

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 12
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 02-Apr-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST IPT SOUTH ATLANTIC BLDG 135, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030	CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) NAVFAC SOUTHEAST PWD KEY WEST BLDG A-629, PO BOX 9018 KEY WEST FL 33040-9018		CODE N69450
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-15-R-1106
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03-Mar-2015
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) N69450-15-R-1106 REPAIR AUTEC PIER 1902 AT ANDROS ISLAND, BAHAMAS The purpose of this amendment is the following: 1. Changes to the solicitation 2. Provide additional technical documents 3. Incorporate the PPI Log dated 02 April 2015 Continued on Page 2				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
			TEL:	EMAIL:
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 02-Apr-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 02

The purpose of this amendment is the following:

1. Make changes to the Solicitation.

Page 8, Section B.3, paragraph 2

Change:

The basis of price evaluation will be based on the total price for the basic requirement, Contract Line Item Number (CLIN) 0001 and CLIN 0002. CLIN 0001 prices shall be considered available for award for 90 calendar days from the date proposals are due. CLIN 0002 prices shall be available for award up to 300 days from date of contract award.

To:

The basis of price evaluation will be based on the total price for the basic requirement, Contract Line Item Number (CLIN) 0001 and CLIN 0002. CLIN 0001 prices shall be considered available for award for 90 calendar days from the date proposals are due. CLIN 0002 prices shall be available for award up to **240** days from date of contract award.

The below revised Instructions to Offerors reflects the above change. No other changes were made to the section Instructions to Offerors.

2. Incorporate additional technical documents into the solicitation.

The following documents have been uploaded to the additional documents section at NECO.navy.mil and are hereby incorporated into the solicitation:

1963 AUTECH Pier Drawings
1982 AUTECH Pier Repairs, As-Built drawings
AUTECH Pier – 2010 Halcrow Inspection Report

CLAUSE 252.236-7001 has been updated to reflect the above documents.

3. The following PPI Log has been uploaded to the additional documents section at NECO.nay.mil for the solicitation.

AUTECH PPI Log April 02, 2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE AMENDMENT CONFIRMS RECEIPT OF ALL DOCUMENTS LISTED.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE AMENDMENT IS REQUIRED WITH YOUR PROPOSAL

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

This procurement is solicited as full and open competition.

The following documents are uploaded to NECO and considered part of this solicitation:

Attachment A: NAS JAX BASE Access AMAG Form (for hand proposal delivery)

Attachment B: SECNAV Document for Base Access (for hand proposal delivery)

Attachment C: Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Form (mandatory use for PPI submission)

Attachment D: Construction Experience Project Data Sheet

Attachment E: NAVFAC USACE - PPQ Form

PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE/SITE VISIT

52.236-27 ALT I SITE VISIT (CONSTRUCTION) (FEB 1995)

(a) The clauses at 52.236-2, Differing Site Conditions, and 52.236-3, Site Investigations and Conditions Affecting the Work, will be included in any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation. Accordingly, offerors or quoters are urged and expected to inspect the site where the work will be performed.

(b) An organized site visit has been scheduled for March 17/18 2015. The Pre-Proposal meeting will be held at 3:00, March 17 at 801 Clematis St., West Palm Beach (WPB), FL 33401. The Site Visit to AUTEK will be Wednesday, March 18. The AUTEK Phoenix Air Flight 300 Leaves WPB at 8:15 a.m. and the return flight 303 departs Andros Island (ASD) at 4:00 p.m.

(c) To attend the site visit, the Contractor must make reservations as soon as possible, as it is first come first serve basis. Reservations can be made through the following website:

<http://www.navsea.navy.mil/nuwc/newport/autec/default.aspx>

On the center photo (submarine) click on "reservation". This website includes all of the information required to make reservations, cost of the flight, and payment information.

