

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				1 CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
				J	1	2
2 AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO 0001	3 EFFECTIVE DATE 11-Mar-2015	4 REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ NO		5 PROJECT NO (If applicable)		
6 ISSUED BY NAVFAC PACIFIC A-E/CONSTR CONTRACTS BRANCH (CODE ACQ31) 258 MAKALAPA DR STE 100 JBP HH HI 96860-3434	CODE N62742	7 ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)		CODE		
		<b>See Item 6</b>				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N62742-15-R-1303	
				X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 17-Feb-2015	
					10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
					10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE					
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.						
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)						
<b>13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.</b>						
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.						
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).						
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:						
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.						
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  RFP N62742-15-R-1303, NAVFAC WORK ORDER NUMBER 1322263, FY 15 MCON P-240, MARINE WING SUPPORT SQUADRON (MWSS) FACILITIES AT NORTH RAMP, ANDERSEN AIR FOR BASE, GUAM  Amendment No. 0001 is continued on page 2.						
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect						
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
				TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED		
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		11-Mar-2015		

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**DOCUMENT 00202 EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**

Replace Document 00202 Evaluation Factors pages 1 through 11 with the attached pages 1 through 11.

**DOCUMENT 00202**

**EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**

**PART I. GENERAL**

**1.1 INTENT TO SELECT/AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS**

The Government intends to select the most highly qualified offerors (not-to-exceed five) to submit proposals for phase-two without conducting discussions with any Offeror, except for clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a); therefore, the initial phase-one proposal shall conform to the solicitation requirements and should contain the best offer.

The Government intends to evaluate phase-two proposals received and award a contract without conducting discussions; therefore, the initial phase-two proposal shall conform to the solicitation requirements and should contain the best offer from a non-price and price standpoint.

**1.2 COMPETITIVE RANGE**

If discussions are deemed necessary in phase-one and/or phase-two to maximize the Government's ability to obtain the best value, discussions will be held with those Offerors within the competitive range. The Government may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the most highly rated proposals.

**1.3 ENFORCEABILITY OF PROPOSAL**

The proposal must set forth full, accurate and complete information as required by this solicitation. The Government will rely on such information in the award of a contract. By submission of the offer, the Offeror agrees that all items proposed (e.g., technical approach, subcontractors with teaming agreements etc.) will be enforced for the duration of the contract. Any substitutions after contract award will be equal or better than as proposed and shall require Contracting Officer's approval prior to implementation.

**PART II. EVALUATION FACTORS AND BASIS OF AWARD**

**2.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA AND BASIS OF AWARD**

In accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 36.3, this acquisition is based upon two-phase design-build selection procedures. Phase-one will select the most highly qualified offerors (not-to-exceed five) to submit phase-two proposals. Phase-two will select the best value offeror using the tradeoff source selection process. The contract resulting from this solicitation will be awarded to that responsible Offeror whose offer, conforming to the solicitation, is determined to be the most advantageous to the Government considering price and non-price evaluation factors. Award may be made to other than the lowest priced Offeror or other than the highest non-price rated Offeror. Business judgments and tradeoffs will be used to determine the proposal offering the best value to the Government. In determining the best value to the Government, the Government need not quantify the tradeoffs that led to the best value decision.

The term "technical factors" refers to any non-price factor other than past performance. At the conclusion of phase-two, the government will consider Factors 2 through 7 and price in making the best value award decision. The relative order of importance of the non-price evaluation factors is that technical factors are equal to each other and when combined are equal to the performance confidence assessment (past performance). The combined non-price factors are approximately equal to price.

## 2.2 NON-PRICE EVALUATION FACTORS

### Phase-One:

Factor 1: Technical Approach

Factor 2: Experience

Factor 3: Past Performance

### Phase-Two:

Factor 4: Safety

Factor 5: Energy and Sustainable Design

Factor 6: Small Business Utilization

Subfactor 6A – Past Performance in Utilization of Small Business Concerns

Subfactor 6B – Small Business Participation

Factor 7: Workforce Housing Logistics and Material Management Plan

## **PHASE-ONE**

### **Factor 1 – Technical Approach:**

#### **(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

The composition and management of the firms proposed as the design-build (DB) team for this contract will be evaluated in this factor.

