

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 7
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 11-May-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST IPT GULFCOAST BLDG 903, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030	CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-15-R-1091	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 20-Apr-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is as follows: 1) Revise the evaluation factors to allow for teaming agreements and other minor revisions. 2) Update all references to the EM 385-1-1 to the current edition. 3) Provide information on a second site visit. 4) Extend the proposal due date. 5) An updated PPI Log (PPI Log as of 5-11-15) has been posted to NECO/FBO.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 11-May-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0003

1) Section 0150 Notice to Offerors Part 1.6.3(b) is revised as follows (changes are in bold):

(b) Non-cost/price Factors:

Provide one (1) original, three (3) copies, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements for Factors 1 – 3 identified below. In case of variation, the original paper proposal takes precedence over electronic or paper copies.

(1) Factor 1 Experience:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information. **For purposes of this solicitation, an offeror is defined as the prime offeror, prime offeror/subcontractor (teaming agreement), a joint venture, partner, or SBA approved mentor protégé.**

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates the breadth and depth of your experience in performing relevant vertical and horizontal construction projects. A relevant project is further defined as new construction or renovation completed by the Offeror as the Prime Construction Contractor **with the following requirements:**

1. As one of the submitted projects (e.g. Project 1), the Offeror must demonstrate vertical construction or renovation experience on a project with a minimum construction value of \$1,000,000.00.

2. Any additional projects (e.g. Project 2 – 5) shall have a minimum construction value of \$500,000.00.

If the Offeror is proposing as a prime offeror/subcontractor (teaming agreement), at least two of the relevant projects must be completed by the prime offeror.

For relevant general construction projects, desirable (not mandatory), significant features include the following:

1. Management of multiple projects sites **under the same contract**
2. Management of multiple subcontractors **on the same contract**
3. Multi-phased work in congested areas or adjacent to existing facilities remaining operational during work

4. Anti-terrorism force protection (ATFP) standards
5. **Abatement of hazardous materials, i.e. lead, asbestos and mold**
6. Sustainable features
7. Plumbing & HVAC systems
8. Communications, control, and security systems
9. **Interior Electrical and Lighting systems**
10. Fire protection systems (fire alarm and automated sprinkler system)
11. Exterior load bearing wall and foundation construction
12. **Roof construction or replacement**
13. Extensive above or below ground electrical distribution
14. Extensive civil site work **including grading, utilities, paving and stormwater management systems**
15. **Removal and replacement of interior finishes including drywall, paint, ceilings and tile.**
16. **Extensive repair or replacement of site utilities including water, sewer or chilled water.**

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment A) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods).

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

If the Offeror is proposing as a prime offeror/subcontractor (teaming agreement), the offeror must provide a teaming agreement clearly identifying the roles and responsibilities and functions to be performed by the teaming partners. More weight may be given to a relevant projects submitted by prime offerors than to those submitted by subcontractors. This narrative shall not exceed one page.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract. This narrative shall not exceed one page.

If the offeror is a joint venture or a partnership, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, or SBA mentor protégé agreement (MPA), for each member of the Offeror's team (e.g., joint venture member, partner or mentor protégé). Note: Any mentor-protégé joint venture agreement must be approved by the SBA prior to contract award in order to be eligible for award.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five projects for construction. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience in multiple significant features may be considered more favorably. **Relevant projects that demonstrate greater breadth and/or depth of experience may be rated higher.**

(2) Factor 2 Safety:

(a) Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

For the three (3) [2012, 2013, 2014] previous complete calendar years, submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three (3) year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the three (3) [2012, 2013, 2014] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety narrative shall be limited to two pages.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this

procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS), and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Factor 3 – Past Performance:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 1 for construction experience. If there is not a completed CCASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment B) for each project included in Factor 1 for Construction Experience. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Kendra McMahon at kendra.mcmahon@navy.mil and Yaritza Liriano at yaritza.liriano@navy.mil.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 1 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership, joint venture or **teaming agreement** identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

2. All references to the EM 385-1-1 Safety and Health Requirements Manual are updated to the current version which is EM 385-1-1, 2014 Version. The manual can be found at www.usace.army.mil/SafetyandOccupationalHealth/EM38511,2008BeingRevised.aspx

3. Section 0150 Notice to Offerors Part 2.1 Pre-proposal Conference and Site Visit is revised to add a second site visit. The additional site visit information is as follows:

A second site visit has been scheduled for Thursday, May 28, 2015 at 9:00AM Central Time. Attendees will meet at Building 19 at Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, TX. A map of the base and location of the building is provided as attachment C. This site visit is optional. A pre-proposal conference will not be held. Presentations, meeting minutes and attendance roster for the pre-proposal conference held on April 29th were provided under Amendment 0002.

Registration: Submit the following information to Kendra McMahon at Kendra.mcmahon@navy.mil no later than May 21, 2015 and provide the following information:

Attendee(s) Name

Company

Email Address

Phone Number

If you require base access, you will need to complete the Guest Sponsorship Form – NAS CC provided as attachment D. This form must be submitted to Kendra McMahon at Kendra.mcmahon@navy.mil no later than 3PM EASTERN TIME on May 21, 2015.

4. The proposal due date is extended to June 10, 2015 at 2PM Eastern Time.

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 20-May-2015 02:00 PM to 10-Jun-2015 02:00 PM.

(End of Summary of Changes)