

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT | | | 1. CONTRACT ID CODE J | PAGE OF PAGES 1 11 |
| 2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001 | 3. EFFECTIVE DATE 26-Apr-2012 | 4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. | | 5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) |
| 6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST IPT SOUTH CENTRAL BLDG 135, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030 | CODE N69450 | 7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6 | | |
| 8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code) | | X | 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-12-R-1764 | |
| | | X | 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 24-Apr-2012 | |
| | | | 10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. | |
| | | | 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) | |
| CODE | FACILITY CODE | | | |
| 11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified. | | | | |
| 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required) | | | | |
| 13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14. | | | | |
| A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A. | | | | |
| B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B). | | | | |
| C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: | | | | |
| D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) | | | | |
| E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office. | | | | |
| 14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Section 00150 Factor 2 Past Performance paragraph (a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements page 13 of 64 - the first paragraph is deleted and replaced with two new paragraphs (changes are in green font color). All other terms and conditions remain unchanged. Date and time specified for receipt of offers is unchanged. | | | | |
| Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect. | | | | |
| 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) | | 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) | | |
| | | TEL: | EMAIL: | |
| 15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign) | 15C. DATE SIGNED | 16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer) | | 16C. DATE SIGNED 26-Apr-2012 |

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

SECTION 00150**I. A. *Intentionally left blank*****B. DESCRIPTION OF ACQUISITION**

1. This acquisition will result in the award of a Design Build Indefinite-Delivery/Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Firm-Fixed-Price Multiple Award Construction Contract (MACC) in the South Carolina and Georgia area. Up to five (5) MACCs will be awarded, unless the Source Selection Authority (SSA) determines that award of more than five (5) contracts is in the best interest of the Government.

2. Construction projects to be performed will primarily consist of general building type projects (new construction, renovation, alteration, demolition, repair work, and any necessary design) including: industrial, airfield, aircraft hangar, aircraft traffic control, infrastructure, administrative, training, retail, food service, dormitory, community support facilities and both vertical and horizontal construction for Department of Defense activities in the South Carolina and Georgia areas managed by NAVFAC SE. Work may be required in other areas in the geographic area of responsibility of NAVFAC SE if deemed necessary and approved by the NAVFAC SE Chief of Contracts.

There is currently no seed project identified for this solicitation. A seed project will be identified at commencement of Phase-Two.

3. The description of the basic contract award CLIN(s) are as follows:

CLIN 0001: Base Year – General Construction Projects

CLIN 0002: Option Year 1 – General Construction Projects

CLIN 0003: Option Year 2 – General Construction Projects

CLIN 0004: Option Year 3 – General Construction Projects

CLIN 0005: Option Year 4 – General Construction Projects

4. Each MACC will contain a base year and four (4) one-year option periods for a maximum of five (5) years, or an aggregate maximum value of \$99M for all contracts, whichever comes first.

5. The Government's cost estimate for this work and total aggregate for all years is not-to-exceed \$99M for all MACCs awarded. Each MACC will include a minimum guarantee of \$5,000 over the five (5) year period. There is no yearly or per contractor limit except for the total five year maximum of \$99M. Task orders will be firm-fixed-priced, normally in the range of \$150K to \$6M per order. However, task orders under or over these amounts may be considered if deemed to be in the Government's best interest.

6. The Government intends to award approximately five (5) contracts resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offerors whose proposals represent the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors in the solicitation.

7. This acquisition will be solicited competitive 8(a) set-aside with full and open competition after exclusion of sources. This acquisition is limited to SBA 8(a) certified small business firms located within SBA

Region IV. SBA Region IV includes the states of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee.

II. *Intentionally left blank*

III. EVALUATION

A. BASIS FOR AWARD

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value—the proposal most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.

2. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The tradeoff process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.

4. All non-cost/price evaluation factors when combined are approximately equal to price.

5. Any proposal found to have a deficiency in meeting the stated solicitation requirements or performance objectives will be considered ineligible for award, unless the deficiency is corrected through discussions. Proposals may be found to have either a significant weakness or multiple weaknesses that impact either the individual factor rating or the overall rating for the proposal.

B. *Intentionally left blank*

C. *Intentionally left blank*

D. *Intentionally left blank*

E. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-cost/price factors:

Phase-One Non-Cost/Price Factors:

Factor 1 - Corporate Experience and Key Personnel

Factor 2 - Past Performance

Factor 3 - Technical Approach to Safety

Phase-Two Non-Cost/Price Factors:

All ratings from Phase-One will be carried over into Phase-Two, unless new or revised information is provided.