TravelCoordinator.ctr@autec.navy.mil

Renée L. Broderick | Travel Coordinator

renee.broderick.ctr@autec.navy.mil

PAE Contractor Supporting:

NUWCDETAUTEK, Project Support

561-671-2738

Or

Karen Fase | NUWC Admin

Karen.Fase.ctr@autec.navy.mil

PAE Technical Services

561-671-2740

For non-NAVY or DOD personnel:

- ... Call AUTECH travel (above) to provide your personal information (PII) such as DOB, Full name, and passport # and expiration date. DO NOT PROVIDE PII via unencrypted email!
- ... The required AUTECH badge will be "No Access". (In case the question comes up.)
- ... In order to expedite the check in process at the airport it is recommended to pay AUTECH travel by phone via CC. No cash will be accepted at the airport.
- ... Most hotels near the airport provide free shuttle to and from the AUTECH terminal. Need to verify upon reservations.

For travel for the purposes of this Site Visit, reference the project (AUTECH Pier 1902 Repair), reference number T1-2015-41 and 950E390. Sponsor is Amir Kanel (561-671-2620).

Other important items to note:

- ... Check-in starts @ 0645 and closes 1 hour prior to departure, if for any reason you may be late or unable to make your flight please contact AOPS at 561-681-2681. The AUTECH terminal is located at 1624 Perimeter Rd, West Palm Beach, FL 33406.
- ... You must have a valid US passport in order to travel to AUTECH
- ... Lunch at AUTECH is available at a cost of \$5.55.

Please copy the following on travel reservations:

Amir.Kanel@autec.navy.mil

Camilla.Baker@navy.mil

(End of provision)

PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRES (PPI)

All inquiries must be submitted in writing and received by the Contract Specialist AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO THE CLOSING DATE OF THE SOLICITATION in order to permit adequate time for response. PPI's not received 15 days prior to solicitation close risk not being answered.

All PPI's shall be submitted on the Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Form, Attachment C, which has been uploaded to the additional documents section on NECO. Use of the PPI Form is mandatory. Submit all questions to camilla.baker@navy.mil.

Individual replies will not be made to Contractors. Responses will be posted, on the PPI Log, in NECO/FedBizOpps, under additional documents of the solicitation. It is the Contractor's responsibility to check NECO for any additional documents that are uploaded while the solicitation is open. NECO will not generate a notice when a document is loaded under additional documents.

NAVY ELECTRONIC COMMERCE ON-LINE (NECO)

Amendments will be posted directly to NECO/FedBizOpps. The posting of amendments generates an automated message to the contractor's point of contact listed in FedBizOpps, alerting them of the posting.

The drawings and specifications will be posted on NECO under the Additional Documents section of the solicitation, in accordance with DFARS 252.236-7001. Additionally, other postings will be made to NECO, such as the Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Log, revised drawings, etc., under the Additional Documents section of the solicitation. Postings directly to the Additional Documents section of NECO DO NOT generate an automated alert to the contractors. It is the contractor's responsibility to check NECO for all postings.

PROPOSAL SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

In response to this request for proposal, the complete proposal Submission Requirements shall be submitted as follows:

a. The Submission Requirements shall be submitted in separate 3-ring binders, in sealed envelopes/boxes. All pages shall be numbered and binders shall be appropriately tabbed, conforming to the proposal submittal requirements' structure for each evaluation factor.

Offeror's shall include the following information with submission requirements:

- ... Authorized negotiator's (POC) name
- ... POC telephone number
- ... POC email address
- ... CAGE code
- ... DUNS number (offeror and any team members)
- ... TIN (taxpayer ID number)

Non-Cost/Price Factors:

Provide one (1) original, three (3) copies, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements for Factors 1-4. Entire proposal shall not exceed 40 pages in length (front side only) utilizing a minimum Arial Font of 12 for Factors 1-4. This includes all typed pages, catalogue cuts, and brochure or other pre-printed material that may be submitted. This does not include Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ), CPARS evaluations, or partnership/joint venture agreements. Any pages beyond the first 40 pages submitted will not be evaluated.