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firms and primary design firms for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). The narrative shall also include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. If the experience of an entity is being claimed in Factor 2, that entity must be named in the above narrative and organizational chart.

The technical approach narrative shall be limited to one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages) including the organizational chart. The information requested in item #2 below is not included in this page limitation.

(2) In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement (MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).

#### **(b) Basis of Evaluation:**

The assessment of the Offeror's technical approach will be used as a means to evaluate the organizational structure and teaming relationships proposed by the Offeror. This factor will be rated on an Acceptable or Unacceptable basis.

### **Factor 2 – Experience:**

#### **(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

### (1) Construction Experience:

Submit a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as:

**RELEVANT PROJECT:** *New construction of reinforced cast-in-place or precast concrete facilities approximately \$15M or more in dollar value. Projects must have been completed or substantially completed within the past ten (10) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. "Substantially Complete" is defined as at least 90% physically complete.*

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a subcontractor that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement that the subcontractor will have in performance of this contract. More weight may be given to the Offeror's projects than to those submitted for subcontractors.

### (2) Design Experience

Submit a maximum of five (5) relevant design projects that best demonstrates design experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as:

**RELEVANT PROJECT:** *A relevant design project includes the following individual features: (1) design of new reinforced cast-in-place or precast concrete facilities for locations of conditions equal to or more severe than the International Building Code ® defined seismic design category D and wind speed of 110 mile-per-hour ultimate; (2) design of new facilities that included hardened concrete secured spaces (e.g. munitions storage, bunkers, vaults, etc.); and (3) design of physical and electronic security systems. Relevant projects demonstrating experience shall*

*have been completed within the past ten (10) years. Each project submitted for evaluation that includes two or more individual features shall be approximately \$15.0M or more in construction dollar value. Individual features do not have to be within a single project scope. However, the associated feature (1) work shall be approximately \$15.0M or more in construction dollar value; the associated feature (2) work shall be approximately \$1.0M or more in construction dollar value; and the associated feature (3) work shall be approximately \$150K or more in construction dollar value. Projects submitted shall be completed within the past ten (10) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past ten (10) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.*

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

**(b) Basis of Evaluation:**

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five projects for construction and five for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with sustainable features may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with sustainable features.

Offerors who submit relevant projects that demonstrate experience self-performing relevant features of work may receive a higher rating than those who do not demonstrate self-performance.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience in construction and / or design of motor vehicle repair/maintenance and storage facilities may be considered more favorably than those that do not.

**Factor 3 – Past Performance:**

**(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for construction experience. If a completed AE Contractor Appraisal Support System (ACASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for design experience. If there is not a completed CCASS or ACASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment B) for each project included in Factor 2 for both Construction Experience and Design Experience. **It shall not be from the designer on a design-build project nor shall it be from a prime contractor to a sub-contractor.** The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offeror's may submit PPQs previously submitted for other solicitations.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

**(b) Basis of Evaluation:**

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

**PHASE II (This section applies to offerors selected to submit phase-two proposals)**

**Factor 4 – Safety**

**(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

The Offeror shall submit a narrative that fully describes the safety management system that they will use to oversee the safety compliance and performance of self-performed and sub-contractor performed work and the Offeror's method for qualifying, evaluating, selecting all tiers of sub-contractors. The narrative shall include:

- a) Safety program performance, including, but not limited to the OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) rate and OSHA Days Away, Restricted Duty or Transferred (DART) rates for the most recent five (5) complete calendar years. The narrative shall address any observed trend, serious mishaps, including fatalities, and any mitigating circumstances associated with the mishap rates. If negative trends are noted, the narrative shall address corrective measures taken to prevent repeat occurrences of similar mishaps.
- b) Methodology used in those projects identified in the past performance evaluation factor to qualify, evaluate, select, and oversee sub-contractors based on their safety performance, such as OSHA Total Recordable Case (TCR) rates, and OSHA Days Away, Restricted Duty or Transferred (DART) rates.
- c) Methodology intended to be used to qualify, evaluate, select, and oversee subcontractors for this project, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR (if available) is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 2.0.