Factor 4 - Technical Solution

Factor 5 - Sustainable Design

The distinction between corporate experience and past performance is corporate experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by a contractor that are relevant to the types of work covered by this requirement. Past performance relates to how well a contractor has performed on relevant projects.

2. The relative order of importance of the technical evaluation factors are equal. Factors 1 through 5 are equal in importance to each other and when combined are approximately equal to price.

3. Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for each factor.

a. **Price (Phase-One):**

Provide one (1) original, one copy, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements identified below.

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

(i) Executed SF 1442. Offerors shall insert their company name, address, DUNS & TIN Numbers in Block #14, telephone number and Email address in Block #15, acknowledge all amendments in Block #19 (if applicable), name and title of person authorized to sign in Block #20A, signature in Block #20B, and offer date in Block #20C of the SF1442.

(ii) Provide a letter from your Bonding Company indicating your company's bonding limit for a single project. Offerors must have a single award bonding capacity of at least \$6M.

(iii) Ensure current registration on the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) Website, www.ccr.gov.

(iv) Ensure that you have completed/updated your Annual Representations and Certifications on the ORCA Website, <http://orca.bpn.gov/>. In addition, complete and return 52.209-7, 252.209-7998, and 52.209-7999 located in Section 00600 of the RFP.

(v) VETS-100 registration: Offeror shall ensure current VETS-100 report on the Department of Labor website <https://vets100.vets.dol.gov/login.aspx> is complete and updated for this procurement.

Note: The bid bond letter, CCR, ORCA and VETS-100 registrations must be in the name/CAGE/DUNS of the offeror identified on the SF1442 and DD1155 (Seed project Phase-Two).

(2) Basis of Evaluation: Proposers will be evaluated on the information required to be submitted in paragraph (a) above.

b. **Non-Cost/Price Factors (Phase-One):**

Note to Offeror: The Offeror's response to Factors that require the submission of Corporate Experience Narratives, Key Personnel resumes, and Past Performance shall be based on the following relevancy definition:

Relevant projects include a wide array of projects including, but not limited to, Administrative Facilities, Training Facilities, Child Development Centers, Bachelors Quarters, and other similar facilities with a construction value of approximately \$4M or greater. Projects must have been completed within approximately the last seven years.

The Corporate Experience Narratives that are requested in Factor 1 must be relevant construction projects. The Key Personnel resumes requested in Factor 1 for the Design Project Manager, Project Mechanical Engineer and Project Architect must be relevant design projects. The Key Personnel resumes requested in Factor 1 for the Construction Project Manager and Project Superintendent must be relevant construction projects. The Past Performance information requested in Factor 2 must be for the same construction projects that the Offeror submits for Corporate Experience in Factor 1.

Provide one (1) original, four (4) copies, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements for Factors 1 – 3 identified below. Entire Phase-One proposal shall not exceed 40 pages in length (front side only) utilizing a minimum Arial Font of 12 for Factors 1-3. _

(1) Factor 1 - Corporate Experience and Key Personnel:

(a) Submittal Requirements:

Offeror Corporate Experience: Submit Corporate Experience Narratives for a minimum of two to a maximum of five construction projects that the offeror completed and served as the prime construction contractor that best demonstrates experience on relevant projects. Projects that have obtained a USGBC LEED Certified Level (or better), may be considered more advantageous to the Government. Corporate Experience Narratives for a partnership or joint venture are allowed, however, evidence of the legal documentation that describes the partnership or joint venture must be submitted for review with your proposal. Corporate Experience Narratives from any contractors who are part of the partnership or joint venture will be considered as the offeror. A minimum of two to a maximum of five relevant construction projects will be allowed collectively for a partnership or joint venture. Each Corporate Experience Narrative shall be limited to two pages in length. (Note: Do NOT submit narratives of more than two pages in length; only the first two pages will be evaluated if more than two pages are submitted). Each project's Corporate Experience Narrative should include the following information:

1. Project title and location
2. Client Point of Contact (name and telephone number)
3. Brief description of the project
4. Type of contract (design/build, or construction)
5. Prime construction contractor (yes or no)
6. Completion date
7. Final contract value and reason if greater than 10% of contract award amount

Key Personnel: Submit a resume for each of the following team members. Resumes shall include professional licenses and certifications, number of years of experience, firms they have worked for, a list of relevant projects that they have completed, and their role on these projects. Resumes for each individual shall be limited to two pages in length. Each resume must show experience on two or more relevant projects. (Note: Do NOT submit resumes of more than two pages in length; only the first two pages will be evaluated if more than two pages are submitted). See below for a list of key personnel:

- **Design Project Manager** - must be registered (RA or PE), have at least seven years of design project management experience, and must have experience on at least two relevant projects one of which was a design build project.
- **Project Mechanical Engineer** - must be registered, be a LEED Accredited Professional with demonstrated experience on at least one USGBC LEED Certified (or better) project, must have at least seven years of mechanical design experience, and must have experience on at least two relevant projects one of which was a design build project.
- **Project Architect** - must be registered, be a LEED Accredited Professional with demonstrated experience on at least one USGBC Certified (or better) project, must have at least seven years of architectural design experience, and must have experience on at least two relevant projects one of which was a design build project.
- **Construction Project Manager** – responsible for all construction project management, must have at least seven years of construction management experience, and must have experience on at least two relevant projects one of which was a design build project

- Project Superintendent – responsible for all on-site construction operations, must have at least seven years of experience as a superintendent on construction projects, and must have experience on at least two relevant projects one of which was a design build project

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the information required to be submitted in (a) above. The assessment of the offeror's experience will be used as a means of evaluating the relative capability of the offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

(2) Factor 2 – Past Performance:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

IF A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION IS AVAILABLE, IT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSAL. IF THERE IS NOT A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION, the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) included in the solicitation is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 1 Corporate Experience and Key Personnel. AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

IF A CPARS EVALUATION IS NOT AVAILABLE, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Robert Woolwine, via email at robert.woolwine@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Also include performance recognition documents received within the last seven (7) years such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition.

As part of its past performance submission, if the Offeror foresees negative past performance information for any contract submitted, it shall submit a discussion of significant problems encountered and corrective action taken. Safety and environmental violations and their corrective actions shall also be discussed.

The Government may use information obtained from sources other than the offeror's proposal.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. In addition to the above, the Government may review any other sources of information for evaluating past performance. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

A copy of the blank Past Performance Questionnaire to be used for requesting client references is included in NECO under Additional Documents.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This factor focuses on how well the offeror performed on relevant projects. The Government will evaluate the quality of the offeror's past performance based on awards, customer letters of commendation, or customer performance evaluations for the projects submitted under Factor 1 (Offeror Corporate Experience). This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the relative capability of the offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government.

The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained for any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance will be determined considering:

- A pattern of successful completion of projects;
- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
- Tasks that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government funds:

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance. However, the proposal of an offeror with no relevant past performance history, while rated Unknown Confidence (Neutral) in past performance, may not represent the most advantageous proposal to the Government.

(3) Factor 3 – Technical Approach to Safety

(a) Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

For the three previous complete calendar years (2008, 2009, 2010), submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the three previous complete calendar years (2008, 2009, 2010), submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror

will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to two pages.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Facility Accident and Incident Reporting (FAIR) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

a. **Price (Phase-Two)**

Provide one (1) original, one copy, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements identified below. Electronic copy does not have to include the seed project total proposed price. _

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

- (i) Executed SF1442. Offeror shall insert its company name and address in Block #14, telephone number in Block #15, acknowledge all amendments in Block #19 (if applicable), name and title of person authorized to sign in Block #20A, signature in Block #20B, and offer date in Block #20C of the SF1442. In addition, offeror shall provide its DUNS Number, CAGE code, and Federal Tax ID number with its proposal.

- (ii) Executed DD1155. Offeror shall insert its company name and address in Block 9, signature, date signed, and name and title of person authorized to sign in Block 16, and the seed project total proposed price on Page 2 of the DD1155.
- (iii) Bid bond (SF-24). Offeror shall submit a bid bond (SF-24) in the amount of 20% of total seed project bid price or \$3M, whichever amount is less.
- (iv) CCR registration. Offeror shall ensure current registration on the CCR Website, www.ccr.gov.
- (v) ORCA registration. Offeror shall ensure current Annual Representations and Certifications on the ORCA website <http://orca.bpn.gov> are complete and updated for this procurement.
- (vi) VETS-100 registration. Offeror shall ensure current VETS-100 report on the Department of Labor website <https://vets100.vets.dol.gov/login.aspx> is complete and updated for this procurement.

Note: The bid bond, CCR, ORCA, and VETS-100 registrations must be in the name/CAGE/DUNS of the offeror identified on the SF1442 and DD1155.

(2) Basis of Evaluation: The Government will evaluate seed project price based on the total price for CLIN 0001. Total price consists of the basic requirement. Analysis will be performed by one (1) or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGE.
- (iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
- (iv) Comparison of market survey results.

b. **Non-Cost/Price Factors (Phase-Two):**

Provide one (1) original, four (4) copies, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements for Factors 4 – 5 identified below. Entire Phase-Two proposal (excluding Conceptual Drawings) shall not exceed 40 pages in length (front side only) utilizing a minimum Arial Font of 12 for Factors 4-5.