Non-Cost/Price Proposal shall be labeled "NON-COST/PRICE PROPOSAL FOR RFP N69450-15-R-1106, REPAIR AUTECH PIER 1902; ANDROS ISLAND, BAHAMAS ATTN: CAMILLA BAKER, IPT-SA; DO NOT OPEN IN MAIL ROOM" Naval Facilities Southeast, PO Box 30A, Jacksonville, FL 32212.

Price:

Provide one (1) original, one (1) copy, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements for Phase-One pricing. The proposed price is not required to be on the electronic copy of the price proposal.

Price Proposal shall be labeled "PRICE PROPOSAL RFP N69450-15-R-1106, REPAIR AUTECH PIER 1902; ANDROS ISLAND, BAHAMAS ATTN: CAMILLA BAKER, IPT-SA; DO NOT OPEN IN MAIL ROOM".

c. The offeror's proposal must include all data and information required and must be submitted in accordance with these instructions. The offeror shall be compliant with the requirements as stated herein.

d. Proposals shall be mailed or hand delivered to the address in Block #10 of the SF1442. For delivery services: Building 135 Ajax Street, Rear Entrance.

e. Hand Carried Proposals: Ultimately, it is the contractor's responsibility to ensure timely proposal submission to the specified location. Due to heightened security, it is recommended that you allow sufficient time to get into the

building and turn in your proposal. If you are hand delivering your proposal the AMAG Form, Attachment (A), must be submitted to Camilla.baker@navy.mil three days prior to closing of the solicitation. SECNAV Form, Attachment B, must be brought with the visitor the day of access request to the base. Access is not guaranteed and contingent on an approved background check.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Intentionally left blank

B. DESCRIPTION OF ACQUISITION

1. This acquisition will result in the award of a Firm-Fixed Price (FFP) Contract for construction.

2. Project RM11-4762 Repair AUTECH Pier repairs the primary operational pier facility at the Atlantic Undersea Test and Evaluation Center (AUTECH) located at Andros Island, Bahamas. All of the major structural deficiencies will be addressed and the facility will be restored to full operational capability. The project scope includes repairs to the substructure, superstructure, deck, mooring/berthing, dolphins, fender system, pier grounding, and cathodic protection. The project will be executed in two phases. The first phase will include the site work and repairs on both sides of the pier from the shoreline to the first 240 feet of pier. The second phase will repair the remaining 240 feet of pier.

3. The contractor shall perform all services necessary for the Repair of the AUTECH Pier 1902 at the AUTECH Main Base, Andros Island, Bahama, in accordance with the Request for Proposal (RFP). The total completion time for this project is 480 calendar days from the contract award date, which includes two (2) phases; each phase 240 days. The liquidated damages for this project are \$2,450 for CLIN 0001 and \$2,097 for CLIN 0002 for each calendar day of delay.

The basis of price evaluation will be based on the total price for the basic requirement, Contract Line Item Number (CLIN) 0001 and CLIN 0002. CLIN 0001 prices shall be considered available for award for 90 calendar days from the date proposals are due. CLIN 0002 prices shall be available for award up to 240 days from date of contract award.

CLIN 0001: Site work and repairs on both sides of the pier from the shoreline to the first 240 LF of pier. (Area indicated as "Phase 1" on the Phasing Plan).

OPTION - CLIN 0002: Repairs on both sides of the remainder of the pier. (Area indicated as "Phase 2" on the Phasing Plan.)

4. The period of performance for this project is 480 Days, 240 days per phase.

5. The Government's total cost estimate for this work is \$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000

6. The Government intends to award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror with the lowest price technically acceptable proposal based on the evaluation factors in the solicitation, as outlined in section 00100.