The narrative shall be limited to **two double-sided (or four single-sided)** pages. (For a partnership or joint venture, the mishap rates are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. Safety narratives for joint ventures may be up to four **double-sided** pages (**or eight single-sided**) in length.)

**(b) Basis of Evaluation:**

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for its self-performed work and the work of its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety management system, safety performance record, and the Offeror's methodology of qualifying, evaluating, selecting and overseeing its subcontractors. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety will include, but are not limited to: OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project and the specific management practices that will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose EMR (if available) is greater than 1.0, whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 2.0. Those Offerors who demonstrate an effective safety management system, as indicated by the required information provided in their safety narrative may be considered more favorably in the evaluation.

Offeror's OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rates will be evaluated against the following Navy standards:

<u>Risk</u>	<u>TRC</u>
Very High	Greater than 4.0
High	From 2.75 to 4.0
Moderate	From 1.25 to 2.75
Low	From 0.50 to 1.25
Very Low	Less than 0.50

Offeror's DART rates will be evaluated against the following Navy standards:

<u>Risk</u>	<u>DART</u>
Very High	Greater than 2.0
High	From 1.0 to 2.0
Moderate	From 0.50 to 0.99
Low	From 0.25 to 0.49
Very Low	Less than 0.25

## **Factor 5 – Energy and Sustainable Design**

### **(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

Provide the following information, which describes how the project will meet or exceed the following sustainable design contract requirements.

#### (1) EPAAct 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative:

Using the guidance outlined in Part 3 of this RFP, provide a detailed narrative to describe whether the proposed solution will meet or exceed the goal of a 30% energy reduction using the ASHRAE Std 90.1-2007, Appendix G, Building Performance Rating Method, excluding receptacle and process loads. Provide the proposed percent energy reduction. Provide the assumptions the Offeror will use to obtain a high-performance building, which will comply with these energy reduction goals. Describe the Offeror's proposed building with regards to building orientation, shape, fenestration, solar heat gain coefficients (SHGC), wall and roof insulation values (U-values), HVAC systems, water heating systems, lighting systems, and control systems. Organize/divide the assumptions into four areas; building orientation and configuration, building envelope, mechanical systems, and electrical systems. If the Offeror determines the 30% reduction is not feasible for this project, the Offeror shall state what percent energy reduction is offered by their proposal. Do not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages). *Note: Building performance rating and percent energy reduction are calculated in terms of energy rather than energy cost.*

### **(b) Basis of Evaluation:**

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's response to the Energy and Sustainable Design Factor considering the proposed energy savings.

EPAAct 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative: The Government will evaluate the Offeror's proposed energy budget reduction relative to EPAAct 2005 energy efficiency goals, including evaluation of assumptions.

## **Factor 6 – Small Business Utilization**

**Definitions:** "SB" as used herein, is intended to include Small Business concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business concerns (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business concerns (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business concerns (HUBZone), Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (VOSB), and Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (SDVOSB). All small business programs are self-certifying programs with the exception of HUBZone certifications, see HUBZone SB Certifications below. Small Business Program requirements and definitions may be found in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 19.

**HUBZone SB Certifications:** Offerors are reminded that HUBZone SB concerns must obtain formal certification from the Small Business Administration (SBA) if they expect to receive the evaluation benefits associated with the HUBZone SB programs either as a prime or subcontractor(s). For more information on the HUBZone SB certification requirements and available benefits, contact your local SBA representative. Certified HUBZone SB firms are listed on the U.S. Small Business Administration's Dynamic Small Business Search (DSBS) website at [http://web.sba.gov/pro-net/search/dsp\\_dsbs.cfm](http://web.sba.gov/pro-net/search/dsp_dsbs.cfm). It is the responsibility of the prime contractor to periodically check the DSBS as certifications are subject to change.

**Subfactor 6.A – Past Performance In Utilization Of Small Business Concerns**

**(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

Proposals that do not include responses addressing ALL elements of the requirements stated below (1. through 4.) must include an explanation why that element is not addressed.