- (1) **Factor 1 - Corporate Experience and Qualifications:** Resubmit only if changes have occurred since Phase-One and identify changes in italicized bold font.
- (2) **Factor 2 – Past Performance:** Resubmit only if changes have occurred since Phase-One and identify changes in italicized bold font.
- (3) **Factor 3 – Technical Approach to Safety:** Resubmit only if changes have occurred since Phase-One and identify changes in italicized bold font.
- (4) **Factor 4 – Technical Solution**

- (a) Submittal Requirements:

Conceptual Drawings: Provide conceptual floor plans, elevations, site plans, building perspective view, and space tabulation tables, which adequately illustrate the proposed construction, and integrates with the surrounding area and existing base facilities design or architectural theme.

- (b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the Conceptual Drawings considering the extent to which the offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of the architectural and engineering requirements of the project. The Government will evaluate the effectiveness of the design-build team's technical solution that will give the Government a high level of confidence that the work will be performed in accordance with the technical requirements of the RFP. For the conceptual site plan, the Government will only evaluate building orientation, roadways, parking, walkways, fire department access, and ATRP standoff distance compliance.

(5) Factor 5 – Sustainable Design

The project shall be designed and constructed to be LEED Gold Certified by the US Green Buildings Council (USGBC) and also comply with the requirements of the Energy Policy Act (EPA) of 2005, except as amended herein. Provide the following information, which describes how the project will meet or exceed the following sustainable design contract requirements:

(a) Submittal Requirements:

EPAct 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative: Using the guidance outlined in Part 3 of this RFP, provide a detailed narrative to describe the proposed solution, which shall meet or exceed the goal of a 30% energy budget reduction, using the ASHRAE Std 90.1-2007 (vice ASHRAE Std 90.1-2004 as referenced in EPAct 2005), Appendix G, Performance Rating Method, excluding receptacle and process loads. Provide your proposed energy budget reduction. Provide the assumptions the offeror will use to obtain a high-performance building, which will comply with these energy reduction goals. Describe the offeror's proposed building by filling out the information in the table below. Add rows in the table as necessary to fully describe the high performance products to be supplied, including additional window types, additional wall types, additional chillers, energy recovery units, etc. If the offeror cannot achieve the 30% reduction because it is not life cycle cost effective, the offeror shall state what percent energy budget reduction will be life cycle cost effective.

| <i>High Performance Products</i> | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Item | Efficiency / Insulation Value/ Performance | | Description |
| Windows | U-Factor | SHGC | |
| | | | |
| Skylights | U-Factor | SHGC | |
| | | | |
| Roof | R-Factor | Reflectance | |
| | | | |
| Walls | R-Factor | | |
| | | | |
| Chiller(s) | Full load (kW/ton) | IPLV (kW/ton) | |
| | | | |
| Cooling Tower(s) | Efficiency | Makeup Flow Rate (GPM) | |
| | | | |
| Boilers | Efficiency | Capacity (BHP) | |
| | | | |
| Water Heater(s) | Efficiency | Size (Gals.) & Capacity (KBtuH) | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Whole Building Energy Simulation: Complete a Whole Building Energy Simulation and use the results to complete and submit the EAc1 Submittal Template in accordance with the procedure outlined in LEED EAc1 (Version 2009) – Optimize Energy Performance, Option 1 – Whole Building Energy Simulation. The offeror may use the “Baseline Building Performance Rating” (BBPR) shown in the RFP, Part 6, or the offeror may follow the procedures of ASHRAE Std 90.1, Appendix G to provide a BBPR based on his proposed design to demonstrate the percentage

improvement in the “Proposed Building Performance Rating”. Utilize the energy rates included in the RFP, Part 6. The default process energy cost is 25% of the total energy cost for the baseline building.

LEED Scorecard and Narrative: Provide a LEED scorecard indicating proposed credits and a brief LEED summary narrative outlining the offeror’s approach and strategy for meeting each LEED prerequisite and credit.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the offeror’s response to the Sustainable Design Factor considering energy savings and reduction or elimination of negative environmental impacts in the following areas:

- The Government will evaluate the offeror’s proposed energy budget reduction relative to the prescribed energy reduction goals, including evaluation of assumptions.
- The Government will evaluate the Whole Building Energy Simulation to determine the validity of the design assumptions, thoroughness of the Energy Simulation, and the percent improvement above the Government’s “Baseline Building Performance Rating”. The Government may calculate the Net Present Value of the savings in making a best value determination.
- The Government will evaluate the Scorecard and Narrative to validate the offeror’s approach and strategy in meeting LEED Prerequisites and Credits to achieving LEED Gold Certification or better. The Government will consider LEED Credits that improve energy efficiency and reduce environmental impacts as more advantageous.

(End of provision)

(End of Summary of Changes)