror;
- c) The DUNS Number, CAGE Code, and Tax Identification Number (TIN) of the Offeror;
- d) A statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item;
- e) Names, titles, phone and facsimile numbers, and email addresses of persons authorized to negotiate on the Offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation and;
- f) Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal. Proposals signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.

~~In addition to the cover letter, the Offeror shall submit an Offeror's Team Consent Form for each major subcontractor/teaming partner (e.g., team member, legal partner, subcontractor (i.e., Lead Design Firm(s)), parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.) identified in the Offeror's proposal.~~

Offerors will be required to submit an agreement for each Lead Design Firm (LDF) proposed.

The agreement shall state that the LDF will exclusively partner with the Offeror on this procurement and will not participate with any other Offeror in competing for or performing on a contract to be awarded under this solicitation. The Offeror and LDF shall both sign the agreement. All Offerors proposing the same LDF(s) will be rejected as unacceptable.

Proposals shall be submitted in three ring binders or bound, with tabs or separators. Page limits, if stipulated, must be adhered to. Proposals shall be submitted on 8.5" X 11" paper, utilizing both sides of the paper. **ALSO**, Offerors shall submit one (1) Electronic Copy of the proposal in .pdf format on a CD.

The format for the proposal is as follows:

Phase One:

-Cover Letter

~~-Offeror's Team Consent Form(s)~~

-Exclusive Agreement

-Offeror's Joint Venture Agreement (with SBA approved Mentor-Protégé agreement-if applicable)

-First two pages of SF1442 - with blocks 14 through 20c completed

-Financial Questionnaire (Original in sealed envelope from financial institution in original proposal folder only)

-Surety Form

-Section 0600 – Representations and Certifications

-VETS-4212 Report Submission Confirmation (or Statement of Non-applicability)

Tab 1 - Factor 1, Technical Approach (Exhibit "A," Organizational Chart, and Signed Copies of Agreements or Letters of Commitment for Each Member of the Offeror's Team)

Tab 2 - Factor 2, Experience (Exhibits "B")

Factor 3, Past Performance (Exhibits "C" or CCASS/ACASS Evaluations) (Evaluations should immediately follow the project shown on Exhibit "B" to which they pertain)

Tab 3 - Address any adverse past performance issues, if applicable

THE FOLLOWING EVALUATION FACTORS ARE APPLICABLE ONLY TO THOSE OFFERORS WHO ARE SELECTED TO PROCEED TO PHASE TWO OF THE SOURCE SELECTION PROCESS. DO NOT SUBMIT TABS 4 THROUGH 7 IN PHASE ONE PROPOSALS.

Phase Two:

Tab 4 - Factor 4, Safety (Exhibit "D")

Tab 5 - Factor 5, Technical Solution (Narrative and Drawings)

Tab 6 - Factor 6, Energy and Sustainable Design (Narrative)

Tab 7 - Factor 7, Small Business Utilization (Offeror Only)

7A – Past Performance in Utilization of Small Business Concerns (Exhibit "E" or SF294s/ISRs)

7B – Small Business Participation (Exhibit "F" or Exhibit "G")

Tab 8 - Factor 8, Price (In sealed envelope in original proposal folder only) – The envelope shall contain the following original documents: SF1442 (Solicitation, Offer, and Award) with blocks 14 through 20c completed; Bid Bond (in the amount of 20% for PTO #0001)

Representations and Certifications, Financial Questionnaires, and Surety Forms will not be rated. However, they will be considered in assessing the Offeror's responsibility.

Offerors are asked to submit only the information/exhibits required. Do not submit any additional information such as brochures, CDs, or other pre-printed materials.

A total of one (1) original and three (3) hard copies of the complete **Phase One** proposal in response to

+this RFP must be received by this office no later than the date and time stated in Block 13 of the SF1442. Offerors should address all **Phase One** evaluation factors and shall submit the proposal to the following address:

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest
Coastal Integrated Products Team (IPT) /OPUA.MK
Attention: Matt Koontz
2730 McKean Street, Building 291
San Diego, CA 92136

Proposal must be clearly marked on the outside of the package with the solicitation number.

LATE PROPOSAL SUBMITTALS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED. Read the following information carefully.

It is an Offeror's responsibility to deliver its proposal to the proper place at the proper time which includes allowing a reasonable amount of time for the delivery of the proposal (FAR 15.208(a)). Proposals that are received after the stated date and time in Block 13 of the SF1442 will not be considered.

Offerors shall ensure that proposals are mailed or sent by courier in a timely manner to be delivered to the NAVFAC Southwest facility no later than the date and time stated in Block 13 of the SF1442.

Offerors intending to deliver the proposals in person to the facility at the address above are cautioned that this NAVFAC Southwest facility is located aboard Naval Base San Diego (NBSD) within a secure Government facility. To gain access, Offerors must have a RapidGate pass. Concessions may be made to have Government personnel meet Offerors delivering proposals in person at the NBSD Pass and ID Office.

b.) Section 00801, Paragraph 5 – Description of Work, is hereby revised to incorporate the following changes:

5. DESCRIPTION OF WORK:

The work to be acquired under this contract is for new construction and renovation within the NAICS code listed, by design-build or design-bid-build, of Commercial and Institutional building construction projects at Naval Special Warfare Command locations in Southern California. However, it is anticipated that the majority of the work will be performed at the Silver Strand Training Complex-South, in Imperial Beach, CA. If available, any additional capacity may be utilized for projects within the NAVFAC SW AOR, as approved by the NAVFAC SW Chief of Contracting Office (CCO).