- 1) Provide performance evaluation ratings (i.e., SF1420, DD2626, or equivalent) obtained on the implementation of small business subcontracting plans for all of the offeror's projects referenced under Factor 3, Past Performance. Recently completed project evaluations are desired, however, in the absence of recently completed project evaluations, interim ratings for projects that are 80% complete may be considered. If more than five evaluation ratings are provided, only the first five will be considered. In addition, the Government may consider past performance information on other projects as made available to the Government from other sources (such as the Construction Contractor Appraisal Support Systems (CCASS)), Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) and Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)).
- 2) Provide small business subcontracting history. Large businesses with Federal prime contracting experience shall provide final or current Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts (SF294) or Individual Subcontracting Reports (ISR's) on prime (only) contracts submitted under Factor 3, Past Performance. If Factor 3 submitted contracts are not prime contracts, submit SF294s or ISRs for contracts of similar scope performed as the prime contractor. If goals were not met on any submitted contracts, an explanation for each unmet goal is required. Large Businesses with no documented SF294/ISR history shall submit a subcontracting history on Attachment (C), Small Business Past Performance. If more than three (3) reports are provided, only the first 3 reports will be considered.
- 3) Small Business proposers shall provide a subcontracting history on Attachment (C), Small Business Past Performance.
- 4) If an Offeror is utilizing past performance information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the solicitation), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies will have meaningful participation of all members in the management of the subcontracting program/plan by identifying the personnel or resources from the member companies that will be dedicated to managing the plan, and an organization chart which demonstrates the reporting chain within the membership.

**If the Offeror is a Joint Venture, Partnership LLC or other entity consisting of more than one entity, provide past performance information, elements 1) through 4), for each individual business entity(ies) that will be responsible for managing the subcontracting program/plan.**

Proposals including information on any of the following additional elements may be rated higher, based on the evaluated extent to which the information addresses the Basis of Evaluation for Subfactor 6a:

- 1) Provide information on national-level, and industry-issued awards that offerors received for outstanding support to SB concerns within the past five (5) years. Include purpose, issuer, and date of award(s). National and industry-issued awards received beyond five (5) years will not be considered.
- 2) Provide information on previous, existing, planned or pending mentor-protégé agreements (MPA) under any Federal Government, or other, program held within the last five years. Information should include, at a minimum, the members, objectives, period of performance, and major accomplishments during the MPA.

- 3) Provide information on past use of Community Rehabilitation Program (CRP) organizations certified under the AbilityOne Program by SourceAmerica, or the National Industry for the Blind (NIB). Information should include the contract type, type of work performed, period of performance, and number of employed severely handicapped persons.

**(b) Basis of Evaluation:**

The extent to which the proposal demonstrates the Offeror's level of past performance in utilizing Small Business (SB) concerns, AbilityOne, Mentor-Protégé Agreements, and other socio-economic programs, as defined in FAR Parts 26.1 and 26.3, in subcontracting, and in meeting established Small Business subcontracting goals.

**Subfactor 6.B – Small Business Participation**

**(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

Identify in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total acquisition, the extent of work you will perform as the prime contractor. If submitting an offer as a Joint-Venture, identify the percentage of work each member will be responsible for and indicate the size status of each member, e.g., LB, SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, etc.

If you are a Large Business, submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this project in the format provided in Attachment D for this factor, to include all information required in the attachment. If you are a Small Business, submit a subcontracting participation breakdown in the format provided in Attachment E for this factor. All proposers: To demonstrate commitment in using small business concerns, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan or subcontracting participation breakdown may list all subcontractors by name. If the proposed Small Business Subcontracting goals do not meet the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, include a detailed explanation describing the actions taken to arrive at that determination, along with an explanation for the goals that actually were proposed. For proposals submitted on design-build solicitations, the proposer must identify its designer/design team in its Subcontracting Plan or Small Business Participation Breakdown.

**(b) Basis of Evaluation:**

**The following will be evaluated on all proposals:**

- 1) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates maximum practicable participation of SBs in terms of the total value of the acquisition, including options.
- 2) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates a commitment to use SB concerns that are specifically identified in the proposal, including but not limited to use of mentor protégé programs.
- 3) The extent to which the proposal demonstrates SB participation in a variety of industries expected during the performance of work.
- 4) The realism of the proposal to meet the proposed goals.