7. This acquisition is solicited as full and open competition.

II. *Intentionally left blank*

III. EVALUATION

A. BASIS FOR AWARD

1. In accordance with the the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), the Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the lowest priced, technically acceptable offer.
2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.
3. The best value continuum source selection process to be used for this acquisition is the lowest price technically acceptable analysis process as describe in FAR 15.101-2. Comparing the price of proposals meeting the solicitation requirements for acceptability can be expected to result in the best value. While there may be a need for discussions, there is no need to make tradeoffs. The Government would not realize any value from a proposal exceeding the Government's minimum technical or performance requirements. If the Contracting Officer later decides that discussions are necessary, the procedures at 15.306 shall be followed.
4. An overall non-price factors rating must be "ACCEPTABLE" in order to be eligible for award. An "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any factor results in the overall non-price factors proposal being rated "UNACCEPTABLE" unless corrected through discussions. An overall non-price factors rating of "UNACCEPTABLE" makes a proposal ineligible for award.

B. This section was intentionally left blank

C. This section was intentionally left blank

D. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-price factors:

Technical Factors:

- Factor 1 – Experience
- Factor 2 – Safety
- Factor 3 – Past Performance
- Factor 4 – Technical Approach

The distinction between corporate experience and past performance is corporate experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by a contractor that are relevant to the types of work covered by this requirement. Past performance relates to how well a contractor has performed on relevant projects.

2. Submittal Requirements and Basis of Evaluation for each factor.

a. **Technical Factors:**

The Offeror's response to Factors that require the submission of Corporate Experience Narratives, and Past Performance shall be based on the following relevancy definition:

For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are defined as reinforced concrete repairs to marine facilities completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP with a construction value of approximately \$5M or greater.

The Construction Design Experience Data Sheets (Attachment C) that are requested in Factor 1 must be relevant construction projects. The Past Performance information requested in Factor 3 must be for the same construction projects that the Offeror submits for Experience in Factor 1.

Provide one (1) original, three (3) copies, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements identified below. Entire proposal shall not exceed 40 pages in length (front side only) utilizing a minimum Arial Font of 12 for Factors 1-4.

Factor 1 – Experience:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Submit a minimum of (2) to a maximum of five (5) construction projects that the offeror served as the prime contractor that best demonstrates experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are defined as reinforced concrete repairs to marine facilities completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP with a construction value of approximately \$5M or greater. Additionally, *at least one* project submitted *must* include installation of permanent fiberglass pile jackets and/or pile cap jackets for concrete encasement for a marine structure.

Proposed construction projects must have been performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a single task order as a project.

The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment C) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e., unique features, area, construction methods).

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity or the Joint Venture partners. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract in order for the past performance information of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies to be considered. The proposal shall state specific commitments of technical resources (e.g. personnel, equipment) that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies commit to the performance of this contract. In particular, the proposal will clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member that will be located at the worksites and company offices in the city/area of the project. The proposal shall also describe specific roles of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies in terms of the work it will either self-perform or manage on behalf of the Offeror in performance of the contract. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The requirement for acceptability will be based upon the projects submitted by the Offeror in its proposal; the Offeror must demonstrate experience on a minimum of two (2) relevant projects. Additionally, *at least one (1)* project submitted *must* include installation of permanent fiberglass pile jackets and/or pile cap jackets for concrete encasement for a marine structure.

Relevant projects are defined as reinforced concrete repairs to marine facilities completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP with a construction value of approximately \$5M or greater.

Factor 2 – Safety

(a) Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required.