Types of projects may include, but are not limited to: administration buildings, academic and applied instruction training facilities, athletic centers with swimming pools, military operations facilities, and other similar facilities.

In support of design-build strategies, each Offeror shall possess in-house capabilities or **exclusively** employ the services of Lead Architect-Engineering (A-E) Design Firm(s) experienced in the design development and coordination of projects within the scope of this contract. In an effort to avoid a potential conflict of interest, Offerors are encouraged to offer multiple design teams to satisfy a variety of project types projected to be awarded during the term of the contract(s). **Offerors will be required to submit an agreement for each Lead Design Firm (LDF) proposed. The agreement shall state that the LDF will exclusively partner with the Offeror on this procurement and will not participate with any other Offeror in competing for or performing on a contract to be awarded under this solicitation. The Offeror and LDF shall both sign the agreement. All Offerors proposing the same LDF(s) will be rejected as unacceptable.** The Offeror and the proposed Lead Design Firm(s) for the basic contract(s) will be evaluated as a team. Lead A-E Design Firm(s), their subsidiaries and affiliates that are involved at the

RFP or design stage of a particular project under another contract will not be allowed to propose or be used on a Task Order for that project under this contract. All professional disciplines shall be registered and/or certified in their discipline. In any state requiring specialized knowledge of local permitting or regulatory agency requirements, the professional discipline shall be registered or certified in that state.

3.) The following answers are issued in response to Contractor Requests for Information:

Contractor RFI # 1: I know that the GC primes can list multiple architects on their teams, but can architects also team as a sub with multiple GCs?

Government Response #1: Based on the revisions above in Section 00100 Paragraph 2 and Section 00801 Paragraph 5, an Exclusive Agreement is required between Offerors and each Lead Design Firm.

Contractor RFI # 2: The RFP states "For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP". The RFP documents go on define completion of the design as the date of "sign off" of the design documents by the A/E of record.

The consequence of this requirement will limit the pool of available, relevant projects that a designer can offer to those only very recently completed with construction.

As the designer's involvement in a design build project continues through construction to include incorporation of owner driven changes and maintenance of quality standards up until delivery of the construction, the design firm can still be seen to be relevant to the project throughout that period as well.

Question: Would the government consider revising the second sentence in the second to last paragraph on page 14 of 118 to read; "For design-build projects, either the design portion or the construction portion of the contract shall have been completed with the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP."

Government Response #2: No. The factor will not be changed.

Contractor RFI # 3: Some of our recent relevant past projects are completed but have Interim CPARS evaluations posted in PPIRS. Please confirm that Interim CPARS evaluations would be considered acceptable as past performance evaluations for projects featured in Factor 2 of our Phase 1 proposal.

Government Response #3: In accordance with Factor 3: Past Performance, if there is not a completed CPARS, CCASS or ACASS evaluation, submit a Past Performance Questionnaire (Exhibit "C") for each project included in Factor 2 for Construction Experience and Design Experience.

Contractor RFI # 4: As Hubzone Small Business firms will be given preference on this MACC, would the government consider reducing the project size requirements to allow for more Hubzone and Small Businesses to opportunity to submit on this solicitation?

Government Response #4: At this point, the Government will not consider reducing the project size requirements.

Contractor RFI # 5: Under Factor 2: Experience, the Proposal Submission Requirements indicate all projects submitted shall have been performed by the Offeror as the prime contractor. However, it also states that at least one relevant construction project shall demonstrate the Offeror's experience with SCIF, SAP, or OSS spaces. Since there are multiple small contractors that specialize in these types of secure spaces, may this minimum requirement be met by a teaming/subcontractor partner? If so, could the size relevancy for the SCIF/SAP/OSS project be reduced to reflect the smaller cost associated with these projects (Under \$2M)?

Government Response #5: Factor 2 requires at least one relevant project to demonstrate experience with construction of a SCIF, SAP, or OSS. The factor also states that all projects shall have been performed by the Offeror as the prime contractor. It does not require the Offeror to have self-performed that element of the project, just that the project scope include that type of work. The Offeror may not utilize experience of a subcontractor to demonstrate this experience. An Offeror may choose to submit by themselves or as a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor-protégé agreement (MPA), or letter of commitment as long as they comply with the submittal requirements of Factor 1: Technical Approach. The requirements of Factor 2 do not prohibit an Offeror from forming teaming arrangements, but do require the Offeror to have been the prime

contractor, and do not consider subcontractor experience to be relevant. The size relevancy for the SCIF/SAP/OSS project will not be reduced.

See definitions under Section 00210 for additional information on joint ventures.

Contractor RFI # 6: Since an ACASS is not available for government design-build contracts held by prime construction contractors, please confirm if it is acceptable for a design-build prime contractor (as the Offeror) to submit the design-build prime contractor's CCASS or CPAR evaluation as evidence of past performance of the design partner, if the design partner of the featured project is the same as the proposed design partner.

Government Response #6: Refer to Factor 3. If there is not a completed CCASS or ACASS evaluation, then the Offeror shall submit a PPQ. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client, then the Offeror shall complete and submit the first page of the PPQ and the Government may obtain the information that is required.

ALL OTHER PARAGRAPHS, PROVISIONS, AND CONDITIONS TO N62473-16-R-3201 REMAIN UNCHANGED

(End of Summary of Changes)