**The following will be evaluated on proposals submitted by Large Business firms:**

- 1) The extent to which the proposal provides Small Business Subcontracting goals that meet or exceed the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, and utilization of AbilityOne CRP organizations. Proposals that provide goals exceeding the NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets may be rated higher. The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are as follows:

	FY2015
SB	66.80%
SDB	17.27%
WOSB	15.30%

HUBZone	8.94%
SDVOSB	3.03%

- 2) The extent to which the proposer's Small Business Subcontracting Plan establishes reasonable efforts demonstrating the subcontracting targets can be met during the performance of the contract:

## **FACTOR 7 – Workforce Housing Logistics And Material Management Plan**

### **(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

The Workforce Housing Logistics and Material Management (WHL&MM) Plan shall provide the following information:

(1) The Offeror shall provide the approximate number of workers that will be required for this project and categorizing by (1) Permanent Resident of Guam; (2) managers and supervisors (U.S. citizens or resident aliens); (3) other than H-2B workers, who have established arrangements for housing on Guam; and (4) temporary resident workers.

(2) The Offeror shall provide a statement that it will comply with Section 01 30 00.05 20, paragraph 1.3, Contractor Workforce Housing Logistics and Material Management Requirements. This statement will adequately demonstrate that the Offeror intends to submit a WHL&MM Plan that meets the RFP requirements after award of the resulting contract.

(3) If the Offeror proposes to exceed the requirements set forth in Section 01 30 00.05 20, paragraph 1.3, Contractor Workforce Housing Logistics and Material Management Requirements, the Offeror shall provide a detailed narrative describing how its plan will minimize the impacts to the local community by exceeding the requirements under the responsibility of the Offeror and all prospective subcontractors for Housing, Food, Medical, Safety & Security, Transportation, and Material Management. The narrative shall not exceed 6 pages (single-sided, 8.5" x 11", 1" margin left/right/top/bottom, and 12-point font). Narrative pages shall contain the page number from 1 through 6. Any page beyond the 6th page will not be evaluated. Maps/plans/drawings/graphics/sketches/copies of contractual agreements that demonstrate exceeding the requirements shall be included as an Appendix to the narrative. Page limitations exclude (items (1) and (2) above and maps/plans/drawings/graphics/sketches/copies of contractual agreements. Do NOT submit narratives and supporting documents to support meeting the WHL&MM Requirements.

### **(b) Basis of Evaluation:**

The Government will evaluate the offeror's proposed Workforce Housing Logistics and Material Management Plan for meeting / exceeding the RFP requirements in terms of the offeror's strategy to provide the necessary services to minimize the potential negative impacts to the community regarding medical services, food, housing, security, transportation, and material management in performance of this contract. Negative impacts include, but are not limited to, adverse socio-economic impacts on Guam's limited food, housing, transportation and medical networks; and the potential likelihood of crime and other potential negative community impacts due to the large transient workforce.

## **2.3 RATING SCHEME**

2.3.1 The following information is pertinent to the rating of the technical proposals:

- a. Strength: An aspect of an offeror's proposal that has merit or exceeds specified performance or capability requirements in a way that will be advantageous to the Government during contract performance.

- b. Weakness: A flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.
- c. Significant Weakness: A flaw that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.
- d. Deficiency: A material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.
- e. If a proposal is evaluated as “**Unacceptable**” for a subfactor, the corresponding rating for the Factor will be “**Unacceptable**”. If the proposal contains an “**Unacceptable**” rating for any of the Factors, the overall rating of the technical proposal will be “**Unacceptable**” despite the weighing of the Factors.

## 2.4 PHASE-TWO PRICE EVALUATION FACTOR

- a. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, the Government will evaluate offers by adding the total price for all options (CLIN 0003) to the total price for the basic requirement (CLIN 0001 plus 0002). Subsequently, the proposed FF&E (CLIN 0004) will be added to the total price of the basic requirement plus the Option.
- b. One or more of the following techniques will be used to evaluate the total evaluated price to ensure that it is fair and reasonable:
  - Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the solicitation;
  - Comparison of proposed prices with the independent Government Estimate;
  - Comparison of previously proposed prices and previous Government and commercial prices with current proposed prices for the same or similar service/items, if both the validity of the comparison and the reasonableness of the previous prices(s) can be established.
- c. The price proposals will be ranked from lowest to highest based on the evaluation criteria stated above.