(1) OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:

For the five (5) [2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA TRC Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA TRC Rate data should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate. Any explanation provided will be considered by the Government, but may or may not affect the offerors rating.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the five (5) [2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data should be addressed as part of this element. OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate. Any explanation provided will be considered by the Government, but may or may not affect the offerors rating.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to qualify, evaluate, select and oversee its potential subcontractors. The Safety narrative shall be limited to one page. Offerors must submit both (1) a plan to include the safety performance of subcontractors in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and (2) a plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those subcontractors whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 3.0. Offerors who fail to submit either of these will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine whether the Offeror has an acceptable safety record. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record as evidenced by the TRC and DART rates and the technical narrative. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety

(1) OSHA Total Recordable Case (TRC) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA TRC Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA TRC rate is above 4.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA TRC rates above 4.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate. Any explanation provided will be considered by the Government, but may or may not affect the offerors rating.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror's OSHA DART rate is above 3.0 and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. OSHA DART rates above 3.0, in any of the previous five years, will be considered UNACCEPTABLE, unless an adequate explanation is provided to address the extenuating circumstances that affected the rate. Any explanation provided will be considered by the Government, but may or may not affect the offerors rating.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine if subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the qualification, evaluation, selection, of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project, and both the plan to monitor the safety of those subcontractors during contract performance, highlighting what specific management practices will be in place for providing deliberate safety program management and mishap prevention support to those sub-contractors whose TRC is greater than 4.0 and whose DART rate is greater than 3.0. Offerors who fail to address any of these items (i.e. whether the safety performance of subcontractors will be evaluated in the selection process for all levels of subcontractors and whether the safety of those subcontractors will be monitored during contract performance) will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

Factor 3 – Past Performance:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

If a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reports Systems (CPARS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 1. If there is not a completed CPARS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment D) for each project included in Factor 1. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Camilla Baker at Camilla.baker@navy.mil

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past

performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 1 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. Based on the Offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the Offeror's performance record is unknown.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination.

In the case of an Offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the Offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance. Therefore, the Offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, "unknown" shall be considered "acceptable."

Factor 4 – Technical Approach

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Provide a narrative describing the technical solution to the project that meets the requirements of the RFP. Include the following:

- Technical Approach to Construction Sequencing and Scheduling, with special attention to RFP requirements for maintaining pier operations during construction.
- Technical Approach to Conducting Construction Work in Andros Island, Bahamas, including consideration for logistics at a remote location, material testing, labor resources, and berthing.

Narrative shall not exceed ten (10) double-sided pages (or twenty (20) single-sided pages). Up to three (3) conceptual drawings may be provided to supplement the narrative (i.e. floor plan, process diagram, and site plan). *Conceptual drawings do not count towards the maximum page limit.*

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the narrative and conceptual drawings (if included) considering the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of the requirements of the project. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's technical solution to determine adherence to the technical requirements of the RFP.

The following have been modified:

252.236-7001 CONTRACT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS (AUG 2000)

(a) The Government will provide to the Contractor, without charge, one set of contract drawings and specifications, except publications incorporated into the technical provisions by reference, in electronic or paper media as chosen by the Contracting Officer.

(b) The Contractor shall--

- (1) Check all drawings furnished immediately upon receipt;
- (2) Compare all drawings and verify the figures before laying out the work;
- (3) Promptly notify the Contracting Officer of any discrepancies;
- (4) Be responsible for any errors that might have been avoided by complying with this paragraph (b); and
- (5) Reproduce and print contract drawings and specifications as needed.

(c) In general--

- (1) Large-scale drawings shall govern small-scale drawings; and
- (2) The Contractor shall follow figures marked on drawings in preference to scale measurements.

(d) Omissions from the drawings or specifications or the misdescription of details of work that are manifestly necessary to carry out the intent of the drawings and specifications, or that are customarily performed, shall not relieve the Contractor from performing such omitted or misdescribed details of the work. The Contractor shall perform such details as if fully and correctly set forth and described in the drawings and specifications.

(e) The work shall conform to the specifications and the contract drawings identified on the following index of drawings:

Title

FINAL DRAWINGS AUTEC PIER 1902 REPAIRS

FINAL SPECIFICATIONS AUTEC PIER 1902 REPAIRS

1963 AUTEC Pier Drawings

1982 AUTEC Pier Repairs, As-Built Drawings

AUTEC Pier – 2010 Halcrow Inspection Report

(End of clause)

(End of Summary of Changes)